

DEFORMATIONS AND DIFFEOMORPHISM TYPES OF HOPF MANIFOLDS

KEIZO HASEGAWA

1. Introduction

A generalized Hopf manifold or simply a Hopf manifold of complex dimension n is a compact complex manifold of which the universal covering is $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, where n is a positive integer ($n \geq 2$).

The Hopf manifold, first introduced by H. Hopf, is well known as the first example of a non-Kähler manifold. In his essays [3] presented to R. Courant, H. Hopf referred to a complex manifold diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$, which was originally called a Hopf manifold. The generalized definition above is due to K. Kodaira [6].

Perhaps one of the first fundamental problems concerning the Hopf manifold is to determine their diffeomorphism types. This was done for the case of $n = 2$ by M. Kato [4]. Later, in his paper [5], M. Kato studied submanifolds of Hopf manifolds and obtained a result on diffeomorphism types of Hopf manifolds (although the result is not fully stated as a theorem, it may be inferred from the results in the paper).

In this paper we study deformations of Hopf manifolds and give a short and direct proof of the theorem that a Hopf manifold of complex dimension n is diffeomorphic to a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber S^{2n-1}/H , defined by a representation $\rho: \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow N_{U(n)}(H)$ such that $\rho(1)$ is an element of finite order in $N_{U(n)}(H)$, where H is a finite unitary and fixed-point-free group, and $N_{U(n)}$ is the normalizer of H in $U(n)$. This theorem determines explicitly the diffeomorphism types of the Hopf manifolds.

We state here a conjecture that a compact complex manifold of which the universal covering is \mathbb{C}^n is diffeomorphic to a manifold which has a torus or a non-toral nilmanifold as a finite covering. The first case is clearly a Kähler manifold and the second case is a non-Kähler manifold (cf. [2]).

The author would like to thank Professors K. Akao, M. Kato and T. Kawasaki for their valuable criticisms of the earlier version of this manuscript. The author also would like to thank the referee for a critical reading of the manuscript.

Received December 10, 1991.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32C10, 32G05; Secondary 57R50.

© 1993 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

2. Fundamental properties of the covering transformation groups of Hopf manifolds

In this section, we will review some results of K. Kodaira [6] and M. Kato [4] on the basic properties of Hopf manifolds in the generalized form.

An analytic automorphism g over \mathbf{C}^n which fixes the origin is called a contraction if the sequence $\{g^n\}$ converges uniformly to $\mathbf{0}$ on any compact neighborhood of the origin as n approaches infinity, or equivalently, if for any $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}_+$ there exists an $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$g^n(B(r_1)) \subset \text{Int}(B(r_2))$$

holds for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ($n \geq m$), where \mathbf{R}_+ is the set of positive real numbers, \mathbf{N} is the set of positive integers, $B(r) = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 \leq r^2\}$, and $\text{Int}(B)$ is the interior of B . Note that we have defined a contraction in a slightly stronger form than the original one in [6].

Now let M be a Hopf manifold and G its covering transformation group. Then G is properly discontinuous and fixed-point-free. We regard M as the quotient manifold W/G where W denotes $\mathbf{C}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. By Hartogs' Lemma, we can consider any element of G as an analytic automorphism over \mathbf{C}^n which fixes the origin.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let G be the covering transformation group of a Hopf manifold. Then G contains an infinite cyclic subgroup, and any cyclic subgroup of G is generated by a contraction.*

Step 1. There exists a $g \in G$ such that $g(B(1)) \subset \text{Int}(B(1))$. Thus $Z = \langle g \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic subgroup of G .

Proof. For simplicity, we write B in place of $B(1)$. Since G is properly discontinuous, $g(\partial(B)) \cap \partial(B) = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $g \in G$, where $\partial(B)$ is the boundary of B . Since G is obviously infinite, there exists a $g \in G$ such that $g(\partial(B)) \cap \partial(B) = \emptyset$. As g fixes the origin, it follows that $g(B) \subset \text{Int}(B)$ or $g^{-1}(B) \subset \text{Int}(B)$.

Step 2. g obtained in step 1 is a contraction.

Proof. Suppose that g is not a contraction. Then there are $B_1 = B(r_1)$ and $B_2 = B(r_2)$ ($r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}_+$) such that $g^n(B_1) \not\subset \text{Int}(B_2)$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{k_n\}$ of \mathbf{N} such that $g^{k_n}(B_1) \not\subset \text{Int}(B_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since g fixes the origin and B_1 is connected, it follows that $g^{k_n}(B_1) \cap \partial(B_2) \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, we can take $z_n \in B_1$ ($z_n \neq \mathbf{0}$) such that $g^{k_n}(z_n) \in \partial(B_2)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We will show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \mathbf{0}$. Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = a$ ($a \neq \mathbf{0}$). Then $K = \{a\} \cap \{z_n\}$ is a

compact subset of W and $g^{k_n}(K) \cap \partial(B_2) \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts the fact that $z = \langle g \rangle$ is properly discontinuous, and thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \mathbf{0}$. Now, since $g^n(B) \subset \text{Int}(B)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{g^{k_n}\} (n \in \mathbb{N})$ is uniformly bounded over B . And thus we can see by Cauchy's estimate that $\{g^{k_n}\}$ is equi-continuous at the origin. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{k_n}(z_n) = \mathbf{0}$, which contradicts the fact that $G^{k_n} \in \partial(B_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3. Let Z be any infinite cyclic subgroup of G . Then Z is generated by a contraction.

Proof. Since $Z = \langle g \rangle$ is properly discontinuous, in the same manner as in step 1, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^k(B) \subset \text{Int}(B)$ or $g^{-k}(B) \subset \text{Int}(B)$; thus g^k or g^{-k} is a contraction. Take g^{-1} as a generator of Z in the latter case. We will show that g is a contraction. Suppose that g is not a contraction. Then there exists B_1 and B_2 as in the proof of step 2 such that $g^n(B_1) \subset \text{Int}(B_2)$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ($0 \leq r < k$) such that

$$g^{kn+r}(B_1) = g^{kn}(g^r(B_1)) \not\subset \text{Int}(B_2)$$

for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $g^r(B_1)$ is a compact neighborhood of the origin, this contradicts that g^k is a contraction.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let Z be an infinite cyclic subgroup of G . Then $[G; Z]$ is finite.*

Proof. We may assume by Theorem 1 that g , the generator of Z , is a contraction, and thus for arbitrarily large $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^n(B(r)) \subset \text{Int}(B)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n \geq m$). We can also see that

$$B - \{\mathbf{0}\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (g^k(B) - g^{k+1}(\text{Int } B))$$

since $\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} g^k(\text{Int } B) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Hence, the compact subset $B - g(\text{Int } B)$ of W contains a fundamental domain for Z . Therefore, $\hat{M} = W/Z$ is compact, and thus the induced covering map from \hat{M} to M is finite. It follows that $[G; Z]$ is finite.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let G be the covering transformation group of a Hopf manifold. Then G can be expressed as a semi-direct product of an infinite cyclic subgroup Z generated by a contraction and a finite normal subgroup H .*

Proof. Let u be a homomorphism from G to \mathbb{R}_+ defined by $u(x) = |\det d(x)(\mathbf{0})|$ where $d(x)(\mathbf{0})$ is the Jacobian matrix of x at the origin. Since G

contains a contraction g and clearly $u(g) < 1$, u is discrete. Hence, $u(G)$ is generated by an $a \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ($a \neq 0$). Take a $g \in G$ such that $u(g) = a$, and let $Z = \langle g \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic subgroup generated by g . By theorem 1, we may assume that g is a contraction. Clearly $u: Z \rightarrow u(G)$ is an isomorphism. Let H be $\text{Ker } u$. Then H is a normal subgroup of G and $Z \cap H = \{I\}$. Therefore, by the corollary to Theorem 1, H is finite. Since $u(G) = u(H)$, G is the semi-direct product of Z and H .

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let Z and H be the subgroups of G in Theorem 2. Then there exists an $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that g^m belongs to the center of G . Thus $\hat{Z} = \langle g^m \rangle$ and $N = \hat{Z} \times H$ are normal subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let us consider the action of Z on H by conjugation. Since H is finite, it is clear that there exists an $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $g^{-m}hg^m = h$ for any $h \in H$. Therefore, it follows that g^m belongs to the center of G .

3. Deformations and diffeomorphism types of Hopf manifolds

Let x be an analytic automorphism over \mathbf{C}^n which fixes the origin. Then x can be expressed in the power series

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where

$$x_i = a_1^i z_1 + a_2^i z_2 + \dots + a_n^i z_n + (\text{higher powers}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The non-singular $n \times n$ matrix (a_i^j) is called the linear part of x , and is denoted by $L(x)$. Note that $L(x) = d(x)(\mathbf{0})$ is the Jacobian matrix of x at the origin. Then the map $L: G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ is a homomorphism, but not necessarily one-to-one. However, concerning the covering transformation groups of Hopf manifolds, we have the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let G be the covering transformation group of a Hopf manifold. Then the homomorphism $L: G \rightarrow L(G)$ from G onto $L(G) \subset GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ is a group isomorphism.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that L is one-to-one. By Theorem 2, G is the semi-direct product of an infinite cyclic subgroup Z which is generated by a contraction g and a finite normal subgroup H . Now let $x = g^k h$ ($h \in H$) and $L(x) = L(g^k)L(h) = I$. Then since $\det(L(g)) < 1$ and $\det(L(h)) = 1$, k must be 0 and thus $L(h) = I$. But h is of finite order, it follows from Cartan's uniqueness theorem that $h = I$, and thus $x = I$. Therefore, L is one-to-one.

LEMMA 3.2. *$L(G)$, being a group of analytic automorphisms over W , is properly discontinuous and fixed-point-free.*

Proof. It is easily seen that $L(Z)$ is properly discontinuous and fixed-point-free. Since $[L(G); L(Z)]$ is finite, it follows that $L(G)$ is also properly discontinuous. We will show that $L(G)$ is fixed-point-free. If $L(x)$ ($x \in G$) is of infinite order, then there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L(x)^k \neq I$ and $L(x)^k$ belongs to $L(Z)$. Since $L(Z)$ is fixed-point-free, $L(x)$ has no fixed point over W . If $L(x)$ ($x \in G$) is of finite order, then so is x by Lemma 1. According to the generalized result of Cartan's uniqueness theorem [1], there exists an analytic coordinate transformation T on a neighborhood U of the origin such that $T^{-1}xT = L(x)$ on U . Suppose that $L(x)$ has a fixed point $p \in W$. Since $L(x)$ is a linear map, we may assume that $p \in U$. But then $T(p)$ is a fixed point of x , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM 3.3. *There exists a deformation which transforms $M = W/G$ to $W/L(G)$. And thus M is diffeomorphic to $W/L(G)$.*

Proof. For $x \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ ($t \neq 0$), let $x_t = T_t^{-1}xT_t$ and $G(t) = \{x_t | x \in G\}$ where T_t is an analytic automorphism over W of the following form:

$$T_t: (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (tz_1, tz_2, \dots, tz_n).$$

$G(t)$ ($t \neq 0$) is obviously group isomorphic to G , and properly discontinuous and fixed-point-free. And thus so is $G(0) = L(G)$ by the above lemmas. We will show that

$$\{M_t | M_t = W/G(t) \ (t \in \mathbb{C})\}$$

forms a complex analytic family. Then it follows from a theorem of deformation theory (cf. [7]), $M = W/G$ is diffeomorphic to $W/G(0) = W/L(G)$.

Now we define for $x \in G$ an analytic automorphism \tilde{x} over $W \times \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{x}: (z, t) \rightarrow (x_t(z), t)$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in W$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\tilde{G} = \{\tilde{x} | x \in G\}$. Then \tilde{G} is a group of analytic automorphisms over $W \times \mathbb{C}$, and $\tilde{G} = \tilde{Z} \cdot \tilde{H}$ where $\tilde{Z} = \langle \tilde{g} \rangle$, g is a contraction which generates Z , and $\tilde{H} = \{\tilde{h} | h \in H\}$.

We first prove that \tilde{G} is properly discontinuous and fixed-point-free. It is clear from the above argument that \tilde{G} is fixed-point-free. By the definition of a contraction we see that for a given compact set K of W and a given point $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists an ε ($\varepsilon > 0$) such that $g_t^m(K) \cap K = \emptyset$ holds for $t \in \mathbb{C}(|t - \tau| < \varepsilon)$ and for all but finitely many integers m . It follows that for

a given compact set K of W and a given compact set I of \mathbb{C} , $\tilde{g}^m(K \times I) \cap (K \times I) = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many integers m . Hence \tilde{Z} is properly discontinuous. Since $[\tilde{G} : \tilde{Z}]$ is finite, \tilde{G} is also properly discontinuous.

Now let $\tilde{M} = W \times \mathbb{C} / \tilde{G}$ and $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the canonical map induced from the projection $Pr_2: W \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then \tilde{M} is a complex manifold, π is holomorphic, and clearly the rank of the Jacobian of π is 1 at each point of \tilde{M} . Since $\pi^{-1}(t) = M_t$ for each $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $\{M_t | t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ forms a complex analytic family. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose that $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is of the form*

$$A = A_1(a_1, n_1) + A_2(a_2, n_2) + \cdots + A_k(a_k, n_k)$$

where $A_i(a_i, n_i)$ is a $n_i \times n_i$ lower triangular matrix with eigenvalue a_i , $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n$, $a_i \neq 0$, and a_i are mutually distinct. Let B be any $n \times n$ matrix which commutes with A . Then B is of the same form as A :

$$B = B_1(n_1) + B_2(n_2) + \cdots + B_k(n_k)$$

where $B_i(n_i)$ is a $n_i \times n_i$ matrix.

Proof. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ (an n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C}). Then

$$V = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_k$$

where

$$V_i = \{v \in V | (A - a_i I)^s v = 0 \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since A and B commute, V_i is B -invariant, B being a linear endomorphism over V , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence it follows that B has the above form.

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose that G is the direct product of Z and H , then $M = W/G$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}/U$, where U is a finite subgroup of $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ which is conjugate to $L(H)$ in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. We have proved that W/G is diffeomorphic to $W/L(G)$. For simplicity, we write G, Z, H in place of $L(G), L(Z), L(H)$. Now since G is a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, we may assume by Lemma 3 that g is of the Jordan form and $h \in H$ is of the same form as g . Let

$$g_t = tg_n + g_s + tg + (1 - t)g_s$$

where g_n is the nilpotent part of g and g_s is the semi-simple part of g . Then since g and h ($h \in H$) commute, g_t ($t \in \mathbb{C}$) and h also commute. Therefore,

g_t induces an analytic automorphism \hat{g}_t over \hat{W} where \hat{W} denotes W/H . Let $M_t = \hat{W}/Z(t)$ where $Z(t) = \langle \hat{g}_t \rangle$. Then $\{M_t | t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ forms a complex analytic family. Accordingly, $M = W/G$ is diffeomorphic to W/G_0 where $G_0 = Z_0 \times H$, $Z_0 = (g_0)$, and g_0 is of the form

$$g_0 : (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (a_1 z_1, a_1 z_2, \dots, a_k z_n) \quad (0 < |a_i| < 1).$$

Now, consider a diffeomorphism F from $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ to W defined as follows:

$$F : (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (a'_1 z_1, a'_1 z_2, \dots, a'_k z_n).$$

Since H is a finite subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, taking a suitable linear coordinate transformation, we can assume that $H \subset U(n, \mathbb{C})$ while g is the same as before. The corresponding automorphisms to g and h over $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ are of the form

$$\bar{g} : (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (t + 1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

and

$$\bar{h} : (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (t, h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_3)),$$

respectively. Therefore, M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}/H$. In our first notation, M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}/U$ where U is a unitary group conjugate to $L(H)$ in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $J(a, k)$ be a Jordan form of order k with eigenvalue a and $A = (a_{ij})$ be any $m \times n$ matrix. Then, $J(a, m)A = AJ(a, n)$ if and only if $a_{ij} = a_{i+1, j+1}$, $a_{in} = 0$ for i ($1 \leq i \leq m - 1$), and $a_{ij} = 0$ for j ($2 \leq n$).*

Proof. Let A_i denote the i -th row vector of A and A^j the j -th column vector of A . We define the inner product $(A_i, B_j) = A_i B_j^t$ and $(A^i, B^j) = A^{it} B^j$. Let $J(k) = J(0, k)$ for simplicity. It is clearly sufficient to show the assertion for $a = 0$. Now if $J(m)A = AJ(n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= (E^i, AE^j) = (E^i, AJ(n)E^{j-1}) = (E^i, J(m)AE^{j-1}) \\ &= (J(m)^t E^i, AE^{j-1}) = (E^{j-1}, E^{j-1}) = a_{i-1, j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $a_{1j} = (J(m)^t E^1, AE^{j-1}) = 0$ for j ($2 \leq j \leq n$). Similarly, $a_{in} = (E_i A, E_n) = (E_{i+1} J(m)A, E_n) = (E_{i+1} AJ(n), E_n) = (E_{i+1} A, E_n J(n)^t) = 0$ for i ($1 \leq i \leq m - 1$). The converse is obvious.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let M be a Hopf manifold and G its covering transformation group. Then M is diffeomorphic to a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber S^{2n-1}/U , which has a certain explicit bundle structure (as described in the proof), where U is a finite subgroup of $U(n, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. We may assume as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 that G is a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ which is the semi-direct product of an infinite cyclic subgroup Z which is generated by a contraction g and a finite normal subgroup H . According to Corollary 2.4, there exists a minimal positive integer m such that $\hat{g} = g^m$ belongs to the center of G . Since \hat{g} and $h \in H$ commute, we may assume that \hat{g} is of the Jordan form and h has the same form as \hat{g} . We will show that $M = W/G$ is diffeomorphic to $W/Z \cdot H$ where $Z = \langle g \rangle$ (g is a diagonal matrix). Since \hat{g} and h have the same forms, it is sufficient to consider the case that \hat{g} has only one eigenvalue a , that is, $\hat{g} = J(a, k_1) + J(a, k_2) + \dots + J(a, k_s)$. We will show the assertion for $s = 2$. It is then easily proved for the general case. Now, for each $x \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, let $x_t = T_t^{-1}xT_t$ where T_t is an analytic automorphism over W defined as follows:

$$T_t: (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (t^{k_1-1}z_1, t^{k_1-2}z_2, \dots, z_{k_1}, t^{k_2-1}z_{k_1+1}, \dots, z_{k_1+k_2}).$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that x_t is well defined. Thus

$$\{M_t | M_t = W/G(t)\}, G(t) = \{x_t | x \in G\}$$

forms a complex analytic family. Therefore M is diffeomorphic to $W/Z_0 \cdot H_0$ where $Z_0 = \langle g_0 \rangle$ and $\hat{g}_0 = g_0^m$ is a diagonal matrix. Then, taking a suitable linear coordinate transformation, g_0 is diagonalizable.

We have shown so far that M is diffeomorphic to W/G where $G = Z \cdot H$, Z is generated by a diagonal matrix g , and H is a finite subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, all of which elements are of the same form as g^m . Therefore, g and $h \in H$ are of the following form:

$$g = ac$$

where $a = A_1(a_1, n_1) + A_2(a_2, n_2) + \dots + A_k(a_k, n_k)$, $A(a_i, n_i)$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalue a_i ($0 < |a_i| < 1$, a_i are mutually distinct, and $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$), and c is a diagonal matrix belonging to $N(H; GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$, all of whose entries are m -th roots of 1; and

h : non-singular $n \times n$ matrix of the same form as a .

Since H is a finite subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $c \in N(H; GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$, we can construct a semi-direct product $\langle c \rangle \cdot H$ which is also a finite subgroup of

$GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. Therefore, taking a suitable linear coordinate transformation, we can assume that $\langle c \rangle \cdot H \subset U(n, \mathbf{C})$ while a is the same as before.

Now consider the diffeomorphism F in the proof of Theorem 4:

$$F: (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (a_1^t z_1, a_2^t z_2, \dots, a_n^t).$$

The corresponding automorphisms over $\mathbf{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ to g, g^m and $h \in H$ are of the form

$$\bar{g}: (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (t + 1, c(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)),$$

$$\bar{g}^m: (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (t + m, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n),$$

$$\bar{h}: (t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (t, h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)),$$

respectively. Therefore, M is diffeomorphic to the fiber bundle

$$S^1 \times_{Z/mZ} S^{2n-1}/H,$$

where the action of Z/mZ on S^1 is given by $s \cdot k = \exp(2\pi i/m) \cdot s$ and the action of Z/mZ on S^{2n-1}/H is given by $u \cdot k = \hat{c}(u)$, where $s \in S^1$, $u \in S^{2n-1}/H$, $k \in Z/mZ$, and \hat{c} is an automorphism over S^{2n-1}/H of order m induced by c . This is our expected result.

REFERENCES

1. S. BOCHNER and W.T. MARTIN, *Several complex variables*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1948.
2. K. HASEGAWA, *Minimal models of nilmanifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 65–72.
3. H. HOPF, "Zür Topologie der komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten" in *Studies and essays presented to R. Courant*, New York, 1948.
4. M. KATO, *Topology of Hopf surfaces*, J. Math. Soc. **27** (1975), 222–238.
5. _____, *Some remarks on subvarieties of Hopf manifolds*, Tokyo J. Math. **2** (1979), 47–61.
6. K. KODAIRA, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, II, III*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 682–721; **90** (1968), 55–83.
7. K. KODAIRA AND J. MORROW, *Complex manifolds*, Holt-Rinehart-Winston New York, 1971.

NIIGATA UNIVERSITY
NIIGATA, JAPAN