

COMPACT LIE GROUPS ACTING ON PSEUDOMANIFOLDS

RAIMUND POPPER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the concept of a G -pseudomanifold, which is an equivariant version of the stratified spaces defined by Goresky and Mac Pherson for compact Lie group actions.

Let G be a compact Lie group acting on a topological manifold M . Then the orbit space M/G is a topological pseudomanifold, if the action is locally linear. Recall that a topological pseudomanifold is a space that admits a filtration

$$X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_0 \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset,$$

such that $X_n - X_{n-1}$ is a dense n -manifold, $X_i - X_{i-1}$ for $i \leq n-1$ is an i -manifold (or empty), and along which the normal structure of X is locally trivial. Moreover if G acts smoothly on M , the above is valid in the context of Thom-Mather stratified spaces [2], [10]. For topological actions on manifolds no such structure exists in general, however progress has recently been made using homotopically stratified sets, developed by Quinn [8].

The objective of this work is to give an answer to the following problem: find a class of compact Lie group actions on topological pseudomanifolds X such that the corresponding orbit space X/G is also a pseudomanifold. A solution is obtained by considering stratified G -spaces having a conical slice at a point in each orbit, which we call G -pseudomanifolds. These spaces extend the notion of locally linear actions to topological pseudomanifolds, and were first introduced by the author in [7], using links without fixed points. In the present work we remove this restriction, obtaining a significant generalization. An example is given by compact Lie group actions on orbit spaces.

The content of this paper is the following.

In Section 1 we define conical slices and G -pseudomanifolds. In particular, given a locally linear G -manifold M , and a closed normal subgroup K of G , we show that M/K is a G/K -pseudomanifold.

Section 2 deals with the orbit type refinement of a G -pseudomanifold. We also prove the existence of principal orbits, observing that their union need not necessarily coincide with the highest dimensional stratum in the orbit type refinement.

In Section 3 we study the corresponding orbit space, showing that it is a topological pseudomanifold.

Smooth G -pseudomanifolds are defined in Section 4, where we prove a generalization of Mostow's equivariant embedding theorem [6].

Received July 30, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55N33; Secondary 57N80.

Supported by CDCH of the Central University of Venezuela.

I wish to express my gratitude to Martin Saralegi for his invaluable comments and encouragement.

1. Conical slices and G -pseudomanifolds

In this section we define G -pseudomanifolds and give some examples.

Let G be a compact Lie group.

By a G -space we mean a Hausdorff topological space X , with a continuous action $\Theta: G \times X \rightarrow X$, such that the orbit space X/G is connected. Let $\Theta(g, x) = g \cdot x$. We denote by $G \cdot x$ the orbit containing the point x , and by $G_x = \{g \in G: g \cdot x = x\}$ the stabilizer or isotropy subgroup at x . Also we denote by $X_{(H)}$ the union of all orbits of type (G/H) in X , see [3, p. 42], and by $X^G = X_{(G)}$ the fixed point set of the action.

Recall the definition of a slice; see [3, II.4.1].

Given a G -space X , we say that a subspace S_x is a *slice* at a point x , if $x \in S_x$, S_x is invariant by $H = G_x$, and the canonical map $\Phi: G \times_H S_x \rightarrow X$, given by $[g, x] \mapsto g \cdot x$, is a G -equivalence onto an open neighborhood Γ of $G \cdot x$, called a *tubular neighborhood*.

Now let Y be a non empty topological space; then its *open cone*, denoted cY , is defined as follows: $cY = Y \times [0, 1]/(y, 0) \sim (y', 0)$. Let $[y, r]$ denote the corresponding equivalence class and $*$ the vertex $[y, 0]$. For $Y = \emptyset$ we let $cY = \{*\}$.

Given a G -space X there is a canonical G -action on cX , which is the following $g \cdot [x, r] = [g \cdot x, r]$ where $g \in G, x \in X, r \in [0, 1]$. Notice that the vertex $*$ is a fixed point. Furthermore any invariant open neighborhood of the vertex is a slice in cX .

A slice S_x at x is said to be *linear* if it is G_x -equivalent to a Euclidean space with an orthogonal action. We say that X is *locally linear* if it admits a linear slice at a point in each orbit. Since each tubular neighborhood of an orbit P in X is a vector bundle over P , see [3], it follows that X is a topological manifold. We also call such a space a *locally linear G -manifold*. For example a smooth (C^∞) G -manifold is locally linear [3, VI.2.4].

Definition 1.1. A G -space X is said to be *stratified*, if it admits a filtration

$$X = X^m \supset X^{m-1} \supset \dots \supset X^0 \supset X^{-1} = \emptyset$$

by closed invariant subsets, such that the subspace $X^k - X^{k-1}$ is a topological k -manifold (if non empty), for $k = 0, \dots, m$. (Assume that $X^m \neq X^{m-1}$).

We now define the concept of a conical slice.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a stratified G -space. Given an orbit P in $X^k - X^{k-1}$, for some $k = 0, \dots, m$, we say that a slice S_x at a point x in P , is a *conical slice* of P

at x , if the following holds: There is a compact H -space L , where $H = G_x$, called a *link* of P , together with an H -equivalence $\phi: S_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times cL$, for an integer $i_0 \geq 0$, and the trivial H -action on Euclidean space \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} , such that

$$(X^k - X^{k-1})_{(H)} \cap S_x = S_x^0 = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times \{*\}).$$

We also allow L to be empty. (Notice in particular that $x \in S_x^0$).

Let Γ be the tubular neighborhood corresponding to a conical slice $S_x \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times cL$ of P . Then since $(X^k - X^{k-1})_{(H)} \cap \Gamma \simeq \Phi^{-1} G \times_H S_x^0 \simeq G/H \times \mathfrak{R}^{i_0}$, the integer i_0 is independent of the choice of a conical slice for P . We shall write $sd(P) = i_0 + \dim(G/H)$.

Moreover if each orbit in X admits a conical slice, we have shown that the connected components of the subspaces $(X^k - X^{k-1})_{(H)}$ are topological manifolds, since on such a subspace the function $y \mapsto sd(G \cdot y)$ is continuous.

Notice that for each conical slice $S_x^H \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times c(L^H)$. Therefore the fixed points of S_x can be divided into two classes, one Euclidean and the other conical. The separation of these classes is accomplished by the condition $(X^k - X^{k-1}) \cap S_x^H = S_x^0$, which is equivalent to the one given in 1.2. In other words, the fixed points of conical slices behave nicely relative to the stratification of X .

Now we define the concept of a G -pseudomanifold.

Definition 1.3. The definition is by induction.

A (-1) -dimensional G -pseudomanifold is the empty set.

An n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold ($n \geq 0$) is a stratified G -space X , which satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1) Each orbit P in X has a conical slice $S_x \simeq \phi \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times cL$ at x , such that L is an $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional H -pseudomanifold, where $H = G_x$ and $i = sd(P)$.
- (C2) For each point $y \in S_x - S_x^0$ with $\phi(y) = (t, [l, r])$, we have the relation

$$sd(G \cdot y) = sd(G \cdot x) + sd(H \cdot l) + 1.$$

We shall prove in §2 that n is the topological dimension of X . Condition (C2) is necessary in order to obtain local normal triviality.

