

A PROBABILITY INEQUALITY FOR LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF BOUNDED RANDOM VARIABLES¹

BY MORRIS L. EATON

University of Minnesota

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent random variables with mean zero such that $|Y_i| \leq i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be real numbers satisfying $\sum_1^n \theta_i^2 = 1$. Set $S_n(\theta) = \sum_1^n \theta_i Y_i$ and let $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}x^2]$.

THEOREM. For $\alpha > 0$, and for all $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$,

$$P\{|S_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq 2 \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \int_u^\infty \frac{(x-u)^3}{(\alpha-u)^3} \varphi(x) dx$$

$$\leq 12 \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha} \inf_{0 \leq \delta \leq \alpha^2} \frac{\exp[\delta/2(2-\delta/\alpha^2)]}{\delta^3(1-\delta/\alpha^2)^4}.$$

1. Introduction. Let U_1, \dots, U_n be independent random variables with $P\{U_i = 1\} = P\{U_i = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Further, let \mathcal{F}_1 be the class of functions $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that (i) f is symmetric and has a derivative f' and (ii) $t^{-1}[f'(t + \Delta) - f'(-t + \Delta)]$ is non-decreasing in $t > 0$ for each $\Delta \geq 0$. As in Eaton (1970), set $T_n(\theta) = \sum_1^n \theta_i U_i$ where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are real numbers and $\sum_1^n \theta_i^2 = 1$. With $T_n \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_1^n U_i$, we have

PROPOSITION 1. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$,

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}f(T_n(\theta)) \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_n) \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_{n+1})$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

PROOF. See Eaton (1970).

PROPOSITION 2. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and if there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a constant M such that $\mathcal{E}|f(T_n)|^{1+\delta} \leq M$ for all n , then

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E}f(T_n) \leq \mathcal{E}f(Z)$$

where Z has a unit normal distribution.

PROOF. See Eaton (1970).

The purpose of this paper is to use (1.1) and (1.2) to obtain an upper bound for $P\{|\sum_1^n \theta_i Y_i| \geq \alpha\}$ where Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent with mean 0 and $|Y_i| \leq 1$. The upper bound given in Theorem 2 is independent of n and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ in contrast to a related result of Feller (1943). Feller's bound depends on n and the variances of Y_1, \dots, Y_n . Consider an $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ so that (1.2) holds, and so that

Received July 1972; revised June 1973.

¹ This research was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-34482 and NSF GP25911.

AMS 1970 subject classification. 6210.

Key words and phrases. Probability inequality, bounded random variables, sums.



$f \geq 0$ and $f(x) \geq 1$ if $|x| \geq \alpha$. It follows immediately, using (1.1) and (1.2), that

$$(1.3) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_n(\theta)) \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_n) \leq \mathcal{E}f(Z).$$

Now, to derive a probability bound, we would like to minimize the right-hand side of (1.3) for all functions f for which (1.3) is valid. However, the class \mathcal{F}_1 is rather difficult to describe in a manner which allows the minimization of $\mathcal{E}f(Z)$. The following lemma gives a useful sufficient condition for a symmetric function f to be in \mathcal{F}_1 .

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $f: R \rightarrow R$ is symmetric, f''' exists and $f'''(x)$ is non-decreasing for $x \geq 0$. Then $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$.*

PROOF. For $t > 0$ and $\Delta \geq 0$

$$f'''(t + \Delta) - f'''(-t + \Delta) \geq 0$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} t[f'''(t + \Delta) - f'''(-t + \Delta)] + f''(t + \Delta) + f''(-t + \Delta) \\ \geq f''(t + \Delta) + f''(-t + \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} [t(f''(t + \Delta) + f''(-t + \Delta))] \geq \frac{d}{dt} [f'(t + \Delta) - f'(-t + \Delta)].$$

Therefore

$$t[f''(t + \Delta) + f''(-t + \Delta)] \geq f'(t + \Delta) - f'(-t + \Delta).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{f'(t + \Delta) - f'(-t + \Delta)}{t} \right] \\ = \frac{t[f''(t + \Delta) + f''(-t + \Delta)] - [f'(t + \Delta) - f'(-t + \Delta)]}{t^2} \\ \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and the proof is complete.

2. The basic inequality. To obtain a probability inequality for $P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\}$, fix $\alpha > 0$ and let \mathcal{F}_α denote the class of functions f which are symmetric and satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^x (x - u)^3 dF(u), & x \geq 0 \\ f(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^\alpha (\alpha - u)^3 dF(u) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, F is a non-decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ with $F(0) = 0$ and $F(+\infty) < +\infty$. Define $(\cdot)_+$ by $(v)_+ = \max(0, v)$.

Then, $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ iff

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^\infty [(|x| - u)_+]^3 dF(u); & x \in R \\ f(\alpha) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, then*

$$(2.3) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_n(\theta)) \leq \mathcal{E}f(Z)$$

where Z is $N(0, 1)$.

PROOF. Since $f'''(x) = F(x)$, $x \geq 0$, $f'''(x)$ is non-decreasing for $x > 0$. By Lemma 1, $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Further, $f'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x (x-u)^2 dF(u) \geq 0$ for $x \geq 0$ so $f(x)$ is increasing for $x \geq 0$. Since $f(\alpha) = 1$, $f(x) \geq 1$ if $|x| \geq \alpha$. Combining the above and applying Proposition 1, we have

$$(2.4) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_n(\theta)) \leq \mathcal{E}f(T_n).$$

But,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}|f(T_n)|^2 &= \mathcal{E} \left| \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^\infty [(|T_n| - u)_+]^3 dF(u) \right|^2 \leq \mathcal{E} \left[\frac{1}{3!} |T_n|^3 F(+\infty) \right]^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{F(+\infty)}{6} \right)^2 \mathcal{E}T_n^6 \leq M \end{aligned}$$

for some constant M and for all n . By Proposition 2, $\mathcal{E}f(T_n) \leq \mathcal{E}f(Z)$. This completes the proof.

From the above proposition, we have

$$(2.6) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathcal{E}f(Z).$$

PROPOSITION 4. *For $\alpha > 0$,*

$$(2.7) \quad \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathcal{E}f(Z) = 2 \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \int_u^\infty \frac{(x-u)^3}{(\alpha-u)^3} \varphi(x) dx.$$

PROOF. For $\alpha > 0$,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha} \mathcal{E}f(Z) &= 2 \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha} \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(x-u)_+]^3 dF(u) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= 2 \inf_F \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^\infty w(u) dF(u) \end{aligned}$$

where F is non-decreasing, $F(+\infty) < +\infty$, $(1/3!) \int_0^\alpha (\alpha-u)^3 dF(u) = 1$ and $w(u) \equiv \int_u^\infty [(x-u)_+]^3 \varphi(x) dx$. But

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \inf_F \frac{1}{2!} \int_0^\infty w(u) dF(u) &\geq 2 \inf_F \int_0^\alpha \frac{w(u)}{(\alpha-u)^3} \frac{(\alpha-u)^3}{3!} dF(u) \\ &\geq 2 \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \frac{w(u)}{(\alpha-u)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

However, it is easy to see that one has equality in both of the inequalities in (2.9) since a choice of F can be made which gives equality. Since $w(u) = \int_u^\infty (x-u)^3 \varphi(x) dx$, (2.7) holds.

THEOREM 1. *For $\alpha > 0$,*

$$(2.10) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq 2 \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \int_u^\infty \frac{(x-u)^3}{(\alpha-u)^3} \varphi(x) dx.$$

PROOF. This follows immediately from (2.6) and Proposition 4.

The explicit minimization of the right-hand side of (2.10) has not been accomplished. The following gives some upper bounds for this minimum.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\alpha, u) &\equiv \int_u^\infty \frac{(x-u)^3}{(\alpha-u)^3} \varphi(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{(\alpha-u)^3} \varphi(x+u) dx \\
 (2.11) \quad &= \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-u)^3} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2-\alpha^2)} \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-ux} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx \\
 &= \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha}{u^4(\alpha-u)^3} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2-\alpha^2)} \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2/u^2)} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $u = \alpha - (\delta/\alpha)$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq \alpha^2$ so

$$(2.12) \quad H(\alpha, u) = \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha} \frac{e^\delta}{\delta^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta^2/\alpha^2)}}{(1-\delta/\alpha^2)^4} \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2/u^2)} dx.$$

Now, e^δ/δ^3 is minimized by setting $\delta = 3$ and $\int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2/u^2)} dx \leq \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-x} dx = 6$. Thus, for $\alpha > 3^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$(2.13) \quad \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} H(\alpha, u) \leq \frac{6e^3}{27} \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(9/\alpha^2)}}{(1-3/\alpha^2)^4}.$$

COROLLARY 1. For $\alpha > 3^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$(2.14) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \frac{4e^3}{9} \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(9/\alpha^2)}}{(1-3/\alpha^2)^4}$$

for all $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

It is easy to show that $\exp[-\frac{1}{2}(9/\alpha^2)](1-3/\alpha^2)^{-4}$ is a decreasing function of α for $\alpha > 3^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus, we have

COROLLARY 2. For $\alpha \geq \alpha_0 > 3^{\frac{1}{2}}$, let $K = K(\alpha_0) = (4e^3/9) \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(9/\alpha_0^2)](1-3/\alpha_0^2)^{-4}$. Then

$$(2.15) \quad P\{|T_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq K \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha}.$$

The estimates used to derive (2.14) and (2.15) are quite crude. Some numerical work indicates that for all $\alpha > 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} H(\alpha, u) \leq (6e^3/27)\varphi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$. However, a proof of this inequality has not yet been constructed.

3. An extension to bounded random variables. It was shown by the author (Eaton (1972)) that the inequality of Theorem 1 was valid for any independent symmetric random variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that $|X_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $T_n(\theta) \equiv \sum_1^n \theta_i X_i, \sum \theta_i^2 = 1$. After the appearance of this result, W. Hoeffding informed the author that an alternative argument could be used to establish the validity of Theorem 1 for independent random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n such that $\mathcal{E}Y_i = 0, |Y_i| \leq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is this elegant argument which is presented in this section.

As above, let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent random variables with $\mathcal{E}Y_i = 0$ and $|Y_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$. The following lemma due to G. A. Hunt (1955) is needed.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $g: \prod_{i=1}^n [-1, 1] \rightarrow R$ is continuous and convex in each argument when the remaining $n - 1$ arguments are held fixed. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{E}g(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \leq \mathcal{E}g(U_1, \dots, U_n).$$

Now, let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be real numbers such that $\sum \theta_i^2 = 1$ and set $S_n(\theta) = \sum_i^n \theta_i Y_i$ and $T_n(\theta) = \sum_1^n \theta_i U_i$. For $u \geq 0$, define $f_u: R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad f_u(x) = [(|x| - u)_+]^3.$$

THEOREM 2. For each $\alpha > 0$,

$$(3.3) \quad P\{|S_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq 2 \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \int_u^\infty \frac{(x - u)^3}{(\alpha - u)^3} \varphi(x) dx.$$

PROOF. For $0 \leq u < \alpha$, it is clear that

$$(3.4) \quad P\{|S_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}f_u(S_n(\theta))}{(\alpha - u)^3}$$

since $f_u \geq 0$ and $f_u(x)/(\alpha - u)^3 \geq 1$ if $|x| \geq \alpha$. But $g(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \equiv f_u(\sum_1^n \theta_i Y_i)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2. Thus $\mathcal{E}f_u(S_n(\theta)) = \mathcal{E}f_u(\sum \theta_i Y_i) \leq \mathcal{E}f_u(\sum \theta_i U_i) = \mathcal{E}f_u(T_n(\theta))$. Using Propositions 1 and 2 on f_u , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{E}f_u(S_n(\theta)) \leq \mathcal{E}f_u(T_n(\theta)) \leq \mathcal{E}f_u(T_n) \leq \mathcal{E}f_u(Z).$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) yields

$$(3.6) \quad P\{|S_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}f_u(Z)}{(\alpha - u)^3}$$

for $0 \leq u < \alpha$. Thus,

$$(3.7) \quad P\{|S_n(\theta)| \geq \alpha\} \leq \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \frac{\mathcal{E}f_u(Z)}{(\alpha - u)^3} = 2 \inf_{0 \leq u \leq \alpha} \int_u^\infty \frac{(x - u)^3}{(\alpha - u)^3} \varphi(x) dx.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3. Corollaries 1 and 2 are valid with $T_n(\theta)$ replaced by $S_n(\theta)$.

PROOF. This is clear from the discussion in Section 2.

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank Herman Chernoff, Grace Wahba and Coby Ward for useful discussions concerning the results in this paper.

REFERENCES

EATON, MORRIS L. (1970). A note on symmetric Bernoulli random variables. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** 1223-26.
 EATON, MORRIS L. (1972). A probability inequality for sums of bounded symmetric random variables. Preprint 1972 No. 5. IMS, Univ. of Copenhagen.
 FELLER, W. (1943). Generalization of a probability limit theorem of Cramér. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** 361-372.

HUNT, G. A. (1955). An inequality in probability theory. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** 506-510.

SCHOOL OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455