



Ann. Funct. Anal. 8 (2017), no. 2, 190–198
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/20088752-3784361>
ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic)
<http://projecteuclid.org/afa>

A NOTE ON WEYL-TYPE THEOREMS AND RESTRICTIONS

LIHONG CHEN and WEIGANG SU

Communicated by J. Esterle

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space, let $T \in L(X)$ be a bounded linear operator, and let T_n be a restriction of T on $R(T^n)$. This article should be viewed as a note on the research work of Carpintero et al. We give here several different proofs for completeness, and we show the relations of T and T_n to a much greater extent. Moreover, we give sufficient conditions for which Weyl-type theorems for T are equivalent to Weyl-type theorems for T_n .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, let $L(X)$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space X . For $T \in L(X)$, let T^* denote its dual, $N(T)$ its kernel, $\alpha(T)$ its nullity, $R(T)$ its range, $\beta(T)$ its defect, $\sigma(T)$ its spectrum, and $\sigma_a(T)$ its approximate point spectrum. Two other classical quantities in operator theory are the *ascent* and *descent* of an operator T , defined as $p(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}$ and $q(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$, respectively (the infimum of an empty set is defined to be ∞). Note that if $p(T)$ and $q(T)$ are both finite, then $p(T) = q(T)$ (see [1, Theorem 3.3]). If $R(T)$ is closed and $\alpha(T) < \infty$ (resp., $\beta(T) < \infty$), then T is said to be *upper semi-Fredholm* (resp., *lower semi-Fredholm*). If T is both upper and lower semi-Fredholm, then T is said to be *Fredholm*. If T is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm, then T is said to be *semi-Fredholm*, and its index is defined by $\text{ind } T = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$. An operator T is called *Browder* (resp., *upper semi-Browder*) if T is Fredholm and $p(T) = q(T) < \infty$ (resp., T is upper semi-Fredholm and $p(T) < \infty$). The operator T is called *Weyl* (resp., *upper*

Copyright 2017 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Apr. 19, 2016; Accepted Aug. 14, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A10; Secondary 47A11, 47A53, 47A55.

Keywords. restriction, Weyl-type theorems, semi-Fredholm, pole of the resolvent.

semi-Weyl) if T is Fredholm and $\text{ind } T = 0$ (resp., T is upper semi-Fredholm and $\text{ind } T \leq 0$). For $T \in L(X)$, let us define the *Weyl spectrum* and the *upper semi-Weyl spectrum* of T , respectively, as follows:

$$\sigma_w(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Weyl}\}$$

and

$$\sigma_{uw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-Weyl}\}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define T_n to be the restriction of T on $R(T^n)$, viewed as a map from $R(T^n)$ into $R(T^n)$ (in particular, $T_0 = T$). If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n is Fredholm (resp., upper semi-Fredholm), then T is called *B-Fredholm* (resp., *upper semi-B-Fredholm*). Analogously, if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n is Browder (resp. upper semi-Browder), then T is called *B-Browder* (resp., *upper semi-B-Browder*). Furthermore, $0 < p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ if and only if λ is a pole of the resolvent of T (see [11, Proposition 50.2]). An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be *left Drazin invertible* if $p(T) < \infty$ and $R(T^{p(T)+1})$ is closed; $T \in L(X)$ is called *Drazin invertible* if the ascent and the descent of T are both finite.

Other spectra related to semi-B-Fredholm operators are defined as follows. The *left Drazin invertible spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{id}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not left Drazin invertible}\}.$$

The *upper semi-B-Browder spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{usbb}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-B-Browder}\}.$$

Clearly, by [2, Theorem 4.91], $\sigma_{\text{id}}(T) = \sigma_{\text{usbb}}(T)$.

Now, we introduce an important property in local spectral theory. The localized version of this property was introduced by Finch [9], and in the framework of Fredholm theory this property has been characterized in several ways (see Chapter 3 of [1]). An operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to have the *single-valued extension property* at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ (SVEP at λ_0 for brevity) if for every open disk $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ centered at λ_0 , the only analytic function $f: \mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0} \rightarrow X$ which satisfies the equation

$$(\lambda I - T)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}_{\lambda_0}$$

is the function $f \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{D}_{λ_0} . The operator T is said to have SVEP if T has the SVEP at every point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Evidently, T (or T^*) has SVEP at $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$, and T has SVEP at $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$. Note that (see [1, Theorem 3.8])

$$p(\lambda I - T) < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad T \text{ has SVEP at } \lambda, \tag{1.1}$$

$$q(\lambda I - T) < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad T^* \text{ has SVEP at } \lambda. \tag{1.2}$$

By Chapter 3 of [1], the implications (1.1) and (1.2) are actually equivalences whenever $T \in L(X)$ is semi-Fredholm.

Let $\Pi_{00}(T)$ denote the set of all poles of T . We say that $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ is a *left pole* of T if $\lambda I - T$ is left Drazin inverse. Let $\Pi^a(T)$ denote the set of all left poles

of T . We also set

$$\begin{aligned} p_{00}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \Pi_{00}(T) : \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty\}, \\ p_{00}^a(T) &= \{\lambda \in \Pi^a(T) : \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty\}, \\ E(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)\}, \\ E^a(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)\}, \\ \pi_{00}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi_{00}^a(T) = \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty\},$$

where $\text{iso } K$ denotes the set of all isolated points of $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Let $T \in L(X)$. Following Coburn [7], T is said to satisfy *Weyl's theorem*, in symbol (W) , if $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T) = \pi_{00}(T)$, while, according to Rakočević [12], T is said to satisfy *a-Weyl's theorem*, in symbol (aW) , if $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{uw}(T) = \pi_{00}^a(T)$. Following Harte and Lee [10], T is said to satisfy *Browder's theorem*, in symbol (B) , if $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T) = p_{00}(T)$, while, according to Djordjević and Han [8], T is said to satisfy *a-Browder's theorem*, in symbol (aB) , if $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{uw}(T) = p_{00}^a(T)$.

In Section 2, we will need the following basic results.

Lemma 1.1 ([3, Lemma 1.1]). *Let $T \in L(X)$ and $p = p(T) < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists $n \geq p + 1$ such that $T^n(X)$ is closed,*
- (2) *$T^m(X)$ is closed for all $m \geq p$.*

Lemma 1.2. *Let $T \in L(X)$, and let $T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the restriction of the operator T on the subspace $R(T^n) = T^n(X)$. Then, for all $\lambda \neq 0$, we have*

- (1) $N((\lambda I - T_n)^m) = N((\lambda I - T)^m)$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $R((\lambda I - T_n)^m) = R((\lambda I - T)^m) \cap R(T^n)$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (3) $\alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \alpha(\lambda I - T)$,
- (4) $\beta(\lambda I - T_n) = \beta(\lambda I - T)$,
- (5) $p(\lambda I - T_n) = p(\lambda I - T)$,
- (6) $q(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty \Leftrightarrow q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$.

Proof. The proofs of (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5) may be found in [3, Lemma 2.1].

(6) Suppose that $q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. From (2) we show that $R((\lambda I - T_n)^{q(\lambda I - T)}) = R((\lambda I - T)^{q(\lambda I - T)}) \cap R(T^n) = R((\lambda I - T)^{q(\lambda I - T)+1}) \cap R(T^n) = R((\lambda I - T_n)^{q(\lambda I - T)+1})$, so $q(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$. On the other hand, if $q(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$, from [4, Lemma 3] it follows that $q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. \square

Lemma 1.3 ([3, Lemma 2.2]). *If $R(T^n)$ is closed in X and $R((\lambda I - T_n)^m)$ is closed in $R(T^n)$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R((\lambda I - T)^k)$ is closed in X .*

Lemma 1.4 ([6, Lemma 1.5]). *If $R(T^n)$ is closed in X and $R((\lambda I - T_n)^m)$ is closed in $R(T^n)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, then $R((\lambda I - T)^m)$ is closed in X .*

Lemma 1.5(4) below was first established in [6, Lemma 2.2]. We give here a different proof for completeness.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $T \in L(X)$, and let $T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the restriction of the operator T on the subspace $R(T^n)$. If $R(T^n)$ is closed, we have*

- (1) $\sigma(T_n) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_a(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_a(T)$,
- (2) $\sigma_w(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_w(T)$ and $\sigma_{uw}(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{uw}(T)$,
- (3) If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_n)$,
- (4) If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$, then $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_a(T_n)$.

Proof. The proofs of (1) and (2) may be found in [6, Lemma 2.1].

(3) By (1), we need only to show that $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(T_n)$. Let $\lambda \notin \sigma(T_n)$. Here we consider the two difference cases $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, Lemma 1.2 implies $\alpha(\lambda I - T) = \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \beta(\lambda I - T_n) = \beta(\lambda I - T) = 0$, so $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $p(T_n) = q(T_n) = 0$. By [4, Lemmas 2 and 3], $p(T) = q(T) < \infty$. Suppose that $0 < p(T) = q(T) < \infty$. Then $0 \in \Pi_{00}(T)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $p(T) = q(T) = 0$, which implies $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. Consequently, $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(T_n)$.

(4) By (1), we need only to show that $\sigma_a(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T_n)$. Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_a(T_n)$. Here we consider the two difference cases $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $N(\lambda I - T_n) = \{0\}$ and $R(\lambda I - T_n)$ is closed. By Lemmas 1.2 and 1.4, $N(\lambda I - T) = \{0\}$ and $R(\lambda I - T)$ is closed, which implies that $\lambda I - T$ is bounded below, so $\lambda \notin \sigma_a(T)$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $p(T_n) = 0$ and $R(T_n)$ is closed. By [4, Lemma 2], $p(T) < \infty$. Moreover, by [4, Remark 1], $p(T) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : T_k \text{ is injective}\} \leq n$. By Lemma 1.1, $R(T^m)$ is closed for any $m \geq p(T)$, since $R(T^{m+1}) = R(T_n)$ is closed. Thus, if $0 \in \sigma_a(T)$, then $0 \in \Pi^a(T)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $0 \notin \sigma_a(T)$. Consequently, $\sigma_a(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T_n)$. \square

From [3], [5], and [6], it follows that several spectral properties are the same for T and T_n . First we give a number of different proofs for completeness, and then we show the relations of T and T_n to a much greater extent. Moreover, we give sufficient conditions for which Weyl-type theorems for T are equivalent to Weyl-type theorems for T_n .

2. RELATIONS OF T AND T_n

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 below were first established in [3, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4], respectively. We give some different proofs for completeness.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $\pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}(T_n)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T)$. Then $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ and $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$; Lemma 1.5 implies $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) = \text{iso } \sigma(T_n)$. Now, here we consider two difference cases. Case I: if $\lambda \neq 0$, by Lemma 1.2, $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$, so $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T_n)$. Case II: if $\lambda = 0$, we claim that $\alpha(T_n) > 0$. Suppose that $\alpha(T_n) = 0$. We have $p(T_n) = 0$ and, by [4, Lemma 2], $p(T) < \infty$. Also $\alpha(T^n) < \infty$, because $\alpha(T) < \infty$. We have that T^n is upper semi-Fredholm, since $R(T^n)$ is closed. Thus T is upper semi-Fredholm by [2, Theorem 1.46], and so T^{n+1} is upper semi-Fredholm by [2, Theorem 1.42]. Hence $R(T_n) = R(T^{n+1})$ is closed, which implies that T_n is bounded below, and so T_n is semi-Fredholm. Also T_n^* has SVEP at 0, because $0 \in \text{iso } \sigma(T_n)$. Then by [2, Theorem 2.46], $q(T_n) < \infty$, which implies $q(T) < \infty$

by [4, Lemma 3]. Thus $0 < p(T) = q(T) < \infty$, which is a contradiction since $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$. Hence, $\alpha(T_n) > 0$. On the other hand, since $N(T_n) \subseteq N(T)$ and $\alpha(T) < \infty$, we have $\alpha(T_n) < \infty$. Thus $0 < \alpha(T_n) < \infty$, and so $0 \in \pi_{00}(T_n)$. Consequently, $\pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}(T_n)$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $\pi_{00}^a(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}^a(T_n)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^a(T)$. Then $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$ and $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. Since $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$, by Lemma 1.5, $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) = \text{iso } \sigma_a(T_n)$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, by Lemma 1.2, $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$, so $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^a(T_n)$. For $\lambda = 0$, we claim that $\alpha(T_n) > 0$. If $\alpha(T_n) = 0$, then in similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can prove that T_n is bounded below, so $0 \notin \sigma_a(T_n)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\alpha(T_n) > 0$. On the other hand, since $N(T_n) \subseteq N(T)$ and $\alpha(T) < \infty$, we have $\alpha(T_n) < \infty$. Thus $0 < \alpha(T_n) < \infty$, and so $\lambda = 0 \in \pi_{00}^a(T_n)$. Consequently, $\pi_{00}^a(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}^a(T_n)$. \square

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 were first extended in [5, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4]. Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 below provide some different conclusions.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $E(T_n) \subseteq E(T)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in E(T_n)$. Then $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T_n)$ and $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n)$. By Lemma 1.5, $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T_n) = \text{iso } \sigma(T)$. Also, since $N(\lambda I - T_n) \subseteq N(\lambda I - T)$, we have $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) \leq \alpha(\lambda I - T)$. So $\lambda \in E(T)$. Hence, $E(T_n) \subseteq E(T)$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $E^a(T_n) \subseteq E^a(T)$.*

Proof. By arguments similar to those in Theorem 2.3, we can prove that $E^a(T_n) \subseteq E^a(T)$. \square

To get the inclusions $\pi_{00}(T_n) \subseteq \pi_{00}(T)$, $\pi_{00}^a(T_n) \subseteq \pi_{00}^a(T)$, $E(T) \subseteq E(T_n)$, and $E^a(T) \subseteq E^a(T_n)$, we need to resolve the following questions.

Question 2.5. Let $T \in L(X)$, and let $T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the restriction of the operator T on the subspace $R(T^n)$. If $R(T^n)$ is closed, we have the following.

- (1) If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$, then $\alpha(T_n) < \infty \Rightarrow \alpha(T) < \infty$? $\alpha(T) > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha(T_n) > 0$?
- (2) If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$, then $\alpha(T_n) < \infty \Rightarrow \alpha(T) < \infty$? $\alpha(T) > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha(T_n) > 0$?

Corollary 2.6. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin E(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $E(T) = E(T_n)$.*

Proof. Since $0 \notin E(T)$ and $\Pi_{00}(T) \subseteq E(T)$, we have that $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$. By Theorem 2.3, we need only to show that $E(T) \subseteq E(T_n)$. Let $\lambda \in E(T)$. Then $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ and $\alpha(\lambda I - T) > 0$. By Lemma 1.5, $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) = \text{iso } \sigma(T_n)$. Observe that, $0 \notin E(T)$ implies $\lambda \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1, $\alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \alpha(\lambda I - T) > 0$. So $\lambda \in E(T_n)$. Hence, $E(T) \subseteq E(T_n)$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin E^a(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $E^a(T) = E^a(T_n)$.*

Proof. By arguments similar to those in Corollary 2.6, we can prove that $E^a(T) = E^a(T_n)$. \square

Similarly as in the above theorems, we have the following relations.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $\Pi_{00}(T) = \Pi_{00}(T_n)$.*

Proof. We show $\Pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \Pi_{00}(T_n)$. Let $\lambda \in \Pi_{00}(T)$. Then $0 < p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. Observe that $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$ implies $\lambda \neq 0$. From Lemma 1.2, we have $0 < p(\lambda I - T_n) = p(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ and $q(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$. Hence $0 < p(\lambda I - T_n) = q(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$, which implies $\lambda \in \Pi_{00}(T_n)$. Consequently, $\Pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \Pi_{00}(T_n)$.

We show $\Pi_{00}(T_n) \subseteq \Pi_{00}(T)$. Let $\lambda \in \Pi_{00}(T_n)$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma(T_n)$ and $0 < p(\lambda I - T_n) = q(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$. By [4, Lemmas 2 and 3], $p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. Suppose that $p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) = 0$. Then $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. By Lemma 1.5, $\lambda \notin \sigma(T_n)$, which leads to a contradiction. Hence $0 < p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$, which implies $\lambda \in \Pi_{00}(T)$. Consequently, $\Pi_{00}(T_n) \subseteq \Pi_{00}(T)$. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $\Pi^a(T) = \Pi^a(T_n)$.*

Proof. We show $\Pi^a(T) \subseteq \Pi^a(T_n)$. Let $\lambda \in \Pi^a(T)$. Then $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$, $p(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ and $R((\lambda I - T)^{p(\lambda I - T)+1})$ is closed. From Lemma 1.5, we have $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) = \text{iso } \sigma_a(T_n)$. Observe that $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$ implies $\lambda \neq 0$. By Lemma 1.2, $p(\lambda I - T_n) = p(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ and $R((\lambda I - T_n)^{p(\lambda I - T_n)+1}) = R((\lambda I - T)^{p(\lambda I - T)+1}) \cap R(T^n) = R((\lambda I - T)^{p(\lambda I - T)+1}) \cap R(T^n)$ is closed. Hence $\lambda \in \Pi^a(T_n)$. Consequently, $\Pi^a(T) \subseteq \Pi^a(T_n)$.

We show $\Pi^a(T_n) \subseteq \Pi^a(T)$. Let $\lambda \in \Pi^a(T_n)$. Here we consider two difference cases. Case I: if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T_n)$, $p(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$ and $R((\lambda I - T_n)^{p(\lambda I - T_n)+1})$ is closed. By Lemma 1.5, $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T_n) = \sigma_a(T)$. Also, it follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 1.4 that $p(\lambda I - T) = p(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$ and that $R((\lambda I - T)^{p(\lambda I - T)+1}) = R((\lambda I - T_n)^{p(\lambda I - T_n)+1})$ is closed. Hence $\lambda \in \Pi^a(T)$. Case II: if $\lambda = 0$, then $0 \in \Pi^a(T_n) = \sigma_a(T_n) \setminus \sigma_{\text{Id}}(T_n)$. Since $\sigma_{\text{Id}}(T_n) = \sigma_{\text{usbb}}(T_n)$, T_n is upper semi-B-Browder, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T_n^m) = R(T_n^{n+m})$ is closed and $T_{n[m]}$ is upper semi-Browder, where $T_{n[m]}$ denotes the restriction of T on $R(T_n^{m+n})$. Thus T is upper semi-B-Browder, and so $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{usbb}}(T) = \sigma_{\text{Id}}(T)$. By Lemma 1.5, $0 \in \sigma_a(T_n) = \sigma_a(T)$, so $0 \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{\text{Id}}(T) = \Pi^a(T)$. Hence, $\Pi^a(T_n) \subseteq \Pi^a(T)$. \square

Theorems 2.10 and 2.11 were first established in [6, Lemma 2.3]. We give different proofs for completeness.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $p_{00}(T) = p_{00}(T_n)$.*

Proof. Observe that $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$ implies $0 \notin p_{00}(T)$. Suppose that $0 \in p_{00}(T_n)$. Then $0 \in \Pi_{00}(T_n)$. By Theorem 2.8, $\Pi_{00}(T) = \Pi_{00}(T_n)$. Then $0 \in \Pi_{00}(T)$, which leads to a contradiction. Hence, $0 \notin p_{00}(T_n)$.

Let $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 1.2, $\alpha(\lambda I - T) = \alpha(\lambda I - T_n)$. Also, from Theorem 2.8 we know that $\Pi_{00}(T) = \Pi_{00}(T_n)$. Thus $p_{00}(T) \setminus \{0\} = p_{00}(T_n) \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently, $p_{00}(T) = p_{00}(T_n)$. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed, then $p_{00}^a(T) = p_{00}^a(T_n)$.*

Proof. By arguments similar to those in Theorem 2.10, we can prove that $p_{00}^a(T) = p_{00}^a(T_n)$. \square

3. WEYL-TYPE THEOREMS AND RESTRICTIONS

In this section, we give conditions for which Weyl's theorem (resp., a-Weyl's theorem, Browder's theorem, a-Browder's theorem) for an operator $T \in L(X)$ is equivalent to Weyl's theorem (resp., a-Weyl's theorem, Browder's theorem, a-Browder's theorem) for a certain restriction T_n of T .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$, then T satisfies (W) if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (W).*

Proof. (Sufficiency) Suppose that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (W). Let $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T)$. By Theorem 2.1 and hypothesis, $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T_n) = \sigma(T_n) \setminus \sigma_w(T_n)$. Suppose that $\lambda = 0$. Since T_n is Fredholm and $0 \in \text{iso } \sigma(T_n)$, by [2, Corollary 2.49], $0 < p(T_n) = q(T_n) < \infty$. From [4, Lemmas 2 and 3] we have $0 < p(T) = q(T) < \infty$, which is a contradiction because $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$. Thus $\lambda \neq 0$. Since $\lambda I - T_n$ is Weyl and $\lambda \in \sigma(T_n)$, $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \beta(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$. By Lemma 1.2, $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) = \beta(\lambda I - T) < \infty$, so $\lambda I - T$ is Weyl, and thus $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$. Hence, $\pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$. On the other hand, let $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$. By Lemma 1.5 and hypothesis, $\lambda \in \sigma(T_n) \setminus \sigma_w(T_n) = \pi_{00}(T_n)$. By arguments similar to those above, we have that $\lambda \neq 0$. Since $\lambda I - T_n$ is Weyl and $\lambda \in \sigma(T_n)$, $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) = \beta(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$, by Lemma 1.2, $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. From the hypothesis and Lemma 1.5, $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T_n) \subseteq \text{iso } \sigma(T_n) = \text{iso } \sigma(T)$, thus $\lambda \in \pi_{00}(T)$. Hence, $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}(T)$. Consequently, $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T) = \pi_{00}(T)$, which implies that T satisfies (W).

(Necessary) Suppose that T satisfies (W). Then for $n = 0$, $R(T^0) = X$ is closed and $T_0 = T$ satisfies (W). \square

By arguments similar to those in Theorem 3.1, we can prove the necessary conditions of the following theorems, thus we only need to prove the sufficiency of these theorems.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$, then T satisfies (aW) if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (aW).*

Proof. (Sufficiency) Suppose that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (aW). Let $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^a(T)$. By Theorem 2.2 and hypothesis, $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^a(T_n) = \sigma_a(T_n) \setminus \sigma_{uw}(T_n)$. Since $\lambda I - T_n$ is upper semi-Fredholm, $\alpha(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$ and $R(\lambda I - T_n)$ is closed. By Lemma 1.3, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(\lambda I - T)^k$ is closed. Also, $\alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$ because $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^a(T)$. Then $\alpha((\lambda I - T)^k) < \infty$. Thus, $(\lambda I - T)^k$ is upper semi-Fredholm. By [2, Theorem 1.46], $\lambda I - T$ is upper semi-Fredholm. Moreover, T has SVEP at λ since $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$. Then by [2, Corollary 2.48], $\text{ind}(\lambda I - T) \leq \infty$. Thus $\lambda I - T$ is upper semi-Weyl, and so $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{uw}(T)$. Hence, $\pi_{00}^a(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{uw}(T)$. On the other hand, let $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{uw}(T)$, we have that $\alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$. By Lemma 1.5 and hypothesis, $\lambda \in$

$\sigma_a(T_n) \setminus \sigma_{\text{uw}}(T_n) = \pi_{00}^a(T_n)$. Then $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T_n) = \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$ and $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T_n) < \infty$. Since $N(\lambda I - T_n) \subseteq N(\lambda I - T)$ and $\alpha(\lambda I - T_n) > 0$, we have $\alpha(\lambda I - T) > 0$. Thus $0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T) < \infty$, and so $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^a(T)$. Hence, $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{\text{uw}}(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}^a(T)$. Consequently, $\sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{\text{uw}}(T) = \pi_{00}^a(T)$, which implies that T satisfies (aW). \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi_{00}(T)$, then T satisfies (B) if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (B).*

Proof. (Sufficiency) Suppose that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (B). From [2, Theorem 4.25] it follows that $\sigma(T_n) = \sigma_w(T_n) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T_n)$. By the hypothesis and Lemma 1.5, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_n) = \sigma_w(T_n) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_w(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$, so $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_w(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$. Observe that $\sigma_w(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ holds for every $T \in L(X)$. Hence $\sigma(T) = \sigma_w(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma(T)$, and by [2, Theorem 4.25], T satisfies (B). \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $0 \notin \Pi^a(T)$, then T satisfies (aB) if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(T^n)$ is closed and T_n satisfies (aB).*

Proof. (Sufficiency) By [2, Theorem 4.35], we need only to show that $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{\text{uw}}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$. Observe first that $\sigma_{\text{uw}}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T)$ holds for every $T \in L(X)$. And by arguments similar to those in Theorem 3.3, we have that $\sigma_a(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{uw}}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$. So $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{\text{uw}}(T) \cup \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$. Hence, T satisfies (aB). \square

Remark 3.5. Obviously, if we replace the assumptions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 (resp., Theorems 3.2 and 3.4) by $0 \notin \text{iso } \sigma(T)$, $p(T) = \infty$, or $q(T) = \infty$ (resp., $0 \notin \text{iso } \sigma_a(T)$ or $p(T) = \infty$), then the results are true.

Acknowledgment. The authors are highly grateful to the referee for a careful reading and valuable comments on this article.

REFERENCES

1. P. Aiena, *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory, with Applications to Multipliers*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2004. [Zbl 1077.47001](#). [MR2070395](#). 190, 191
2. P. Aiena, *Semi-Fredholm Operators, Perturbation Theory and Localized SVEP*, Ediciones IVIC, Caracas, Venezuela, 2007. 191, 193, 196, 197
3. C. Carpintero, O. García, D. Muñoz, E. Rosas, and J. Sanabria, *Weyl-type theorems for restrictions of bounded linear operators*, *Extracta Math.* **28** (2013), no. 1, 127–139. [Zbl 1308.47001](#). [MR3136485](#). 192, 193
4. C. Carpintero, O. García, E. Rosas, and J. Sanabria, *B-Browder spectra and localized SVEP*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **57** (2008), no. 2, 239–254. [Zbl 1162.47002](#). [MR2452668](#). DOI [10.1007/s12215-008-0017-4](#). 192, 193, 194, 195, 196
5. C. Carpintero, D. Muñoz, E. Rosas, J. Sanabria, and O. García, *Weyl-type theorems and restrictions*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **11** (2014), no. 4, 1215–1228. [Zbl 1331.47005](#). [MR3268818](#). DOI [10.1007/s00009-013-0369-7](#). 193, 194
6. C. Carpintero, E. Rosas, J. Rodríguez, D. Muñoz, and K. Alcalá, *Spectral properties and restrictions of bounded linear operators*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **6** (2015), no. 2, 173–183. [Zbl 1312.47005](#). [MR3292524](#). DOI [10.15352/afa/06-2-15](#). 192, 193, 195
7. L. A. Coburn, *Weyl's theorem for nonnormal operators*, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 285–288. [Zbl 0173.42904](#). [MR0201969](#). 192

8. S. V. Djordjević and Y. M. Han, *Browder's theorems and spectral continuity*, Glasg. Math. J. **42** (2000), no. 3, 479–486. [Zbl 0979.47004](#). [MR1793814](#). [DOI 10.1017/S0017089500030147](#). [192](#)
9. J. K. Finch, *The single valued extension property on a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), no. 1, 61–69. [Zbl 0315.47002](#). [MR0374985](#). [191](#)
10. R. E. Harte and W. Y. Lee, *Another note on Weyl's theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 5, 2115–2124. [Zbl 0873.47001](#). [MR1407492](#). [DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-97-01881-3](#). [192](#)
11. H. G. Heuser, *Functional Analysis*, Wiley, Chichester, 1982. [Zbl 0465.47001](#). [MR0640429](#). [191](#)
12. V. Rakočević, *Operators obeying α -Weyl's theorem*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **34** (1989), no. 10, 915–919. [Zbl 0686.47005](#). [MR1030982](#). [192](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
FUZHOU 350117, CHINA.

E-mail address: chenlh2016@163.com; wgsu@fjnu.edu.cn