

# LOCAL CONNECTEDNESS IN THE STONE-ČECH COMPACTIFICATION<sup>1</sup>

BY MELVIN HENRIKSEN AND J. R. ISBELL

## Introduction

This is a study of when and where the Stone-Čech compactification of a completely regular space may be locally connected. As to when, Banaschewski [1] has given strong necessary conditions for  $\beta X$  to be locally connected, and Wallace [19] has given necessary and sufficient conditions in case  $X$  is normal. We show below that Banaschewski's necessary conditions are also sufficient and may be restated as follows:  $\beta X$  is locally connected if and only if  $X$  is locally connected and pseudo-compact (Corollary 2.5). Moreover, the requirement that  $\beta X$  be locally connected is so strong that it implies that every completely regular space containing  $X$  as a dense subspace is locally connected (Corollary 2.6).

As to where  $\beta X$  is locally connected, we note first (1.15) that the completion  $\langle aX \rangle$  of  $X$  in its finest uniformity is a subspace of  $\beta X$ . Then  $\beta X$  is never locally connected at any point not in  $\langle aX \rangle$  (Theorem 2.2) and is locally connected at a point of  $X$  if and only if  $X$  is locally connected there (Corollary 1.5). In the remaining case, we have only that if  $X$  is locally connected, then  $\beta X$  is locally connected at every point of  $\langle aX \rangle$  (Theorem 2.1).

These results, together with some lemmas, are given in the first two sections. Two lemmas worthy of independent mention are Lemma 1.4: An open subset  $U$  of  $\beta X$  is connected if and only if  $U \cap X$  is connected, and Lemma 1.14:  $X$  is locally connected if and only if every normal covering has a normal refinement consisting of connected sets. (The first of these was obtained by Wallace in [19] for normal spaces.)

In our last section we discuss Wallace's conditions which are stated in terms of Property  $S$ , a name which is given in the literature to three related but different concepts. We show that Property  $S$  in the sense of Wallace is equivalent to local connectedness and countable compactness; then our Corollary 2.5 appears as a direct generalization of Wallace's result.

## 1. The lemmas

In this paper, we are concerned almost exclusively with subspaces of compact Hausdorff spaces. These are the completely regular spaces, and throughout the paper "*space*" will abbreviate "*completely regular space*" unless an exception is made explicitly.

For any space  $X$ , let  $C(X)$  denote the set of all continuous real-valued functions on  $X$ , and let  $C^*(X)$  denote the set of all bounded functions in  $C(X)$ .

Received December 21, 1956.

<sup>1</sup> This paper was written while the first author was an Alfred P. Sloan fellow, and the second author was a National Science Foundation fellow.

1.1. Every completely regular space is a subspace of an essentially unique compact space  $\beta X$  such that every  $f \in C^*(X)$  has a (unique) extension  $\hat{f} \in C(\beta X) = C^*(\beta X)$ . The space  $\beta X$ , which is usually called the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ , is unique in the sense that if  $Y$  is any compact space containing  $X$  as a dense subspace and such that every  $f \in C^*(X)$  has a continuous extension over  $Y$ , then there is a homeomorphism of  $\beta X$  onto  $Y$  keeping  $X$  pointwise fixed [3, 16].

If  $A \subset X$ , we use  $A^\beta$  to denote the closure of  $A$  in  $\beta X$ . Two subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$  are said to be *completely separated* if there is an  $f \in C^*(X)$  such that  $f[A] = 0$  and  $f[B] = 1$ . Two subsets of  $X$  have disjoint closures in  $\beta X$  if and only if they are completely separated [3].

1.2. We denote by  $\nu X$  the subspace of  $\beta X$  consisting of all  $p \in \beta X$  over which every  $f \in C(X)$  has a continuous real-valued extension. If  $X = \nu X$ , then  $X$  is called a *Q-space*. The space  $\nu X$  is unique in the sense that if  $X$  is dense in a *Q-space*  $Y$  such that every  $f \in C(X)$  has a (unique) extension  $\hat{f} \in C(Y)$ , then there is a homeomorphism of  $\nu X$  upon  $Y$  keeping  $X$  pointwise fixed [5, 8]. By a theorem of M. H. Stone [16, Theorem 88], every  $f \in C(X)$  has a (unique) continuous extension  $\hat{f}$  over  $\beta X$  into the one point compactification  $R \cup \{\infty\}$  of the real line  $R$ . A point  $q$  of  $\beta X$  fails to be in  $\nu X$  if and only if there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $\hat{f}(q) = \infty$  [5].

