

## 1-ARY FUNCTIONS AND THE F.C.P.

BY

CARLO TOFFALORI

Let  $T$  be a countable, complete 1st order theory with no finite models. As usual, we assume that all models of  $T$  are elementary substructures of some big model  $U$ . In [K], Keisler proposed the following definition:  $T$  is said to satisfy the finite cover property (f.c.p.) if there exists a formula  $\varphi(\bar{v}, \bar{w})$  of the language of  $T$  such that, for every  $m \in \omega$ , there are  $n \in \omega$ ,  $\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_n \in U$  such that  $n \geq m$ ,

$$\models \neg \left( \exists \bar{v} \bigwedge_{k \leq n} \varphi(\bar{v}, \bar{a}_k) \right)$$

but, for all  $l \leq n$ ,

$$\models \exists \bar{v} \bigwedge_{k \leq n, k \neq l} \varphi(\bar{v}, \bar{a}_k).$$

To define what is a theory without the f.c.p. is now an exercise as trivial as useful; for, the  $\neg$ f.c.p. is a property much richer in implications than the f.c.p. For instance, a theory  $T$  without the f.c.p. is stable (and some examples of the use of the  $\neg$ f.c.p. in stability theory can be found in Shelah's book [S]); on the other hand, Poizat discovered some meaningful connections between the  $\neg$ f.c.p. and the properties of the theory of nice pairs of models of  $T$  [P].

Here we are interested in the problem of studying the f.c.p. for theories of a 1-ary function. Several papers have already been devoted to the model theory of 1-ary functions, especially in the context of Vaught's Conjecture (see [M1], [M2], [Mi]). In particular, we studied classification theory for these functions in [T], we only recall here that they are superstable. The aim of this paper is to classify the theories  $T$  of a 1-ary function  $f$  which do not satisfy the f.c.p. First let us give some examples concerning this matter.

1. If  $T$  is categorical in  $\aleph_0$  or in  $\aleph_1$ , then  $T$  does not satisfy the f.c.p. (in fact, in general, any stable  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theory, as well as any  $\aleph_1$ -categorical theory, fails to have the f.c.p., see [K] and [BK]).

---

Received April 6, 1988.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 03C45; Secondary 03C60.

© 1991 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

2. Let  $T$  be the theory of a 1-ary function  $f$  such that:

For every  $a \in U$  and  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$ ,  $f^n(a) \neq a$ ;

For every  $a \in U$ , there are infinitely many  $b \in U$  satisfying  $f(b) = a$ .

Then  $T$  is neither  $\aleph_0$ -categorical nor  $\aleph_1$ -categorical; however  $T$  does not have the f.c.p.

3. Consider now the theory  $T_0$  of a 1-ary function  $f$  such that, for every  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$ , there is  $a \in U$  satisfying:

$f(a) = a$ ;

There are exactly  $n$  elements  $b \in U$  such that  $f(b) = a$ ,  $b \neq a$ ;

For all  $b$  such that  $f(b) = a$  and  $b \neq a$ ,  $f^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $T$  be any completion of  $T_0$ ; then  $T$  has the f.c.p.

Our main result is that a theory  $T$  of a 1-ary function  $f$  does not have the f.c.p. if and only if  $T$  satisfies the conditions  $P_n(n \in \omega - \{0\})$  below. However, before stating these conditions, we need to introduce the following notions.

DEFINITION . Let  $a \in U$ . Then

$$k(a) = \begin{cases} \min\{k \in \omega : k > 0, f^k(a) = a\} & \text{if such a } k \text{ exists,} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that, for every  $a \in U$ :

If  $k(a) < \infty$ , then, for all  $k \in \omega$ ,  $f^k(a) = a$  if and only if  $k(a) | k$ ;

If  $k(a) < \infty$ , then  $k(f(a)) = k(a)$  (in particular, if  $k(f(a)) = \infty$ , then  $k(a) = \infty$ , too);

If  $k(a) < \infty$ ,  $f(x) = a$  and  $x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a)$ , then  $k(x) = \infty$ .

DEFINITION . Let  $a \in U$ ,  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $\tau_n(a) = \{x \in U : \text{either } x = a \text{ or there is } m \in \omega \text{ such that } 0 < m < n, f^m(x) = a \text{ and } f^{m-1}(x) \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ when } k(a) < \infty\}$ .

One can easily prove that, for every  $a \in U$  and  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\tau_{n+1}(a) = \{a\} \cup \bigcup_x \tau_n(x)$$

where  $x$  ranges over the preimages of  $a$  in  $f$  different from  $f^{k(a)-1}(a)$  when  $k(a) < \infty$ . Furthermore, if  $f(x) = f(y) = a$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $x, y \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a)$

when  $k(a) < \infty$ , then

$$a \notin \tau_n(x), \quad \tau_n(x) \cap \tau_n(y) = \emptyset.$$

Notice that in general  $\tau_n(a)$  is not a structure of the language for  $f$ , as  $\tau_n(a)$  contains  $a$ , but it does not include  $f(a)$  except for the case  $f(a) = a$  namely  $k(a) = 1$ . Nevertheless we shall consider below the "isomorphism type" of  $\tau_n(a)$  in the sense we are going to explain here. For every  $a, a' \in U$ , we shall say that  $\tau_n(a)$  is isomorphic to  $\tau_n(a')$ ,

$$\tau_n(a) \simeq \tau_n(a'),$$

if  $k(a) = k(a')$  and there exists a partial isomorphism of  $U$  having domain  $\tau_n(a)$  and range  $\tau_n(a')$ , namely a bijection  $g$  of  $\tau_n(a)$  onto  $\tau_n(a')$  such that, for all  $x, y \in \tau_n(a)$ ,  $f(x) = y$  if and only if  $f(g(x)) = g(y)$  (in particular  $g(a) = a'$ ). Clearly  $\simeq$  is an equivalence relation; then the isomorphism type of  $\tau_n(a)$  will mean the equivalence class of  $\tau_n(a)$  with respect to  $\simeq$ .

We can state now  $P_1$ .

