

FREDHOLM PROPERTIES AND INTERPOLATION OF FAMILIES OF BANACH SPACES

BY

W. CAO, Y. SAGHER AND Z. SŁODKOWSKI

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, D will denote a simply connected domain in the complex plane whose boundary Γ is a rectifiable simple closed curve. Denote by Δ the unit disc $\{w/|w| < 1\}$.

DEFINITION 1.1. *An interpolation family of Banach spaces on Γ is a collection $\{A(\gamma)/\gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of Banach spaces which satisfies:*

- (1) *Every $A(\gamma)$ is continuously embedded in a common Banach space \mathcal{A} .*
- (2) *For every $a \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A(\gamma)$, $\|a\|_{A(\gamma)}$ is a measurable function on Γ with respect to dP_z , where $z \in D$ and dP_z is harmonic measure on Γ with respect to z .*
- (3) *Let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ a \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A(\gamma) \mid \int_{\Gamma} \log^+ \|a\|_{A(\gamma)} dP_z(\gamma) < \infty \right\},$$

where $\log^+ x = \max(\log x, 0)$. \mathcal{A} is a linear space which is called the log-intersection space for $\{A(\gamma)/\gamma \in \Gamma\}$. We assume that \mathcal{A} is dense in each $A(\gamma)$ and that there exists a measurable function ψ on Γ which satisfies $\int_{\Gamma} \log^+ \psi(\gamma) dP_z < \infty$ such that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \psi(\gamma) \|a\|_{A(\gamma)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

DEFINITION 1.2. *The class $N^+ = N(\Delta)^+$ contains all analytic functions in Δ for which $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta$ are bounded with $0 \leq r < 1$ and*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Received August 10, 1990.
Primary 46B70.
1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.

© 1992 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

For detailed information on the class N^+ , see [8].

We denote by $N^+(D)$ the class of all functions $f(z)$ analytic on D such that $f(\phi(w))$ belongs to the class $N^+ = N^+(\Delta)$, where ϕ is a conformal map from Δ to D . Thus $N^+(D)$ is closed under pointwise addition and multiplication and each $f(z) \in N^+(D)$ possesses a.e. non-tangential limits on Γ . If these non-tangential limits are essentially bounded on Γ , then $f \in H^\infty(D)$, the space of bounded analytic functions on D . A function $f \in N^+(D)$ is termed an outer function in $N^+(D)$, if $f(\phi(w))$ is an outer function in $N^+(\Delta)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(A(\cdot), \Gamma) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{S}$ be the space of all functions of the form $g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(z) a_j$, where $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi_j \in N^+(D)$, and such that

$$\|g(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \text{ess sup}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|g(\gamma)\|_{A(\gamma)} < \infty.$$

Note that in general $(\mathcal{S}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}})$ is not complete.

DEFINITION 1.3. For $z \in D$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ define

$$\|a\|_{A(z)} = \inf\{\|g\|_{\mathcal{S}}/g \in \mathcal{S}, g(z) = a\}.$$

The interpolation space $\{A(\gamma)\}\{z\} = A\{z\}$ is defined to be the completion of $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{A(z)})$.

In most applications the family of dual spaces $\{A^*(\gamma)\}$ is itself an interpolation family and $(A\{z\})^* = \{A^*(\gamma)\}\{z\}$. In this paper we shall assume that the above duality result holds.

We consider linear operators T mapping \mathcal{A} into $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma)$, with $\|Ta\|_{B(\gamma)} \leq M(\gamma)\|a\|_{A(\gamma)}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $\{A(\gamma)/\gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{B(\gamma)/\gamma \in \Gamma\}$ are interpolation families on Γ and

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\log M(\gamma)| dP_z(\gamma) < \infty.$$

We will denote the restriction of T to $A\{z\}$ by T_z . A well-known result due to Szegő [11] says:

