

A NOTE ON REGULAR CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES IN DOOB'S SENSE

BY D. RAMACHANDRAN

*Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, and Statistical Center, University of the
Philippines*

It is pointed out that an example due to J. Pachl shows the nonexistence of regular conditional probabilities in Doob's sense when the underlying space is a perfect probability space. This answers a question of Sazonov.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space and let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ be two sub σ -algebras of \mathcal{A} . A regular conditional probability (r.c.p. for short) on \mathcal{A}_1 given \mathcal{A}_2 is a function on $X \times \mathcal{A}_1$ satisfying the following properties:

- (CP1) $Q(x, \cdot)$ is a probability on \mathcal{A}_1 for every x in X ,
- (CP2) $Q(\cdot, A_1)$ is \mathcal{A}_2 -measurable for every $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and
- (CP3) $\int_{A_2} Q(\cdot, A_1) dP = P(A_1 \cap A_2)$ for every $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

If $Q(x, A_1)$ satisfies (CP1) and (CP3) and

- (CP2*) $Q(\cdot, A_1)$ is \mathcal{A}_2 -measurable a.s. $[P|_{\mathcal{A}_2}]$ for every $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$

then $Q(x, A_1)$ is called an r.c.p. in Doob's sense given \mathcal{A}_2 (see [1], page 26).

The existence of r.c.p.'s has useful consequences, especially in perfect probability spaces (see [4], [5]). For definition and properties of perfect measures and r.c.p.'s we refer the reader to [3] and [6]. It is known that if (X, \mathcal{A}, P) is a perfect probability space and if \mathcal{A}_1 is a countably generated sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} , then for any sub σ -algebra \mathcal{A}_2 of \mathcal{A} , an r.c.p. $Q(x, A_1)$ given \mathcal{A}_2 exists such that $Q(x, \cdot)$ is perfect for every x in X (Theorem 7 in [6]). That an r.c.p. need not exist in a perfect probability space when \mathcal{A}_1 is not countably generated can be seen by considering $(I, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ where I = the unit interval, \mathcal{L} = Lebesgue σ -algebra, λ = Lebesgue measure and taking $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{A}_2 = Borel σ -algebra on I . But in this case $Q(x, L) = 1_L(x)$ is an r.c.p. in Doob's sense such that $Q(x, \cdot)$ is perfect for every x in I . In [6] Sazonov states that he does not know of an example of a perfect probability space (X, \mathcal{A}, P) and two sub σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ of \mathcal{A} such that there does not exist on \mathcal{A}_1 an r.c.p. in Doob's sense given \mathcal{A}_2 (see Q3 in [3] and page 242 of [6]). In this note we point out that an example constructed by J. Pachl [2] to show the nonexistence of disintegrations of a certain type also provides an example of the kind needed by Sazonov. Further, when an r.c.p. $Q(x, A)$ exists it is useful to know whether $Q(x, \cdot)$ can be chosen to be perfect for every x in X (see, for instance, Theorem 2 of [5]). We use Pachl's example to show the existence of a perfect probability space (X, \mathcal{A}, P) and a sub σ -algebra \mathcal{A}_2 of \mathcal{A} such that

- (a) Under the continuum hypothesis (CH) there does not exist an r.c.p. on \mathcal{A} in Doob's sense given \mathcal{A}_2 , and
- (b) there does not exist an r.c.p. $Q(x, A)$ on \mathcal{A} in Doob's sense given \mathcal{A}_2 such that $Q(x, \cdot)$ is perfect for every x in X .

EXAMPLE. Let I = the unit interval, \mathcal{L} = Lebesgue σ -algebra on I , λ = Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{M} Lebesgue = σ -algebra on $I \times I$ and let μ = Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{M} . Set $X = I \times I \times I = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3): x_i \in I \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and define P on \mathcal{A} by

Received July 14, 1980.

AMS 1970 subject classification. Primary 2813, 2825.

Key words and phrases. Regular conditional probability, perfect measure, continuous measure.

$$P(A) = \mu(\{(x_1, x_2): (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A\}), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then P is a probability on \mathcal{A} with marginals μ and λ on \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} respectively which are perfect. Hence (X, \mathcal{A}, P) is a perfect probability space (Theorem 6 in [6]). Let $\mathcal{A}_2 = I \times I \otimes \mathcal{L} =$ cylinder sets with base in \mathcal{L} .

Suppose there exists an r.c.p. $Q((x_1, x_2, x_3), A)$ on \mathcal{A} in Doob's sense given \mathcal{A}_2 . Let $\mathcal{B} =$ Borel σ -algebra on $I \times I$. It is routine to check that

$$Q'((x_1, x_2, x_3), B \times I) = \lambda(B_{x_3}), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}$$

defines an r.c.p. on $\mathcal{B} \otimes I$ given \mathcal{A}_2 . Since $\mathcal{B} \otimes I$ is countably generated and since both $Q((x_1, x_2, x_3), B \times I)$ and $Q'((x_1, x_2, x_3), B \times I)$ are two versions of r.c.p. on $\mathcal{B} \otimes I$ in Doob's sense given \mathcal{A}_2 , by standard techniques, we can find a set $N \in \mathcal{A}_2$ with $P(N) = 0$ such that for all $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \notin N$ and for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$Q((x_1, x_2, x_3), B \times I) = Q'((x_1, x_2, x_3), B \times I) \cdots (*)$$

Fix $(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) \notin N$. For any subset C of I define

$$Q_1(C) = Q((x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*), C \times \{x_3^*\} \times I)$$

In view of (*), Q_1 defines a continuous probability measure on the class of all subsets of I which is impossible under CH (see [7], page 107) and hence (a) follows. If we assume that $Q((x_1, x_2, x_3), \cdot)$ is perfect for every (x_1, x_2, x_3) in X then Q_1 defined above is a continuous perfect measure (since $Q((x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*), I \times \{x_3^*\} \times I) = 1$) on the class of all subsets of I which is impossible by a result of Sazonov (see Lemma 4, in [6]) proving (b).

REFERENCES

- [1] DOOB, J. L. (1953). *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, New York, MR15, 445.
- [2] PACHL, J. (1978). Disintegration and compact measures. *Math. Scand.* **43** 157-168.
- [3] RAMACHANDRAN, D. (1979a). *Perfect measures I*, ISI-Lecture Notes Series, **5**, Macmillan, New Delhi.
- [4] RAMACHANDRAN, D. (1979b). Existence of independent complements in regular conditional probability spaces. *Ann. Probability.* **7** 433-443.
- [5] RAMACHANDRAN, D. (1979c). Perfect mixtures of perfect measures. *Ann. Probability.* **7** 444-452.
- [6] SAZONOV, V. (1962). On perfect measures. *Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **26** 391-414 (in Russian). *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser.* **248** 229-254.
- [7] SIERPIŃSKI, W. (1956). *Hypothèse du continu* (second edition), Chelsea, New York.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
321 PHILLIPS HALL 039A
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL, N.C. 27514