

## NOTE ON A RESULT OF SEEGER IN PARTITIONING NORMAL POPULATIONS

BY GWENDA J. CANE

*University of New England*

The problem of partitioning a set of normal populations by their locations with respect to a control was investigated by Tong, and Seeger considered an extension of this to a comparison with 2 controls. This note points out that the main result in the latter paper is in error, and provides a table for use in the application of Seeger's procedure.

The problem of partitioning a set of  $k$  normal populations with respect to one control is considered by Tong [3]. The partitioning is according to the means, and the  $k + 1$  populations are assumed to have a common variance  $\sigma^2$ . Seeger [2] examines the case of partitioning with respect to 2 or more controls, and the reader is referred to the notation and classification procedure in Sections 1 and 2 of [2]. The purpose of this note is to point out that Seeger's use of Tong's Table 1 is in error, and to provide an appropriate table.

Seeger's procedure  $R$ , based on samples of size  $n$  from each of the  $k + 2$  populations is such that the probability of a correct decision is not less than a prescribed value  $P^*$ , i.e.,

$$(1) \quad P(CD | \mu, \sigma^2; R) \geq P^* \quad \text{for every vector } \mu \text{ in a preference zone.}$$

He requires values of  $r_1, r_2$  ( $0 \leq r_1 + r_2 \leq k$ ) which minimize

$$(2) \quad P(Y_s \leq b; s = 1, 2, \dots, 2k - r_1 - r_2) = H_{2k-r_1-r_2}^{\Sigma}(b),$$

where  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{2k-r_1-r_2})$  has a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector 0 and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . For this  $(r_1, r_2)$ , the solution of

$$(3) \quad H_{2k-r_1-r_2}^{\Sigma}(b) = P^*$$

for  $b$  is then required, and the common sample size  $n$  determined from  $(a/\sigma)(n/2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = b$  where  $a$  is a prescribed constant.

Because of the complicated nature of  $\Sigma$ , Seeger makes use of Tong's Theorem 1.2 from which it follows that  $h = h(k, P^*; r_1, r_2)$  as a solution of

$$(4) \quad H_{k-r_2}^{\Sigma_1}(h) + H_{k-r_1}^{\Sigma_2}(h) = 1 + P^*$$

may be used to obtain a conservative value of  $n$  since  $h \geq b$ . Let

$$\Phi_+ = \Phi(y + 2^{\frac{1}{2}}h), \quad \Phi_- = \Phi(-y + 2^{\frac{1}{2}}h)$$

---

Received August 1974; revised April 1978.

AMS 1970 subject classification. Primary 62F07.

Key words and phrases. Partitioning normal populations, comparison with controls, probability of correct decision.

and

$$S(r_1, r_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_-^{r_1} \Phi_+^{k-r_1-r_2} d\Phi(y).$$

Then the left hand side of (4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad T(r_1, r_2) &= S(r_1, r_2) + S(r_2, r_1) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_+^{k-r_1-r_2} (\Phi_-^{r_1} + \Phi_-^{r_2}) d\Phi(y). \end{aligned}$$

The problem is to find integers  $r_1, r_2$  ( $0 \leq r_1 + r_2 \leq k$ ) which minimize  $T$  for all  $h > 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \eta(m) &= (m/2) \quad \text{for } m \text{ even;} \\ &= (m - 1)/2 \text{ or } (m + 1)/2 \quad \text{for } m \text{ odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Now Tong [3] shows that for  $0 \leq r \leq k'$

$$(7) \quad \beta(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_+^{k'-r} \Phi_-^r d\Phi(y)$$

is minimized for given  $k'$  by  $r = \eta(k')$ . Seeger [2] applies this result to  $T(r_1, r_2)$  by identifying  $S(r_1, r_2)$  with  $\beta(r)$  of (7) where  $k' = k - r_2$ . He claims  $S(r_1, r_2)$  is minimized by  $r_1 = \eta(k - r_2)$  and  $S(r_2, r_1)$  minimized by  $r_2 = \eta(k - r_1)$  and hence the sum is minimized by the simultaneous solution of  $r_1 = \eta(k - r_2)$  and  $r_2 = \eta(k - r_1)$ ; i.e.,  $r_1 = r_2 = [k/3]$ . This is incorrect as the minimization is not w.r.t.  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  but only w.r.t.  $r_1(r_2)$  for  $r_2(r_1)$  constant. Clearly  $S(r_1, r_2)$  is an increasing function of  $r_2$  and is minimized by

$$(8) \quad r_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 = \eta(k).$$

Likewise  $S(r_2, r_1)$  is minimized by

$$(9) \quad r_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = \eta(k).$$

Now (8) and (9) cannot hold simultaneously so the minimum value of  $T$  cannot be found by minimizing the two terms in the sum separately.

So that the left-hand side of (4) is not less than  $1 + P^*$  for all  $r_1, r_2$  we require

$$(10) \quad h^* = \sup_{r_1, r_2} h(k, P^*; r_1, r_2).$$

Now, for given  $c = r_1 + r_2$ ,  $T(r_1, r_2)$  is minimized when  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are as close together as possible since  $\Phi'(-y + 2\frac{1}{2}h)$  is a convex function of  $r$  for every fixed  $y, h$ . So, restricting consideration to values of  $r_1, r_2$  satisfying  $|r_1 - r_2| \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq r_1 + r_2 \leq k$ , (4) was solved numerically, first expressing it in the form (5). Values of  $h^*$  (to 5D) were found for  $P^* = 0.75, 0.90, 0.95, 0.975, 0.99$  and  $k = 2(1)12(2)20$ , and the required sample size  $n$  is the smallest integer exceeding  $2h^*2\sigma^2/a^2$ . When  $h^*$  corresponds to  $(r_1, r_2) = (0, 0)$ , the problem reduces to finding equicoordinate  $50(1 + P^*)$  percentage points of a standardized  $k$ -variate normal distribution with correlations  $1/2$ . Such a solution is given, e.g., by Gupta [1] with values of  $h^*$  to 3D. Table 1 gives values of  $h^*$  for the  $P^*$  and  $k$  above in the cases where  $(r_1, r_2) \neq (0, 0)$ . [Note that for  $P^* = 0.95, 0.975$  and  $0.99$ ,  $r_1 = r_2 = 0$  for all the  $k$  values considered.]

TABLE 1  
 Values of  $h^*$  satisfying (4) and (10) and the corresponding  
 $(r_1, r_2) \neq (0, 0)$

$k$	$P^* = 0.75$	$k$	$P^* = 0.75$	$k$	$P^* = 0.90$
5	1.80303(1, 0)	11	2.07990(1, 1)		
6	1.86996(1, 0)	12	2.10841(2, 1)	12	2.50229(1, 0)
7	1.92625(1, 1)	14	2.15897(2, 2)	14	2.54792(1, 1)
8	1.97389(1, 1)	16	2.20111(2, 2)	16	2.58670(1, 1)
9	2.01422(1, 1)	18	2.23747(3, 2)	18	2.62015(1, 1)
10	2.04914(1, 1)	20	2.27029(3, 3)	20	2.64976(2, 1)

## REFERENCES

- [1] GUPTA, S. S. (1963). Probability integrals of multivariate normal and multivariate  $t$ . *Ann. Math. Statist.* **34** 792–828.
- [2] SEEGER, P. (1972). A note on partitioning a set of normal populations by their locations with respect to two controls. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** 2019–2023.
- [3] TONG, Y. L. (1969). On partitioning a set of normal populations with respect to a control. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 1300–1324.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND  
 ARMIDALE, N.S.W. 2351  
 AUSTRALIA