

THE DESCRIPTIVE COMPLEXITY OF HELSON SETS

ETIENNE MATHERON

Introduction

A closed subset E of the circle group \mathbf{T} is called a *Helson set* if every continuous complex-valued function on E can be extended to a function on \mathbf{T} with absolutely convergent Fourier series. We denote by \mathcal{H} the class of Helson subsets of \mathbf{T} .

In this paper we are interested in the descriptive properties of \mathcal{H} . We shall need the following definitions: a subset of a compact metric space is called a $\mathbf{G}_{\delta\sigma}$ set if it is the union of countably many \mathbf{G}_δ sets and an $\mathbf{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ set if its complement is $\mathbf{G}_{\delta\sigma}$. In the sequel we follow the notations of [17]. Thus, the symbols $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$, $\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$ respectively means \mathbf{G}_δ , $\mathbf{G}_{\delta\sigma}$, $\mathbf{F}_{\sigma\delta}$. However, we sometimes use \mathbf{G}_δ instead of $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ be the space of all compact subsets of \mathbf{T} equipped with its (metric, compact) Hausdorff topology. One natural question (at least for some people) is to find the exact Borel class of \mathcal{H} as a subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ (this is what “descriptive properties” meant). It is easy to check (Section 1) that \mathcal{H} is $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$. In this paper we show that \mathcal{H} is a true $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$ set (that is, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$ but not $\mathbf{\Pi}_3^0$). We do this in two ways. First (Section 2) we prove that even inside the countable sets \mathcal{H} is true $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$. Then (Section 3) we get the same conclusion for *perfect* Helson sets. In fact, our result is slightly more general: we show that for any M_σ set E , the perfect Helson sets contained in E form a true $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$ subset of $\mathcal{H}(E) = \{F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T}); F \subseteq E\}$ (the definition of an M_σ set will be given in Section 3). The proof also yields that some other natural classes of thin sets, like the WTP , U' or U'_σ sets, are true $\mathbf{\Sigma}_3^0$ within any M_σ set.

1. Definitions, upper bound for the complexity

Let $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T})$ be the space of Borel, complex measures on \mathbf{T} with its natural norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ and \mathbf{PM} be the space of all distributions on \mathbf{T} with bounded

Received July 20, 1993.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 43A46, 04A15, 26A21.

© 1995 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

Fourier coefficients. The norm of an element $S \in \mathbf{PM}$ is defined by $\|S\|_{PM} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{S}(n)|$. Thus the Fourier transform identifies \mathbf{PM} with $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$.

Evidently $\|\mu\|_M \leq \|\mu\|_{PM}$ if $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T})$, and it is well known (see [4] or [5]) that $E \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ is a Helson set if and only if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\mu\|_M \leq c\|\mu\|_{PM} \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}) \text{ supported by } E.$$

From this it is easy to see that \mathcal{H} is a Σ_3^0 subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$. Indeed one can write

$$E \in \mathcal{H} \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T})) \\ \left(\text{supp}(\mu) \not\subseteq E \text{ or } \|\mu\|_M \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \exists n |\hat{\mu}(n)| > \frac{1}{k} \right)$$

(here $\mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}))$ is the unit ball of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T})$ with its w^* topology and $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is the support of the measure μ).

The condition under brackets is clearly Π_2^0 in (μ, E) . Since $\mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T})) \times \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ is compact, \mathcal{H} is Σ_3^0 .

From now on we write ω for the set of natural numbers and 2^ω for the Cantor space of all infinite sequences of 0's and 1's with its usual product topology.

We fix a bijection $(p, q) \mapsto \langle p, q \rangle$ from ω^2 onto ω and denote the inverse map by $n \mapsto ((n)_0, (n)_1)$. For $\alpha \in 2^\omega$, we define $\alpha_p \in 2^\omega$ by $\alpha_p(q) = \alpha(\langle p, q \rangle)$. Finally let \mathbf{W} be the following subset of 2^ω :

$$\mathbf{W} = \{ \alpha \in 2^\omega, \exists p \alpha_p(q) = 1 \text{ for infinitely many } q \text{'s} \}$$

It is well known that \mathbf{W} is a true Σ_3^0 subset of 2^ω . Thus, to show that \mathcal{H} is not Π_3^0 it is enough to construct a continuous function $\varphi : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ such that:

$$\text{if } \alpha \in \mathbf{W}, \text{ then } \varphi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{H};$$

$$\text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbf{W}, \text{ then } \varphi(\alpha) \notin \mathcal{H}.$$

This is what we shall do in the next two sections.

2. Countable Helson Sets

In this section we show that \mathcal{H} is true Σ_3^0 “inside the countable sets”. In other words, we construct a continuous reduction φ such that $\varphi(\alpha)$ is countable for each $\alpha \in 2^\omega$. The advantage of considering only countable sets is that several “arithmetic” conditions are known for a countable set to be Helson.

In the sequel, \mathbf{T} will be identified with the interval $[0, 1[$ whenever it seems more appropriate.

DEFINITIONS. (a) A subset A of \mathbf{T} is said to be *independent* if for every $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$ the equation $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i x_i = 0$ has no non-trivial integer solution.

(b) If k is a positive integer, an *arithmetic progression of length k* is a set of the form

$$\{a, a + 1/l, \dots, a + k/l\}$$

for some $a \in \mathbf{T}$ and some positive integer l .

The following facts are well known (see [5]):

(1) If $E \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ is countable and is the union of finitely many independent closed sets, then E is a Helson set.

(2) If $E \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, then E is not a Helson set.

Remark. It follows from the work of G. Pisier [18] that one can characterize completely the countable Helson sets by means of a very simple arithmetic property. The two preceding facts are of course immediate consequences of this characterization.

THEOREM 1. *There is a continuous map $\varphi : \mathbf{2}^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $\varphi(\alpha)$ is countable for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{2}^\omega$ and:*

- if $\alpha \in \mathbf{W}$, $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a finite union of closed independent sets;*
- if $\alpha \notin \mathbf{W}$, $\varphi(\alpha)$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.*

COROLLARY. *There is no Π_3^0 subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ containing the countable Helson sets and contained in \mathcal{H} . In particular \mathcal{H} is a true Σ_3^0 set.*

In the proof of Theorem 1 we will need the following Lemma. Recall that a class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ is said to be *hereditary* if any (closed) subset of an element of \mathcal{C} still belongs to \mathcal{C} .

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathcal{S} be the class of independent compact subsets of \mathbf{T} . Then:*

- (a) *\mathcal{S} is \mathbf{G}_δ , hereditary, and dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$;*
- (b) *if $E \in \mathcal{S}$, the set $\mathcal{S}_E = \{F \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T}); E \cup F \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a dense \mathbf{G}_δ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$.*

Proof. Part (a) is easy and implies that \mathcal{S}_E is \mathbf{G}_δ by continuity of the map $(E, F) \mapsto E \cup F$. To prove the density in part (b) it is clearly enough to show that given a non empty open set V there exists a point $x \in V$ such that $E \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$. So let us fix $E \in \mathcal{S}$, $V \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ open, and consider the subset A of

T defined by

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow \forall m \neq 0 \forall m_1, \dots, m_k \text{ not all } 0 \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in E \sum_{i=1}^k m_i x_i \neq mx$$

(m, m_1, \dots, m_k are integers).

Since E is independent, A contains all the rational numbers, hence A is dense in \mathbf{T} . Moreover A is clearly \mathbf{G}_δ (because E is closed). So, by Baire category theorem, we can find an irrational x in $A \cap V$. Then $E \cup \{x\}$ is independent by definition of A . This proves (b). \square

It follows from (b) (by Baire’s theorem again) that given independent sets F_1, \dots, F_k and a non empty open set $V \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ there is a point $x \in V$ such that $\{x\} \cup F_i$ is independent for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Let us first fix some notations. Let $2^{<\omega}$ be the set of all finite sequences of 0’s and 1’s; for any integer n , $2^{\leq n}$ is the set of sequences of length $\leq n$. If $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, $|s|$ is the length of s , $s_{[n]}$ is the restriction of s to $\{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ (for $n \leq |s|$), and for $s, t \in 2^{<\omega}$, $s \leq t$ means that t is an extension of s (that is, $|s| \leq |t|$ and $t_{[|s|]} = s$). If $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, $s \neq \emptyset$, we denote by s' the sequence $s_{[|s|-1]}$.

Since \mathcal{S} is \mathbf{G}_δ and hereditary, we can choose a decreasing sequence $(\mathcal{U}^n)_{n \geq 0}$ of open, hereditary subsets of $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $\mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{U}^n$. The open sets \mathcal{U}^n are obtained as follows: write $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^n$, where the \mathcal{W}^n are open with $\mathcal{W}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{W}^n$. Then let

$$\mathcal{U}^n = \{K \in \mathcal{W}^n; L \in \mathcal{W}^n \text{ for every } L \subseteq K\}.$$

\mathcal{U}^n is obviously hereditary and it is easy to check that it is also open. Finally, since \mathcal{G} is hereditary one has $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^n \subseteq \mathcal{W}^n$ for all n , hence $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{U}^n$.

Finally, we fix a point $x_o \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $\{x_o\} \in \mathcal{S}$ (that is, an irrational x_o).

Now we shall construct for each $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ a closed subset $E(s)$ of \mathbf{T} . If $s \neq \emptyset$, $E(s)$ will be written as

$$E(s) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{|s|-1} E^m(s)$$

where the $E^m(s)$ are pairwise disjoint and satisfy the following requirements:

(1) $E^m(s) = I_o^m(s) \cup \dots \cup I_{(m)_o}^m(s)$

where the $I_j^m(s)$ are pairwise disjoint non trivial closed intervals of center $x_j^m(s)$ and of length $\leq 2^{-|s|}$.

(2) $E^m(s) \subseteq]x_o, x_o + 2^{-m}]$.

- (3) If $t \leq s$ then $I_j^m(s) \subseteq I_j^m(t)$ ($m < |t|$).
- (4) If $|s| = n + 1$, $m < n$ and $(m)_o < (n)_o$ then $x_j^m(s) = x_j^m(s')$.
- (5) If $|s| = n + 1$ and p is any (nonnegative) integer, then for every $j \leq p$,

$$\{x_o\} \cup \{x_j^m(s), m \leq n, (m)_o = p\} \text{ is independent,}$$

$$\{x_o\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \leq n \\ (m)_o = p}} I_j^m(s) \right) \in \mathcal{Z}^n.$$

- (6) If $|s| = n + 1$ and $s(n) = 0$, then

$$\{x_o^n(s), \dots, x_{(n)_o}^n(s)\} \text{ is an arithmetic progression,}$$

$$x_j^m(s) = x_j^m(s') \text{ if } m < n, j \leq (m)_o.$$

- (7) If $|s| = n + 1$ and $s(n) = 1$ and if we let $A = \{m \leq n; (m)_o \geq (n)_o\}$, then

$$\{x_o\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \in A \\ j \leq (m)_o}} I_j^m(s) \right) \in \mathcal{Z}^n.$$

We first let $E(\emptyset) = \mathbf{T}$ and now describe the inductive step.

Assume the sets $E^m(t)$ have been constructed for each $t \in 2^{\leq n}$ and let s be a sequence of length $n + 1$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $s(n) = 0$. We first define $E^m(s)$ for $m < n$, $(m)_o \neq (n)_o$. So (if there is any) fix $p \in \omega$ with $p \neq (n)_o$ and such that $A_p = \{m < n; (m)_o = p\}$ is non empty. Let also j be an integer $\leq p$.

By induction hypothesis, the set $\{x_o\} \cup \{x_j^m(s'), m \in A_p\}$ is independent, hence belongs to \mathcal{Z}^n . Since \mathcal{Z}^n is open, we can choose intervals $I_j^m(s)$, $m \in A_p$ with center $x_j^m(s')$ and length $\leq 2^{-n}$, such that $\{x_o\} \cup (\bigcup_{m \in A_p} I_j^m(s)) \in \mathcal{Z}^n$. Then (1), ..., (5) and one half of (6) are satisfied for $m \in A_p$.

Now we define $E^m(s)$ for those $m \leq n$ with $(m)_o = (n)_o$. Let $A = \{m < n; (m)_o = (n)_o\}$. If $j \leq (n)_o$, the set $F_j = \{x_o\} \cup \{x_j^m(s'); m \in A\}$ is independent. Thus, by Lemma 1, we can choose $x_o^n(s) \in]x_o, x_o + 2^{-n}[$ such that $\{x_o^n(s)\} \cup F_j$ is independent for all $j \leq (n)_o$. Next let p be a positive integer such that $[x_o^n(s), x_o^n(s) + (n)_o/p] \subseteq]x_o, x_o + 2^{-n}[$ and let $x_j^n(s) = x_o^n(s) + \frac{j}{p}$ for $j \leq (n)_o$. Then obviously $\{x_j^n(s)\} \cup F_j$ is independent for each j . So, letting $x_j^m(s) = x_j^m(s')$ if $m \in A$, we just take for $I_j^m(s)$ some sufficiently small interval around $x_j^m(s)$ to ensure (1), ..., (6).