Here are some examples.

Examples 1.4.

1. *Locally linear actions.* Let M be an n -dimensional locally linear G -manifold. For $n = -1$ we define M to be the empty set. Claim that M with the trivial stratification, is an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold.

The proof is by induction on the dimension of M . Assume that $n \geq 0$. Given an orbit P in M , let S_x be a linear slice at x , with $x \in P$ and $G_x = H$. Then S_x is

H -equivalent to a Euclidean space E with an orthogonal H -action. Thus there is an H -equivalence ϕ , given by

$$S_x \simeq E^H \oplus (E^H)^\perp \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times c(S^q),$$

where \perp denotes the orthogonal complement with respect to an H -invariant Riemannian metric on E , $i_0 = \dim(E^H)$, $q + 1 = \dim(E^H)^\perp$, and S^q is the standard q -sphere in Euclidean space. (For $q = -1$ we put $S^q = \emptyset$.)

Now if $q \geq 0$, then H acts locally linearly on S^q , since H acts orthogonally on \mathfrak{R}^{q+1} and S^q is a smoothly embedded (C^∞) submanifold. Then we have $M_{(H)} \cap S_x = S_x^H = S_x^0$, since $(S^q)^H = \emptyset$. Therefore S_x is a conical slice of P at x . By the inductive hypothesis, since $q < n$, S^q with the trivial stratification is an $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional H -pseudomanifold, where $i = i_0 + \dim(G/H)$. The case $q = -1$ is trivial.

Now given $y \in S_x - S_x^0$ with $\phi(y) = (t, [l, r])$, let $S_l \simeq^\eta \mathfrak{R}^{k_0} \times c(S^p)$ be a linear (conical) K -slice of $H \cdot l$ in S^q , where $K = G_y = H_y = H_l$. Using an equivariant retraction, see [3, II.4.2], it follows that

$$S_y \simeq^\phi \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times (0, 1) \times S_l \simeq^{1 \times \eta} \mathfrak{R}^{i_0+k_0+1} \times c(S^p)$$

is a linear (conical) K -slice of $H \cdot y$ in the H -manifold S_x . Thus by [3, II.4.6], S_y is a linear (conical) K -slice of $G \cdot y$ in M . It follows that $sd(G \cdot y) = sd(G \cdot x) + sd(H \cdot l) + 1$, and M with the trivial stratification is an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold.

2. *Actions on orbit spaces.* Let M be an n -dimensional locally linear G -manifold ($n \geq 0$), and K a closed normal subgroup of G such that M/K is connected. Then, with the restricted K -action, M is also a locally linear K -manifold (see argument below). Now $G \times M \rightarrow M$ induces an action $G/K \times M/K \rightarrow M/K$, such that the canonical projection $\pi: M \rightarrow M/K$ is $(G, G/K)$ -equivariant. Claim that M/K , with the K -orbit type stratification, (see [7])

$$M/K = (M/K)^m \supset (M/K)^{m-1} \supset \dots \supset (M/K)^0 \supset (M/K)^{-1} = \emptyset,$$

is an m -dimensional G/K -pseudomanifold, where $m = n - k$ and k is the dimension of the principal K -orbits in M .

The proof is by induction on the length of the G -orbit type filtration of M , i.e., the difference between the highest and lowest dimension of the non empty strata in M . For $\text{len}(M) = 0$ it is trivial (see below). Assume that $\text{len}(M) > 0$.

Given an orbit P in M we shall prove as in [3], that each linear H -slice S_x at a point x in P , for $H = G_x$, is contained in a linear J -slice U_x at x , for $J = K \cap H$. In particular, since $U_{g \cdot x} = g \cdot U_x$ is a linear gJg^{-1} -slice at $g \cdot x$, this shows that M is also a locally linear K -manifold.

Consider the smooth (C^∞) action $(K \times H) \times G \rightarrow G$, where we have $(k, h) \cdot (k', h') = (kk', h'h)$ and $(k, h) \cdot g = kgh$. Then clearly

$$(K \times H)_e = \{(j, j^{-1}) \in K \times H: j \in K \cap H\} \simeq K \cap H = J.$$

Let W be a linear J -slice at e in G ; i.e., the canonical map $(K \times H) \times_J W \rightarrow G$ is a $(K \times H)$ -equivalence onto an open neighborhood of KH in G . Since

$$K \times_J (W \times H) \simeq (K \times H) \times_J W$$

is a canonical K -equivalence (with $j \cdot w = jwj^{-1}$), we obtain a K -equivalence $\tilde{\theta}: K \times_J (W \times H) \rightarrow G$ onto an open neighborhood of KH in G .

Now let S_x be a linear H -slice at x in M . Then the following map θ is a K -equivalence onto an open neighborhood of $K \cdot x$ in M :

$$\begin{aligned} K \times_J (W \times S_x) &\simeq K \times_J (W \times (H \times_H S_x)) \simeq K \times_J ((W \times H) \times_H S_x) \\ &\simeq (K \times_J (W \times H)) \times_H S_x \xrightarrow{[\tilde{\theta} \times 1]} G \times_H S_x \rightarrow M. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta[k, (w, s)] = kw \cdot s$, it follows that $U_x = \theta(J \times_J (W \times S_x)) = W \cdot S_x$ is a linear J -slice at x in M , which contains the linear H -slice S_x .

We now determine conical slices in M/K .

First we notice that the K -orbit type stratification of M/K is G/K -invariant, since $U_{g \cdot x} = g \cdot U_x$ is a linear gJg^{-1} -slice at $g \cdot x$ in M , and $\mathcal{L}_g: U_x \rightarrow U_{g \cdot x}$ is a (J, gJg^{-1}) -equivalence. In particular, any principal G -orbit in M decomposes into a union of principal K -orbits.

Clearly $(G/K)_{\pi(x)} = HK/K \simeq H/J$, which is a Lie group isomorphism. Also $U_x^* = \pi(K \cdot U_x) \simeq U_x/J$ by [3, II.4.7], hence we have a $(HK/K, H/J)$ -equivalence $S_x^* = \pi(K \cdot S_x) \simeq S_x/J$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G \times S_x & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G \times_H S_x & \xrightarrow{\Phi \simeq} & G \cdot S_x \\ \downarrow [p \times \pi] & & \downarrow [p \times \pi] & & \downarrow \pi \\ G/K \times S_x^* & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & G/K \times_{HK/K} S_x^* & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & G/K \cdot S_x^*. \end{array}$$

Since $[p \times \pi]$ and π are open maps, Φ a G -equivalence, and $\tilde{\Phi}$ a bijection, it follows that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a G/K -equivalence. Also $G/K \cdot S_x^*$ is open in M/K . Therefore by [3, II.4.1], S_x^* is a HK/K -slice at $\pi(x)$ in M/K .

For $j_0 = \dim(U_x^J)$, we also have,

$$\{(M/K)^{j_0} - (M/K)^{j_0-1}\}_{(HK/K)} \cap S_x^* = (U_x^J)^* \cap (S_x^*)^{HK/K} = (S_x^H)^*,$$

since $G_x = G_y \iff G_x \supset G_y$, $G_x \cap K = G_y \cap K$, $G_x K = G_y K$, and $(k \cdot U_x) \cap U_x \neq \emptyset \implies k \in J$; see [3, II.4.4].

Let $S_x \simeq^\phi \mathfrak{N}^{i_0} \times c(S^q)$ be an H -equivalence, as in 1.4.1, with $i_0 = \dim(S_x^H)$ and $q \geq 0$. Then there is an H/J -equivalence $S_x/J \simeq^{[\phi]} \mathfrak{N}^{i_0} \times c(S^q/J)$, and hence an HK/K -equivalence $S_x^* \simeq^{\phi^*} \mathfrak{N}^{i_0} \times c(S^q/J)$, for the induced HK/K -action on S^q/J . Therefore S_x^* is a conical HK/K -slice (in M/K) of $\pi(P)$ at $\pi(x)$, because $(S_x^*)^0 = (S_x^H)^*$.

Then since $\text{len}(S^q) < \text{len}(M)$, (see [7]), it follows from the inductive hypothesis, that S^q/J with the J -orbit type stratification is a compact H/J -pseudomanifold.

Hence S^q/J is an HK/K -pseudomanifold with the required dimension, as can easily be checked. The case $q = -1$ is trivial.

Using the reiterated slice argument of example 1, and the determination of conical slices in M/K given above, we can easily verify condition (C2) in 1.3. Therefore M/K with the K -orbit type stratification, is an m -dimensional G/K -pseudomanifold.

2. The orbit type refinement

In this section we prove that a G -pseudomanifold is a topological pseudomanifold. Moreover we also show the existence of principal orbits.

Recall the definition of a topological pseudomanifold; see [1], [5].

Definition 2.1. The definition is by induction.

A (-1) -dimensional topological pseudomanifold is the empty set.

An n -dimensional topological pseudomanifold ($n \geq 0$) is a (non empty) topological space Y , which admits a filtration by closed subsets

$$Y = Y_n \supset Y_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset Y_0 \supset Y_{-1} = \emptyset,$$

satisfying the following conditions.

(C1) The subspace $Y_n - Y_{n-1}$ is dense in Y .

(C2) Local normal triviality. For each point $y \in Y_i - Y_{i-1}$ there exists a distinguished neighborhood N of y in Y , a compact $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional topological pseudomanifold

$$L = L_{n-i-1} \supset L_{n-i-2} \supset \cdots \supset L_0 \supset L_{-1} = \emptyset,$$

and a homeomorphism $h: N \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^i \times cL$ which takes $N \cap Y_{i+j+1}$ homeomorphically to $\mathfrak{R}^i \times cL_j$ for $j = -1, \dots, n - i - 1$.

Thus, the subspace $Y_i - Y_{i-1}$ is a topological i -manifold (if non empty), for $i = 0, \dots, n$.

If Y is a topological pseudomanifold then it is locally compact, and a CS space in the sense of Siebenmann [9]. It can be shown that n is the topological dimension of Y , and that every compact topological pseudomanifold can be embedded in Euclidean space [5]. Notice that we allow $i = n - 1$ in the definition of Y , as in [1, p. 61].

The following types of spaces are topological pseudomanifolds: Whitney stratified sets [4], [5], abstract (or Thom-Mather) stratified sets [2], [10], and piecewise linear spaces [1], [4].

For the rest of this section let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold,

$$X = X^n \supset X^{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X^0 \supset X^{-1} = \emptyset.$$

We shall prove that X is an n -dimensional topological pseudomanifold. Hence n is the topological dimension of X and $m = n$. Assume $n \geq 0$.

Recall that in §1 we proved the following: given a class (H) corresponding to orbits in any $X^k - X^{k-1}$, then the connected components of the subspaces $(X^k - X^{k-1})_{(H)}$ are topological manifolds of dimension $i = sd(P)$ for any orbit P intersecting such a component. Therefore $0 \leq i \leq n$, since P has a link L which is an $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional H -pseudomanifold. We shall call these manifolds the *strata* of X .

Then there is a canonical refinement of the filtration of X , called *the orbit type refinement of X* ,

$$X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_0 \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset,$$

where each X_i is the union of the strata of X with dimension less than, or equal to i . We shall prove that the connected components of each non empty subspace $X_i - X_{i-1}$ coincide with the i -dimensional strata of X for $i = 0, \dots, n$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Each orbit $P = G \cdot x$ in X has a tubular neighborhood which is a bundle $(\Gamma, \tau, \Gamma_0, cL)$, where $\Gamma \simeq G \times_H S_x$ is the tubular neighborhood of P in X corresponding to a conical slice at x , and $\Gamma_0 \simeq G \times_H S_x^0$. Furthermore τ is equivariant, $\tau|_{\Gamma_0} = 1$ and $\tau^{-1}(S_x^0) = S_x$.*

Proof. Let S_x be a conical slice of P at x . Consider the homeomorphism q given by the composition

$$S_x \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{R}^0 \times cL \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathfrak{R}^0 \times \{*\}) \times cL \xrightarrow{\phi|^{-1} \times 1} S_x^0 \times cL,$$

where we assume $L \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an equivariant map $\tau: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_0$ given by

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} G \times_H S_x \xrightarrow{[1 \times q]} G \times_H (S_x^0 \times cL) \xrightarrow{[1 \times p]} G \times_H S_x^0 \xrightarrow{\Phi|} \Gamma_0,$$

which is well defined since p and q are H -equivariant. Clearly $\tau^{-1}(S_x^0) = S_x$.

There is a distinguished neighborhood N of x obtained as follows. Let $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow G$ be a local section of $\pi_0: G \rightarrow G/H$, with Σ a chart of G/H , $eH \in W$ and $\sigma(eH) = e$, i.e., $\pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) = \sigma(\Sigma)H$.

Let $U = \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \cdot S_x^0$ and $N = \tau^{-1}(U) = \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \cdot S_x$.

Consider the associated bundle $G \times_H S_x$ with projection map π_1 . Then a trivialization φ_1 of this bundle is given by the composition [3, II.2.4]

$$\pi_1^{-1}(\Sigma) \simeq \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times_H S_x \simeq (\Sigma \times H) \times_H S_x \simeq \Sigma \times (H \times_H S_x) \simeq \Sigma \times S_x,$$

where $\varphi_1[g, s] = (gH, \sigma(gH)^{-1}g \cdot s)$ for $g \in \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma)$, $s \in S_x$.

Hence a trivialization φ of the bundle τ over U is given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \tau^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{=} & \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \cdot S_x & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times_H S_x & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \Sigma \times S_x \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & & & & & \downarrow 1 \times q \\
 U \times cL & \xrightarrow{=} & U \times cL & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times_H S_x^0) \times cL & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma \times S_x^0 \times cL \\
 \downarrow p & & & & & & \downarrow 1 \times p \\
 U & \xrightarrow{=} & \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \cdot S_x^0 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times_H S_x^0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \Sigma \times S_x^0.
 \end{array}$$

It can easily be shown that $\varphi|_{S_x} = q$. Moreover we have

$$\varphi \mathcal{L}_g \varphi^{-1}(\tau(s), [l, r]) = (g \cdot \tau(s), [\sigma(gH)^{-1}g \cdot l, r])$$

for $g \in \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma)$, $s \in S_x$, $[l, r] \in cL$.