1.3. In [1], Banaschewski showed that if  $\beta X$  is locally connected (i.e., every point has a base of connected open neighborhoods), then (i)  $X$  is locally connected, and (ii)  $X$  cannot have an infinite family of open subsets whose closures are pairwise disjoint and have a closed union. In [6], it was noted that (ii) is equivalent to  $X$  being *pseudo-compact* (i.e., every  $f \in C(X)$  is bounded). Equivalently,  $\nu X = \beta X$ .

Below (Corollary 1.5 and Lemma 1.6), we improve Banaschewski's result by making it local in character. In particular, we show that  $\beta X$  cannot be locally connected at any point  $x$  of  $X$  unless  $X$  is locally connected there, and that  $\beta X$  fails to be locally connected at any point not in  $\nu X$ . Moreover, the converse of Banaschewski's theorem is true. Indeed if  $X$  is locally connected and pseudo-compact, and  $X$  is dense in a completely regular space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is locally connected (Theorem 2.4).

The following lemma was obtained by Wallace for normal spaces [19].

1.4. LEMMA. *An open subset  $U$  of  $\beta X$  is connected if and only if  $U \cap X$  is connected.*

*Proof.* If  $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ , where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are disjoint open subsets of  $\beta X$ , then  $U \cap X = (U_1 \cap X) \cup (U_2 \cap X)$ , so  $U \cap X$  is disconnected if  $U$  is disconnected.

If  $U \cap X$  is disconnected, then there exist nonempty disjoint open subsets  $V_1, V_2$  of  $X$  such that  $U \cap X = V_1 \cup V_2$ . Since  $X$  is dense in  $\beta X$ ,  $(U \cap X)^\beta = V_1^\beta \cup V_2^\beta$  contains  $U$ . If  $V_1^\beta \cap V_2^\beta$  is empty, then

$$U = (V_1^\beta \cap U) \cup (V_2^\beta \cap U)$$

is disconnected, and we are done. On the other hand, if there is a  $p \in V_1^\beta \cap V_2^\beta$ , construct a  $\varphi \in C(\beta X)$  such that  $\varphi(p) = 0$ , and  $\varphi[\beta X - U] = 1$ . Define a function  $f$  on  $X$  by letting  $f(x) = \varphi(x)$  except where  $x \in V_2$  and  $\varphi(x) < \frac{1}{2}$ , and by letting  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  otherwise. It is easily verified that  $f \in C^*(X)$ . The continuous extension (1.1)  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  over  $\beta X$  coincides with  $\varphi$  on  $V_1^\beta$ , so  $\hat{f}(p) = 0$ . But  $\hat{f} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  on  $V_2^\beta$ . This contradiction shows that  $U$  is disconnected, and completes the proof of the lemma.

Clearly  $\{\Omega_\alpha\}$  is a base of open neighborhoods of  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\{\Omega_\alpha \cap X\}$  is a base of open neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ . We may conclude:

1.5. COROLLARY. *For each point  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $\beta X$  is locally connected at  $x$  if and only if  $X$  is locally connected at  $x$ .*

1.6. LEMMA.  *$\beta X$  is never locally connected at any point not in  $\nu X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p \in \beta X - \nu X$ , there is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $\hat{f}(p) = \infty$  (1.2). For  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , let  $Z_i$  be the set of all  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $n \leq f(x) \leq n + 1$  for some integer  $n \equiv i \pmod{4}$ . The four sets  $Z_i$  cover  $X$ , so  $p$  is in one of their closures in  $\beta X$ , say  $p \in Z_1^\beta$ . Then  $p$  is not in  $Z_3^\beta$ , since  $Z_1$  and  $Z_3$  are obviously completely separated (1.1). Hence there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  disjoint from  $Z_3^\beta$ . If  $\beta X$  is locally connected at  $p$ , then  $U$  contains a connected open neighborhood  $U'$  of  $p$ . By Lemma 1.4,  $U' \cap X$  is connected. So, by the construction above, there is an integer  $n$  such that  $4n \leq f(x) \leq 4n + 3$  for all  $x \in U' \cap X$ , contrary to the fact that  $\hat{f}(p) = \infty$ .