Let $f(\gamma)$ be a positive dP_z measurable function on Γ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\log f(\gamma)| dP_z(\gamma) < \infty$$

for some (and thus every) $z \in D$. Then there exists a non-vanishing outer function $G(z)$ in $N^+(D)$ whose a.e. non-tangential limits $G(\gamma) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \gamma} G(z)$ satisfy $|G(\gamma)|f(\gamma) = 1$ for a.e. $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Therefore there exists an outer function $G(\cdot)$ such that

$$|G(\gamma)M(\gamma)| = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

DEFINITION 1.4. *Let A and B be Banach spaces, and let T be a linear bounded operator mapping A into B . T is a Fredholm operator if its kernel has a finite dimension and $B = TA \oplus M$, with $\dim M < \infty$. The dimension of M is called the codimension of T and is denoted by $\text{codim } T$. The index of T is defined by $i(T) = \dim \ker T - \text{codim } T$.*

The question of the stability of Fredholm property when one changes the parameters which determine the interpolation space has been considered by several authors [1], [4], [5], [9], [10], [12], [13]. In [5] it is proved that if $\ker T_s = \{0\}$ and $\text{codim } T_s = d < \infty$, then there exists a ball B centered at s such that $z \in B$ implies that $\ker T_z = \{0\}$ and $\text{codim } T_z = d$. This generalizes the results of Vignati and Vignati who proved the theorem in the case $d = 0$ (see [12]). In this paper we extend the results of [5] in two directions. We prove that if $\dim \ker T_s < \infty$, $\text{codim } T_s = \infty$ and T_s has closed range, then there exists a ball B centered at s such that $z \in B$ implies that $\dim \ker T_z \leq \dim \ker T_s$, $\text{codim } T_z = \infty$ and T_z has closed range. We also eliminate the restriction $\ker T_s = \{0\}$ imposed in [5], i.e., prove that if T_s is Fredholm then there exists a ball $B(s, \delta)$ such that the operators T_z are Fredholm and $i(T_s) = i(T_z)$ for all $z \in B(s, \delta)$.

We recall some results from [5].

DEFINITION 1.5. *Suppose $U(A)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$. Let*

$$U_s = \{a \in A\{s\} / \exists f \in U(A), \text{ such that } f(s) = a\}.$$

Define

$$\|a\|_{U_s(A)} = \inf\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}} / f(s) = a, f \in U(A)\}.$$

Clearly $\|a\|_{A\{s\}} \leq \|a\|_{U_s(A)}$ for all $a \in U_s$.

Let $U_{\{s\}}$ be the completion in $A\{s\}$ of $\{U_s, \|\cdot\|_{U_s}\}$. Clearly $U_{\{s\}} \subset A\{s\}$. If also $\|a\|_{U_s(A)} \leq k\|a\|_{A\{s\}}$ for some k and all $a \in U_s$, we call $U(A)$ an s -Calderón subset (with constant k). If $U(A)$ is an s -Calderón subset and a linear subspace of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$, we will say that it is an s -Calderón subspace.

Clearly $U(A)$ is an s -Calderón subspace for some k if and only if $U_{\{s\}}$ is a closed subspace of $A\{s\}$.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ and assume that $\{v_i(s)\}$ are independent. Denote by U the span of $\{v_i\}$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such*

that for all $|z - s| < \delta$, U is a z -Calderón subspace with a uniform constant k and $\dim(U_{\{z\}}) = d$.

THEOREM 1.7. *Let $U(A), V(A)$ be subspaces of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$, and let S be an open subset of D . Assume that $U(A)$ and $V(A)$ are z -Calderón subspaces for all $z \in S$, with constant k which is uniform for $z \in S$. Then the function*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_z &= \chi_z(U(A), V(A)) \\ &= \inf\{\|f(z) - g(z)\|_{A\{z\}} / g \in U(A), f \in V(A) \text{ and } \|f(z)\|_{A\{z\}} = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous in S .

2. Fredholm properties

LEMMA 2.1. *Let E be a closed subspace of $A\{s\}$ with infinite codimension. Given any positive integer d , there exists $\{v_i, i = 1, \dots, d\} \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\{v_i(s)\}$ is linearly independent and $E \cap \text{span}\{v_i(s)\} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since E has infinite codimension, there exists $M \subset A\{s\}$ with $\dim M = d$ such that $E \cap M = \{0\}$. Assume that $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ forms a basis for M with $\|e_i\|_{A\{s\}} = 1$. Let

$$\rho = \rho(e_1, \dots, e_d; E) = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^d c_i e_i - x \right\|_{A\{s\}} / \max |c_i| = 1, x \in E \right\}.$$

Clearly $\rho \leq 1$. Since E is a closed subspace and M has finite dimension, then $E \cap M = \{0\}$ implies $\rho > 0$.

Since $\{v(s), v \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})\}$ is dense in $A\{s\}$, we can find $\{v_i, i = 1, \dots, d\} \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\|v_i(s) - e_i\|_{A\{s\}} < \rho/2d$. Now suppose $\max\{|c_i|\} = 1$ and let $x \in E$, we have

$$\left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^d c_i v_i(s) \right\|_{A\{s\}} \geq \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^d c_i e_i \right\|_{A\{s\}} - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^d c_i (v_i(s) - e_i) \right\|_{A\{s\}} > \rho/2.$$

Taking infimum we get $\rho(v_1(s), \dots, v_d(s); E) \geq \rho/2 > 0$. Hence $\{v_i(s)\}$ is linearly independent and $E \cap \text{span}\{v_i(s)\} = \{0\}$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume $\ker T_s = \{0\}$. If operator T_z has closed range and $\text{codim}(T_s A\{s\}) = \infty$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all z with $|z - s| < \delta$, the operator T_z has closed range and $\ker T_z = \{0\}$. Further, given d with $0 < d < \infty$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $|z - s| < \delta_1$ we also have $\text{codim } T_z A\{z\} \geq d$.*

Proof. Consider the function

$$r(T_z) = \inf\{\|Ta\|_{B(z)}/\|a\|_{A(z)} = 1\}.$$

Clearly if $r(T_z) > 0$, $\ker T_z = \{0\}$ and the range of T_z is closed. Conversely, if the range of T_z is closed and $\ker T_z = \{0\}$, then by the open mapping theorem $r(T_z) = \|T_z^{-1}\|^{-1} > 0$. Since the range of T_s is closed and $\ker T_s = \{0\}$, we have $r(T_s) > 0$. It is proved in [9], [12] that $r(T_z) > r(T_s)/2 > 0$ for all z which satisfy $|z - s| < \delta$, for some $\delta > 0$. Thus for all those z , $\ker T_z = \{0\}$ and T_z has closed range.

Let $G(\cdot)$ be an outer function such that $|G(\gamma)M(\gamma)| = 1$ for a.e. $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\{z/|z - s| < \delta\} \subset D$ and $r(T_z) > r(T_s)/2$ for all $|z - s| < \delta$. We claim that $G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ is a z -Calderón subspace of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$ with constant c , for all z such that $|z - s| < \delta$, where

$$c = 4\|T_s^{-1}\| \sup_{\eta} \left\{ \exp\left(\int_{\Gamma} \log M(\gamma) dP_{\eta}(\gamma)\right) / |\eta - s| < \delta \right\}.$$

In fact, let $y \in (G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}))(z)$. Then $y \in T_z\mathcal{A}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $Tx = y$. Since T_z has closed range, T_z^{-1} is a linear bounded operator defined on $T_zA\{z\}$, and so

$$\|x\|_{A(z)} \leq \|T_z^{-1}\| \|y\|_{B(z)}.$$

We choose $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $g(z) = x$ and

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})} \leq 2\|x\|_{A(z)} \leq 2\|T_z^{-1}\| \|y\|_{B(z)}.$$