Case 2. $s(n) = 1$. By (5) we have no freedom in the choice of $E^m(s)$ if $(m)_o < (n)_o$, and we argue as in case 1.

Now let $A = \{m < n; (m)_o \geq (n)_o\}$ and $X = \{I_j^m(s'); m \in A, j \leq (m)_o\}$. Using Lemma 1, we find a set $F \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $x_o \in F$ and $F \cap I \neq \emptyset$ for all $I \in X$. Choosing one point x_I in each $I \cap F$ and putting some small interval around it, we get the sets $E_j^m(s)$ for $m \in A$ and $j \leq (m)_o$. If the intervals are well chosen, conditions (1), ..., (7) are then satisfied for $m \neq n$.

Finally we define $E^n(s)$. Actually (in case $s(n) = 1$) $E^n(s)$ is not really essential in the proof: we define it only because it is more convenient to have n blocks at the n '-th step. Nevertheless $E^n(s)$ is easily constructed using Lemma 1 once more.

This concludes the inductive step.

Now we first claim that for each $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ the sets $E(\alpha_{|n})$ converge in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$ to some countable (closed) set $E(\alpha)$, and that the map $\alpha \mapsto E(\alpha)$ is continuous. To see this, observe that by (1) and (3) the sequence $(E^m(\alpha_{|n}))_{n > m}$ converges to some finite set $E^m(\alpha)$ (for any $m \in \omega$). By (2), $E^m(\alpha) \subseteq [x_o, x_o + 2^{-m}]$ (in fact $]x_o, x_o + 2^{-m}[$). Hence

$$E(\alpha) = \{x_o\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E^n(\alpha) \right)$$

is a countable closed set and clearly $E(\alpha_{|n}) \rightarrow E(\alpha)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we show that the map $\alpha \mapsto E(\alpha)$ is continuous.

Let V be an open set with $E(\alpha) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Then $E(\alpha) \cap V \neq \{x_o\}$ as well, so pick $x \in E(\alpha) \cap V, x \neq x_o$. Then $x \in E^m(\alpha)$ for some m , hence there is a $j \leq (m)_o$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{n > m} I_j^m(\alpha_{|n})$. If n is big enough, say $n \geq N$, then $I_j^m(\alpha_{|n}) \subseteq V$. Thus, if $\beta_{|N} = \alpha_{|N}$ one has

$$\bigcap_{n > m} I_j^m(\beta_{|n}) \subseteq V \cap E(\beta)$$

by (3), and so $E(\beta) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

On the other hand, if $E(\alpha) \subseteq V$, then for large m and all $\beta \in 2^\omega$ one has

$$E^m(\beta) \subseteq [x_o, x_o + 2^{-m}] \subseteq V.$$

The diameter condition in (1) now implies that $E(\beta) \subseteq V$ if $\beta_{|n} = \alpha_{|n}$ and n is big enough. This shows that the map $\alpha \mapsto E(\alpha)$ is continuous.

It remains to check that this map satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1. So we fix $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ and, of course, distinguish two cases.

Case 1. α_{p_o} is infinite for some $p_o \in \omega$. We have to show that $E(\alpha)$ is a finite union of independent closed sets. First we note that for any $p \in \omega$ and

each $j \leq p$ the set

$$E_{p,j} = \{x_o\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \in \omega \\ (m)_o = p}} E_j^m(\alpha) \right)$$

is (closed and) independent. Indeed, by (3), (5) and the fact that the \mathcal{Z}^n are hereditary, every finite subset of $E_{p,j}$ is independent.

Now if α_{p_o} is infinite, then (3) and (7) imply that $E_{p_o} = \{x_o\} \cup (\bigcup_{(m)_o \geq p_o} E^m(\alpha))$ is independent. Then we are done since $E(\alpha) = E_{p_o} \cup (\bigcup_{j \leq p < p_o} E_{p,j})$.

Case 2. α_p is finite for every p . Let p_o be a non negative integer. We show that $E(\alpha)$ contains an arithmetic progression of length $p_o + 1$.

Choose q'_o such that $\alpha(\langle p, q \rangle) = 0$ for $p \leq p_o$ and $q > q'_o$ (such a q'_o exists by our hypothesis). Then pick $q_o (> q'_o)$ so large that $\langle p_o, q_o \rangle > \text{Max}\{\langle p, q \rangle, p \leq p_o, q \leq q'_o\}$ and let $n_o = \langle p_o, q_o \rangle$.

By the choice of q'_o $\alpha(n_o) = 0$, hence by (6) $E^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n_o+1\}})$ contains an arithmetic progression of length $p_o + 1$.

Let j be an integer $\leq p_o$. We claim that $x_j^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n+1\}}) = x_j^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n_o+1\}})$ for each $n > n_o$. Indeed if $n > n_o$, $n = \langle p, q \rangle$ then:

Either $p > p_o$ and then $x_j^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n+1\}}) = x_j^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n\}})$ by (4);

Or else $p \leq p_o$ in which case $q > q'_o$ by the choice of q_o . Then $\alpha(n) = 0$ by the choice of q'_o and $x_j^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n+1\}}) = x_j^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n\}})$ by (6).

In any case the claim follows by induction. Condition (1) now implies that $E^{n_o}(\alpha)$ is an arithmetic progression of length $p_o + 1$ (the one already contained in $E^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n_o+1\}})$). This concludes case 2 and the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark. A closed set $E \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ is called a *set of analyticity* if the only functions operating on the algebra $\mathbf{A}(E)$ (the restrictions to E of absolutely convergent Fourier series) are the analytic functions. The still open *dichotomy conjecture* (see [4], [5], [6]) asserts that any closed subset of \mathbf{T} is either a Helson set or a set of analyticity (the two cases are of course exclusive). It is known (see [5]) that if $E \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, then E is a set of analyticity. Thus Theorem 1 shows that the class \mathcal{A} of sets of analyticity in \mathbf{T} cannot be Σ_3^0 in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$. In other words, if the dichotomy conjecture is not true, this is not because \mathcal{A} is “too simple”. It can be shown that \mathcal{A} is a Π_1^1 (*coanalytic*) set

but it does not seem obvious that it should be Borel. This is rather surprising, since if the dichotomy conjecture is true, then the Borel class of \mathcal{H} must be very small (Π_3^0).

3. Perfect Helson sets

The preceding result is not really satisfactory because it says nothing about *perfect* Helson sets. In this section, we show that the latter also form a true Σ_3^0 subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$.

First we must introduce some other classes of sets.

If $S \in \mathbf{PM}$ we let $R(S) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{S}(n)|$.

For $E \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{T})$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0(E) &= \inf \left\{ \frac{R(\mu)}{\|\mu\|_{PM}}, \mu \in \mathbf{M}_+(E), \mu \neq 0 \right\} \\ \eta_2(E) &= \inf \left\{ \frac{R(\mu)}{\|\mu\|_{PM}}, \mu \in \mathbf{M}(E), \mu \neq 0 \right\} \\ \eta_1(E) &= \inf \left\{ \frac{R(S)}{\|S\|_{PM}}, S \in \mathbf{N}(E), S \neq 0 \right\} \\ \eta(E) &= \inf \left\{ \frac{R(S)}{\|S\|_{PM}}, S \in \mathbf{PM}(E), S \neq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(here $\mathbf{N}(E)$ denotes the w^* closure of $\mathbf{M}(E)$ in PM ; the other notations are self-explanatory).

Then E is called a U'_i set if $\eta_i(E) > 0$ and a U' set if $\eta(E) > 0$. Evidently $U' \subseteq U'_1 \subseteq U'_2 \subseteq U'_0$, and it is well known that $\eta_1(E) > 0$ for all Helson sets, that is, $\mathcal{H} \subseteq U'_1$ (see [4], [5]). On the other hand, there are Helson sets which are not sets of uniqueness, hence with $\eta(E) = 0$: this is a deep result, due independently to R. Kaufman and T.W. Körner ([8], [12]). We should also add that $\eta(E) = 0$ for countable sets (which may fail to be Helson): this is a consequence of the fact that pseudomeasures with countable support are almost periodic (Loomis [15]).

E is said to be *without true pseudomeasures (WTP)* if every pseudomeasure supported by E is actually a measure. Equivalently E is *WTP* if and only if it is a Helson set and a set of synthesis. In particular, $WTP \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cap U'$.

Finally, E is said to be a *Kronecker set* if the characters of \mathbf{T} are uniformly dense in

$$U(E) = \{f \in \mathbf{C}(E); |f(x)| = 1 \forall x \in E\}.$$

We shall use the following results about Kronecker sets.

(1) Finite unions of Kronecker sets are *WTP*. This is a consequence of two celebrated results of N. Varopoulos: Kronecker sets are *WTP*, and Helson

sets (as well as WTP sets) are closed under finite unions. Proofs of these results can be found in [4], [13] and [19].

(2) For any perfect set $P \subseteq \mathbf{T}$, the class of Kronecker subsets of P is G_δ hereditary and dense in $\mathcal{A}(P)$ (see [7] or [10] p. 337).

It is easy to check as we did for \mathcal{H} , that U' , U'_o , U'_2 and WTP are Σ_3^0 subsets of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$ (on the other hand, because of the complexity of the notion of spectral synthesis, it seems reasonable to think that U'_1 is not even Borel, see [11]). We shall prove below that they are all true Σ_3^0 sets. This will follow from a somewhat more general result whose statement unfortunately requires still more definitions.

A measure $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T})$ is said to be a *Rajchman measure* if $\hat{\mu}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. For $E \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$, we denote by $\mathbf{P}(E)$ the set of all probability measures on E and by $\mathcal{R}(E)$ the set of probability Rajchman measures supported by E (also letting $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{T})$). $\mathbf{P}(E)$ will always be equipped with the w^* topology induced by $\mathbf{M}(E)$.

A closed set $E \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ is said to be an M_o set if it supports a non zero Rajchman measure. By a result of Kechris and Louveau [10, p. 274] also obtained independently by Debs and Saint-Raymond [3] E is an M_o set if and only if it cannot be covered by countably many U'_o sets. E is said to be an M_o^p set if for every open set V such that $E \cap V \neq \emptyset$ the set $\overline{E} \cap \overline{V}$ is in M_o . It is equivalent to say (if $E \neq \emptyset$) that E is the support of a Rajchman probability measure, or that $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is dense in $\mathbf{P}(E)$ (see [2], Lemma 8.3).

The following remark will be useful later: if E is M_o^p , then the set

$$\mathcal{R}'(E) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{R}(E); \text{supp}(\mu) = E \}$$

is dense in $\mathbf{P}(E)$. To see this take $\mu_o \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\text{supp}(\mu) = E$. Then if $\mu \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ and α is any positive number,

$$\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} (\mu + \alpha \mu_o)$$

is in $\mathcal{R}'(E)$. Since $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ we are done by density of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ in $\mathbf{P}(E)$.

We can now state our main result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $E \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$ be a non empty M_o^p set and let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(E)$ be G_δ hereditary and dense in $\mathcal{A}(E)$. Then there is a continuous map $\varphi : 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$ such that for each $\alpha \in 2^\omega$, $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a perfect subset of E and:*

- if $\alpha \in \mathbf{W}$, then $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a finite union of (perfect) \mathcal{S} sets;*
- if $\alpha \notin \mathbf{W}$, then $\varphi(\alpha) \notin U'_o$.*

In particular, there is no Π_3^0 subset \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq U'_o$ and \mathcal{A} contains all the finite unions of perfect \mathcal{S} sets.

Of course this result is interesting only if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq U'_o$.

If \mathcal{S} is the class of Kronecker sets (which is dense in $\mathcal{A}(E)$ because M^p_o sets are perfect) we get the following

COROLLARY 1. *Let E be a non empty M^p_o set (e.g., $E = \mathbf{T}$). Then there is no $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_3$ set in $\mathcal{A}(E)$ containing the finite unions of perfect Kronecker subsets of E and contained in U'_o .*

Since every M_o set contains a not empty M^p_o set this implies:

COROLLARY 2. *For any M_o set E , the classes of perfect WTP, \mathcal{H} , U' , U'_o , U'_2 sets are true Σ^0_3 in $\mathcal{A}(E)$, and U'_1 is not $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_3$.*

Remarks. (1) One cannot hope to get the same result as in Theorem 1 for the countable Helson subsets of a given M_o set, because there exist independent M_o sets (they are called *Rudin sets*, see [4] or [13]) and all countable independent sets are Helson.

(2) In [14], T. Linton shows that the so-called *H-sets* (which are not at all the same as the Helson sets) also form a true Σ^0_3 set in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$. In fact, by results of N. Bary [1, Théorème V], it follows from his proof that the classes U'_i are not $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_3$.

(3) It can be shown (see [4]) that every non U'_1 set is a set of analyticity. Thus it follows from Theorem 2 that \mathcal{A} is not Σ^0_3 within any M_o set.

To make the proof of Theorem 2 more readable it is better to state first some preliminary results.

LEMMA 2. *Let E be a compact metrizable space and $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(E)$ be open and dense in $\mathcal{A}(E)$. Also, let W_1, \dots, W_k be non empty open subsets of E and $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_k$ be open subsets of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ such that $\overline{W}_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$ for all $i \leq k$.*

Then there exists non empty open subsets V_1, \dots, V_k of E such that

$$V_i \subseteq W_i \quad (i \leq k)$$

$$\overline{V}_i \in \mathcal{V}_i \quad (i \leq k)$$

$$\bigcup_{i \leq k} \overline{V}_i \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof. For each $i \leq k$, choose non empty open subsets of E , say W_{i1}, \dots, W_{iK_i} with $\overline{W}_i \cap W_{ij} \neq \emptyset$ for all j , such that every (compact) subset F of \overline{W}_i with $F \cap W_{ij} \neq \emptyset$ for all $j \leq K_i$ belongs to \mathcal{V}_i . Now each $W_i \cap W_{ij}$ is a non empty open set in E , so by density we can find a set F in \mathcal{U} , $F \subseteq \cup_{i \leq k} W_i$, such that $F \cap W_i \cap W_{ij} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \leq k$ and $j \leq K_i$. Then, since \mathcal{U} is open, choose an open set $V \subseteq \cup_{i \leq k} W_i$ containing F such that $\overline{V} \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $V_i = V \cap W_i$. \square

LEMMA 3. Let E be a non empty M_o^p set and let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(E)$ be open and dense in $\mathcal{A}(E)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{A}(E) \times \mathbf{P}(E)$ defined by

$$(F, \mu) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}} \Leftrightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \text{supp}(\mu) = F \\ \wedge F \in \mathcal{U} \text{ is the closure of an open set in } E.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is dense in $\{(F, \mu) \in \mathcal{A}(E) \times \mathbf{P}(E); \text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq F\}$.

Proof. Let us fix (F_o, μ_o) such that $\text{supp}(\mu_o) \subseteq F_o$ and an elementary neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}_o \times N_o$ of (F_o, μ_o) in $\mathcal{A}(E) \times \mathbf{P}(E)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{U}_o = \{F \in \mathcal{A}(E); F \subseteq V_o, F \cap V_i \neq \emptyset, i = 1, \dots, k\}$ where V_o, V_1, \dots, V_k are open in E and $V_i \subseteq V_o$ for $i \geq 1$.

Choose a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \subseteq F_o$ and positive numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i = 1$ and $\mu_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} \in N_o$ (δ_x is the Dirac measure at x). By adding small masses at points of V_i (and normalizing), we can also assume that $p \geq k$ and $x_i \in V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Now choose for each $i \leq p$ an open (in E) neighbourhood W_i of x_i such that:

$$\begin{cases} W_i \subseteq V_i \text{ if } i \leq k; \\ \text{if one takes a point } y_i \text{ in each } W_i, \text{ then } \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \delta_{y_i} \in N_o. \end{cases}$$

Next, by density, take F in \mathcal{U} such that $F \subseteq V_o$ and $F \cap W_i \neq \emptyset$ for all i . Then $F \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_o$. Since $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_o$ is open, we can find an open set $W \supseteq F$ such that $\overline{W} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_o$. Now \overline{W} is an M_o^p set, so the probability Rajchman measures with support \overline{W} are dense in $\mathbf{P}(\overline{W})$. Thus, picking $y_i \in F \cap W_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and approximating $\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \delta_{y_i}$, we can find a $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\mu \in N_o$ and $\text{supp}(\mu) = \overline{W} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_o$. This proves the lemma. \square

COROLLARY. Let E_1, \dots, E_k be disjoint non empty M_o^p sets supporting probability measures μ_1, \dots, μ_k . Let \mathcal{U} be a dense open subset of $\mathcal{A}(E)$, where $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$. Let also $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_k$ be open sets in $\mathcal{A}(E)$ such that $E_i \in \mathcal{V}_i, i \leq k$.

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(T)$ there exist probability Rajchman measures ν_1, \dots, ν_k such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\nu_i) \in \mathcal{V}_i \text{ and } \text{supp}(\nu_i) \text{ is the closure of an open subset of } E_i; \\ |\langle \nu_i, f \rangle - \langle \mu_i, f \rangle| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{F}; \\ \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(\nu_i) \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first choose continuous functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ with $\varphi_i \geq 0, \varphi_i = 1$ on E_i and $\varphi_i = 0$ on E_j if $j \neq i$. We also fix an $\alpha > 0$.

Since E is an M^p_o set, we can apply Lemma 3 to approximate $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i$ and get a positive Rajchman measure ν such that:

- $\|\nu\|_M = k$;
- $(1 - \alpha) < \int \varphi_i d\nu < (1 + \alpha)$ for $i \leq k$;
- $\text{supp}(\nu)$ is the closure of an open subset of E and belongs to \mathcal{U} ;
- $|\int \varphi_i f d\mu - \int \varphi_i f d\nu| < \varepsilon$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then if we let $\nu_i = \varphi_i \nu / \|\varphi_i \nu\|$, the measures ν_i will work provided α is small enough. \square

LEMMA 4. Let E be a compact metrizable space and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(E)$ be \mathbf{G}_δ . Let F, F_o, F_1, \dots be closed subsets of E such that:

- $F_n \rightarrow F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- for every $N \in \omega, F \cup (\bigcup_{n \leq N} F_n) \in \mathcal{G}$.

Then $F \cup (\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty F_n)$ is the union of two elements of \mathcal{G} .

This is a particular case of (the proof of) Lemma 4.1 in [9]. \square

DEFINITION. Let N be an integer ≥ 1 . A K -sequence of order N is a finite sequence

$$((\bar{\mu}^o, \bar{n}^o), \dots, (\bar{\mu}^p, \bar{n}^p))$$

where $\bar{\mu}^i \in \mathcal{R}^N, \bar{n}^i \in \omega^N$, such that:

- (i) $|\hat{\mu}_j^{i+1}(r) - \hat{\mu}_j^i(r)| < 2^{-Ni-j-1}$ if $|r| \leq n_{j-1}^{i+1}$ or $|r| \geq n_j^{i+1}$ (we let $n_{-1}^{i+1} = n_{N-1}^i$);
- (ii) $0 < n_o^o = n_1^o = \dots = n_{N-1}^o < n_o^1 < \dots$.

The letter “K” stands for Kechris because such sequences are used in [9] (see also [3] and [10]). As usual, if S and T are K-sequences $T \preceq S$ means that S is an extension of T . Finally, an infinite K -sequence (of order N) is a $\Sigma \in (\mathcal{R}^N \times \omega^N)^\omega$ such that $\Sigma_{\upharpoonright p}$ is a K-sequence for every $p \in \omega$.

The following observations are essential in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [9].

LEMMA 5. (a) If

$$S = ((\bar{\mu}^o, \bar{n}^o), \dots, (\bar{\mu}^p, \bar{n}^p)) \quad (p \geq 1)$$

is a K -sequence of order N and if we let

$$\mu^o(S) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j^o \right), \mu^p(S) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j^p \right),$$

then

$$\| \mu^o(S) - \mu^p(S) \|_{PM} \leq \frac{3 - 2^{-Np}}{N}.$$

(b) If $\Sigma = ((\bar{\mu}^i, \bar{n}^i))_{i \in \omega}$ is an infinite K-sequence of order N , then for all $j \leq N - 1$ the sequence $(\mu_j^i)_{i \in \omega}$ converges ω^* to a probability measure μ_j . If we let

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j \right),$$

then $R(\mu) \leq 3/N$.

Proof. (b) is an immediate consequence of (a). Indeed, it follows from the definition of a K-sequence that $(\mu_j^i)_{i \geq o}$ converges in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{T})$, and part (a) gives the desired inequality because $\mu^o = \mu^o(\Sigma_{[1]})$ is a Rajchman measure.

To prove (a), let us fix $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^p - \mu^o &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\mu_j^p - \mu_j^o) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\mu_j^{i+1} - \mu_j^i) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$| \hat{\mu}^p(r) - \hat{\mu}^o(r) | \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} | \hat{\mu}_j^{i+1}(r) - \hat{\mu}_j^i(r) |$$

Now properties (i) and (ii) imply that $| \hat{\mu}_j^{i+1}(r) - \hat{\mu}_j^i(r) |$ is $< 2^{-Ni-j-1}$ except for at most one pair (i, j) , and in any case it is bounded by 2. Therefore we obtain

$$| \hat{\mu}^p(r) - \hat{\mu}^o(r) | \leq \frac{1}{N} \left(2 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} 2^{-Ni-j-1} \right)$$

and we are done because the sum in the right-hand side is exactly $\sum_{k=1}^{Np} 2^{-k}$. □

We can now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. This proof looks very much like that of Theorem 1, but is a little more technical. The arithmetic progressions will be replaced by sets constructed by Kechris in [9], which are

finite unions of sets in \mathcal{G} whose η_o is arbitrarily small. To be precise, beginning with a Rajchaman probability measure μ and an integer $N \geq 1$, Kechris constructs an infinite K-sequence of order N , $\Sigma = ((\bar{\mu}^i, \bar{n}^i))_{i \in \omega}$ with $\bar{\mu}^o = (\mu, \dots, \mu)$, such that for all $i \in \omega, j \leq N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\mu_j^{i+1}) &\subseteq \text{supp}(\mu_j^i), \\ \text{supp}(\mu_j^i) &\in \mathcal{U}^i \quad (\text{where } \mathcal{G} = \bigcap \mathcal{U}^i, \mathcal{U}^i \text{ open hereditary}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5 the result is then a probability measure

$$\nu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j$$

where $\text{supp}(\mu_j) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $R(\nu) \leq 3/N$. Thus $F = \text{supp}(\nu)$ is a finite union of \mathcal{G} sets and $\eta_o(F) \leq 3/N$.

This construction plays a key role in the proof below.

Let us fix our notations. E is the given M_o^p set and we let $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \geq o} \mathcal{U}^n$ where the \mathcal{U}^n are open, hereditary subsets of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ and $\mathcal{U}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^n$ for all n (see the remarks before the proof of Theorem 1).

The class \mathcal{P} of perfect subsets of E is G_δ in $\mathcal{A}(E)$, hence it is a Polish space. Thus we can choose some complete metric δ on \mathcal{P} . Of course, δ is not the Hausdorff metric (but it defines the same topology on \mathcal{P}).

Finally, if $s \in 2^{<\omega}, |s| \geq 1$, recall that s' is the sequence $s_{[|s|-1]}$.

Now for each $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ and $m < |x|$ we construct

a closed set $E^m(s) = E_o^m(s) \cup \dots \cup E_{(m)_o}^m(s)$ where the $E_j^m(s)$ are closed (but not necessarily disjoint),

an integer $p^m(s)$,

a K-sequence $S^m(s)$ of order $(m)_o$ and of length $1 + p^m(s)$,

a non empty open set $V(s) \subseteq E$,

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\text{diam}(V(s)) \leq 2^{-|s|}$.

(2) $V(s) \cap (\bigcup_{m < |s|} E^m(s)) = \emptyset$;

The $E^m(s)$ are pairwise disjoint.

(3) Each $E_j^m(s)$ is the closure of a non empty open subset of E .

(4) $\bar{V}(s) \subseteq V(s')$,

$E^n(s) \subseteq V(s')$ if $|s| = n + 1$.

(5) If we denote by $((\mu_o^m(s), \dots, \mu_{(m)_o}^m(s)), \bar{n}^m(s))$ the last coordinate of $S^m(s)$ (i.e., that of index $p^m(s)$) then $E_j^m(s) = \text{supp}(\mu_j^m(s))$.

(6) If $t \preceq s, m < |t|$ and $j \leq (m)_o$ then

$$E_j^m(s) \subseteq E_j^m(t),$$

$$\delta(E_j^m(s), E_j^m(t)) < 2^{-|t|}.$$

(7) If $|s| = n + 1$ and $(m)_o < (n)_o$, then

$$p^m(s) = 1 + p^m(s'),$$

$$S^m(s') \preceq S^m(s).$$

(8) If $|s| = n + 1$ and p is any integer, then

$$\left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \leq n \\ (m)_o = p}} E_j^m(s) \right) \cup \bar{V}(s) \in \mathcal{Z}^n \quad \text{for any } j \leq p.$$

(9) If $|s| = n + 1$ and $s(n) = 0$, then

$$p^n(s) = 0,$$

$$p^m(s) = 1 + p^m(s') \text{ and } S^m(s') \preceq S^m(s) \text{ for } m < n.$$

(10) If $|s| = n + 1$ and $s(n) = 1$, then

$$p^m(s) = 0 \text{ if } (m)_o \geq (n)_o,$$

$$\left(\bigcup_{p \geq (n)_o} \bigcup_{(m)_o = p} E_j^m(s) \right) \cup \bar{V}(s) \in \mathcal{Z}^n.$$

We first let $E(\emptyset) = E$. Assume $E^m(t)$, $S^m(t)$ have been constructed for $|t| \leq n$, $m < n$, $j \leq (m)_o$, and let $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ be a sequence of length $n + 1$. As usual we distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $s(n) = 0$. Let us first modify the $E^m(s')$ for $m < n$ and $(m)_o \neq (n)_o$. So fix $p \neq (n)_o$ such that $(m)_o = p$ for at least one $m \leq n$ and let $A_p = \{m < n; (m)_o = p\}$.

We will define $p^m(s)$, $S^m(s)$, $E_j^m(s)$ for $m \in A_p$, $j \leq p$, and a non empty open set V_p of diameter less than 2^{-n-1} in such a way that

$$E_j^m(s) \subseteq E_j^m(s'),$$

$$\delta(E_j^m(s), E_j^m(t)) < 2^{-|t|} \quad \text{for each } t \preceq s'$$

$$p^m(s) = 1 + p^m(s')$$

$$S^m(s') \preceq S^m(s)$$

$$\bar{V}_p \subseteq V(s')$$

$$\bar{V}_p \cup \left(\bigcup_{m \in A_p} E_j^m(s) \right) \in \mathcal{Z}^n \text{ for every } j \leq p.$$

We begin with $j = 0$. Take a non empty open set V such that $\bar{V} \subseteq V(s')$ and with diameter less than 2^{-n-1} . By (2) and (3), the sets $E_o^m(s')$, $m \in A_p$ are pairwise disjoint M_o^p sets, disjoint from \bar{V} , and \mathcal{U}^n is dense in $\mathcal{N}((\bigcup_{m \in A_p} E_o^m(s')) \cup \bar{V})$. Moreover, by (5), $E_o^m(s') = \text{supp}(\mu_o^m(s'))$ (the notation is that of (5)).

Let $k^m(s')$ be the last integer occurring in $S^m(s')$ (that is, $k^m(s') = n_{(m)_o}^m(s')$). Then, since all the sets involved are perfect, it follows at once from the corollary to Lemma 3 that one can choose probability Rajchman measures $\mu_o^m(s)$, $m \in A_p$ and a non empty open set $V_{p,o}$ such that $E_o^m(s) = \text{supp}(\mu_o^m(s))$ is the closure of an open set and

$\hat{\mu}_o^m(s)$ approximates “closely” $\hat{\mu}_o^m(s')$ on $\{r \in \mathbb{Z}; |r| \leq k^m(s')\}$,

$V_{p,o}$ and $E_o^m(s)$ satisfy the conditions above (with $V_{p,o}$ in place of V_p).

Since $\mu_o^m(s)$ and $\mu_o^m(s')$ are Rajchman measures, we can choose for each $m \in A_p$ an integer $l^m(s)$ such that $|\hat{\mu}_o^m(s)(r)|$ and $|\hat{\mu}_o^m(s')(r)|$ are “small” for $|r| \geq l^m(s)$. Then $|\hat{\mu}_o^m(s)(r) - \hat{\mu}_o^m(s')(r)|$ will be small as well for $|r| \geq l^m(s)$. At this point, we have constructed for $m \in A_p$ the first “coordinate” of $S^m(s)(p^m(s))$, namely $(\mu_o^m(s), l^m(s))$, the sets $E_o^m(s)$ and an auxiliary open set $V_{p,o}$. By repeated applications of Lemma 3 we can now get the K-sequence $S^m(s)$, the sets $E_j^m(s)$, $j \leq p$ and open sets $V_{p,o} \supseteq V_{p,1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_{p,p}$ such that for all $j \leq p$,

$$\bar{V}_{p,j} \cup \left(\bigcup_{m \in A_p} E_j^m(s) \right) \in \mathcal{U}^n.$$

If we let $V_p = V_{p,p}$ then since \mathcal{U}^n is hereditary, we do have

$$\bar{V}_p \cup \left(\bigcup_{m \in A_p} E_j^m(s) \right) \in \mathcal{U}^n \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Treating in the same way all the $p \neq (n)_o$ such that $A_p \neq \emptyset$, we get the K-sequence $S^m(s)$ and the sets $E_j^m(s)$, $j \leq (m)_o$ for each $m < n$ with $(m)_o \neq (n)_o$. Then (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9) and one half of (2) are satisfied if $(m)_o \neq (n)_o$. We also obtain a non empty open set U disjoint from the $E_j^m(s)$ such that (8) is true with U for all $p \neq (n)_o$.

Now we define $S^m(s)$, $E^m(s)$ for $m \leq n$ and $(m)_o = (n)_o$. We first choose disjoint non empty sets $V, W \subseteq U$. Then $S^m(s)$ and $E^m(s)$ are obtained exactly as before, using $(n)_o + 1$ times the corollary to Lemma 3. $E^n(s)$ is constructed inside \bar{W} and we define

$$S^n(s) = ((\mu, \dots, \mu), (1, \dots, 1))$$

where μ is any probability Rajchman measure such that $\text{supp}(\mu) = E^n(s)$; if $m < n$, $E^m(s)$ is constructed inside $E^m(s')$. As before, we also construct open

sets $V = V_o \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_{(m)_o}$ and we let $V(s) = V_{(m)_o}$. Then conditions (1), ..., (9) are satisfied.

Case 2. $s(n) = 1$. We first construct, as in case 1, $E_j^m(s)$, $S^m(s)$ for $(m)_o < (n)_o$ (and $j \leq (m)_o$). Then (7) is true. We also get an auxiliary open set U disjoint from all the $E^m(s)$, $(m)_o < (n)_o$, with $\bar{U} \subseteq V(s')$ and $\text{diam}(U) < 2^{-|s|}$, such that (8) is satisfied for $p < (n)_o$. Finally, we choose disjoint non empty open sets $V, W \subseteq V$ and put $E_j^n(s') = \bar{W}$ for $j \leq (n)_o$.

Now let $A = \{m \leq n; (m)_o \geq (n)_o\}$. Using Lemma 2 and properties (3), (6) for s' we can find closed sets $E_j^m(s)$, $m \in A$, $j \leq (m)_o$ and a non empty open set $V(s) \subseteq V$ such that:

- each $E_j^m(s)$ is the closure of an open subset of E ;
- $E_j^m(s) \subseteq E_j^m(s')$;
- $\delta(E_j^m(s), E_j^m(t)) < 2^{-|t|}$ for every $t \preceq s'$;
- $\bar{V}(s) \cup (\bigcup_{\substack{m \in A \\ j \leq (m)_o}} E_j^m(s)) \in \mathcal{Z}^n$.

Then properties (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (10) are satisfied, as well as (8) for $p \geq (n)_o$ because \mathcal{Z}^n is hereditary.

Finally we define $S^m(s) = ((\mu_o^m(s), \dots, \mu_{(m)_o}^m(s)), (1, \dots, 1))$ where the $\mu_j^m(s)$ are Rajchman probability measures such that $\text{supp}(\mu_j^m(s)) = E_j^m(s)$.

This concludes the inductive step.

Now if $\alpha \in 2^\omega$, it follows from (6) that for every $m \in \omega$ and $j \leq (m)_o$, the sequence $(E_j^m(\alpha_{1n}))_{n > m}$ converges in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{T})$ to a perfect set $E_j^m(\alpha)$. For $m \in \omega$ we let

$$E^m(\alpha) = \bigcup_{j \leq (m)_o} E_j^m(\alpha).$$

By (1) and (4) there is a unique point $x(\alpha)$ in $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \bar{V}(\alpha_{1n})$ and (4) implies that $E(\alpha) = (\bigcup_{m \in \omega} E^m(\alpha)) \cup \{x(\alpha)\}$ is a closed subset of E . Since the $E^m(\alpha)$ are perfect, $E(\alpha)$ is perfect as well. Furthermore, (1), (4) and (6) together imply that the map $\alpha \mapsto E(\alpha)$ is continuous.

It remains to show that the map just defined is the reduction we are looking for. So we fix $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ and, for the last time, distinguish two cases.

Case 1. α_p is finite for every $p \in \omega$. Let p_o be a non negative integer. We show that $\eta_o(E_\alpha) \leq 3/(p_o + 1)$. Since p_o is arbitrary, this will imply that $E(\alpha) \notin U'_o$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, there is a $q_o > 0$ such that if we let $n_o = \langle p_o, q_o \rangle$ then

$$\forall n > n_o \quad (n)_o \leq p_o \Rightarrow \alpha(n) = 0.$$

Using (7) if $(n)_o > p_o$ and (9) if $\alpha(n) = 0$ we deduce that

$$S^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n+1\}}) \succ S^{n_o}(\alpha_{\{n\}}) \text{ for every } n > n_o.$$

Thus it follows from Lemma 5 (together with (5)) that $\eta_o(E^{n_o}(\alpha)) \leq 3/(p_o + 1)$. This concludes case 1 since $E(\alpha) \supseteq E^{n_o}(\alpha)$.

Case 2. α_{p_o} is infinite for some $p_o \in \omega$. Let $\mathcal{E}_f \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{T})$ be the class of all finite unions of elements of \mathcal{E} . First we note that for any integer p and each $j \leq p$

$$E_{j,p} = \{x(\alpha)\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \in \omega \\ (m)_o = p}} E_j^m(\alpha) \right) \in \mathcal{E}_f.$$

Indeed, for any $N \in \omega$,

$$\{x(\alpha)\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \leq N; \\ (m)_o = p}} E_j^m(\alpha) \right)$$

is in \mathcal{E} by (6), (8), the definition of $x(\alpha)$ and the fact that each \mathcal{U}^n is hereditary. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.

It follows that for each $p \in \omega$,

$$E_p = \{x(\alpha)\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{m \in \omega \\ (m)_o = p}} E^m(\alpha) \right) \in \mathcal{E}_f.$$

Now if α_{p_o} is infinite, we deduce from (10) (using Lemma 4 again) that the set

$$\{x(\alpha)\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{(m)_o \geq p_o} E^m(\alpha) \right)$$

is in \mathcal{E}_f . So

$$E(\alpha) = \{x(\alpha)\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{(m)_o \geq p_o} E^m(\alpha) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{p < p_o} E_p \right)$$

is indeed a finite union of \mathcal{E} sets.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

REFERENCES

1. N. BARY, *Sur l'unicité du développement trigonométrique*, Fund. Math **9** (1927), 62–115.
2. G. DEBS, *Polar σ -ideals of compact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
3. ———, J. Saint-Raymond, *Ensembles d'unicité et d'unicité au sens large*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **37** (1987), 217–239.
4. C.C. GRAHAM and O.C. MCGEHEE, *Essays in commutative harmonic analysis*, Grundlehren Math. Wissen, vol. 238, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
5. J.P. KAHANE, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
6. Y. KATZNELSON, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, Dover, New York, 1976.
7. R. KAUFMAN, *A functional method for linear sets*, Israel J. Math. **5** (1967), 185–187.
8. ———, *M sets and distributions*, Asterisque **5** (1973), 225–230.
9. A. KECHRIS, *Hereditary properties of the class of closed sets of uniqueness for trigonometric series*, Israel J. Math. **73** (1991), 189–198.
10. A. KECHRIS and A. LOUVEAU, *Descriptive set theory and the structure of sets of uniqueness*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., no. 128, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
11. A. KECHRIS, A. LOUVEAU and V. TARDIVEL, *The class of synthesizable pseudomeasures*, Illinois J. Math. **35** (1991), 107–146.
12. T. W. KÖRNER, *A pseudofunction on a Helson set*, Asterisque **5** (1973).
13. L.-A. LINDAHL and F. POULSEN, *Thin sets in harmonic analysis*, Marcel Decker, New York, 1971.
14. T. LINTON, *The H-sets in the unit circle are properly $G_{\delta\sigma}$* , Real Analysis Exchange, to appear.
15. L. LOOMIS, *The spectral characterization of a class of almost periodic functions*, Ann. Math. **72** (1960), 362–368.
16. R. LYONS, *A new type of sets of uniqueness*, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), 431–458.
17. Y.N. MOSCHOVAKIS, *Descriptive set theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
18. G. PISIER, *Arithmetic characterizations of Sidon sets*, Bull. American Math. Soc. (new series) **8** (1983), 87–89.
19. N. TH. VAROPOULOS, *Sur la réunion de deux ensembles de Helson*, Comptes-rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **271** (1970), 251–253.

UNIVERSITE PARIS VI
PARIS