1.7. COROLLARY (Banaschewski).  *$\beta X$  is not locally connected unless  $X$  is locally connected and pseudo-compact.*

For the remainder of the paper, we shall need some elementary facts about normal coverings and uniformities in the sense of Tukey [18].

1.8. An open covering  $v$  of a space is said to be a *refinement* of a covering  $u$  if every member of  $v$  is a subset of some member of  $u$ . The open covering  $v = \{V_\beta\}$  is said to be a *star-refinement* of the open covering  $u$  if every  $V_\beta$  is contained in some member  $U$  of  $u$  in such a way that  $U$  contains every member of  $v$  that meets  $V_\beta$ . An open covering  $u$  is *normal* if there is an infinite sequence  $\{u^n\}$  of open coverings beginning with  $u^1 = u$ , such that  $u^{n+1}$  is a star-refinement of  $u^n$ . A binary open covering  $\{U, V\}$  is normal if and only if  $X - U$  and  $X - V$  are completely separated [18, V. 9.3].

Some insight into this concept may be gained by the following remark which is given in [9, Corollary 2.2].

*An open covering  $u$  of a space  $X$  is normal if and only if there exists a metrizable space  $Y$ , an open covering  $v$  of  $Y$ , and a continuous function  $f$  on  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(v)$  is a refinement of  $u$ .*

1.9. We presuppose a familiarity with Tukey's development of uniform spaces, but we will repeat some known facts about uniformities, primarily those described with the aid of nonstandard terminology. The open cover-

ings of a uniform space that are members of its uniformity are called *large coverings*. Every large covering is normal. A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a uniform space  $\mu X$  is called a *Cauchy filter* if every large covering contains a member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . A uniform space  $\mu X$  is *complete* if every Cauchy filter on  $\mu X$  converges. Every uniform space  $\mu X$  is a dense subspace of a unique complete uniform space  $\langle \mu X \rangle$ , called the completion of  $\mu X$ , such that every Cauchy filter on  $X$  converges to a point in  $\langle \mu X \rangle$ . A uniform space is called *precompact* if its completion is compact. There is a finest uniformity on a space  $X$  compatible with its topology. It consists of all normal (open) coverings of  $X$ . The associated uniform space is denoted by  $aX$ .

The next two lemmas are due essentially to Tukey and Doss.

1.10. LEMMA. *For every point  $x$  of a space  $X$  and every open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there is a closed neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\{U, X - V\}$  is a normal covering.*

*Proof.* There is an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f[X - U] = 1$ . Let  $V = \{x \in X: f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then it is easily seen that  $X - U$  and  $V$  are completely separated. So, as noted in 1.8,  $\{U, X - V\}$  is normal.

1.11. LEMMA. *The space  $X$  is pseudo-compact if and only if every normal (open) covering of  $X$  has a finite normal subcovering.*

*Proof.* In [4], Doss has shown that  $X$  is precompact in all its uniformities if and only if  $X$  is pseudo-compact. Tukey [10, p. 60] has shown that a uniform space is precompact if and only if every large covering has a finite large subcovering. (Tukey uses "largely compact" for our "precompact".) But then  $X$  is precompact in all its uniformities if and only if  $aX$  is precompact, so we have the lemma.

The next lemma is due to A. H. Stone. Although a weaker statement is made in [15, p. 979], the following is actually proved therein.

1.12. LEMMA (A. H. Stone). *Every normal covering has a normal refinement that can be written as the union of countably many collections  $\{V_{nr}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that for each fixed  $n$ , the  $V_{nr}$ 's have pairwise disjoint closures.*

1.13. Recall that a space  $X$  is locally connected (connected *im kleinen*) at a point  $x$  if every neighborhood of  $x$  contains a connected open neighborhood (connected neighborhood). Locally, *im kleinen* connectedness is a weaker property; but in the large the two are equivalent [10, p. 94]. Therefore, to show that a space is locally connected, it suffices to show that it is connected *im kleinen* at each of its points.

A space is locally connected if and only if components of open sets are open. The union of a family of connected sets that meet a given connected set is connected [21, p. 10, p. 45].

1.14. LEMMA. *A space  $X$  is locally connected if and only if every normal covering has a normal refinement consisting of connected sets.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be any open neighborhood of a point  $x$  of  $X$ . By Lemma 1.10, there is a closed neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\{U, X - V\}$  is a normal covering of  $X$ . Hence the sufficiency follows.

To prove the necessity, we will show that if  $\{U_\alpha\} = u$  is a normal covering of a locally connected space  $X$ , then the covering  $v$  consisting of all of the components of the elements of  $u$  is normal.

Since  $u$  is normal, there is a sequence of (normal) coverings  $\{u^n\}$  with  $u^1 = u$  and such that  $u^{n+1}$  is a star-refinement of  $u^n$ . If  $v^n$  denotes the set of all components of elements of  $u^n$ , then since  $X$  is locally connected,  $v^n$  is an open covering (1.13). Moreover, if  $V \in v^{n+1}$ , then  $V$  is a component of some  $U \in u^{n+1}$ , and therefore  $V$  is a subset of some  $U' \in u^n$  which contains every member of  $u^{n+1}$  meeting  $U$ . A fortiori,  $U'$  contains all the elements of  $v^{n+1}$  that meet  $V$ . But, as noted in 1.13, this latter is a connected set, and thus is a subset of a component of  $U'$ . Therefore  $v^{n+1}$  is a star-refinement of  $v^n$ , and hence  $v'$  is normal.

Next, we will make some remarks comparing  $vX$  with  $\langle aX \rangle$ .

1.15. *The completion  $\langle aX \rangle$  of  $X$  in its finest uniform structure is a subspace of  $vX$ .*

*Proof.* As was shown by Tukey [18, VI. 5.5], every  $f \in C(X)$  is uniformly continuous on  $aX$  and hence has a continuous extension over  $\langle aX \rangle$ , which in turn has an extension over  $v\langle aX \rangle$ . Thus  $X$  is dense in the  $Q$ -space  $v\langle aX \rangle$ , and every  $f \in C(X)$  is extensible over it. From (1.2), there is a homeomorphism of  $v\langle aX \rangle$  upon  $vX$  keeping  $X$  pointwise fixed, which serves to embed  $\langle aX \rangle$  in  $vX$ .

1.16. Actually, under very *weak* hypotheses on  $X$ , we may identify  $vX$  with  $\langle aX \rangle$ . More precisely, Shirota showed in [13] that if  $X$  has a base of open sets whose cardinal number is not strongly inaccessible from  $\aleph_0$  in the sense of Tarski and Ulam, then  $X$  is a  $Q$ -space if (and only if) it admits a uniformity in which it is complete. Actually this hypothesis may be weakened a bit further, but we shall not dwell on the matter since we do not use Shirota's theorem explicitly in the sequel. Moreover, Tarski [17] has shown that it is consistent with the axioms of set theory to reject the existence of strongly inaccessible cardinals.

To see that  $vX$  and  $\langle aX \rangle$  coincide under the hypothesis stated above, it suffices to note that Shirota's theorem yields that  $\langle aX \rangle$  is a  $Q$ -space, and that  $\langle aX \rangle$  contains  $X$  as a dense subspace so that every  $f \in C(X)$  has a continuous extension over  $\langle aX \rangle$  (1.2).

The next lemma, which we will need explicitly below, is also due to Shirota [13].

1.17. **LEMMA (Shirota).**  *$vX$  is the completion of  $X$  relative to the uniformity defined by all countable normal coverings.*

Our last lemma, which is due to Morita, gives us a way of passing from

normal coverings of  $X$  to normal coverings of  $\langle aX \rangle$ . For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we let  $\bar{A}$  denote the closure of  $X$  in  $\langle aX \rangle$ , and we let  $A^*$  denote the interior (in  $\langle aX \rangle$ ) of  $\bar{A}$ . The proof of this lemma may be obtained by reading in the order given [11, Theorem 3], [12, Lemma 1], [11, Lemma 9] and by recalling that every large covering is normal (1.9).

1.18. LEMMA (Morita). *For any normal covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $X$ ,  $\{U_\alpha^*\}$  is a normal covering of  $\langle aX \rangle$ .*

## 2. The theorems

2.1. THEOREM. *If  $X$  is locally connected, then  $\langle aX \rangle$  is locally connected, and  $\beta X$  is locally connected at each point of  $\langle aX \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u^1 = \{U_\alpha\}$  be a normal covering of  $\langle aX \rangle$ , and let  $u^n$  be a descending sequence of star-refinements. Let  $v^n$  denote the restriction of  $u^n$  to  $X$ . Obviously  $v^{n+1}$  is a star-refinement of  $u^n$ , so  $v^1$  is normal—as is  $v^2$ . By Lemma 1.14,  $v^2$  has a normal refinement  $w = \{W_\beta\}$  consisting of connected open sets. By Lemma 1.18,  $\{W_\beta^*\}$  is a normal covering of  $\langle aX \rangle$ . Each  $W_\beta$  is contained in a member  $U_\alpha$  of  $u^1$  which contains every member of  $u^2$  meeting  $W_\beta$ ; a fortiori  $U_\alpha$  contains  $\bar{W}_\beta$  and hence  $W_\beta^*$ . Finally, each  $W_\beta \in w$  is connected and dense in  $W_\beta^*$ , so  $W_\beta^*$  is connected. By Lemma 1.14 again,  $\langle aX \rangle$  is locally connected. The second part of the theorem follows from the above, Corollary 1.5, and the fact that  $\beta\langle aX \rangle = \beta X$  (1.15).

2.2. THEOREM.  *$\beta X$  is not locally connected at any point not in  $\langle aX \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.6, we need only consider points of  $\nu X$ . Suppose that  $p$  is in  $\nu X$ , but not in  $\langle aX \rangle$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  denote the filter of all  $U \cap X$ , where  $U$  is a neighborhood in  $\beta X$  of  $p$ . Since  $p$  is not in  $\langle aX \rangle$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not a Cauchy filter on  $\langle aX \rangle$ , so there is a normal covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $X (= \text{large covering of } aX)$  no element of which is in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . By Lemma 1.12 (and the definition of normal covering) we may replace  $\{U_\alpha\}$  by a normal star-refinement  $\{V_{n\gamma}\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where for each fixed  $n$ , the  $V_{n\gamma}$ 's have pairwise disjoint closures. Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter containing no  $U_\alpha$ , it contains no  $\bar{V}_{n\gamma}$ . However, if for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we put  $V_n = \bigcup_\beta V_{n\beta}$ , then  $\{V_n\}$  is a countable normal covering. But by Lemma 1.17,  $\nu X$  is the completion in the uniformity on  $X$  defined by all countable normal coverings, so  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Cauchy filter relative to this uniformity, whence  $\mathfrak{F}$  must contain some  $V_n$ . This means that for this  $n$ ,  $V_n = U \cap X$  for some neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$ . Since  $X$  is dense in  $\beta X$ ,  $V_n^\beta$  contains  $U$ , and hence is a neighborhood of  $p$ . If  $\beta X$  is locally connected at  $p$ , then there is a connected open neighborhood  $U'$  contained in  $V_n^\beta$ . By Lemma 1.4,  $U' \cap X$  is connected and hence is contained in one of the sets  $\bar{V}_{n\gamma}$ . But  $U' \cap X$  is in  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and by the above no  $\bar{V}_{n\gamma}$  can be in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Hence  $\beta X$  cannot be locally connected at  $p$ .

From Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 1.5, and the fact that  $\beta\langle aX \rangle = \beta X$ , we obtain the following.

2.3. COROLLARY.  $X$  is locally connected if and only if  $\langle aX \rangle$  is locally connected.

Note that in the corollary above, we cannot replace  $\langle aX \rangle$  by  $\nu X$  without some cardinality restriction on  $X$  as in 1.16. For if there exists a discrete space  $X$  that is not a  $Q$ -space, then  $X$  is locally connected, but  $\nu X$  is not locally connected.