Notice in particular, that if T is the stratum of X containing x , then U is a chart of T at x . For $L = \emptyset$ we have $\tau = 1$ and the above proof is trivial.

A distinguished neighborhood of $g \cdot x$ for $g \in G$ is given by $g \cdot N = \tau^{-1}(g \cdot U)$, with a trivialization $\tilde{\varphi} = (\mathcal{L}_g \times 1)\varphi \mathcal{L}_g^{-1}$. \square

It can easily be shown that a basis for the neighborhood system of x is given by the family $\{N_r = \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma_r) \cdot S_x(r) : 0 < r \leq 1\}$ for $S_x(r) \simeq^{\phi_1} D_r(\mathfrak{R}^{i_0}) \times c_r L$ and $\Sigma_r \simeq D_r(\mathfrak{R}^{i-i_0})$, where D_r denotes the standard r -disk in Euclidean space, $i = sd(G \cdot x)$ and $c_r L = L \times [0, r)/(l, 0) \sim (l', 0)$ for $L \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, using an equivariant retraction [3, II.4.2], $S_x(r)$ is also a conical slice of P at x .

We shall now examine the orbit type refinement locally, using the same notation as in 2.2.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let N be a distinguished neighborhood (in X) of the point x . Then the map $\varphi: N = \tau^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U \times cL$, for $L \neq \emptyset$, satisfies*

$$\tau^{-1}(U) \cap (X_j - X_{j-1}) \simeq^\varphi \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } 0 \leq j < i, \\ U \times \{*\} & \text{if } j = i, \\ U \times (L_{j-i-1} - L_{j-i-2}) \times (0, 1) & \text{if } i < j \leq n, \end{cases}$$

where $L = L_{n-i-1} \supset L_{n-i-2} \supset \cdots \supset L_0 \supset L_{-1} = \emptyset$ is the orbit type refinement of the H -pseudomanifold L , and $i = sd(G \cdot x)$.

Proof. Put on $\tau^{-1}(U)$ the relative filtration induced by the orbit type refinement of X , and on $U \times cL$ the canonical filtration induced by the orbit type refinement of L .

Then for each $y \in S_x - S_x^0$ with $\varphi(y) = (\tau(y), [l, r])$, by 2.2 we have

$$(G \cdot y) \cap \tau^{-1}(U) = \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \cdot y \simeq^\varphi \sigma(\Sigma) \cdot \tau(y) \times (H \cdot l) \times \{r\}.$$

However, $sd(G \cdot y) = sd(G \cdot x) + sd(H \cdot l) + 1$ using 1.3 (C2), and the proof is complete. \square

A similar result also holds for the map $\tilde{\varphi}: \tau^{-1}(g \cdot U) \rightarrow (g \cdot U) \times cL$. For $L = \emptyset$ we have $i = n$ with $\tau = 1$, and the result is trivial.

COROLLARY 2.4. *The subspace $X_i - X_{i-1}$ is a topological i -manifold (if non empty), whose connected components coincide with the i -strata of X , for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Furthermore $X_n - X_{n-1}$ is non empty.*

Proof. Let T be an i -stratum in X . Then T is open in $X_i - X_{i-1}$ and thus a component, since for a distinguished neighborhood N of any point x in T , we have $x \in U = N \cap (X_i - X_{i-1}) \subset T$, using 2.3. Hence, since U is a chart of T (see 2.2), $X_i - X_{i-1}$ is an i -manifold. Furthermore, if $X_j - X_{j-1}$ is non empty for $0 \leq j = i < n$, and empty for $i < j \leq n$, then given $x \in X_i - X_{i-1}$, $G \cdot x$ has a non empty link L , since the dimension of L is $n - i - 1 \geq 0$. Hence, using 2.3 again, we obtain a contradiction. Therefore $X_n - X_{n-1}$ is non empty. \square

Using 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.5. *The map $\varphi: N = \tau^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times cL$ is a stratum-preserving homeomorphism, and hence $\text{len}(L) < \text{len}(X)$.*

COROLLARY 2.6. *If X is compact, then it has a finite number of orbit types.*

COROLLARY 2.7. *The subsets X_i in the orbit type refinement of X are closed.*

Proof. Assume X is an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold. Given a stratum T of X with $y \in T \subset X_i - X_{i-1}$, let N be a distinguished neighborhood of y in X . Then by 2.3, N does not intersect strata of dimension strictly smaller than i . Hence $y \in N \cap X_i \subset X_i - X_{i-1}$ and X_{i-1} is closed in X_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Therefore each X_i is closed in X . \square

By 2.3 and 2.7, X is an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold, with the orbit type refinement.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold with the orbit type refinement, and Y an open invariant subspace such that Y/G is connected. Then Y is also an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold, with the relative stratification.*

Proof. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow X^*$ be the canonical projection onto the orbit space of X . Given an orbit P in X , let $S_x \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times cL$ be a conical slice of P at x , where $H = G_x$ and $L \neq \emptyset$. Then (see [3, II.4.7]) we have

$$\Gamma_r^* = \pi(\Gamma_r) \simeq (G \times_H S_x(r))^* \simeq S_x^*(r) \simeq D_r(\mathfrak{R}^{i_0}) \times c_r(L^*)$$

for the tube Γ_r corresponding to the conical slice $S_x(r)$ of P . Hence the family $\{\Gamma_r^*: 0 < r \leq 1\}$ is a neighborhood basis for x^* in X^* , since π is open. Therefore, for any orbit P in Y , it is possible to find a tubular neighborhood Γ_r of P , corresponding to some conical slice $S_x(r)$ of P , such that Γ_r is contained in the open subspace Y . Thus $S_x(r)$ is a conical slice of P in Y . For $L = \emptyset$ we have a similar result. \square

We now prove the existence of principal orbits in a G -pseudomanifold.

THEOREM 2.9. *There exists a conjugacy class (H_0) corresponding to certain orbits in X , called principal orbits, with $\text{type}(G/H_0) \geq \text{type}(P)$ for all orbits P in X . Furthermore $X_{(H_0)}$ is open, has a connected orbit space, and contains $X - X_{n-1}$, the latter being dense in X .*

Proof. We use induction on the length of the orbit type refinement of X . For $\text{len}(X) = 0$ it trivially holds locally, since by 2.4 all orbits have an empty link. Therefore it holds globally, using the argument given below.

Now let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold, with $\text{len}(X) > 0$. Given an orbit P in X , let $S_x \simeq^\varphi S_x^0 \times cL$ be a conical slice of P at x , where L is a link of P . Assume $i = \text{sd}(G \cdot x) \neq n$. Then L is a compact $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional H -pseudomanifold for $H = G_x$, with $\text{len}(L) < \text{len}(X)$ by 2.5.

By the inductive hypothesis, L has principal orbits of type (H/K_0) such that $L_{(K_0)}$ is open, has a connected orbit space, and contains $L - L_{n-i-2}$, the latter being dense in L .