Then $g(\zeta)/G(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ and $H(\zeta) = G(\zeta)T(g(\zeta)/G(z)) \in G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$. Clearly $H(z) = y$, and since $|G(\gamma)M(\gamma)| = 1$ for a.e. $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\|H\|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})} \leq \frac{2\|T_z^{-1}\| \|y\|_{B(z)}}{|G(z)|} \leq c\|y\|_{B(z)}.$$

Therefore $G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ is a z -Calderón subspace with constant c for all z such that $|z - s| < \delta$. Therefore:

$$(G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}))_{\{z\}} = T_zA\{z\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\{v_i, i = 1, \dots, d\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\{v_i(s)\}$ is independent, and if we let $\text{span}\{v_i(s)\} = M_s$, then $(G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}))_{\{s\}} \cap M_s = T_sA\{s\} \cap M_s = \{0\}$. Denote by M the space spanned by $\{v_i\}$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$ and by M_z the space spanned by $\{v_i(z)\}$. By Theorem 1.6, there exists a ball S centered at s , such that M is a z -Calderón subspace with a uniform constant c_1 for all $z \in S$.

Since $(G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}))_{\{s\}} \cap M_s = \{0\}$ and M_s has finite dimension, we have

$$\chi_s = \chi_s(G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}), M) > 0.$$

By Theorem 1.7, since $G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ and M are z -Calderón subspaces with a uniform constant when z is close to s , there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|z - s| < \delta_1$ implies $\chi_z > 0$, which implies $(G(\cdot)T\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}))_z \cap M_z = \{0\}$. Therefore $T_z A\{z\} \cap M_z = \{0\}$. By Theorem 1.6, $\dim M_z = d$. Hence the codimension of $T_z A\{z\}$ is not less than d .

THEOREM 2.3. *Assume $\ker T_s = \{0\}$. If T_s has closed range and $\text{codim}(T_s A\{s\}) = \infty$, then there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for all $|z - s| < \delta$, T_z has closed range and $\ker T_z = \{0\}$, $\text{codim } T_z A\{z\} = \infty$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a ball B centered at s such that $z \in B$ implies that $\ker T_z = \{0\}$ and T_z has closed range.

Assume that for some $z_0 \in B$, $\text{codim } T_{z_0} = d$ with $0 \leq d < \infty$. Denote by S the set of points $z \in B$ such that $\text{codim } T_z = d$. By Theorem 2.7 of [5], S is open. Let $z_1 \in \partial S$ and $z_1 \in B$. Then $\ker T_{z_1} = \{0\}$. If $\text{codim } T_{z_1} = d_1 < \infty$, then by Theorem 2.7 of [5], there exists $B(z_1, \delta_{z_1})$ such that $\text{codim } T_z = d_1$ and $\ker T_z = \{0\}$ for all $z \in B(z_1, \delta_{z_1})$. But $B(z_1, \delta_{z_1}) \cap S \neq \{0\}$, which leads to a contradiction. If $\text{codim } T_{z_1} = \infty$ we get the same contradiction, using Lemma 2.2. Therefore either $S = B$ or $S = \emptyset$. Since $s \notin S$, we do not have $S = B$ and since $z_0 \in S$, we have a contradiction. Therefore $\text{codim } T_z = \infty$ for all $z \in B$.

THEOREM 2.4. *If T_s is a Fredholm operator, then there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for all $|z - s| < \delta$, T_z are Fredholm operators with $i(T_z) = i(T_s)$.*

Proof. Since T_s is a Fredholm operator, then $\ker T_s$ has finite dimension, T_s has closed range and finite codimension d . By Lemma 2.1, there exist $v_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that $B\{s\} = T_s A\{s\} \oplus M$, where M is the space spanned by $\{v_i(s)\}$. Note $v_i(s) \in \mathcal{B}$.

