

FUNCTIONALS OF CRITICAL MULTITYPE BRANCHING PROCESSES¹

BY K. ATHREYA AND P. NEY

Indian Institute of Science and University of Wisconsin

Let $\mathbf{Z}(t) = (Z_1(t), \dots, Z_k(t))$, $t \geq 0$, be a critical k -type, continuous time, Markov branching process. It is known that $\mathbf{Z}(t)/t$, conditioned on $\mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$, converges in distribution to $\mathbf{v}W$, where \mathbf{v} is a vector determined by the mean matrix of the process, and W is an exponentially distributed random variable. Thus if ξ is any fixed vector, then $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t$, conditioned on non-extinction, converges to $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{v})W$. If ξ is orthogonal to \mathbf{v} then t is not the right normalizing factor. We prove that in this case:

- (a) $\{(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))^{1/2} \mid \mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ converges in distribution to a normal random variable, and
- (b) $\{(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t^{1/2} \mid \mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ converges in distribution to a Laplacian random variable.

1. Introduction. In this note we prove a limit theorem for linear functionals of a critical, k -type ($2 \leq k < \infty$), continuous time Markov branching processes

$$\mathbf{Z}(t) = (Z_1(t), \dots, Z_k(t)),$$

$Z_i(t)$ being the number of type i particles at time t .

It is well known that $\mathbf{Z}(t)/t$, conditioned on $\mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$, converges in distribution to $\mathbf{v}W$; where \mathbf{v} is a vector determined by the mean matrix of the process (to be defined below), and W is an exponentially distributed random variable.² Hence if ξ is any fixed vector, then $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t$, conditioned on non-extinction, converges to $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{v})W$. However, if ξ is orthogonal to \mathbf{v} , then this only tells us that $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t \rightarrow 0$ in distribution, and it is natural to ask whether some normalizing function smaller than t leads to a non-degenerate limit law.

We will prove two results in this direction; one for a random normalization, and the other for a deterministic one. Namely, we show that if $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{v}) = 0$ then

$$\{(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))^{1/2} \mid \mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$$

converges in distribution to a normally distributed random variable (\mathbf{u} is a fixed vector to be specified), and that

$$\{(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t^{1/2} \mid \mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$$

converges in distribution to a Laplacian random variable.³

Received January 19, 1973; revised September 4, 1973.

¹ Research supported by NSF Grant Number GP-33991X.

² For background and references related to this result, see Sections V.7 and V.8 of [1]. Any results quoted in this paper and not referred to a specific source can be found in [1], together with the relevant bibliographical credits. Our notation will also conform to [1].

³ The second result was proved for the discrete case by a direct but lengthy argument in the technical report [4], which has not been published. The present proof is much simpler and is in the spirit of similar results for the super-critical case in [1], [2], [3].

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60J80; Secondary 60J85.

Key words and phrases. Branching process, limit theorems.

2. **Results.** The mean matrix of the process is

$$M(t) = \{m_{ij}(t); i, j = 1, \dots, k\} = \{E(Z_j(t) | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i)\},$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the unit vector with a 1 in the i th coordinate. It is easy to check that

$$M(t + s) = M(t)M(s), \quad t, s \geq 0,$$

and one can write

$$M(t) = \exp\{At\},$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $\{M(t); t \geq 0\}$. We assume that the process is positively regular, i.e., that all elements of $M(t_0)$ are positive for some $t_0, 0 < t_0 < \infty$. Then there is a simple eigenvalue λ_1 of A which is real, and is larger than the real part of any other eigenvalue. Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be the right and left eigenvectors associated with this maximal eigenvalue. Denote by A_t the event that $\mathbf{Z}(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$, by $\{X | A_t\}$ the random variable X conditioned on A_t , and by

$$P\{- | A_t\}, \quad E\{- | A_t\}, \quad P\{-; A_t\}, \quad E\{-; A_t\}$$

the conditional probability and expectation, given A_t , and restricted to A_t , respectively.

THEOREM 1. *If $\mathbf{Z}(t)$ has finite second moments, ξ is real, and $\xi \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, then*

$$\{(\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)) / (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))^\frac{1}{2} | A_t\}$$

converges in distribution to a normally distributed random variable with zero mean, and finite, nonzero variance.

PROOF. Due to the additive property of the branching process, we can write

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{Z}(t + s) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} \mathbf{Z}^{(ij)}(t, s), \quad s \geq 0, t \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{Z}^{(ij)}(t, s)$ = the population vector at time $t + s$ of the process descendent from the j th type i particle alive at time t .

Let $X(t) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)$ and $Y(t) = \xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)$. Then by using (1) we can write

$$(2) \quad Y(t + s) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} [\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{(ij)}(t, s) - (M(s)\xi)_i] + (M(s)\xi) \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)$$

and hence

$$(3) \quad \frac{Y(t + s)}{(X(t))^\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{Z_i(t)}{X(t)} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(Z_i(t))^\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} \eta_{ij}(t, s) \right\} + \frac{(M(s)\xi) \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)}{(X(t))^\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\eta_{ij}(t, s) = \xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{(ij)}(t, s) - (M(s)\xi)_i$. The idea of the proof is to condition on A_{t+s} , apply the central limit theorem to the independent, identically distributed random variable $\eta_{ij}(s)$, and show that if $(\xi \cdot \mathbf{v}) = 0$ then the last term in (3) goes to zero in probability.

First, let $V = \exp\{i\theta Y(t + s) / (X(t))^\frac{1}{2}\}$. By expressing $E\{V; A_{t+s}\}$ as

$$E\{V; A_t\} - E\{V; A_t A_{t+s}^c\} = E\{V | A_t\}P\{A_t\} - P\{A_t A_{t+s}^c\},$$

we see that

$$E\{V | A_{t+s}\} = [P\{A_t\} / P\{A_{t+s}\}][E\{V | A_t\} - P\{A_{t+s}^c | A_t\}].$$

But for fixed s , $P\{A_{t+s}\}/P\{A_t\} = P\{A_{t+s} | A_t\} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\{V | A_{t+s}\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\{V | A_t\}.$$

Now return to (3) and condition both sides on A_t . Observe that $\{\eta_{ij}(t, s) | A_t\}$, $j = 1, \dots, Z_i(t)$ are independent, identically distributed random variables with mean 0 and finite variance, whose distributions are independent of t . Let $\sigma_i^2(s, \xi) = \text{Var} \{\eta_{ij}(t, s) | A_t\} = \text{Var} \{\xi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(s) | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i\}$.

Since $\{\mathbf{Z}_i(t) | A_t\} \rightarrow_d \infty$ and $\{Z_i(t)/X(t) | A_t\} \rightarrow_d v_i$ (where \rightarrow_d denotes convergence in distribution), we see that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{Z_i(t)}{X(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(Z_i(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} \eta_{ij}(t, s) \right\} \rightarrow_d \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^{\frac{1}{2}} N_i(s),$$

where $N_i(s)$ are independent $N(0, \sigma_i^2(s, \xi))$ variables. The exact expression for $\sigma_i^2(s, \xi)$ need not concern us, it being sufficient to know that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_i^2(s, \xi) = \sigma_i^2(\xi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \neq \mathbf{0}, \quad \xi \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

This is in turn a consequence of the fact that for any $\phi \in R_k$ (see expression (4.16) of [3])

$$(7) \quad E\{(\phi \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))^2 | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i\} - (M(t)\phi)^2 \\ = \sigma_i^2(t, \phi) = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t m_{ij}(\tau) (M(t-\tau)\phi)' C_j'(0) (M(t-\tau)\phi) d\tau,$$

where $C_j(0)$ is a positive definite matrix representing the infinitesimal variances and covariances for a process starting at \mathbf{e}_j . When $\phi \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, one can show (see proposition (1) of [3]) that there exist constants $b > 0$ and γ depending on ϕ , such that

$$(8) \quad \sup_t M(t)\phi e^{bt} t^{-\gamma} = r(\phi) < \infty,$$

thus making the integrand in (7) integrable in $[0, \infty)$. Also, since the process is critical, $m_{ij}(t) \rightarrow u_i v_j$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem, we may conclude that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_i^2(t, \phi) = u_i \sum_{j=1}^k v_j \int_0^\infty (M(t)\phi)' C_j'(0) (M(t)\phi) dt \equiv \sigma_i^2(\phi), \quad \text{say.}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_i^2(\phi) = 0$ iff $M(t)\phi = 0$ for all t , or equivalently that $\phi = 0$. Thus, $\phi \neq 0$ implies $\sigma_i^2(\phi) \neq 0$. Also, it is clear from the above expression for $\sigma_i^2(\phi)$ that it goes to zero if $\phi \rightarrow 0$.

From (7), (8), and (9) we can further conclude that

$$(10) \quad L_i(\eta) \equiv \sup_t E\{|\eta \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)|^2 | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i\} < \infty,$$

and

$$(11) \quad L_i(\eta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\eta| \rightarrow 0.$$

By Chebychev's inequality and the fact that $\mathbf{Z}(t) = \mathbf{0}$ on A_t^c , we have

$$(12) \quad P\{ |(M(s)\xi) \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)| > \varepsilon t^{\frac{1}{2}} | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i, A_t \} \\ \leq E\{ |(M(s)\xi) \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)|^2 | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i \} / \varepsilon^2 t P\{A_t\}.$$

Since $tP\{A_t\} \rightarrow \text{constant} > 0$, we can conclude from (10) and (12) that

$$(13) \quad \sup_t P\{|(M(s)\xi) \cdot Z(t)| \leq \epsilon t^\frac{1}{2} \mid Z(0) = e_i, A_t\} \leq cL_i(M(s)\xi)$$

for some positive constant c . Now when $\xi \cdot v = 0$, $M(s)\xi \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, so by taking s large and applying (11) and (13), we see that we can make $\{(M(s)\xi) \cdot Z(t)/t^\frac{1}{2} \mid A_t\}$ small in probability uniformly in t . Hence, since $\{X(t)/t \mid A_t\}$ converges to a proper distribution, we conclude that given any $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, there is an s_0 such that

$$(14) \quad \sup_t P\{|(M(s)\xi) \cdot Z(t)/(X(t))^\frac{1}{2}| > \epsilon \mid A_t\} < \delta$$

for $s > s_0$.

Finally, note that

$$\frac{Y(t+s)}{(X(t+s))^\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{Y(t+s)}{(X(t))^\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{X(t)}{X(t+s)} \right)^\frac{1}{2},$$

and that for fixed s ,

$$\{X(t)/X(t+s) \mid A_t\} \rightarrow_d 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

To see the latter, note that as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{Z_i(t)} \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} Z_r^{(ij)}(t, s) \mid A_t \right\} \rightarrow_d m_{ir}(s),$$

and hence that

$$\left\{ \frac{\sum_{r=1}^k u_r \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} Z_r^{(ij)}(t, s)}{u_i Z_i(t)} \mid A_t \right\} \rightarrow_d \frac{1}{u_i} (M(s)\mathbf{u})_i = 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{X(t+s)}{X(t)} \mid A_t \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\sum_r u_r Z_r(t+s)}{\sum_i u_i Z_i(t)} \mid A_t \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{r=1}^k u_r \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} Z_r^{(ij)}(t, s)}{\sum_{i=1}^k u_i Z_i(t)} \mid A_t \right\} \rightarrow_d 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence taking s large and then t large, and combining (3), (4), (5), (6) and (14), we have Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If $Z(t)$ has finite second moments, ξ is real, and $\xi \cdot v = 0$, then*

$$\{(\xi \cdot Z(t))/t^\frac{1}{2} \mid A_t\}$$

converges in distribution to a random variable with density function $\frac{1}{2}\gamma e^{-\gamma|x|}$, $-\infty < x < \infty$, where $\gamma > 0$.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{F}_t denote the σ -field generated by $\{Z(u) : u \leq t\}$. Then by using the decomposition as at (2), and noting that conditional on \mathcal{F}_t the second term there is constant, we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} E[\exp\{i\theta Y(t+s)\} \mid A_t] \\ = E\{\exp\{i\theta(M(s)\xi) \cdot Z(t)\} E[\exp\{i\theta \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{Z_i(t)} \eta_{ij}(t, s)\} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \mid A_t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi_i(\theta; s) = E \exp\{i\theta\eta_{ij}(t, s)\}$ (note that this is independent of j and t). Then the expression at (15) equals

$$(16) \quad E\{\exp\{i\theta(M(s)\xi) \cdot Z(t)\} \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^{Z_i(t)}(\theta; s) \mid A_t\}.$$

Now by (14) and the remarks around it, given $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, we can find s_0 such that (14) holds with $(X(t))^\dagger$ replaced by t^\dagger , so

$$(17) \quad E[\exp\{i\theta Y(t + s)/(t + s)^\dagger\} \mid A_t] \\ = [1 + \gamma(s, t)]E\{\prod_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^{Z_i(t)}(\theta(t + s)^{-\dagger}; s) \mid A_t\},$$

where $\gamma(s, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in t . Now for fixed s, φ_i is the characteristic function of a random variable with mean 0 and finite variance $\sigma_i^2(s)$. Also, we can write the exponent of φ_i in (17) as

$$[Z_i(t)/(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))][(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t][t/(t + s)](t + s),$$

whence, recalling that as $t \rightarrow \infty, (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t))/t$ converges in distribution to an exponentially distributed random variable U with parameter λ say, that $\{Z(t) \mid A_t\} \rightarrow_d \infty$, and that $\{Z_i(t)/(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(t)) \mid A_t\} \rightarrow_d v_i$, it follows that the limit (as $t \rightarrow \infty$), of the right-hand expectation of (17) equals

$$(18) \quad E[\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\sigma^2(s)U\}] = (2\lambda/\sigma^2(s))/[(2\lambda/\sigma^2(s)) + \theta^2]$$

where $\sigma^2(s) = \sum v_i \sigma_i^2(s)$. We have already observed that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^2(s) = \sigma^2$ exists, and by arguing exactly as in the proof of (4), with

$$V(t) = \exp\{i\theta Y(t)/t^\dagger\},$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\{V(t) \mid A_{t+s}\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\{V(t + s) \mid A_{t+s}\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\{V(t + s) \mid A_t\}.$$

So by taking s large, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, and referring to (17) and (18), we arrive at the characteristic function of a random variable of the asserted form.

REFERENCES

[1] ATHREYA, K. and NEY, P. (1972). *Branching Processes*. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg.
 [2] ATHREYA, K. (1969 a). Limit theorems for multitype continuous time branching processes, Part I. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **12** 320-332.
 [3] ATHREYA, K. (1969 b). Limit theorems for multitype continuous time branching processes, Part II. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **13** 204-214.
 [4] NEY, P. (1967). Critical multi-type degenerate branching process. Univ. of Wisconsin Technical Report.

K. ATHREYA
 DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE
 BANGALORE 12
 INDIA

P. NEY
 MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
 UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN
 VAN VLECK HALL
 480 LINCOLN DRIVE
 MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706