( $P_1$ ) There exists  $N \in \omega$  such that, for every  $a \in U$ ,  $f^{-1}(a)$  has either  $\leq N$  or infinitely many elements.

Let us list some consequences of  $P_1$ .

(i) For every  $a \in U$ , the isomorphism type of  $\tau_2(a)$  is given by  $k(a)$  and a cardinal number among  $0, 1, \dots, N$ ,  $\text{card } U$  specifying the power of  $\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ if } k(a) < \infty\}$ .

(ii) For every  $k \in \omega - \{0\}$  or  $k = \infty$ , there are only finitely many isomorphism types of structures  $\tau_2(a)$  with  $k(a) = k$ .

(iii) For every  $a \in U$ , let  $\vartheta_{2,a}$  be the formula

$$\exists! m(a)w(f(w) = v \wedge w \neq f^{k(a)-1}(v))$$

if  $k(a) < \infty$  and

$$\exists! m(a)w(f(w) = v)$$

otherwise, where  $m(a)$  denotes the power of

$$\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ if } k(a) < \infty\},$$

so that

$$m(a) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N, \text{card } U\},$$

and  $\exists!$  card  $U$  abridges  $\exists > N$ . Then, for every  $a, a' \in U$ ,

$$\tau_2(a) \simeq \tau_2(a')$$

if and only if  $k(a) = k(a')$  and  $\models \vartheta_{2,a}(a')$  or, if you prefer, if and only if  $k(a) = k(a')$  and  $m(a) = m(a')$ .

Now let  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ .

( $P_n$ ) For every  $b \in U$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ , there is  $H = H(\tau_n(b)/\simeq)$  such that, for all  $a \in U$ ,

$$\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ if } k(a) < \infty, \tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(b)\}$$

has either  $\leq H$  or infinitely many elements.

Let  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$  and assume that  $P_m$  holds for every  $m \in \omega$  with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . Then an easy induction argument shows the following consequences, generalizing the ones of the case  $n = 1$ .

(i) For all  $a \in U$ , the isomorphism type of  $\tau_{n+1}(a)$  is given by  $k(a)$  and by the function of the (finite) set of invariants of isomorphism types of structures  $\tau_n(b)$  with  $b \in U, k(b) = \infty$ , into the set of cardinals  $\leq \text{card } U$  such that, for every  $b \in U$  satisfying  $k(b) = \infty$ , the image of the corresponding invariant is the power of

$$\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ if } k(a) < \infty, \tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(b)\}$$

(and hence belongs to  $\{0, 1, \dots, H(\tau_n(b)/\simeq), \text{card } U\}$ ).

In fact, assume  $\tau_{n+1}(a) \simeq \tau_{n+1}(a')$ . Then  $k(a) = k(a')$  and there is a partial isomorphism  $g$  mapping  $\tau_{n+1}(a)$  onto  $\tau_{n+1}(a')$ ; in particular  $g(a) = a'$  and, for every  $x$  such that  $f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a)$  if  $k(a) < \infty, g(x) = x'$  satisfies  $f(x') = a', x' \neq f^{k(a')-1}(a')$  if  $k(a') = k(a) < \infty$ . It follows that  $\tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(x')$ . In fact  $k(x) = k(x') = \infty$  and, for all  $y \in \tau_{n+1}(a)$ , if  $y' = g(y)$ , then

$y \in \tau_n(x)$  iff there is  $s < n$  such that  $f^s(y) = x$   
 iff there is  $s < n$  such that  $f^s(y') = x'$   
 iff  $y' \in \tau_n(x')$ ;

hence  $g \upharpoonright \tau_n(x)$  is a partial isomorphism of  $\tau_n(x)$  onto  $\tau_n(x')$ . In particular, for every  $b \in U$  such that  $k(b) = \infty$ ,

$$\text{card}\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ if } k(a) < \infty, \tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(b)\} = \text{card}\{x': f(x') = a', x' \neq f^{k(a')-1}(a') \text{ if } k(a') < \infty, \tau_n(x') \simeq \tau_n(b)\}.$$

Conversely suppose that  $a, a' \in U$  satisfy  $k(a) = k(a')$  and

$$\text{card}\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ if } k(a) < \infty, \tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(b)\} = \text{card}\{x': f(x') = a', x' \neq f^{k(a')-1}(a') \text{ if } k(a') < \infty, \tau_n(x') \simeq \tau_n(b)\}$$

for every  $b \in U$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ . By recalling that

$$\tau_{n+1}(a) = \{a\} \dot{\cup} \bigcup_x \tau_n(x)$$

(where  $f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a)$  if  $k(a) < \infty$ ) and similarly for  $a'$ , one can easily build a partial isomorphism of  $\tau_{n+1}(a)$  onto  $\tau_{n+1}(a')$ .

(ii) There are at most finitely many isomorphism types of structures  $\tau_{n+1}(a)$  with  $a \in U, k(a) = \infty$ .

(iii) For every  $a \in U$ , let  $\vartheta_{n+1,a}$  be the formula

$$\bigwedge_b \exists! m(n, b, a) w (f(w) = v \wedge w \neq f^{k(a)-1}(v) \wedge \vartheta_{n,b}(w))$$

if  $k(a) < \infty$ , or

$$\bigwedge_b \exists! m(n, b, a) w (f(w) = v \wedge \vartheta_{n,b}(w))$$

otherwise, where  $b$  ranges over the elements of  $U$  satisfying  $k(b) = \infty$ —or, more precisely,  $\tau_n(b)/\simeq$  ranges over the corresponding isomorphism types, that are finitely many—and, for each  $b$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m(n, b, a) &= \text{card}\{x: f(x) = a, x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a) \text{ when} \\ &\quad k(a) < \infty, \tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(b)\} \\ &\in \{0, 1, \dots, H(\tau_n(b)/\simeq), \text{card } U\} \end{aligned}$$

(as before  $\exists! \text{card } U$  abbreviates  $\exists > H(\tau_n(b)/\simeq)$ ). Then, for all  $a, a' \in U$ ,

$$\tau_{n+1}(a) \simeq \tau_{n+1}(a')$$

if and only if  $k(a) = k(a')$  and  $\models \vartheta_{n+1,a}(a')$ , or, if you prefer, if and only if  $k(a) = k(a')$  and  $m(n, b, a) = m(n, b, a')$  for every  $b$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $T$  fails to have the f.c.p., then  $T$  satisfies  $P_n$  for all  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume towards a contradiction that there is  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$  such that  $P_n$  does not hold. Let  $n$  be minimal with this property. If  $n = 1$ , then, for every  $m \in \omega$ , there exists  $a \in U$  admitting  $\geq m$  but finitely many preimages; hence  $T$  has the f.c.p. (consider the formula  $\varphi(v, w): v \neq w \wedge f(v) = f(w)$ ).

Let now  $n > 1$ . Then there is  $b \in U$  such that  $k(b) = \infty$  and, for all  $n \in \omega$ , there is  $a \in U$  admitting  $\geq m$  but finitely many preimages  $x$  such

that  $x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a)$  when  $k(a) < \infty$  and  $\tau_n(x) \simeq \tau_n(b)$  (namely  $k(x) = \infty$  and  $\vDash \vartheta_{n,b}(x)$ ). But in this case  $T$  admits the f.c.p. owing to the formula

$$\varphi(v, w) : v \neq w \wedge f(v) = f(w) \wedge \vartheta_{n,b}(v) \wedge \vartheta_{n,b}(w)$$

(in fact, even if  $k(a) < \infty$ , there is at most one preimage  $a' = f^{k(a)-1}(a)$  of  $a$  such that  $k(a') < \infty$  and  $\vDash \vartheta_{n,b}(a')$ ).

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $T$  satisfies  $P_n$  for all  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$ , then  $T$  fails to have the f.c.p.*

We tacitly assume from now on that  $T$  satisfies  $P_n$  for all  $n \in \omega - \{0\}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *For all  $a, a' \in U$  satisfying  $k(a) = k(a')$ , and  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ , if  $\vDash \vartheta_{n+1,a}(a')$ , then  $\vDash \vartheta_{n,a}(a')$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

Let  $n = 2$ , and suppose  $\vDash \vartheta_{3,a}(a')$ . Then, for all  $b \in U$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ ,  $m(2, b, a) = m(2, b, a')$ . But in this case

$$m(a) = \sum_b m(2, b, a) = \sum_b m(2, b, a') = m(a'),$$

and hence  $\vDash \vartheta_{2,a}(a')$ .

Now let  $n > 2$  and assume  $\vDash \vartheta_{n+1,a}(a')$ . Then, for all  $b \in U$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ ,  $m(n, b, a) = m(n, b, a')$ . Let  $x$  satisfy  $f(x) = a$ ,  $x \neq f^{k(a)-1}(a)$  when  $k(a) < \infty$ . Then  $k(x) = \infty$  and, for every  $b$  with  $k(b) = \infty$ ,

$$\vDash \vartheta_{n-1,b}(x)$$

if and only if there is  $c$  such that  $k(c) = \infty$ ,  $\vDash \vartheta_{n-1,b}(c)$  and  $\vDash \vartheta_{n,c}(x)$ . In fact, if  $\vDash \vartheta_{n-1,b}(x)$ , then we can put  $c = x$ .

Conversely suppose that there exists  $c$  as claimed, then we have  $\vDash \vartheta_{n-1,c}(x)$  and, consequently, as  $k(c) = k(x) = k(b) = \infty$ ,

$$\tau_{n-1}(x) \simeq \tau_{n-1}(c) \simeq \tau_{n-1}(b);$$

but then  $\vDash \vartheta_{n-1,b}(x)$ . Of course, for every  $c, c'$  with  $k(c) = k(c') = \infty$ , if  $\vDash \vartheta_{n,c}(x) \wedge \vartheta_{n,c'}(x)$ , then  $\tau_n(c) \simeq \tau_n(c')$ ; hence, for all  $b$  as above,

$$m(n - 1, b, a) = \sum_{k(c)=\infty, \vDash \vartheta_{n-1,b}(c)} m(n, c, a).$$

Similarly for  $a'$ . But this clearly suffices to prove our claim.

LEMMA 2. Let  $a, x' \in U$  satisfy  $k(a) = \infty$ ,  $k(x') = k(f(a))$ ,

$$\models \vartheta_{n, f(a)}(x') \quad \text{for all } n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}.$$

Then there is  $a' \in U$  such that  $f(a') = x'$ ,  $a' \neq f^{k(x')-1}$  when  $k(x') < \infty$ ,  $\models \vartheta_{n, a'}(a')$  for all  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$  (and similarly in any  $\omega$ -saturated model of  $T$  containing  $x'$ ).

*Proof.* First notice that  $k(a) = \infty$  implies  $a \neq f^h(a)$  for all  $h \in \omega - \{0\}$ . We have to show that the set

$$\{f(v) = x', v \neq f^{k(x')-1}(x'), \vartheta_{n, a}(v) : n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}\}$$

( $\{f(v) = x', \vartheta_{n, a}(v) : n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}\}$  when  $k(x') = \infty$ , but for simplicity we will ignore this case, which can be handled in a similar way) is satisfiable. Since  $U$  is very saturated (but  $\omega$ -saturated is enough), it suffices to show that this set is finitely satisfiable, and hence that, for all  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\{f(v) = x', v \neq f^{k(x')-1}(x'), \vartheta_{2, a}(v), \dots, \vartheta_{n, a}(v)\}$$

is satisfiable. Lemma 1 reduces the problem to the satisfiability of

$$\{f(v) = x', v \neq f^{k(x')-1}(x'), \vartheta_{n, a}(v)\}$$

for every  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ ; in fact, if  $f(c) = x'$  but  $c \neq f^{k(x')-1}(x')$ , then  $k(c) = \infty = k(a)$ , and hence  $\models \vartheta_{n, a}(c)$  implies  $\models \vartheta_{i, a}(c)$  for any  $i$  such that  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . On the other hand

$$\models \exists w (f(w) = x' \wedge w \neq f^{k(x')-1}(x') \wedge \vartheta_{n, a}(w))$$

if and only if  $m(n, a, x') = m(n, a, f(a)) > 0$  and hence if and only if

$$\models \exists w (f(w) = f(a) \wedge w \neq f^{k(f(a))-1}(f(a)) \wedge \vartheta_{n, a}(w));$$

but this formula is true (take  $w = a$ ).

DEFINITION. Let  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_t)$  be a sequence of elements of  $U$ . The  $f$ -type of  $\bar{a}$  is the subset of  $tp(\bar{a} | \emptyset)$  of the formulas of the kind

$$f^h(v_i) = f^m(v_j), \quad f^h(v_i) \neq f^m(v_j)$$

with  $h, m \in \omega, i, j \leq t$ , or of the kind

$$\vartheta_{n, f^{h(a_i)}}(f^h(v_i))$$

with  $n, h \in \omega, n \geq 2$  and  $i \leq t$ .

One can easily see that, for any  $a, a' \in U$ , the following propositions are equivalent:

- (i) For all  $h \in \omega, k(f^h(a)) = k(f^h(a'))$ ;
- (ii) For all  $h, m \in \omega, f^h(a) = f^m(a)$  iff  $f^h(a') = f^m(a')$ .

Hence, if  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}'$  have the same  $f$ -type, then, for every  $h \in \omega$  and  $i \leq t, k(f^h(a_i)) = k(f^h(a'_i))$ .

In the following, when  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_t), \bar{a}' = (a'_0, \dots, a'_t)$  are two sequences of the elements of  $U$ , and  $a \in \bar{a}$  (for instance  $a = a_i$  with  $i \leq t$ ), then  $a'$  will denote the element of  $\bar{a}'$  corresponding to  $a$  (namely  $a' = a'_i$ ).

LEMMA 3. *Let  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}'$  satisfy the same  $f$ -type, and let  $x$  be such that:*

*There are  $s \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}$  such that  $f(x) = f^s(a)$ ;*

*For all  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha \in \bar{a}, x \neq f^q(\alpha)$ .*

*Then there is  $x' \in U$  such that:*

*$f(x') = f^s(a')$ ;*

*For all  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha' \in \bar{a}', x \neq f^q(\alpha')$ ;*

*For all  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}, \models \vartheta_{n, x}(x')$*

*(and similarly in any  $\omega$ -saturated model of  $T$  containing  $\bar{a}'$ ).*

*Proof.* First notice that  $k(x) = \infty$ ; in fact, if  $k(f^s(a)) < \infty$ , then

$$x \neq f^{k(f^s(a))-1}(f^s(a)):$$

We have to show that the set

$$\{f(v) = f^s(a')\} \cup \{v \neq f^q(\alpha') : q \in \omega, \alpha' \in \bar{a}'\} \\ \cup \{\vartheta_{n, x}(v) : n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}\}$$

is satisfiable. As  $U$  is very saturated (but  $\omega$ -saturated is enough), it suffices to prove that this set is finitely satisfiable, and even that, for all  $h, n \in \omega$  such that  $n \geq 2$  and  $h \geq k(f^s(a))$  if  $k(f^s(a)) < \infty$ , the set

$$\{f(v) = f^s(a')\} \cup \{v \neq f^q(\alpha') : q \leq h, \alpha' \in \bar{a}'\} \cup \{\vartheta_{n, x}(v)\}$$

is satisfiable (recall that, if  $f(x') = f^s(a')$  and  $x' \neq f^{k(f^s(a'))-1}(f^s(a'))$ , then



$k(x') = \infty = k(x)$ , hence  $\models \vartheta_{n,x}(x')$  implies  $\models \vartheta_{i,x}(x')$  for any  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq n$ ). Let  $r$  be the power of

$$\{f^q(\alpha') : q \leq h, \alpha' \in \bar{a}', \models \vartheta_{n,x}(f^q(\alpha')), f(f^q(\alpha')) = f^s(a'), \\ f^q(\alpha') \neq f^{k(f^s(a'))-1}(f^s(a'))\}.$$

As  $a, a'$  have the same  $f$ -type,  $r$  is also the power of

$$\{f^q(\alpha) : q \leq h, \alpha \in \bar{a}, \models \vartheta_{n,x}(f^q(\alpha)), f(f^q(\alpha)) = f^s(a), \\ f^q(\alpha) \neq f^{k(f^s(a))-1}(f^s(a))\}.$$

Moreover

$$\models \exists w \left( f(w) = f^s(a') \wedge \bigwedge_{q \leq h, \alpha' \in \bar{a}'} w \neq f^q(\alpha') \wedge \vartheta_{n,x}(w) \right)$$

if and only if  $r < m(n, x, f^s(a')) = m(n, x, f^s(a))$ , and hence if and only if

$$\models \exists w \left( f(w) = f^s(a) \wedge \bigwedge_{q \leq h, \alpha \in \bar{a}} w \neq f^q(\alpha) \wedge \vartheta_{n,x}(w) \right)$$

and this formula is true (it suffices to take  $w = x$ ).

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in U$  have the same  $f$ -type,  $h \in \omega - \{0\}$ ,  $x \in U$  be such that:*

*There are  $s \in \omega$  and  $a \in \bar{a}$  satisfying  $f^h(x) = f^s(a)$ ;*

*For any  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha \in \bar{a}$ ,  $f^{h-1}(x) \neq f^q(\alpha)$ .*

*Then there is  $x' \in U$  such that:*

*$f^h(x') = f^s(a')$ ;*

*$f^{h-1}(x') \neq f^q(\alpha')$  for all  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha' \in \bar{a}'$ ;*

*$x'$  and  $x$  have the same  $f$ -type.*

*And similarly in any  $\omega$ -saturated model of  $T$  containing  $\bar{a}'$ .*

*Proof.* First notice that  $k(f^i(x)) = \infty$  for all  $i < h$ . We proceed by induction on  $h$  (the case  $h = 0$  is trivial).

First let  $h = 1$ . Then it suffices to apply Lemma 3; in fact  $k(x) = k(x') = \infty$ , and  $\models \vartheta_{n,x}(x')$  for every  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ ; moreover, if  $i > 0$ , then  $f^i(x) = f^{s+i-1}(a)$  and  $f^i(x') = f^{s+i-1}(a')$  so that, as  $a, a'$  have the same  $f$ -type, it follows that  $k(f^i(x)) = k(f^i(x'))$ , and  $\models \vartheta_{n, f^i(x)}(f^i(x'))$  for every  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ .

$h \Rightarrow h + 1$ . Let  $y = f(x)$ . Then  $f^h(y) = f^s(a), f^{h-1}(y) \neq f^q(\alpha)$  for any  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha \in \bar{a}$ ; in particular  $k(y) = \infty$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is  $y' \in U$  satisfying  $f^h(y') = f^s(a'), f^{h-1}(y') \neq f^q(\alpha')$  for all  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha' \in \bar{a}'$ ,  $y'$  admits the same  $f$ -type as  $y$ . In particular  $k(y') = \infty, \models \vartheta_{n,y}(y')$  for every  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that there is  $x' \in U$  such that  $f(x') = y'$  (so that  $f^{h+1}(x') = f^s(a'), f^h(x') \neq f^q(\alpha')$  for all  $q \in \omega, \alpha' \in \bar{a}'$ ), and  $\models \vartheta_{n,x}(x')$  for every  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ . Furthermore  $k(x') = k(x) = \infty$ . This clearly implies that  $x, x'$  have the same  $f$ -type.

LEMMA 5. For all  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in U, \bar{a} \equiv \bar{a}'$  if and only if  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}'$  have the same  $f$ -type.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) This is trivial.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) It suffices to show that  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}'$  correspond to each other in an infinite back-and-forth. Hence assume that  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}'$  have the same  $f$ -type. We claim that, for every  $x$ , there is  $x'$  such that  $(\bar{a}, x), (\bar{a}', x')$  have the same  $f$ -type (in a similar way one can show that, for every  $x'$ , there is  $x$  such that  $(\bar{a}, x), (\bar{a}', x')$  have the same  $f$ -type).

*Case 1.* There are  $h, s \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}$  such that  $f^h(x) = f^s(a)$ . Let  $h$  be minimal with this property. If  $h = 0$ , then we are done, as it suffices to pick  $x' = f^s(a')$ . Then assume  $h > 0$ . By Lemma 4, as  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}'$  have the same  $f$ -type and  $f^h(x) = f^s(a)$  but  $f^{h-1}(x) \neq f^q(\alpha)$  for all  $q \in \omega$  and  $\alpha \in \bar{a}$ , there exists  $x' \in U$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} f^h(x') &= f^s(a'); \\ f^{h-1}(x') &\neq f^q(\alpha') \text{ for all } q \in \omega \text{ and } \alpha' \in \bar{a}'; \\ x, x' &\text{ have the same } f\text{-type.} \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that  $(\bar{a}, x)$  and  $(\bar{a}', x')$  satisfy our claim. It suffices to prove that, for all  $j, l \in \omega$  and  $\alpha \in \bar{a}$ ,

$$f^l(x) = f^j(\alpha) \text{ if and only if } f^l(x') = f^j(\alpha').$$

Assume  $f^l(x) = f^j(\alpha)$ . Then  $l \geq h$ , hence

$$f^{l-h+s}(a) = f^l(x) = f^j(\alpha),$$

and consequently

$$f^l(x') = f^{l-h+s}(a') = f^j(\alpha').$$

Conversely, if  $f^l(x') = f^j(\alpha')$ , then again we have  $l \geq h$ , and, by proceeding as before, we get  $f^l(x) = f^j(\alpha)$ .

*Case 2.* For all  $h, s \in \omega$  and  $a \in \bar{a}$ ,  $f^h(x) \neq f^s(a)$ .

We need find an element  $x' \in U$  satisfying:

For all  $h, s \in \omega$  and  $a' \in \bar{a}'$ ,  $f^h(x') \neq f^s(a')$  (namely  $x' \not\sim a'$  for all  $a' \in \bar{a}'$  —we denote here by  $\sim$  the equivalence relation such that, for all  $c, c' \in U$ ,  $c \sim c'$  if and only if there are  $i, j \in \omega$  satisfying  $f^i(c) = f^j(c')$  [T]);

$x'$  admits the same  $f$ -type as  $x$ ;

(Then  $(\bar{a}, x), (\bar{a}', x')$  have the same  $f$ -type.)

Suppose towards a contradiction that, for every  $x' \in U$ , if  $x'$  satisfies the same  $f$ -type as  $x$ , then there is  $a' \in \bar{a}'$  such that  $x' \sim a'$ . In particular, there is  $a' \in \bar{a}'$  such that  $x \sim a'$ . Let  $h \in \omega$  be minimal such that there are  $a' \in \bar{a}', s \in \omega$  such that  $f^h(x) = f^s(a')$ . Without loss of generality  $a' = a'_0$ . By using Lemma 4 if  $h > 0$  and a trivial argument otherwise, we find  $a''_0$  such that:

$$f^h(a''_0) = f^s(a_0);$$

$$f^{h-1}(a''_0) \neq f^q(a) \text{ for all } q \in \omega \text{ and } a \in \bar{a} \text{ (when } h > 0);$$

$a''_0, x$  have the same  $f$ -type.

In particular  $a''_0 \sim a_0 \not\sim x$ ,  $a''_0 \not\sim a'_0$ . There is  $a' \in \bar{a}'$  such that  $a''_0 \sim a'$ , and  $a'$  cannot equal  $a'_0$ . Let  $h \in \omega$  be minimal such that there are  $s \in \omega, a' \in \bar{a}'$  such that  $f^h(a''_0) = f^s(a')$ . With no loss of generality  $a' = a'_1$  (hence  $a'_1 \not\sim a'_0, a_1 \not\sim a_0, a'_1 \sim a''_0 \sim a_0$ ). As above we can find  $a''_1$  such that:

$$f^h(a''_1) = f^s(a_1);$$

$$f^{h-1}(a''_1) \neq f^q(a) \text{ for all } q \in \omega \text{ and } a \in \bar{a} \text{ (when } h > 0);$$

$a''_1$  admits the same  $f$ -type as  $a''_0$  and  $x$ .

Then  $a''_1 \sim a_1$  (and hence  $a''_1 \not\sim x, a'_0$ ), while  $a''_1 \not\sim a'_1$  (otherwise  $a_1 \sim a''_1 \sim a'_1 \sim a'_0 \sim a_0$ , contradicting  $a_1 \not\sim a_0$ ).

We can repeat this procedure to define  $a''_j$  inductively for all  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq t$ ; in fact, at stage  $j$ , we can assume

$$x \not\sim a_0 \not\sim a_1 \not\sim \dots \not\sim a_j,$$

$$a'_0 \not\sim a'_1 \not\sim \dots \not\sim a'_j,$$

$$x \sim a'_0$$

and, for all  $s < j$ ,

$$a_s \sim a''_s \sim a'_{s+1},$$

$$a''_s \not\sim a'_0, \dots, a'_s$$

(where we use the notation “ $a \not\sim b \not\sim c \dots$ ” to mean that  $a, b, c, \dots$  are mutually inequivalent modulo  $\sim$ ) and deduce that there exists  $a''_j \sim a_j$  such that  $a''_j$  satisfies the same  $f$ -type as  $x$ . Furthermore  $a''_j \not\sim a'_0, \dots, a'_j, x$  and there is  $a' \in \bar{a}'$  such that  $a' \sim a''_j$ , and, when  $j < t$ , we can assume without loss of generality that  $a' = a'_{j+1}$ . But, at stage  $t$ , this gets a contradiction. Then an element  $x'$  as claimed must exist.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* First notice that, if  $k \in \omega - \{0\}$ , then  $\{a \in U: k(a) = k\}$  can be defined by a unique formula of our language, while, if  $k = \infty$ , then we have to expect to need an infinite set of formulas for defining

$\{a \in U: k(a) = k\}$ ; in the following let us denote this formula, or this set of formulas respectively, by  $k(v) = k$ .

Let  $T^*$  be the theory of the pairs  $(M', M)$  of models of  $T$  satisfying  $M \not\cong M'$  and the conditions (i) and (ii) below.

(i) Let  $b \in U$  with  $k(b) = \infty, n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ . Then, for all  $h \in \omega, T^*$  contains:

“For every  $y \in M$ , if there are infinitely many  $x \in M$  satisfying  $f(x) = y$  and  $\models \vartheta_{n,b}(x)$ , then there are  $> h$  elements  $x \in M' - M$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $\models \vartheta_{n,b}(x)$ ”.

It is clear that, for every  $h \in \omega$ , the previous proposition can be expressed by a suitable 1st order sentence of the language for pairs of models of  $T$ .

(ii) Let  $b \in U, n, s \in \omega, n \geq 2$ . Let  $s' \leq s + 1$  be such that, for every  $j \leq s, k(f^j(b)) = \infty$  if and only if  $j < s'$  (possibly  $s' = 0$ ; in this case  $k(f^j(b)) < \infty$  for all  $j \leq s$ ). Assume that  $T$  contains the following sentences: for all  $q \in \omega$ ,

$$\exists w \left( \bigwedge_{j \leq s} \vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(w)) \wedge \bigwedge_{s' \leq j \leq s} k(f^j(w)) = k(f^j(b)) \right. \\ \left. \wedge \bigwedge_{0 < l \leq q, j < s'} f^l(f^j(w)) \neq f^j(w) \right)$$

and, for all  $h, q \in \omega$ ,

$$\forall v_0 \cdots \forall v_h \exists w \left( \bigwedge_{i \leq h, j \leq s} \vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(v_i)) \right. \\ \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{j \leq s} \vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(w)) \wedge \bigwedge_{s' \leq j \leq s} k(f^j(w)) = k(f^j(b)) \\ \left. \wedge \bigwedge_{0 < l \leq q, j < s'} f^l(f^j(w)) \neq f^j(w) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \leq h, l, m \leq q} f^m(w) \neq f^l(v_i) \right).$$

Notice that to assume that  $T$  satisfies the previous sentences is the same as to require that  $U$ -as well as any  $\omega$ -saturated model of  $T$ -contains infinitely many pairwise  $\sim$  elements satisfying

$$\vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(v)), \quad k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(b)) \quad \text{for all } j \leq s.$$

Then  $T^*$  includes the following sentences: for all  $q \in \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \exists w \left( \bigwedge_{j \leq s} \vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(v)) \wedge \bigwedge_{s' \leq j \leq s} k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(b)) \right. \\ \left. \wedge \bigwedge_{0 < l \leq q, j < s'} f^l(f^j(w)) \neq f^j(w) \wedge \bigwedge_{l \leq q} f^l(w) \notin M \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, for all  $h, q \in \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v_0 \cdots \forall v_h \exists w \left( \bigwedge_{i \leq h, j \leq s} \vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(v_i)) \right. \\ \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{j \leq s} \vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(w)) \wedge \bigwedge_{s' \leq j \leq s} k(f^j(w)) = k(f^j(b)) \\ \wedge \bigwedge_{0 < l \leq q, j < s'} f^l(f^j(w)) \neq f^j(w) \wedge \bigwedge_{l \leq q} f^l(w) \notin M \wedge \bigwedge_{i \leq h, l, m \leq q} \\ \left. f^l(w) \neq f^m(v_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this is equivalent to the assumption that in every  $\omega$ -saturated model  $(M', M)$  of  $T^*$  there are infinitely many pairwise  $\sim$  elements that are  $\sim$  to  $M$  and satisfy

$$\vartheta_{n, f^j(b)}(f^j(v)), k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(b)) \quad \text{for all } j \leq s.$$

We claim that the theory  $T^*$  we have just now introduced equals the theory  $T'$  of nice pairs of models of  $T$ . Recall that a pair  $(M', M)$  of models of  $T$  is said to be nice if  $M$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated, and, for every  $\bar{a} \in M'$ , any type in  $T$  over  $M \cup \bar{a}$  is realized in  $M'$ . We point out also that, if  $T$  is the theory of a 1-ary function, then the theory  $T'$  of nice pairs of models of  $T$  is complete since  $T$  is superstable (see [P]). The proof of our claim requires three steps.

*Step 1.* Every nice pair  $(M', M)$  of models of  $T$  satisfies  $T^*$ . In fact we have the following.

(i) Let  $b \in U$  with  $k(b) = \infty, n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}, y \in M$ , and assume that there exist infinitely many elements  $x \in M$  satisfying  $f(x) = y, \models \vartheta_{n, b}(x)$ . Let  $\{a_0, \dots, a_h\}$  be a finite (possibly empty) subset of  $M' - M$  whose elements satisfy  $f(v) = y \wedge \vartheta_{n, b}(v)$ . Then

$$\{f(v) = y\} \cup \{\vartheta_{n, b}(v)\} \cup \{v \neq d : d \in M \cup \{a_0, \dots, a_h\}\}$$

can be enlarged to a type over  $M \cup \{a_0, \dots, a_h\}$ , and this type must be realized in  $M'$ .

(ii) can be shown in a similar way.

*Step 2.* Every  $\omega_1$ -saturated model of  $T^*$  is a nice pair. In fact, let  $(M', M)$  be an  $\omega_1$ -saturated model of  $T$ . In particular  $M$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated. Hence it suffices to show that, if  $\bar{a} \in M'$  and  $p$  is a 1-type over  $M \cup \{f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$  (in  $T$ ), then  $p$  is realized in  $M'$ . With no loss of generality we can assume that  $p$  is not algebraic, otherwise our claim is trivially true.

*Case 1.* There are  $h \in \omega - \{0\}$ ,  $b \in M \cup \{f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$  such that  $p$  contains  $f^h(v) = b$  and

$$f^{h-1}(v) \neq d \text{ for all } d \in M \cup \{f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}.$$

Then  $p$  is defined by the previous formulas together with the  $f$ -type of  $x$  where  $x$  is any realization of  $p$  (this follows from Lemma 5 and the remark that the  $f$ -type of  $x$  determines the  $f$ -type of  $x \cup \bar{c}$  for any  $\bar{c} \in M \cup \{f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$ ). Notice that, for every  $x \models p$ , if  $k(b) < \infty$ , then  $f^{h-1}(x) \neq f^{k(b)-1}(b)$ , hence  $k(f^j(x)) = \infty$  for every  $j < h$ . Fix  $x \models p$ . We claim that:

There is  $c \in M'$  such that  $f(c) = b$ ,  $c \notin M \cup \{f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$  and, for all  $n, j \in \omega$  with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k(f^j(c)) = k(f^{j+h-1}(x))$ ,  $\models \vartheta_{n, f^{j+h-1}(x)}(f^j(c))$ .

*Subcase 1.* For all  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ , there exist infinitely many elements realizing  $f(v) = b \wedge \vartheta_{n, f^h} - 1_{(x)}(v)$ .

Then there are infinitely many elements of  $M' - M$  realizing

$$f(v) = b \wedge \vartheta_{n, f^h} - 1_{(x)}(v)$$

(this is obvious if  $b \notin M$ , and follows from (i) if  $b \in M$ ). On the other hand,  $\{f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$  contains only finitely many elements satisfying this formula. In fact, let  $a \in \bar{a}$ . If there exists at most one  $s \in \omega$  such that  $f^s(a) = b$ , then there is at most one  $k \in \omega$  such that  $f(f^k(a)) = b$  ( $k = s - 1$  provided that  $s > 0$ ). Otherwise, let  $s$  be the minimal natural number such that  $f^s(a) = b$ . Then  $k(b) < \infty$ , and, for all  $k \in \omega$ ,  $f^k(a) = b$  if and only if  $k \equiv s \pmod{k(b)}$ , and, consequently,  $f(f^k(a)) = b$  if and only if  $k + 1 \equiv s \pmod{k(b)}$ . Then there are at most two elements of the form  $f^k(a)$  with  $k \in \omega$  satisfying  $f(v) = b$ , as, if  $k, k' \in \omega, k, k' \geq s$  and  $f(f^k(a)) = f(f^{k'}(a)) = b$ , then  $k + 1 \equiv k' + 1 \pmod{k(b)}$  and hence  $k \equiv k' \pmod{k(b)}$ , so that  $f^k(a) = f^{k'}(a)$ .

It follows that

$$\{f(v) = b\} \cup \{\vartheta_{n, f^{h-1}(x)}(v)\} \cup \{v \notin M\} \cup \{v \neq f^k(a): k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$$

can be realized in  $(M', M)$ . By using the  $\omega$ -saturation of  $(M', M)$  and Lemma

1, we obtain that there is  $c \in M'$  satisfying

$$\{f(v) = b\} \cup \{\vartheta_{n, f^{h-1}(x)}(v) : n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}\} \cup \{v \notin M\} \\ \cup \{v \neq f^k(a) : k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}.$$

As  $f(c) = b$  but  $c \neq f^{k(b)-1}(b)$  if  $k(b) < \infty$ , then

$$k(c) = \infty = k(f^{h-1}(x)).$$

Moreover, for every  $j \in \omega - \{0\}$ ,  $f^j(c) = f^{j-1}(b) = f^{j+h-1}(x)$ , hence

$$k(f^j(c)) = k(f^{j+h-1}(x)),$$

and, for all  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\models \vartheta_{n, f^{j+h-1}(x)}(f^j(c))$ .

*Subcase 2.* There is  $n \in \omega - \{0, 1\}$  such that  $f(v) = b \wedge \vartheta_{n, f^{h-1}(x)}(v)$  admits only finitely many realizations.

Then all these realizations belong to  $M'$ . As  $f^{h-1}(x)$  satisfies the previous formula,  $f^{h-1}(x) \in M'$  and we can assume  $c = f^{h-1}(x)$ .

This completes the proof of the claim. Let us come back to the problem of finding an element of  $M'$  realizing  $p$ . If  $h = 1$ , then we are done ( $c$  works). So assume  $h > 1$ . Then  $c$  and  $f^{h-1}(x)$  satisfy the same  $f$ -type; furthermore  $f^{h-2}(x) \neq f^q(f^{h-1}(x))$  for all  $q \in \omega$  as  $k(f^{h-2}(x)) = \infty$ . Hence, by using Lemma 4 and the fact that  $M'$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated and contains  $c$ , we can find  $x' \in M'$  such that:

$$f^{h-1}(x') = c \text{ (and then } f^h(x) = b, f^{h-1}(x') \notin M \cup \{f^k(a) : k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}); \\ x' \text{ has the same } f\text{-type as } x.$$

Then  $x' \models p$ .

*Case 2.* for all  $h \in \omega$  and  $b \in M \cup \{f^k(a) : k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\}$ ,  $p$  contains  $f^h(v) \neq b$ .

As above,  $p$  is defined by these formulas together with the  $f$ -type of  $x$  where  $x \models p$ . Let  $n, s \in \omega, n \geq 2$ . As  $M$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated, there exist infinitely many pairwise  $\approx$  elements of  $M$  satisfying

$$\vartheta_{n, f^j(x)}(f^j(v)), k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(x))$$

for all  $j \leq s$ . In fact, define  $s'$  as above, and let  $\{x_0, \dots, x_h\}$  be a finite, possibly empty, subset of  $M$  whose elements are pairwise  $\approx$  and satisfy the

foregoing set of formulas; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\vartheta_{n, f^j(x)}(f^j(v)): j \leq s\} \cup \{k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(x)): s' \leq j \leq s\} \\ & \cup \{f^l(f^j(v)) = f^j(v): j < s', 0 < l \in \omega\} \\ & \cup \{f^l(v) \neq f^m(x_i): i \leq h, l, m \in \omega\} \end{aligned}$$

is finitely satisfiable in  $M$  (in fact it is satisfied by  $x$ ), hence it is satisfiable in  $M$ . Then (ii) provides infinitely many pairwise  $\approx$  elements of  $M'$  which are  $\approx$  to  $M$  and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\vartheta_{n, f^j(x)}(f^j(v)): j \leq s\} \cup \{k(f^j(v))k(f^j(x)): s' \leq j \leq s\} \\ & \cup \{f^l(f^j(v)) \neq f^j(v): j < s', 0 < l \in \omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular there is  $y \in M'$  such that  $y$  satisfies this set and  $y \approx M \cup \bar{a}$ . Then there is  $x' \in M'$  such that  $x' \approx M \cup \bar{a}$  and, for all  $j, n \in \omega$  with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\models \vartheta_{n, f^j(x)}(f^j(x'))$  and  $k(f^j(x')) = k(f^j(x))$ ; in fact, it suffices to notice that the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{f^l(v) \notin M: l \in \omega\} \cup \{f^l(v) \neq f^k(a): l, k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\} \\ & \cup \{\vartheta_{n, f^j(x)}(f^j(v)): j, n \in \omega, n \geq 2\} \cup \{k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(x)): j \in \omega\} \end{aligned}$$

is finitely satisfiable as every subset of the kind

$$\begin{aligned} & \{f^l(v) \notin M: l \in \omega\} \cup \{f^l(v) \neq f^k(a): l, k \in \omega, a \in \bar{a}\} \\ & \cup \{\vartheta_{m, f^j(x)}(f^j(v)): j \leq s, 2 \leq m \leq n\} \cup \{k(f^j(v)) = k(f^j(x)): j \leq s\} \end{aligned}$$

(with  $n, s \in \omega, n \geq 2$ ), hence every finite subset, is satisfiable (use the previous remarks and Lemma 1; recall that, if  $y$  is as above, then in particular  $k(f^j(y)) = k(f^j(x))$  for all  $j \leq s$ ).

*Step 3.*  $T^* = T'$ . In fact, it follows from the Step 1 that  $T^* \subseteq T'$ . On the other hand, let  $(M', M)$  be a model of  $T$  and  $(N', N)$  be an  $\omega_1$ -saturated elementary extension of  $(M', M)$ ; then  $(N', N) \models T^*$ , and hence the second step implies that  $(N', N)$  is a nice pair. Consequently  $(N', N) \models T'$ , and  $(M', M) \models T'$ , too. Then  $T' \subseteq T^*$ .

We can now conclude the proof of the theorem, as the second step ensures that every  $\omega_1$ -saturated model of  $T'$  is a nice pair (in fact, this is true for  $T^*$ ), and this implies that  $T$  does not have the f.c.p. (see [P], Theorem 6).



*Acknowledgements.* I thank the referee for suggesting several improvements. I also would like to thank Maria Cristina Garavini; without her help, this paper could have never been written.

## REFERENCES

- [BK] J. BALDWIN and D. KUEKER, *Ramsey quantifiers and the finite cover property*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 90 (1980), pp. 11–19
- [CK] C.C. CHANG and H. KEISLER, *Model theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [K] H. KEISLER, *Ultraproducts which are not saturated*, J. Symbolic Logic, vol. 32 (1967), pp. 23–47
- [M1] L. MARCUS, *Minimal models of theories of one function symbol*, Israel J. Math., vol. 18 (1974), pp. 117–131
- [M2] \_\_\_\_\_, *The number of countable models of a theory of one unary function*, Fund. Math., vol. 108 (1980), pp. 171–181
- [Mi] A. MILLER, *Vaught's conjecture for theories of one unary operation*, Fund. Math., vol. 111 (1981), pp. 135–141
- [P] B. POIZAT, *Paires de structures stables*, J. Symbolic Logic, vol. 48 (1983), 239–249
- [S] S. SHELAH, *Classification theory and the number of non-isomorphic models*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [T] C. TOFFALORI, *Classification theory for a 1-ary function*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 35 (1991), pp. 1–26.

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI CAMERINO  
CAMERINO, ITALY