Let $\Gamma \simeq^\Phi G \times_H S_x$ be the tubular neighborhood of P corresponding to the conical slice S_x . Then for any non principal orbit type H/K occurring in L , we may suppose by conjugating that $K_0 \subset K \subset H$ with $K_0 \neq K$. Then K_0 and K differ either in dimension or number of components and thus cannot be conjugate in G . Since subgroups of H which are conjugate in H are, a fortiori, conjugate in G , it follows that

$$\Gamma_{(K_0)} \simeq^\Phi (G \times_H S_x)_{(K_0)} = G \times_H (S_x)_{(K_0)}$$

which is open in Γ , because

$$(S_x)_{(K_0)} \simeq^\varphi \begin{cases} S_x^0 \times L_{(K_0)} \times (0, 1) & \text{if } K_0 \neq H, \\ S_x^0 \times cL & \text{if } K_0 = H, \end{cases}$$

since for $K_0 = H$ we have $L_{(K_0)} = L^H = L$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{(K_0)}^* = \pi(\Gamma_{(K_0)}) \simeq (G \times_H S_x)_{(K_0)}^* \simeq (S_x)_{(K_0)}^*,$$

and this is connected, and open in Γ^* since $\pi : X \rightarrow X^*$ is open.

Now S_x has a canonical filtration induced by the H -orbit type refinement of L . Hence by 2.3, for $i_0 = \dim(S_x^0)$ and $j = -1, \dots, n - i - 1$, we have $S_x \cap X_{i+j+1} = (S_x)_{i_0+j+1} \simeq^\varphi S_x^0 \times cL_j$, and therefore

$$\Gamma_{i+j+1} = \Gamma \cap X_{i+j+1} \simeq G \times_H (S_x)_{i_0+j+1}.$$

Clearly $\Gamma_{(K_0)} \supset \Gamma - \Gamma_{n-1}$, since $(S_x)_{(K_0)} \supset S_x - (S_x)_{n-i+i_0-1}$, hence $\Gamma - \Gamma_{n-1}$ is dense in Γ , and the theorem is valid locally in X . In particular, it follows that $\Gamma_{(K_0)}^*$ is dense in Γ^* .

If $sd(G \cdot x) = n$ we have $S_x = S_x^H = S_x^0$, and the above statements are trivial.

We now extend the theorem globally, using the following argument given in [3].

By above, for all $x^* \in X^* = X/G$ we have a neighborhood U_x^* of x^* which contains an open, connected, dense set W_x^* , such that all orbits in W_x^* have the same type, and all other orbits in U_x^* have type strictly smaller.

Let H be any closed subgroup of G and $C_{(H)} = \overline{\text{int}(X_{(H)}^*)}$. Then $x^* \in C_{(H)} \iff W_x^*$ consists of orbits of type (G/H) and, in this case, $C_{(H)} \supset U_x^*$. Thus $C_{(H)}$ is both open and closed in X^* . Hence $C_{(H_0)} = X$ for some (H_0) (now fixed), since X^* is connected. Also $C_{(K)} = \emptyset$ if K is not conjugate to H_0 .

Then $X_{(H_0)}^*$ is open, since $X_{(H_0)}^* \cap U_x^* = W_x^*$, and is also dense. All other orbits have type strictly smaller than that of G/H_0 . If D is a component of $X_{(H_0)}^*$, then, since W_x^* is connected for each x^* , we see that D^- is open (and closed) in X^* . Hence $X_{(H_0)}^* = D$ is connected.

Therefore $X_{(H_0)}$ is open, and has a connected orbit space. Also since $X_{(H_0)}$ is dense in X , it follows that $X_{(H_0)}$ contains $X - X_{n-1}$, the latter being dense in X . \square

We now prove the result stated at the beginning of this section.

THEOREM 2.10. *Let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold. Then X is an n -dimensional topological pseudomanifold.*

Proof. Use induction on the dimension of X . For $n = -1$, X is empty and both concepts coincide.

Let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold ($n \geq 0$) and P a given orbit in $X_i - X_{i-1}$ for some $i = 0, \dots, n$. If N is a distinguished neighborhood of a point x in P (see 2.2), then for a given trivialization $N = \tau^{-1}(U) \simeq^\varphi U \times cL$, by 2.3 we have

$$N \cap X_{i+j+1} \simeq^\varphi U \times cL_j \simeq \mathfrak{N}^i \times cL_j \quad \text{for } j = -1, \dots, n-i-1,$$

since U is a chart of the i -manifold $X_i - X_{i-1}$ (see 2.4).

However $n-i-1 < n$, hence by the inductive hypothesis L is an $(n-i-1)$ -dimensional, compact topological pseudomanifold. Then using 2.7 and 2.9, X is an n -dimensional topological pseudomanifold. \square

COROLLARY 2.11. *The union of all principal orbits $X_{(H_0)}$ is an n -dimensional topological pseudomanifold embedded in X .*

COROLLARY 2.12. *Let T be a stratum of X with $\dim(T) \neq n$, intersecting orbits of dimension t . Then $\dim(T) \leq n - h + t - 1$, where h is the dimension of the*

principal orbits in X . Equality holds, if and only if there is an orbit P intersecting T , and a conical slice $S_x \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times cL$ of P at x , such that $H = G_x$ acts transitively on L .

Proof. Let P be an orbit in X intersecting T and S_x a conical slice of P at x . There is no loss in generality in assuming $x \in T$.

Then $\Gamma \simeq^\Phi G \times_H S_x$ is a tubular neighborhood of P and by 2.9, there is a point $y \in S_x$ with $sd(G \cdot y) = n$. Let T' be the stratum of X which contains y . Then for $H_0 = G_y$, using 1.3 (C2) we have

$$n = \dim(T') = \dim(T) + (k_0 + \dim(H/H_0)) + 1 \geq \dim(T) + (h - t) + 1. \quad \square$$

3. Stratification of the orbit space

In this section we study the orbit space of a G -pseudomanifold.

Let $B = X/G$ be the orbit space of an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold X , with the orbit type refinement, for $n \geq 0$. Denote by $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ the canonical projection, and let $\pi(A) = A^*$ for $A \subset X$.

Given an orbit P in $X_i - X_{i-1}$, for $i = 0, \dots, n$, then if $\Gamma \simeq G \times_H S_x$ is the tubular neighborhood corresponding to a conical slice $S_x \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times cL$ of P at x , where $H = G_x$, we have

$$(X_i - X_{i-1})_{(H)}^* \cap \Gamma^* \simeq (G \times_H S_x^0)^* \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0}.$$

Therefore the connected components of the subspaces $(X_i - X_{i-1})_{(H)}^*$ are topological manifolds, called the *strata* of B . Clearly each stratum T in X projects onto a stratum T^* of B with $\dim(T^*) = \dim(T) - t$, where t is the dimension of the orbits in X intersecting T .

This leads to the canonical filtration of B , induced by the orbit type refinement of X ,

$$B \supset \dots \supset B_k \supset B_{k-1} \supset \dots \supset B_{-1} = \emptyset,$$

where each B_k is the union of the strata of B with dimension less than, or equal to k . We shall prove that the connected components of each non empty subspace $B_k - B_{k-1}$, coincide with the k -dimensional strata of B , for all k .

LEMMA 3.1. *The above filtration has the following properties.*

- (a) $B = B_m$ for $m = n - h$, where h is the dimension of the principal orbits in the n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold X .
- (b) $B - B_{m-1}$ is a dense in B .

Proof. For an n -dimensional stratum T of X , it follows from 2.9 that T^* is an m -dimensional stratum of B . If T is a stratum of X with $\dim(T) \neq n$, then by 2.12,

$\dim(T^*) = \dim(T) - t \leq n - h - 1 = m - 1$, where t is the dimension of the orbits in X intersecting T . This proves (a).

For (b) note that

$$B = \pi(X) = \pi(\overline{X - X_{n-1}}) \subset \overline{\pi(X - X_{n-1})} = \overline{B - B_{m-1}}. \quad \square$$

Now given an orbit P in X , let $(\Gamma, \tau, \Gamma_0, cL)$ be a tubular neighborhood of P corresponding to a conical slice $S_x \simeq S_x^0 \times cL$ of P at x , as in 2.2. Then there is a map $\rho: \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Gamma_0^*$, given by $\rho(z^*) = \tau(z)^*$ for $z \in \Gamma$, which is well defined since τ is equivariant.

Let $N = \tau^{-1}(U)$ be a distinguished neighborhood of a point y in P , with $V = \pi(U)$. Clearly [3, II.4.7], $\pi(N) = \Gamma^* \simeq S_x/H$ and $\pi(U) = \Gamma_0^* \simeq S_x^0$, for $H = G_x$. Then $\pi(N) = \rho^{-1}(V)$ is a distinguished neighborhood of y^* in B .

Now assume $L \neq \emptyset$. Put on $\rho^{-1}(V)$ the relative filtration in B , and on $V \times c(L/H)$ the canonical filtration induced by the projection $\pi': L \rightarrow L/H$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *There is a map $\psi: \rho^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V \times c(L/H)$, which is a stratum-preserving homeomorphism, commuting with the projection to V .*

Proof. Given a trivialization φ over U , let $\psi = \alpha \circ [\varphi] \circ \beta^{-1}$ in the following diagram, which commutes by 2.2:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tau^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \rho^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha \simeq} & S_x/H \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow [\varphi] \\ U \times cL & \xrightarrow{\pi \times c\pi'} & V \times c(L/H) & \xrightarrow{\beta \simeq} & S_x^0 \times c(L/H). \end{array}$$

Clearly ψ is a stratum-preserving homeomorphism; see proof of 2.3. \square

For $L = \emptyset$ we have $\rho^{-1}(V) = V \subset B - B_{m-1}$.

COROLLARY 3.3. *The subset B_k in the filtration of B is closed, and the subspace $B_k - B_{k-1}$ is a topological k -manifold (if non empty), whose connected components coincide with the k -strata of B for $k = 0, \dots, m$.*

We now state our main result, which shows that both X and X/G belong to the same class of spaces, namely topological pseudomanifolds.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold. Then the orbit space X/G is an m -dimensional topological pseudomanifold.*

Proof. By induction on length of the orbit type refinement of X . For $\text{len}(X) = 0$ we have $B = B - B_{m-1}$ using 2.9 and 3.1, and the proof is trivial.

Now let X be an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold with $\text{len}(X) > 0$. If $y^* \in B_k - B_{k-1}$ for some $k \neq m$, we consider the neighborhood $\rho^{-1}(V)$ of y^* , as given in 3.2.

Then we have

$$\rho^{-1}(V) \cap B_{k+j+1} \simeq^\psi V \times c(L/H)_j \simeq \mathfrak{R}^k \times c(L/H)_j,$$

for $j = -1, \dots, m - k - 1$, since V is a chart of $B_k - B_{k-1}$.

However since $\text{len}(L) < \text{len}(X)$, it follows by the inductive hypothesis that L/H is an $(m - k - 1)$ -dimensional, compact topological pseudomanifold. For $k = m$, the proof is trivial.

Therefore, by 3.1 and 3.3, B is an m -dimensional topological pseudomanifold. \square

4. The embedding theorem

In this section we define smooth G -pseudomanifolds. Moreover we prove a generalization of Mostow's smooth equivariant embedding theorem, see [6], for compact smooth G -pseudomanifolds.

Definition 4.1. A Hausdorff topological space is said to be *smoothly stratified* if it admits a filtration

$$X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \dots \supset X_0 \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset$$

by closed subsets such that $X_i - X_{i-1}$ is a smooth (C^∞) i -manifold (if non empty) for $i = 0, \dots, n$. In this case we say that X is a *smooth stratified space*. In addition, if X is also a G -space, and each non empty $X_i - X_{i-1}$ is invariant, with a smooth restricted G -action, we say that X is a *smooth stratified G -space*. We call the connected components of each $X_i - X_{i-1}$ the *strata* of X . (Assume that $X_n \neq X_{n-1}$.)

Definition 4.2. Let X, Y be smooth stratified spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map.

(i) f is *smooth* if it is stratum-preserving (i.e., maps each stratum of X in a stratum of Y), and smooth when restricted to the strata of X .

(ii) f is a *smooth embedding* if it is a topological embedding which is also stratum-preserving, and a smooth embedding when restricted to the strata of X .

(iii) f is a *submersion* if it is open and surjective, a stratum-preserving projection (i.e., maps each stratum of X onto a stratum of Y), and a smooth submersion when restricted to the strata of X .

(iv) f is a *diffeomorphism* if it is a homeomorphism and f, f^{-1} are smooth.

Now let H be a closed subgroup of G , and S a smooth stratified H -space. Then we show that $G \times_H S$ is canonically a smooth stratified G -space.

Notice that the twisted product is the orbit space of a free action on the Cartesian product. Then the projection $p: G \times S \rightarrow G \times_H S$ induces a smooth structure on the strata of $G \times_H S$ such that p is an open submersion, since $G \times_H (S_k - S_{k-1})$ is the orbit space of the smooth H -manifold $G \times (S_k - S_{k-1})$ for any non empty $S_k - S_{k-1}$ in S . In particular, the left action of G on each manifold $G \times_H (S_k - S_{k-1})$ is also smooth, hence $G \times_H S$ is a smooth stratified G -space.

Now let $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow G$ be a local section at eH of $\pi_0: G \rightarrow G/H$ with $\sigma(eH) = e$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times S & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\Sigma \times H) \times S & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma \times (H \times S) & \xrightarrow{=} & \Sigma \times (H \times S) \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow p' & & \downarrow 1 \times p'' & & \downarrow 1 \times \Phi_H \\
 \pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times_H S & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\Sigma \times H) \times_H S & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma \times (H \times_H S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Sigma \times S.
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, since the action map Φ_H is also a submersion, it follows that the trivialization $\pi_0^{-1}(\Sigma) \times_H S \simeq \Sigma \times S$ is a diffeomorphism. In particular, the canonical map $S \rightarrow G \times_H S$ given by $s \mapsto [e, s]$ is a smooth embedding.

We now define the concept of a smooth G -pseudomanifold.

Definition 4.3. We use induction.

A (-1) -dimensional smooth G -pseudomanifold is the empty set.

An n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold X , $n \geq 0$, is said to be *smooth* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(C1) With the orbit type refinement, X is a smooth stratified G -space.

(C2) Each orbit P in X has a conical slice $S_x \simeq^\phi \mathfrak{N}^{i_0} \times cL$ at x , with L an $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional smooth H -pseudomanifold, where $H = G_x$ and $i = sd(P)$.

(C3) The canonical map $\Phi: G \times_H S_x \rightarrow G \cdot S_x$ is a diffeomorphism.

Examples 4.4.

1. *Smooth actions.* Let M be an n -dimensional smooth G -manifold. For $n = -1$ we define M to be the empty set. Claim that M is an n -dimensional smooth G -pseudomanifold.

The proof is by induction on the dimension of M .

Assume that $n \geq 0$ and choose a G -invariant Riemannian metric on M . Given an orbit P in M , choose a point $x \in P$ with $H = G_x$. Then there is a Riemannian normal coordinate system S_x at x of radius $r > 0$, which is the union of all geodesic segments of length less than r , starting from x in a direction orthogonal to P . Then S_x is H -equivalent to $N_x = T_x(G \cdot x)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement in $T_x(M)$, called the normal space to P at x , which has an orthogonal H -action given by the slice representation; see [3, p. 174]. It follows, see [3], that $S_x = S_x(t)$ is a linear slice at x for some $t \leq r$, and M is a locally linear G -manifold.

Therefore it follows from example 1.4 (1) that M is also an n -dimensional G -pseudomanifold. Moreover since the canonical map $\Phi: G \times_H S_x \rightarrow G \cdot S_x$ is a diffeomorphism of smooth G -manifolds, see [3, p. 308], there is a natural smooth

structure on each non empty manifold $M_i - M_{i-1}$ such that the inclusion in M is a smooth embedding. In particular, G acts smoothly on each $M_i - M_{i-1}$.

Using the same notation as in 1.4 (1), we have a conical slice of P at x ,

$$S_x \simeq N_x \simeq N_x^H \oplus (N_x^H)^\perp \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times c(S^q),$$

where $i_0 = \dim(N_x^H)$ and $q + 1 = \dim(N_x^H)^\perp = n - sd(P)$. If $q \geq 0$, then since S^q is a smooth H -manifold and $q < n$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that S^q is an $(n - i - 1)$ -dimensional smooth H -pseudomanifold for $i = sd(P)$. Moreover the map $\Phi: G \times_H S_x \rightarrow G \cdot S_x$ is a stratum-preserving homeomorphism; see proof of 2.9. Then it follows that Φ is a diffeomorphism of smoothly stratified G -spaces, since the strata of S^q are (C^∞) embedded submanifolds. The case $q = -1$ is trivial.

Therefore M is an n -dimensional smooth G -pseudomanifold.

2. Actions on orbit spaces (smooth case). Let M be an n -dimensional smooth G -manifold, $n \geq 0$, and K a closed normal subgroup of G such that M/K is connected. Since M is locally linear it follows from 1.4 (2) that M/K is a G/K pseudomanifold, which we claim is also smooth.

The proof is by induction on the length of the G -orbit type refinement of M . For $\text{len}(M) = 0$, it is trivial. Assume that $\text{len}(M) > 0$.

Since the invariant G -strata in M (i.e., $G \cdot S$, for a stratum S in M) have a local product structure, we can put a smooth structure on the invariant G/K -strata in M/K such that $\pi: M \rightarrow M/K$ is a submersion; see 2.4. For simplicity we call the map π a $(G, G/K)$ -submersion. In particular, G/K acts smoothly on each non empty $(M/K)_i - (M/K)_{i-1}$.

Using the same notation as in 1.4 (2), let $S_x \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times c(S^q)$ be a conical H -slice of an orbit P (in M) at x , with $q \geq 0$, where $H = G_x$. Then $S_x^* \simeq \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \times c(S^q/J)$ is a conical HK/K -slice of $\pi(P)$ at $\pi(x)$, where $J = K \cap H$. Since $\text{len}(S^q) < \text{len}(M)$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that S^q/J is a smooth HK/K -pseudomanifold.

Consider again the diagram given in 1.4 (2). Then clearly $\pi|: S_x \rightarrow S_x^*$ is an $(H, HK/K)$ -submersion, because $\pi': S^q \rightarrow S^q/J$ is a $(H, H/J)$ -submersion. Hence the map $p \times \pi|$ is also a submersion. In addition, π_1 and π_2 are submersions; therefore the map $[p \times \pi|]$ is also a submersion and since Φ is a diffeomorphism, see 4.4 (1), we conclude that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a diffeomorphism. The case $q = -1$ is trivial.

Therefore M/K is a smooth G/K -pseudomanifold.

Moreover we can also define smooth pseudomanifolds similarly to 4.3, and prove that the orbit space of a smooth G -pseudomanifold is a smooth pseudomanifold. In particular, it can easily be shown that the canonical map $(M/K)/(G/K) \simeq M/G$ is a diffeomorphism of smooth pseudomanifolds.

We shall now formulate the equivariant embedding theorem.

This theorem is a generalization of the classical smooth equivariant embedding theorem of Mostow, see [6], which is valid for G -manifolds.

Our result is the following.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let X be a compact smooth G -pseudomanifold. Then there is a Euclidean space \mathfrak{R}^m with an orthogonal G -action, together with an equivariant smooth embedding $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^m$.*

Proof. By induction on the length of the orbit type refinement of X . For $\text{len}(X) = 0$, the statement follows from the smooth equivariant embedding theorem of Mostow, see [6], since by 2.4 all orbits in X have an empty link.

Let X be an n -dimensional smooth G -pseudomanifold with $\text{len}(X) > 0$. If P is an orbit in X , let S_x be a conical slice of P at x , as given by 4.3 (C2). Then, there is an H -equivalence $\phi: S_x \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{R}^{i_0}, 1) \times cL$, with $G_x = H$, where L is a compact smooth H -pseudomanifold, and H acts trivially on $D(\mathfrak{R}^{i_0}, 1)$ the open unit disk of \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} . Assume that $L \neq \emptyset$. Clearly S_x with the canonical stratification induced by L , is a smoothly stratified H -space.

Now if Γ is the tubular neighborhood of P corresponding to the slice S_x , then the map $\Phi^{-1}: \Gamma = G \cdot S_x \rightarrow G \times_H S_x$ is a G -equivariant diffeomorphism, where the domain has the relative stratification, and the range the canonical stratification induced by S_x , using 4.3 (C3). In particular, it follows that S_x is smoothly embedded in X .

Since $\text{len}(L) < \text{len}(X)$, by the inductive hypothesis there is a Euclidean space \mathfrak{R}^{m_0} with an orthogonal H -action, together with an equivariant smooth embedding $\theta_1: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{m_0}$.

Therefore there is an H -equivariant smooth embedding $\theta_2: S_x \rightarrow V$, where $\theta_2 = \phi \circ (1 \times c\theta_1)$ and $V = \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} \oplus \mathfrak{R} \oplus \mathfrak{R}^{m_0}$, which has an orthogonal H -action given by the sum of these representations, with H acting trivially on \mathfrak{R}^{i_0} and \mathfrak{R} . Here $c\theta_1: cL \rightarrow c\mathfrak{R}^{m_0} \subset \mathfrak{R} \oplus \mathfrak{R}^{m_0}$.

Let $D(V, r) = \{v \in V: \|v\| < r\}$, and $c(L, r) = L \times [0, r]/(l, 0) \sim (l', 0)$ for $0 < r \leq 1$. Using a suitable homothety, we may assume that there is an H -equivariant smooth embedding of S_x into $D(V, \sqrt{3})$.

By symmetry there is an H -equivariant smooth embedding of $S_x(r) = \phi^{-1}\{D(\mathfrak{R}^{i_0}, r) \times c(L, r)\}$ into $D(V, r\sqrt{3})$. It can easily be shown using an equivariant retraction, see [3, II.4.2], that $S_x(r)$ is also a conical slice of P at the point x .

Hence the following composition is a G -equivariant smooth embedding:

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} G \times_H S_x \xrightarrow{[1 \times \theta_2]} G \times_H D(V, \sqrt{3}) \xrightarrow{[1 \times i]} G \times_H V.$$

For $L = \emptyset$, the above is trivially satisfied.

To conclude the proof, we shall give an equivariant smooth embedding of $G \times_H V$ into Euclidean space.

Using [3, 0.5.2], it follows that there exists an orthogonal representation of G on some Euclidean space V_0 and a point $v_0 \in V_0$ with $G_{v_0} = H$. Now by [3, 0.4.2], the orthogonal representation of H on the Euclidean space V given above may be extended to an orthogonal representation of G on some Euclidean space $V' \supset V$ such that this inclusion is H -equivariant. Then, G acts orthogonally on $W = V_0 \oplus V'$ via the sum of these two representations (i.e., diagonally).

Consider the subspace $v_0 + V$ in W , then by a similar argument to the one given in the proof of [3, II.4.4], there is an equivariant map $G \cdot (v_0 + V) \rightarrow G/H$, whose fiber over eH is $v_0 + V$. Since H is closed, it follows from [3, II.3.2] that the canonical map α given by $G \times_H V \simeq G \times_H (v_0 + V) \rightarrow G \cdot (v_0 + V)$, is a G -equivalence.

Clearly $G \times_H V$ is a smooth G -manifold, see the remark after 4.2, and since the canonical projection $G \times V \rightarrow G \times_H V$ is a submersion, it follows that α is smooth into W . Now let $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow G$ be a local section of $\pi_0: G \rightarrow G/H$ at eH , with $\sigma(eH) = e$. Consider the following trivialization $\tilde{\alpha}$, (see proof of 2.2):

$$\Sigma \times V \xrightarrow{\phi_1^{-1}} G \times_H V \xrightarrow{\simeq} G \times_H (v_0 + V) \xrightarrow{\Phi} W \xrightarrow{-v_0 \oplus 1} W.$$

Then $\tilde{\alpha}(gH, 0) = (g \cdot v_0 - v_0, 0)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(eH, v) = (0, v)$ for $gH \in \Sigma, v \in V$. Thus $\tilde{\alpha}_*$ is injective at $(eH, 0)$, and hence α_* is injective at $[e, 0]$.

Moreover given $v \in V$ with $H_v = K$, let S_1 be a linear K -slice at v in V , i.e., $S_1 = v + V_1$ for some K -invariant linear subspace V_1 inside V .

Then the map

$$G \times_K V_1 \xrightarrow{\simeq} G \times_H (H \times_K S_1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} G \times_H (H \cdot S_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha|} W,$$

coincides with the canonical map $G \times_K V_1 \simeq G \times_K (v_0 + S_1) \rightarrow G \cdot (v_0 + S_1)$. Therefore, using an argument similar to the one given previously, α_* is injective at $[e, v]$. Hence by equivariance, α_* is everywhere injective, and consequently α is a smooth equivariant embedding of $G \times_H V$ into W .

Then the following map β is a G -equivariant smooth embedding:

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} G \times_H S_x \xrightarrow{[1 \times \theta_2]} G \times_H D(V, \sqrt{3}) \xrightarrow{[1 \times i]} G \times_H V \xrightarrow{\alpha} W.$$

Now given $1 > s > t > 0$, let $f: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a smooth function such that

$$\begin{cases} f(r) = 1 & \text{for } r \leq t, \\ f(r) \neq 0 & \text{for } r < s, \\ f(r) = 0 & \text{for } r \geq s. \end{cases}$$

Let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be the smooth invariant function obtained from the radius r of cL , which is well defined by 2.2, since H acts trivially on r . Then we can define a smooth equivariant map $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow W$ by $y \mapsto f(\rho(y)) \cdot \beta(y)$, for $y \in \Gamma$. Since X/G is Hausdorff and $(G \times_H \overline{S_x(s)})/G \simeq \overline{S_x(s)}/H$ is compact, where the closure is taken in S_x , it follows that $\rho^{-1}([0, s])$ is closed in X . Therefore ψ extends to a smooth equivariant map on X .

Also the smooth invariant function $\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, given by $y \mapsto f(\rho(y)) \cdot s/t$ for $y \in \Gamma$, extends to a smooth invariant function on X .

Thus for each orbit P in X we have an orthogonal representation of G on an Euclidean space W_x , and a smooth equivariant map $\psi_x: X \rightarrow W_x$, which is a smooth embedding on the tubular neighborhood Γ_x corresponding to the conical slice $S_x(t)$ of P at x .

Additionally, we have a smooth invariant function $\gamma_x: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, which is non zero exactly on Γ_x .

Since X is compact, it can be covered by finitely many tubular neighborhoods $\Gamma_{x_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{x_k}$. Let $\theta: X \rightarrow W_{x_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{x_k} \oplus \mathfrak{R}^k \simeq \mathfrak{R}^m$ be given as follows:

$$\theta(x) = (\psi_{x_1}(x), \dots, \psi_{x_k}(x), \gamma_{x_1}(x), \dots, \gamma_{x_k}(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

This map is clearly smooth and equivariant.

If $x, y \in \bigcup \Gamma_{x_p}$ and $\theta(x) = \theta(y)$, then for some $p = 1, \dots, k$ we have $\gamma_{x_p}(x) = \gamma_{x_p}(y) \neq 0$, which implies that $x, y \in \Gamma_{x_p}$ and hence that $x = y$, since ψ_{x_p} is injective on Γ_{x_p} . Therefore θ is injective and a topological embedding. Because ψ_{x_p} is a smooth embedding on Γ_{x_p} for all p , it follows that θ is a smooth equivariant embedding. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, *Intersection cohomology*, Progr. Math., no. 50, Birkhäuser, 1984.
- [2] J. Brasselet, G. Hector, and M. Saralegi, *Théorème de De Rham pour les variétés stratifiées*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **9** (1991), 211–243.
- [3] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [4] M. Goresky and R. Mac Pherson, *Intersection homology theory*, Topology **19** (1980), 135–162.
- [5] ———, *Intersection homology II*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 77–129.
- [6] G. Mostow, *Equivariant embeddings in Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 432–446.
- [7] R. Popper, *G-pseudomanifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. **73** (1996), 235–245.
- [8] F. Quinn, *Homotopically stratified sets*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1-2** (1988), 441–499.
- [9] L. Siebenmann, *Deformations of homeomorphisms on stratified sets*, Com. Math. Helvetici **47** (1972), 123–163.
- [10] A. Verona, *Stratified mappings- structure and triangulability*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1102, Springer, 1984.

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Venezuela, Caracas, Venezuela
 rpopper@euler.ciens.ucv.ve