We now define Banach spaces $\tilde{A}(\gamma) = A(\gamma) \oplus M$ with norms

$$\|a + m\|_{\tilde{A}(\gamma)} = \|a\|_{A(\gamma)} + \|m\|_{B(\gamma)}.$$

It is not hard to see that the collection of Banach spaces $\{\tilde{A}(\gamma)/\Gamma\}$ is an interpolation family of Banach spaces on Γ with the *log-intersection space* $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus M$. We then have $\tilde{A}\{z\} = A\{z\} \oplus M$.

Define a new operator \bar{T} mapping $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ into $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma)$: $\bar{T}(a + m) = Ta + m$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in M$. Then

$$\|\bar{T}(a + m)\|_{B(\gamma)} \leq (M(\gamma) + 1)\|a + m\|_{\tilde{A}(\gamma)}$$

for all $a + m \in \tilde{A}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We have

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\log(M(\gamma) + 1)| dP_z(\gamma) < \infty.$$

Clearly \bar{T}_s is onto and its kernel has finite dimension r . Then by Corollary 2.8 in [5], there exists a ball S centered at s such that $z \in S$ implies that \bar{T}_z is onto and its kernel has finite dimension r .

$B_z = \bar{T}_z A\{z\} = T_z A\{z\} + M$. The sum may not be direct. Let $T_z A\{z\} \cap M = M'$ and let m_1, \dots, m_{r_1} be a basis for M' . There exist $a_1, \dots, a_{r_1} \in A\{z\}$ such that $T_z a_j = m_j$. Note that $a_j - m_j \in \ker \bar{T}_z$, but $a_j \notin \ker T_z$. Note also that if $a \in \ker T_z$, then $a \in \ker \bar{T}_z$. Therefore $\dim \ker \bar{T}_z \geq \dim \ker T_z + r_1$. If however $a + m \in \ker \bar{T}_z$ but $T_z a \neq 0$, then $T_z a = -m$ and $T_z a \in M'$. Therefore $\dim \ker \bar{T}_z = \dim \ker T_z + r_1$. Let M_1 be a subspace of M such that $M_1 \oplus M' = M$. Then $B_z = T_z A\{z\} \oplus M_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{codim} T_z &= \dim M_1 = \dim M - r_1 = \dim M - (\dim \ker \bar{T}_z - \dim \ker T_z) \\ &= \dim M - \dim \ker \bar{T}_z + \dim \ker T_z \\ &= \dim M - \dim \ker T_s + \dim \ker T_z \\ &= \operatorname{codim} T_s - \dim \ker T_s + \dim \ker T_z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $i(T_z) = i(T_s)$.

REFERENCES

1. E. ALBRECHT, *Spectral interpolation*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 14, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, 1984, pp. 13–37.
2. J. BERGH and J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
3. A.P. CALDERÓN, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math., vol. 24 (1964), pp. 113–190.
4. W. CAO and Y. SAGHER, *Stability of Fredholm properties in interpolation scales*, Ark. Mat., to appear.
5. ———, *Stability in interpolation of families of Banach spaces*, Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
6. R. COIFMAN, M. CŪWIKEL, R. ROCHBERG, Y. SAGHER and G. WEISS, *The complex method for interpolation of operators acting on families of Banach spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 779, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980, pp. 123–153.
7. ———, *A theory of complex interpolation for families of Banach spaces*, Adv. in Math., vol. 33 (1982), pp. 203–229.
8. P.L. DUREN, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
9. Z. SLODKOWSKI, *A generalization of Vesentini and Wermer' theorem*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, vol. 75 (1986), pp. 157–171.
10. I.YA. SNEIBERG, *Spectral properties of linear operators in interpolation families of Banach spaces*, Mat. Issled., vol. 9 (1974), pp. 214–229.
11. G. SZEGÖ, *Über die Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion*, Math. Ann., vol. 84 (1921), pp. 482–492.
12. A.T. VIGNATI and M. VIGNATI, *Spectral theory and complex interpolation*, J. Funct. Anal., vol. 80 (1988), pp. 383–397.
13. M. ZAFRAN, *Spectral theory and interpolation of operators*, J. Funct. Anal., vol. 36 (1980), pp. 185–204.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS