



Ann. Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), no. 3, 411–420
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/20088752-3605384>
ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic)
<http://projecteuclid.org/afa>

A BOUNDED TRANSFORM APPROACH TO SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS: FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS AND AFFILIATED VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

CHRISTIAN BUDDE and KLAAS LANDSMAN

Communicated by Q.-W. Wang

ABSTRACT. Spectral theory and functional calculus for unbounded self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space are usually treated through von Neumann’s Cayley transform. Using ideas of Woronowicz, we redevelop this theory from the point of view of multiplier algebras and the so-called *bounded transform* (which establishes a bijective correspondence between closed operators and pure contractions). This also leads to a simple account of the affiliation relation between von Neumann algebras and self-adjoint operators.

1. INTRODUCTORY OVERVIEW

The theory of unbounded self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space was initiated by von Neumann [7], partly motivated by mathematical problems of quantum mechanics. The monograph by Schmüdgen [10] presents an excellent survey of the present state of the art.

Von Neumann’s approach was based on the Cayley transform, and in its subsequent development the notion of a spectral measure played an important role, especially in defining a functional calculus. We consider this route a bit indirect and will avoid both by first invoking the *bounded transform* instead of the Cayley transform; that is, the formal expressions

$$S = T\sqrt{I + T^2}^{-1}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$T = S\sqrt{I - S^2}^{-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Jul. 20, 2015; Accepted Dec. 10, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B25; Secondary 46L10.

Keywords. bounded transform, self-adjoint operators, von Neumann algebras.

make rigorous sense and provide a bijective correspondence between self-adjoint operators T and self-adjoint pure contractions S (i.e., $\|Sx\| < \|x\|$ for each $x \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$; see [3], [4], [10]).

Note that the bounded transform $T \mapsto S$ is an operatorial version of the homeomorphism $\mathbb{R} \cong (-1, 1)$ given by the function $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ and its inverse $u : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$b(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Second, we replace spectral measures by simple arguments using multiplier algebras. Our approach is based on Woronowicz's work (see [12] and [13]), whose functional calculus we adopt and to some extent complete, at least in the usual context of operators on a Hilbert space (Woronowicz's work was mainly intended to deal with problems involving multiplier algebras and, even more generally, with operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, as in [5]).

If T is bounded (and, by standing assumption, self-adjoint), then it is easy to prove the equality

$$C^*(T) = C^*(S), \quad (1.5)$$

where $C^*(S)$ is the C^* -algebra generated within $B(\mathcal{H})$ by S and the unit, and so forth. Furthermore, the spectral mapping theorem implies that the spectra of S and T are related by

$$\sigma(T) = \{\mu(1-\mu^2)^{-1/2} \mid \mu \in \sigma(S)\}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sigma(S) = \{\lambda(1+\lambda^2)^{-1/2} \mid \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}, \quad (1.7)$$

preserving point spectra. As to the continuous functional calculus, for $S = S^* \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we have the familiar isomorphism $C(\sigma(S)) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(S)$, written $g \mapsto g(S)$, given by the spectral theorem. Assuming that $T = T^* \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the same applies to T . These calculi are related by

$$f(T) = (f \circ u)(S), \quad (1.8)$$

where $f \in C(\sigma(T))$ so that $f \circ u \in C(\sigma(S))$. Self-adjointness is preserved in that

$$f(T)^* = f^*(T), \quad (1.9)$$

where $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$. In particular, if f is real-valued, then $f(T)$ is self-adjoint. At the level of von Neumann algebras, defining $W^*(S) = C^*(S)''$ and similarly for T , equation (1.5) gives

$$W^*(T) = W^*(S). \quad (1.10)$$

The functional calculus $f \mapsto f(T)$ may then be extended to bounded Borel functions f on $\sigma(T)$, in which case it is still given by (1.8). We then have $f(T) \in W^*(T)$, while (1.9) remains valid; however, instead of the isometric property $\|f(T)\| = \|f\|_\infty$ for continuous f , we now have $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ (where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the supremum-norm) (see, e.g., [8]).

Our aim here is to generalize these results to the case where T is unbounded. This indeed turns out to be possible so that our main results are as follows. Throughout the remainder of this article, we assume that $T^* = T$ is possibly unbounded with bounded transform S .

Theorem 1.1. *The spectra of T and its bounded transform S are related by*

$$\sigma(T) = \{\mu(1 - \mu^2)^{-1/2} : \mu \in \tilde{\sigma}(S)\}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\sigma(S) = \{\lambda(1 + \lambda^2)^{-1/2} : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}^-, \tag{1.12}$$

where $-$ denotes the closure in \mathbb{R} , and we abbreviate

$$\tilde{\sigma}(S) = \sigma(S) \cap (-1, 1). \tag{1.13}$$

Note that $\tilde{\sigma}(S) = \sigma(S)$ if and only if T is bounded (in which case $\sigma(S)$ is a compact subset of $(-1, 1)$ since $\pm 1 \in \sigma(S)$ if and only if T is unbounded). We define the following operator algebras within $B(\mathcal{H})$:

$$C_\bullet^*(S) = \{g(S) : g \in C_\bullet(\tilde{\sigma}(S))\}, \tag{1.14}$$

where \bullet is b , c , or 0 so that we have defined $C_c^*(S)$, $C_0^*(S)$, and $C_b^*(S)$. Notice that $C(\sigma(S))$ consists of all $g \in C_b(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$ for which $\lim_{y \rightarrow \pm 1} g(y)$ exists, where this limit is 0 if and only if $g \in C_0(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$; hence, we have the inclusions (of which the first set implies the second)

$$C_c(\tilde{\sigma}(S)) \subseteq C_0(\tilde{\sigma}(S)) \subseteq C(\sigma(S)) \subseteq C_b(\tilde{\sigma}(S)), \tag{1.15}$$

$$C_c^*(S) \subseteq C_0^*(S) \subseteq C^*(S) \subseteq C_b^*(S), \tag{1.16}$$

with equalities if and only if T is bounded. This means that $g(S)$ is defined for $g \in C_0(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$, and hence *a fortiori* also for $g \in C_c(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$. Consequently, $f(T)$ may be defined by (1.8) whenever $f \in C_0(\sigma(T))$, including $f \in C_c(\sigma(T))$. To pass to the larger class $f \in C_b(\sigma(T))$, we define $C_0^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ as the linear span of all vectors of the form $g(S)\psi$, where $g \in C_0(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $C_0^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} (see Lemma 2.1). In the spirit of Woronowicz (see [5, Chapter 10], [12]), we then initially define $f(T)$ for $f \in C_b(\sigma(T))$ on the domain $C_0^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ by linear extension of the formula

$$f(T)_0 h(T)\psi = (fh)(T)\psi, \tag{1.17}$$

where $h \in C_0(\sigma(T))$, and hence also $fh \in C_0(\sigma(T))$ since $C_b(\sigma(T))$ is the multiplier algebra of $C_0(\sigma(T))$. Then $f(T)_0$ is bounded (see Lemma 2.2), and we define $f(T)$ as its closure; that is,

$$f(T) = f(T)_0^-. \tag{1.18}$$

This also works for $f \in C(\sigma(T))$, in which case $f(T)_0$ may no longer be bounded, but remains closable (see Lemma 2.3) so that we may once again define $f(T)$ as its closure (cf. (1.18)). We have the following theorem (see also Theorem 1.4).

Theorem 1.2. *If $f \in C(\sigma(T))$ is real-valued, then $f(T)$ is self-adjoint; that is, $f(T)_0^- = f(T)_0^*$, and, more generally, $f(T)^* = f^*(T)$. Furthermore, the continuous functional calculus $f \mapsto f(T)$ restricts to an isometric $*$ -homomorphism from $C_0(\sigma(T))$ (with supremum-norm) to $C^*(S)$.*

In addition, the map $f \mapsto f(T)$ has the reassuring special cases

$$\mathbf{1}_{\sigma(T)}(T) = I, \quad (1.19)$$

$$\text{id}(T) = T, \quad (1.20)$$

$$(\text{id} - z)^{-1}(T) = (T - z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \rho(T), \quad (1.21)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\sigma(T)}(x) = 1$ and $\text{id}(x) = x$ ($x \in \sigma(T)$), and therefore it does what it is supposed to do.

Finding the right analogue of (1.10) for unbounded $T = T^*$ first requires a redefinition of $W^*(T)$, which is standard (see [8]). If T is unbounded and $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$, then we say that R and T *commute*, written $TR \subset RT$, if $R\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $RT\psi = TR\psi$ for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. Let $\{T\}'$ be the set of all bounded operators that commute with T . If $T^* = T$, then $\{T\}'$ is a unital, strongly closed $*$ -subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$, and hence a von Neumann algebra (see [8]). Its commutant

$$W^*(T) = \{T\}'' \quad (1.22)$$

is a von Neumann algebra, too. If T is bounded, then $W^*(T)$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by T , which coincides with $C^*(T)''$. As usual, we call a closed unbounded operator X *affiliated* to a von Neumann algebra $A \subset B(H)$, written $X\eta A$, if and only if $XR \subset RX$ for each $R \in A'$. For example, if $T^* = T$, then $T\eta W^*(T)$, and if $T\eta A$, then $W^*(T) \subseteq A$; in other words, $W^*(T)$ is the smallest von Neumann algebra such that T is affiliated to it.

As a result of independent interest as well as a lemma for Theorem 1.4, we may then adapt [8, Lemma 5.2.8] to the bounded transform, as in this theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $A \subset B(H)$ be a von Neumann algebra. Then $T\eta A$ if and only if $S \in A$.*

Denoting the (Banach) space of (bounded) Borel functions on $\sigma(T)$ (equipped with the supremum-norm) by $\mathcal{B}_b(\sigma(T))$, we may still define $f(T)$ by (1.8) and the usual Borel functional calculus for the bounded transform S .

Theorem 1.4. *The map $f \mapsto f(T)$ is a norm-decreasing $*$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_b(\sigma(T))$ to*

$$W^*(T) = W^*(S). \quad (1.23)$$

More generally, if $f \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(T))$, then $f(T)$ is affiliated with $W^(T)$.*

The remainder of this paper simply consists of the proofs of these theorems.

2. PROOFS

This section contains all proofs. We will not repeat the theorems.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The operator $\sqrt{1 - S^2}$ is a bijection from \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{R}(\sqrt{1 - S^2}) = \mathcal{D}(T)$ (see [4], proof of Theorem 1). Let $\lambda \in \rho(T) \equiv \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ so that $T - \lambda I$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{D}(T)$ to \mathcal{H} . Thus, by composition, we have a bijection $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$; equivalently, $(T - \lambda I)(\sqrt{1 - S^2})$ is invertible, which in turn is equivalent to invertibility of $S - \lambda\sqrt{1 - S^2}$. Thus, $\lambda \in \rho(T) \iff S - \lambda\sqrt{1 - S^2}$

is a bijection, or, expressed contrapositively, $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \iff S - \lambda\sqrt{I - S^2}$ is not invertible in $B(\mathcal{H})$. This is the case if and only if $S - \lambda\sqrt{I - S^2}$ is not invertible in $C^*(S)$, which, using the Gelfand isomorphism $C^*(S) \cong C(\sigma(S))$, in turn is true if and only if the function $k_\lambda(x) = x - \lambda\sqrt{1 - x^2}$ is not invertible in $C(\sigma(S))$; that is, if and only if $0 \in \sigma(k_\lambda)$. Since in $C(X)$ we have $\sigma(f) = \mathcal{R}(f)$ (with X a compact Hausdorff space), and since $\sigma(S)$ is indeed compact and Hausdorff because S is bounded, we obtain $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ if and only if $0 \in \mathcal{R}(k_\lambda)$. If ± 1 lie in $\sigma(S)$, then they cannot give rise to $0 \in \mathcal{R}(k_\lambda)$ since $k_\lambda(\pm 1) = \pm 1$ for each λ ; hence, $0 \in \mathcal{R}(k_\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu(1 - \mu^2)^{-1/2}$ for some $\mu \in \sigma(S) \cap (-1, 1)$, which yields (1.11).

The same argument shows that $\mu \in \sigma(S) \cap (-1, 1)$ comes from $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. But since $\sigma(S)$ is compact and hence closed in $[-1, 1]$, we obtain (1.12).

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. This proof relies on three lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ be the linear span of all vectors of the form $g(S)\psi$, where $g \in C_c(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ is dense in H .*

Proof. Define $g_n : (-1, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by putting $g_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in (-1, \frac{1}{n} - 1] \cup [1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1)$, $g_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in [\frac{2}{n} - 1, 1 - \frac{2}{n}]$, and linear interpolation in between. The ensuing sequence converges pointwise to the unit $\mathbf{1}$ on $(-1, 1)$. Restricting each g_n to $\tilde{\sigma}(S)$, the continuous functional calculus gives $g_n(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\sigma}(S)}$ strongly. Therefore, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have a sequence $\psi_n = g_n(S)\psi$ in $C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ such that $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For $f \in C_b(\sigma(T))$, define an operator $f(T)_0$ on the domain $C_0^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ by (1.17). Then $f(T)_0$ is bounded with bound*

$$\|f(T)_0\| \leq \|f\|_\infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $h \in C_0(\sigma(T))$, then $fh \in C_0(\sigma(T))$ so that we can find a compact subset $K \subset \sigma(T)$ such that $|h(x)f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for each $x \notin K$. Let $\tilde{h} = h \circ u$ (see (1.4)). Then $\tilde{h} \in C_0(\tilde{\sigma}(S))$ whenever $h \in C_0(\sigma(T))$; in fact, we have an isometric isomorphism

$$C_0(\sigma(T)) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\tilde{\sigma}(S)), \quad h \mapsto h \circ u. \tag{2.2}$$

Contractivity of the Borel functional calculus for bounded operators on \mathcal{H} gives

$$\|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_{K^c}fh})(S)\psi\| \leq \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_{K^c}fh})(S)\| \|\psi\| \leq \|\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_{K^c}fh}\|_\infty \|\psi\| < \varepsilon \|\psi\|.$$

Using also the homomorphism property of the Borel functional calculus, we then find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(fh)(T)\psi\| &= \|(\widetilde{fh})(S)\psi\| \\ &= \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_Kfh})(S) + (\widetilde{fh} - \widetilde{\mathbf{1}_Kfh})(S)\psi\| \\ &\leq \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_Kfh})(S)\psi\| + \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_{K^c}fh})(S)\psi\| \\ &= \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_Kf})(S)\tilde{h}(S)\psi\| + \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_{K^c}fh})(S)\psi\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \|\widetilde{(\mathbf{1}_K f)}\|_\infty \|h(T)\psi\| + \varepsilon\|\psi\| \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|h(T)\psi\| + \varepsilon\|\psi\| \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\widetilde{(\mathbf{1}_K f)}\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty$. Since the last expression above is independent of K , we may let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, obtaining boundedness of $f(T)$ as well as (2.1). \square

The last claim in Theorem 1.2 now follows from the continuous functional calculus for S and the isometric isomorphism (2.2). Although isometry may be lost if we go from $C_0(\sigma(T))$ to $C_b(\sigma(T))$, it easily follows from (1.17)–(1.18) that the map $f \mapsto f(T)$ at least defines a $*$ -homomorphism $C_b(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow B(H)$. This property will be used after Lemma 2.4 below.

Lemma 2.3. *For $f \in C(\sigma(T))$, define an operator $f(T)_0$ on the domain $C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$ by (1.17). Then $f(T)_0$ is closable. Moreover, if f is real-valued ($f^* = f$), then $f(T)_0$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Suppose that $h_1(T)\psi_1$ and $h_2(T)\psi_2$ lie in $\mathcal{D}(f(T)_0)$. Then we may compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_2(T)\psi_2, f(T)_0 h_1(T)\psi_1 \rangle &= \langle \psi_2, h_2(T)^*(fh_1)(T)\psi_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_2, (\overline{h_2}fh_1)(T)\psi_1 \rangle; \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (h_2\overline{f})(T)\psi_2, h_1(T)\psi_1 \rangle &= \langle \psi_2, h_2\overline{f}(T)^*h_1(T)\psi_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_2, (\overline{h_2}fh_1)(T)\psi_1 \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where in the first equality in (2.3) we have $h_2 \in C_0(\sigma(T))$ so that the operator $h_2(T) = h_2 \circ u(S)$ is defined by (1.8), and hence is bounded (see Section 1). The continuous functional calculus for S then gives $h_2(T)^* = \overline{h_2}(T)$ as well as $\overline{h_2}(T)(fh_1)(T) = (\overline{h_2}fh_1)(T)$, and similarly in (2.4).

This implies that $\mathcal{D}(f(T)_0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(f(T)_0^*)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(f(T)_0)$ is dense, so is $\mathcal{D}(f(T)_0^*)$, which implies that $f(T)_0$ is closable. The second claim is obvious from (2.3)–(2.4). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.2, we use a well-known result of Nelson [6] (see also [9]) (this step was suggested to us by Nigel Higson). For convenience we recall this result (without proof).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous unitary group of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $R : \mathcal{D}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be densely defined and symmetric. Assume that $\mathcal{D}(R)$ is invariant under $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$; that is, assume that $U(t) : \mathcal{D}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$ for each t , and also that $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is strongly differentiable on $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Then $-idU(t)/dt$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(R)$, and its closure is the self-adjoint generator of $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (given by Stone’s theorem). In particular, if $(dU(t)/dt)\psi = iRU(t)\psi$ for each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(R)$, then R is essentially self-adjoint.*

Set $R = f(T)_0$ for $f \in C(\sigma(T))$ so that

$$\mathcal{D}(R) = C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}, \tag{2.5}$$

and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define $U(t)$ via the (bounded) function $x \mapsto \exp(itf(x))$ on $\sigma(T)$; that is, for $h \in C_c(\sigma(T))$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, we initially define

$$U(t)_0 h(T) \psi = (e^{itf} h)(T) \psi. \quad (2.6)$$

Then $U(t)_0$ is bounded by Lemma 2.2, and we define $U(t)$ as the closure of $U(t)_0$. The remark before Lemma 2.3 then implies that $t \mapsto U(t)$ defines a unitary representation of \mathbb{R} on \mathcal{H} . Strong continuity of this representation follows from an $\varepsilon/3$ argument. First, for

$$\varphi = h(T) \psi, \quad (2.7)$$

and assuming that $\|\psi\| = 1$ for simplicity, equations (2.6) and (2.1) give

$$\|U(t)\varphi - \varphi\| \leq \|e^{itf} h - h\|_\infty \leq \|h\|_\infty \|e^{itf} - \mathbf{1}\|_\infty^{(K)}, \quad (2.8)$$

where K is the (compact) support of h in $\sigma(T)$. Since the exponential function is uniformly convergent on any compact set, this gives $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|U(t)\varphi - \varphi\| = 0$ for φ of the form (2.7); taking finite linear combinations thereof gives the same result for any $\varphi \in C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta > 0$ so that $\|U(t)\varphi - \varphi\| < \varepsilon/3$ whenever $|t| < \delta$. For general $\psi' \in H$, we find $\varphi \in C_c^*(S)H$ such that $\|\varphi - \psi'\| < \varepsilon/3$, and we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t)\psi' - \psi'\| &\leq \|U(t)\psi' - U(t)\varphi\| + \|U(t)\varphi - \varphi\| + \|\varphi - \psi'\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

since $\|U(t)\psi' - U(t)\varphi\| = \|\psi' - \varphi\|$ by unitarity of $U(t)$. Thus, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|U(t)\psi - \psi\| = 0$ for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ so that the unitary representation $t \mapsto U(t)$ is strongly continuous. Similarly,

$$\left\| \frac{U(t+s)\varphi - U(t)\varphi}{s} - iRU(t)\varphi \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{e^{isf} h - h}{s} - ifh \right\|_\infty, \quad (2.9)$$

assuming (2.7), so that by the same argument as in (2.8) we obtain

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} \varphi = iRU(t)\varphi \quad (2.10)$$

initially for any φ of the form (2.7), and hence, taking finite sums, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ (see (2.5)). The final part of Lemma 2.4 then shows that $f(T)_0$ is essentially self-adjoint on its domain $C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$. Its closure $f(T)$ is therefore self-adjoint, and Theorem 1.2 is proved. \square

We now prove the examples (1.19)–(1.21), of which the first is trivial. Writing T_0 for the operator $\text{id}(T)_0$, the definition (1.17) gives

$$T_0 \varphi = T \varphi$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^-)$ so that there is a sequence (φ_n) in $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \psi$ and $(T_0 \varphi_n)$ converges. Since T is closed, it follows that $T_0 \varphi_n = T \varphi_n \rightarrow T \psi$ so that $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$; hence, $T_0^- \subset T$. Since both operators are self-adjoint, this implies that $T_0^- = T$, which proves (1.20).

The proof of (1.21) is easier since $(T - z)^{-1}$ is bounded: writing

$$f(x) = (x - z)^{-1},$$

where $z \notin \sigma(T)$ is fixed and $x \in \sigma(T)$, we have

$$f(T)_0 h(T) \psi = (fh)(T) \psi = (T - z)^{-1} h(T) \psi,$$

and hence

$$f(T)_0 \varphi = (T - z)^{-1} \varphi$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)_0) = C_c^*(S)\mathcal{H}$. If $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)_0)$, boundedness and hence continuity of the resolvent implies that

$$f(T)\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(T)_0 \varphi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T - z)^{-1} \varphi_n = (T - z)^{-1} \varphi.$$

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. The first step consists in the observation that $T\eta A$ if and only if $UT = TU$ (or, equivalently, $UTU^* = T$) for each unitary $U \in A'$ [11, Proposition 5.3.4].

The second step is to show that $UT = TU$ if and only if $SU = US$ for any unitary U . This is a simple computation. First, suppose that $UTU^* = T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(1 + T^2)^{-1}U^* &= (U(1 + T^2)U^*)^{-1} = ((U + UT^2)U^*)^{-1} \\ &= (UU^* + UT^2U^*)^{-1} = (1 + UTU^*UTU^*)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + T^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If R is bounded and positive, then $UR = RU$ if and only if $U \in C^*(R)'$, and since $\sqrt{R} \in C^*(R)$ by the continuous functional calculus, we also have $U\sqrt{R} = \sqrt{R}U$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} USU^* &= U(T\sqrt{(1 + T^2)^{-1}})U^* \\ &= (UTU^*)(U\sqrt{(1 + T^2)^{-1}}U^*) = T\sqrt{(1 + T^2)^{-1}} = S. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $SU = US$, then

$$\begin{aligned} UTU^* &= US\sqrt{1 - S^2}^{-1}U^* \\ &= SU\sqrt{1 - S^2}^{-1}U^* = S(U\sqrt{1 - S^2}U^*)^{-1} = S\sqrt{1 - S^2}^{-1} = T. \end{aligned}$$

Third, as in the first step, $SU = US$ for any unitary $U \in A'$ if and only if $S \in A'' = A$.

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Equation (1.23) in Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.3: taking $A = W^*(T)$ so that $T\eta A$ yields $S \in W^*(T)$, and hence $W^*(S) \subseteq W^*(T)$. On the other hand, taking $A = W^*(S)$, in which case $S \in A$, gives $T\eta W^*(S)$, and hence $W^*(T) \subseteq W^*(S)$.

Similarly to (2.2), we have an isometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{B}_b(\sigma(T)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{B}_b(\tilde{\sigma}(S)), \quad h \mapsto h \circ u \tag{2.11}$$

so that the first claim of Theorem 1.4 follows from the Borel functional calculus for the bounded operator S (see [8]). The proof of the last one is, *mutatis mutandis*, practically the same as in [8, Theorem 5.3.8], so we omit the details (see [2]).

As explained in [8, Section 5.3], there exists a Borel measure μ on $\sigma(T)$ such that the map $f \mapsto f(T)$ may also be seen as a so-called *essential *-homomorphism* from $\mathcal{B}(\sigma(T))/\mathcal{N}(\sigma(T))$ into the *-algebra of normal operators affiliated with $W^*(T)$,

where $\mathcal{N}(\sigma(T))$ is the set of μ -null functions on $\sigma(T)$. This remains true in our approach with the same proof (see [2]).

3. EPILOGUE

Let us finally note that, although the present article was inspired by the work of Woronowicz, the C^* -algebraic affiliation relation he defines in [12, Definition 1.1] (as did, independently, also Baaj and Julg in [1]) has not been used here. If we call his relation η' to avoid confusion with the W^* -algebraic relation η we do use, if $A \subset B(\mathcal{H})$, then we have $T\eta'A \Rightarrow T \in A$ (and hence T is bounded) (cf. [12, Proposition 1.3]). Woronowicz does not define a C^* -algebraic counterpart of the von Neumann algebra $W^*(T)$, but it might be reasonable to define $C^*(T)$ as the smallest C^* -algebra A in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T\eta'A$. It follows from [12, Example 4] that this would give $C^*(T) = C_0^*(S)$ as defined in (1.14). This C^* -algebra contains S (and hence T) if and only if T is bounded, in which case $C_0^*(S) = C^*(S)$ and hence $C^*(T) = C^*(S)$, as in our approach (see (1.5)). Also, in general (i.e., if T is possibly unbounded), the bicommutant $C^*(T)''$ coincides with $W^*(T)$ as defined in the usual way (1.22). This follows from $C_0^*(S)'' = C^*(S)'' = W^*(S)$ and (1.10).

Of course, we could also redefine η' , now calling it η'' , by stipulating that $T\eta''A$ whenever $S \in A$, and redefine $C^*(T)$ accordingly (i.e., as the smallest C^* -algebra A in $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T\eta''A$). This would give (1.5) even if T is unbounded, though in a somewhat empty way.

Acknowledgments. The authors are indebted to Eli Hawkins, Nigel Higson, Jens Kaad, Erik Koelink, Bram Mesland, Arnoud van Rooij, and an anonymous referee for advice (most of which was taken).

REFERENCES

1. S. Baaj and P. Julg, *Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C^* -modules hilbertiens*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **296** (1983), no. 21, 875–878. [Zbl 0551.46041](#). [MR0715325](#). 419
2. C. Budde, *Operator algebras and unbounded self-adjoint operators*, M.Sc. dissertation, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands, 2015, www.math.ru.nl/~landsman/Budde.pdf (accessed 9 May 2016). 418, 419
3. W. E. Kaufman, *Closed operators and pure contractions in Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), no. 1, 83–87. [Zbl 0514.47009](#). [MR0677237](#). [DOI 10.2307/2044357](#). 412
4. J. J. Koliha, *On Kaufman's theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **411** (2014), no. 2, 688–692. [Zbl 1331.47025](#). [MR3128424](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.10.028](#). 412, 414
5. E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **210**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995. [Zbl 0822.46080](#). [MR1325694](#). [DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511526206](#). 412, 413
6. E. Nelson, *Analytic vectors*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 572–614. [Zbl 0091.10704](#). [MR0107176](#). 416
7. J. von Neumann, *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*, Unveränderter Nachdruck der ersten Auflage von 1932, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **38**, Springer, Berlin, 1968. [Zbl 0152.46101](#). [MR0223138](#). 411
8. G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Grad. Texts in Math. **118**, Springer, New York, 1989. [Zbl 0668.46002](#). [MR0971256](#). [DOI 10.1007/978-1-4612-1007-8](#). 412, 414, 418
9. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, I: Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Academic, New York, 1978. [Zbl 0401.47001](#). [MR0751959](#). 416

10. K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. **265**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012. [Zbl 1257.47001](#). [MR2953553](#). [DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-4753-1](#). [411](#), [412](#)
11. V. S. Sunder, *Functional Analysis: Spectral Theory*, Birkhäuser Adv. Texts Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997. [Zbl 0919.46002](#). [MR1646508](#). [418](#)
12. S. L. Woronowicz, *Unbounded elements affiliated with C^* -algebras and noncompact quantum groups*, Commun. Math. Phys. **136** (1991), no. 2, 399–432. [Zbl 0743.46080](#). [MR1096123](#). [412](#), [413](#), [419](#)
13. S. L. Woronowicz and K. Napiórkowski, *Operator theory in the C^* -algebra framework*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31** (1992), no. 3, 353–371. [Zbl 0793.46039](#). [MR1232646](#). [DOI 10.1016/0034-4877\(92\)90025-V](#). [412](#)

RADBOUD UNIVERSITY NIJMEGEN, INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, ASTROPHYSICS AND PARTICLE PHYSICS, HEYENDAALSEWEG 135, 6525 AJ NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS.

E-mail address: Christian.Budde@vub.ac.be; landsman@math.ru.nl