2.4. THEOREM. If  $X$  is locally connected and pseudo-compact, then any (completely regular) space  $Y$  containing  $X$  as a dense subspace is locally connected.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be dense in  $Y$ . For any  $y \in Y$ , and any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$ , by Lemma 1.10, there is a closed neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $\{U, Y - V\}$  is a normal covering of  $Y$ . Then  $\{U \cap X, X - V\}$  forms an open covering of  $X$  which is clearly normal. Since  $X$  is locally connected, by Lemma 1.14, this open covering has a normal refinement consisting of connected (open) subsets of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pseudo-compact, the latter has a finite subfamily  $\{F_i\}$  that covers  $X$ . Let  $G$  denote the closed subset of  $Y$  which consists of the union of the closures in  $Y$  of all those  $F_i$  such that  $y$  is a limit point of  $F_i$ . None of these  $F_i$  can be contained in  $X - V$ ; hence they are all in  $U \cap X$ , so  $G$  is a subset of the closure in  $Y$  of  $U$ . Now, since  $Y$  is regular, the closed neighborhoods of  $y$  form a basis at  $y$ . Moreover,  $Y - G$  is a subset of the union of the closures in  $Y$  of all those  $F_i$  of which  $y$  is not a limit point, so  $G$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . Finally,  $G$  is a union of connected sets having a point in common, and hence is connected (1.13). Hence,  $Y$  is connected im kleinen at each of its points, so  $Y$  is locally connected (1.13).

The next two corollaries follow from Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 1.5.

2.5. COROLLARY.  $\beta X$  is locally connected if and only if  $X$  is locally connected and pseudo-compact.

2.6. COROLLARY.  $\beta X$  is locally connected if and only if every (completely regular) space  $Y$  containing  $X$  as a dense subspace is locally connected.

We conclude this section by remarking that under the added assumption that  $Y$  is compact, Corollary 2.6 can be obtained more simply. For, Whyburn [20] has shown that every closed continuous image of a locally connected space is locally connected, and by a theorem of Čech [3], every compact space containing  $X$  as a dense subspace is a continuous (closed) image of  $\beta X$ . (We are indebted to E. Michael for the reference to Whyburn's paper.)

### 3. Property $S$

The term *Property  $S$*  has two definitions in the literature which are not seriously liable to be confused. In each case, the idea is that a set having Property  $S$  should be locally connected and "smooth". The original formulation of Sierpiński [14] is metric: for every real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the space is a union of

finitely many connected sets of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . This definition is used e.g. in Bing's solution of the convex metric problem [2], and in a textbook [7]. However, in the theory of generalized manifolds [21], it seems to be convenient to use a related property that is *topological* and *relative*; a subspace  $Y$  of a regular space  $X$  has Property  $S$  if every open covering of  $X$  can be refined on  $Y$  by a finite family of connected sets.

Wallace has introduced a third property of the same name, and has given some applications of it in the theory of extension spaces [19]. He says that a topological space  $X$  has Property  $S$  provided every finite open covering of  $X$  has a finite refinement consisting of connected sets. We shall show below that this use of the terminology is unnecessary, at least for regular spaces.

3.1. THEOREM. *The following properties of a regular space  $X$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  has Property  $S$  in the sense of Wallace.
- (b) Every finite open covering has a finite refinement consisting of connected open sets.
- (c)  $X$  is locally connected and countably compact.

*Proof.* (a) implies (c). Suppose that  $X$  has Property  $S$ . For any point  $x$  of  $X$ , let  $U$  denote an arbitrary open neighborhood of  $x$  and let  $V$  be any closed neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $U$ . Then  $\{U, X - V\}$  has a finite refinement  $\{F_i\}$  consisting of connected sets. By the argument given in the proof of Theorem 2.4, the union of the closures of those  $F_i$  of which  $x$  is a limit point is a connected neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $U$ . Thus  $X$  is connected im kleinen at each of its points, and hence is locally connected (1.13).

Suppose next that  $X$  is not countably compact, and let  $D = \{d_i\}$  denote a countably infinite closed discrete subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is regular, a simple induction yields a sequence  $\{U_i\}$  of pairwise disjoint open sets such that each  $U_i$  is a neighborhood of  $d_i$ . Clearly,  $\{X - D, \cup_i U_i\}$  has no finite refinement consisting of connected sets.

(c) implies (b). Suppose that  $X$  is locally connected, so that components of open sets are open (1.13). We shall assume that (b) does not hold and construct an infinite closed discrete subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{V_j\}$  denote a finite open covering of  $X$  that has no finite connected open refinement.

Consider the open components  $\{C_{j\alpha}\}$  of the sets  $\{V_j\}$ . Successively for each  $j$ , delete those  $C_{j\alpha}$  which are contained in the union of (1) all  $V_k$  for  $k > j$ , and (2) all  $C_{k\alpha}$ ,  $k < j$ , such that  $C_{k\alpha}$  was not deleted at the  $k^{\text{th}}$  step. The remaining  $C_{j\alpha}$  still form an open covering refining  $\{V_j\}$ , so there are infinitely many of them. Hence there are infinitely many of them in some one set  $V_j$ . For this  $j$ , each  $C_{j\alpha}$  contains a point  $p_\alpha$  not in any other undeleted component  $C_{j\beta}$ . But, the infinite set  $\{p_\alpha\}$  has no limit point in any of the open sets  $C_{k\beta}$  which form a covering of  $X$ . Hence  $\{p_\alpha\}$  is closed and discrete, so  $X$  is not countably compact.

Clearly (b) implies (a).

In [19], Wallace showed that if  $X$  is a normal space, then  $X$  has Property  $S$  if and only if  $\beta X$  has Property  $S$ , and noted that for compact spaces Property  $S$  is equivalent to local connectedness. Since countably compact (completely regular) spaces are pseudo-compact, Wallace's characterization follows from our Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.1.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. BANASCHESKI, *Local connectedness of extension spaces*, Canadian J. Math., vol. 8 (1956), pp. 395-398.
2. R. H. BING, *Partitioning a set*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 55 (1949), pp. 1101-1110.
3. E. ČECH, *On bicomact spaces*, Ann. of Math., vol. 38 (1937), pp. 823-844.
4. R. DOSS, *On continuous functions in uniform spaces*, Ann. of Math., vol. 48 (1947), pp. 843-844.
5. L. GILLMAN, M. HENRIKSEN, AND M. JERISON, *On a theorem of Gelfand and Kolmogoroff concerning maximal ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 5 (1954), pp. 447-455.
6. I. GLICKSBERG, *The representation of functionals by integrals*, Duke Math. J., vol. 19 (1952), pp. 253-261.
7. D. HALL AND G. SPENCER, *Elementary topology*, New York, 1955.
8. E. HEWITT, *Rings of real-valued continuous functions. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 64 (1948), pp. 45-99.
9. E. MICHAEL, *Local properties of topological spaces*, Duke Math. J., vol. 21 (1954), pp. 163-172.
10. R. L. MOORE, *Foundations of point set theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 13 (1932).
11. K. MORITA, *On the simple extension of a space with respect to a uniformity. I*, Proc. Japan Acad., vol. 27 (1951), pp. 65-72.
12. ———, *On the simple extension of a space with respect to a uniformity, II*, Proc. Japan Acad., vol. 27 (1951), pp. 130-137.
13. T. SHIROTA, *A class of topological spaces*, Osaka Math. J., vol. 4 (1952), pp. 23-40.
14. W. SIERPIŃSKI, *Sur une condition pour qu'un continu soit une courbe jordanienne*, Fund. Math., vol. 1 (1920), pp. 44-60.
15. A. H. STONE, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 54 (1948), pp. 977-982.
16. M. H. STONE, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 41 (1937), pp. 375-481.
17. A. TARSKI, *Über unerreichbare Kardinalzahlen*, Fund. Math., vol. 30 (1938), pp. 68-89.
18. J. TUKEY, *Convergence and uniformity in topology*, Princeton University Press, 1940.
19. A. D. WALLACE, *Extensional invariance*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 70 (1951), pp. 97-102.
20. G. WHYBURN, *On quasi-compact mappings*, Duke Math. J., vol. 19 (1952), pp. 445-446.
21. R. L. WILDER, *Topology of manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 32 (1949).

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY  
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY