

## A POISSON-TYPE LIMIT THEOREM FOR MIXING SEQUENCES OF DEPENDENT 'RARE' EVENTS<sup>1</sup>

BY R. M. MEYER

University of Rochester

Certain mixing sequences of dependent 'rare' events are considered and a Poisson limit is established for the probability that  $k$  events occur. An asymptotic distribution for the number of upcrossings of a high level by certain stochastic processes is considered as an application.

**1. Introduction.** The well-known Poisson limit for sequences of Bernoulli (binomial) 'rare' events asserts that if, for each  $n$ , the events  $A_i^n$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are mutually independent and  $P(A_i^n) = a/n$  ( $a > 0$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then for any fixed nonnegative integer  $k$ ,  $P_k^n = \Pr\{\text{exactly } k \text{ among } A_i^n (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \text{ occur}\} \rightarrow e^{-a} a^k / k!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This result has been generalized to mutually independent events not necessarily of equal probability and Koopman [1] gives necessary and sufficient conditions. For the dependent case Walsh [4] provides sufficient conditions for convergence of  $P_k^n$  to a Poisson limit when each event among  $A_i^n$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is independent only of at least  $n - m - 1$  of the others.

Here we consider certain mixing sequences of events in which each  $A_i^n$  conceivably depends upon every other event. A Poisson limit for  $P_k^n$  is established by generalizing a result of Loynes [2]. As an application we consider the asymptotic distribution of the number of upcrossings of a high level by certain strongly mixing, strictly stationary stochastic processes.

**2. Main results.** In order to provide a proper setting for treating Poisson limit theorems a probability space  $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, P_n)$  and sequence of events  $\{A_i^n (i = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  herein is introduced for each positive integer  $n$ . For notational convenience the index  $n$  will be omitted from  $P_n$  and, for example,  $P(A_i^n)$  will be written instead of  $P_n(A_i^n)$ .

**DEFINITION.** A sequence of sequences of events  $\{A_i^n (i = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is termed uniformly (or strongly) mixing with mixing function sequence  $\{g_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  if  $|P(E^n F^n) - P(E^n)P(F^n)| \leq g_n(k)$ , where  $E^n(F^n)$  is an event defined in terms of  $\{A_1^n, \dots, A_m^n\}$  ( $\{A_{m+k+1}^n, \dots\}$ ), and  $g_n(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  if  $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**DEFINITION.** A sequence of sequences of events  $\{A_i^n (i = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is termed stationary if for any event  $E^n$  defined in terms of  $\{A_i^n (i =$

---

Received August 14, 1968; revised September 11, 1972.

<sup>1</sup> This work constitutes part of the author's doctoral dissertation done at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, and was supported by a National Aeronautics and Space Administration fellowship.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60F05; Secondary 60G10.

Key words and phrases. Poisson process, mixing, 'rare' events, upcrossings, weak convergence.

1, 2, . . .)},  $P(E^n) = P(T(E^n))$ , where  $T(E^n)$  denotes the translated event obtained from  $E^n$  by replacing each  $A_i^n$  in its definition by  $A_{i+1}^n$ .

The following theorem is closely related to Loynes' [2] original result. The proof contains certain differences.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{A_i^n (i = 1, 2, \dots)\} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$  be stationary and uniformly mixing with mixing function sequence  $\{g_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  and with  $P(A_i^n) \sim a/n (a > 0)$ . Suppose that there exist two sequences of integers  $\{p_m (m = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  and  $\{q_m (m = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  (with  $t_m = m(p_m + q_m)$ ) such that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m^r g_{t_m}(q_m) \rightarrow 0$  (for any fixed  $r > 0$ ),  $q_m/p_m \rightarrow 0$ , and  $p_{m+1}/p_m \rightarrow 1$ . Finally assume  $I_{p_m} = \sum_{i=1}^{p_m-1} (p_m - i)P(A_{i+1}^{t_m} A_1^{t_m}) = o(1/m)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $P_k^n \rightarrow e^{-a} a^k / k!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** For fixed  $m$ , partition the positive integers into consecutive blocks of size  $p_m$  and  $q_m$  alternately, beginning with the initial block  $\{1, 2, \dots, p_m\}$  of size  $p_m$ . Let  $P_m(Q_m)$  denote those positive integers falling into size  $p_m(q_m)$  blocks. (The subscript  $m$  will be suppressed where convenient.) The proof consists of three parts: (i) showing that asymptotically if an event  $A_i^t$  occurs then  $i \in P_m$ , (ii) showing that if  $k$  among  $A_i^t (i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$  occur then asymptotically all  $k$  indices lie in separate  $p_m$  blocks, and (iii) using Bonferroni's inequalities to obtain the asymptotic probability of the event  $D_k^t$  defined as "exactly  $k$  among  $A_i^t (i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$  occur and each such  $A_i^t$  has subscript  $i$  in a separate block of  $P_m$ ."

First, write  $P_k^t = P(B_k^t) + P(C_k^t)$  say, where  $B_k^t$  and  $C_k^t$  are defined respectively as "exactly  $k$  among  $A_i^t (i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$  occur and all such  $i$ 's are in  $P_m$ " and "exactly  $k$  among  $A_i^t (i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$  occur and some such  $i$ 's are in  $Q_m$ ." From the hypothesis,  $P(C_k^t) \leq \sum_{i \in Q_m, i \leq t} P(A_i^t) \sim mqa/t \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, if either  $P_k^t$  or  $P(B_k^t)$  has a limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , both have and the two are equal. Next write  $P(B_k^t) = P(D_k^t) + P(F_k^t)$  say where  $D_k^t$  is defined above and  $F_k^t$  is defined as " $B_k^t$  occurs and some such  $i$ 's lie in the same block in  $P_m$ ." From the hypothesis  $P(F_k^t) \leq mI_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus if either  $P(B_k^t)$  or  $P(D_k^t)$  has a limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , both have and the two are equal.

To evaluate  $P(D_k^t)$  write  $D_k^t$  as "exactly  $k$  among  $G_i^t (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  occur" where  $G_i^t$  is defined as "exactly one  $A_j^t (j = (i - 1)(p + q) + 1, \dots, (i - 1)(p + q) + p)$  occurs." Using Bonferroni's inequalities it follows that for any even integer  $v, v + k \leq m, L_{v,k}^t \leq P(D_k^t) \leq U_{v,k}^t$ , where  $L_{v,k}^t = S_k^t - \binom{k+1}{k} S_{k+1}^t + \dots - \binom{k+v-1}{k} S_{k+v-1}^t$ , and  $U_{v,k}^t = L_{v,k}^t + \binom{k+v}{k} S_{k+v}^t$  and  $S_r^t = \sum_C P(G_{i_1}^t \dots G_{i_r}^t)$  with  $C = \{(i_1, \dots, i_r) | 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m\}, 0 < r \leq m$ . Via the uniform mixing and stationarity assumptions  $|P(G_{i_1}^t \dots G_{i_r}^t) - P^r(G_1^t)| \leq r g_t(q)$ . Now again using Bonferroni's inequalities,  $T_1^t - T_2^t \leq P(G_1^t) \leq T_1^t$ , where  $T_1^t = \sum_{j=1}^p P(A_j^t)$  and  $T_2^t = I_p$ . From the hypotheses,  $mT_1^t \sim mpa/t \rightarrow a$  and  $mT_2^t \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $P(G_1^t) \sim a/m$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $|P(G_{i_1}^t \dots G_{i_r}^t) - [a/m + o(1/m)]^r| \leq r g_t(q)$  and hence  $|S_r^t - \binom{m}{r} [a/m + o(1/m)]^r| \leq r \binom{m}{r} g_t(q)$ . This implies that  $S_r^t \rightarrow a^r / r!$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $L_{v,k}^t \rightarrow a^k / k! [\sum_{j=0}^{v-1} (-a)^j / j!]$  and  $U_{v,k}^t \rightarrow a^k / k! [\sum_{j=0}^v (-a)^j / j!]$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $v$  is arbitrary it can be concluded that  $P(D_k^t) \rightarrow e^{-a} a^k / k!$  as

$t \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $P_k^n \rightarrow e^{-a}a^k/k!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  along the sequence  $\{t_m\}$ . However, since an arbitrary positive integer  $n$  lies between two consecutive values of  $t$ , the special properties of the sequence guarantee that  $P_k^n \rightarrow e^{-a}a^k/k!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in any manner.

**REMARKS.** Suppose that the conditions of the preceding theorem are satisfied. If  $s$  and  $t$  ( $0 \leq s < t$ ) are two real numbers it can be seen that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $P_k^n(s, t) = \Pr \{\text{exactly } k \text{ among } A_{[s^n]+1}^n, A_{[s^n]+2}^n, \dots, A_{[tn]}^n \text{ occur}\} \rightarrow e^{-a(t-s)}[a(t-s)]^k/k!$  (and similarly the joint probability of the exact numbers of occurrences among several such disjoint finite sequences of events tends to the corresponding product). Thus,  $P_k^n(0, t/a) \rightarrow e^{-t}t^k/k!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so judged in terms of "time units" of length  $n/a$ , the waiting time until the  $k$ -th occurrence of an event  $A_i^n$  has a distribution function  $F_k^n(t)$  satisfying the relation as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\Pr \left\{ \frac{\text{time to } k\text{th event}}{n/a} > t \right\} = 1 - F_k^n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j^n(0, t/a) \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} e^{-t}t^j/j! .$$

Hence, the asymptotic distribution function, say  $F_k(t)$ , of the waiting time until the  $k$ th occurrence of an event  $A_i^n$  (using "time units" of length  $n/a$ ) is that of a random variable with a Gamma ( $k$ ) distribution.

**3. Application.** Associate with each realization of a discrete-time stochastic process  $\{X_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  a continuous (sample) function  $\{X(t), 0 < t < \infty\}$  obtained by joining the points  $(i, X_i) (i = 1, 2, \dots)$  in sequence by line segments. An upcrossing by  $\{X(t), 0 < t < \infty\}$  of a level  $u_n$  occurs in the (time) interval  $(i - 1, i]$  if and only if the event  $A_i^n = \{Y_i \in S_n\}$  occurs, where  $Y_i = (X_{i-1}, X_i)$  and  $S_n = \{(x, y) | x < u_n, y > u_n\}$ .

By a discrete-time stochastic process being strongly (or uniformly) mixing (with mixing function  $g$ ) it is meant that if  $A$  and  $B$  are events defined in terms of  $(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  and  $(X_{m+k+1}, \dots)$  respectively for some integers  $m, k \geq 1$ , then  $|P(AB) - P(A)P(B)| \leq g(k)$ , where  $g(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The following consequence of the theorem of the preceding section was suggested by Loynes' [2] theorem.

**THEOREM.** Let  $\{X_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)\}$  be a strongly mixing, strictly stationary stochastic process (with mixing function  $g$ ) and  $\{u_n\}$  a sequence of levels chosen so that  $nP(A_i^n) \rightarrow a (a > 0)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose there exist sequences of integers  $\{p_m\}$  and  $\{q_m\}$  such that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , (a)  $q_m/p_m \rightarrow 0$ , (b)  $p_m/p_{m+1} \rightarrow 1$ , (c)  $m^r g(q_m) \rightarrow 0$  for any fixed  $r > 0$ , and (d)  $I_{p_m} = o(1/m)$ . Let  $Z_n(t) = \#$  of upcrossings of  $u_n$  by  $X(\cdot)$  in  $[0, nt]$ . Then the sequence  $Z_n(\cdot)$  of stochastic processes converges weakly to the Poisson process  $P_a(\cdot)$ . In particular, for any two real numbers  $s$  and  $t$  ( $0 \leq s < t$ ),  $\Pr \{\text{exactly } k \text{ upcrossings by } X(t) \text{ of } u_n \text{ in } [ns, nt]\} \rightarrow e^{-a(t-s)}[a(t-s)]^k/k!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of  $Z_n(\cdot)$  to those of  $P_a(\cdot)$  has already been indicated; and Straf [3] has shown that this is sufficient for weak convergence, in this setting of count processes converging to a Poisson process.

**Acknowledgment.** Appreciation for valuable discussions related to this work is expressed to Professors W. J. Hall, M. R. Leadbetter and J. Th. Runnenburg.

## REFERENCES

- [1] KOOPMAN, B. O. (1950). Necessary and sufficient conditions for Poisson's distributions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** 737-740.
- [2] LOYNES, R. M. (1965). Extreme values in uniformly mixing stationary stochastic processes. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 993-999.
- [3] STRAF, M. (1969). A general Skorohod space and its application to the weak convergence of stochastic processes with several parameters. Ph. D. dissertation. Univ. of Chicago.
- [4] WALSH, J. E. (1955). The Poisson distribution as a limit for dependent binomial events with unequal probabilities. *Bull. Operations Res. Soc. Amer.* **3** 198-209.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER  
ROCHESTER, NEW YORK 14627