

# A LOG LOG LAW FOR MAXIMAL UNIFORM SPACINGS<sup>1</sup>

BY LUC DEVROYE

McGill University

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent uniformly distributed random variables on  $[0, 1]$  and  $K_n$  be the  $k$ th largest spacing induced by  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We show that  $P(K_n \leq (\log n - \log_3 n - \log 2)/n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  where  $\log_j$  is the  $j$  times iterated logarithm. This settles a question left open in Devroye (1981). Thus, we have

$$\liminf(nK_n - \log n + \log_3 n) = -\log 2 \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

and

$$\limsup(nK_n - \log n)/2 \log_2 n = 1/k \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

**1. Introduction.** Consider a sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of independent identically distributed random variables with a uniform distribution on  $[0, 1]$ , and let  $S_1(n), \dots, S_{n+1}(n)$  be the spacings induced by  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $K_n$  be the  $k$ th largest spacing among  $S_i(n), 1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ . Devroye (1981) has shown that

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup(nK_n - \log n)/(2 \log_2 n) = 1/k \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

and that

$$(1.2) \quad \liminf(nK_n - \log n + \log_3 n) = c \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $-\log 2 \leq c \leq 0$ . The strong upper bound (1.1) is now completely known for the case  $k = 1$ . In fact, we have for  $p \geq 4$ ,

$$P\left(nK_n \geq \log n + \frac{2}{k} \log_2 n + \log_3 n + \dots + \log_{p-1} n + (1 + \delta) \log_p n \text{ i.o.}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } \delta > 0 \text{ (Devroye, 1981)} \\ 1 & \text{when } \delta < 0 \text{ and } k = 1 \text{ (Deheuvels, 1982)}. \end{cases}$$

The purpose of this paper is to show that the constant  $c$  in (1.2) is  $-\log 2$ .

**THEOREM.** Let  $M_n$  be the maximal spacing among  $S_i(n), 1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ . Then

$$P(M_n \leq (\log n - \log_3 n - \log 2)/n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

**COROLLARY** Since  $K_n \leq M_n$ , we may combine this result with Theorem 4.2 of Devroye (1981):

$$P(K_n \leq (\log n - \log_3 n - \log 2 - \delta)/n \text{ i.o.}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } \delta = 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } \delta > 0. \end{cases}$$

## 2. Some Lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.** [Tail of the gamma distribution] (Devroye, 1981, Lemma 3.1).

If  $X$  is gamma ( $n$ ) distributed, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P(X < n(1 - \epsilon)) \leq \exp(-n\epsilon^2/2).$$

Received June 1981.

<sup>1</sup>This research was sponsored in part by National Research Council of Canada Grant No. A3456. AMS 1980 subject classification. Primary 60F15.

*Key words and phrases.* Law of the iterated logarithm, uniform spacings, strong laws, almost sure convergence, order statistics.

LEMMA 2.2. [Tail of the binomial distribution] (Dudley, 1978, page 907).  
 If  $X$  is a binomial  $(n, p)$  random variable where  $n \geq 1, p \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$P(X \geq k) \leq \left(\frac{np}{k}\right)^k e^{k-np}, \quad k \geq np, \quad k \text{ integer.}$$

PROOF. See Dudley (1978). The proof is based upon one of Okamoto's inequalities (Okamoto, 1958).

LEMMA 2.3. [Tail of the binomial distribution].  
 If  $X$  is a binomial  $(n, p)$  random variable where  $n \geq 1, p \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$P(X \geq np + \epsilon) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2np} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{2n^2p^2}\right), \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad np \geq \epsilon.$$

PROOF. We use Lemma 2.2 and note that  $(np/k)^k e^{k-np}$  is decreasing in  $k$  for  $k > e$ . Thus, by the inequality  $\log(1 + u) > u - u^2/2, u > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \geq np + \epsilon) &\leq \left(\frac{np}{np + \epsilon}\right)^{np+\epsilon} e^\epsilon \leq \exp\left(-(np + \epsilon)\left(\frac{\epsilon}{np} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2n^2p^2}\right) + \epsilon\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2np} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{2n^2p^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.4. [Inequality for the multinomial distribution].  
 If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$  and  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  are the number of  $X_i$ 's in the intervals  $(0, a), (a, 2a), \dots, ((k - 1)a, ka)$  respectively where  $ka \leq 1, k \geq 1, a \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - (1 - a)^n)^k &\geq P(\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} N_i \geq 1) \\ &\geq (1 - \exp(-an(1 - \epsilon)))^k - \exp(-n\epsilon^2/2), \quad \text{all } \epsilon \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The upper bound follows from Mallows' inequality (Mallows, 1968)

$$P(\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} N_i \geq 1) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k P(N_i \geq 1).$$

The lower bound can be obtained by considering the i.i.d. sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of uniform  $[0, 1]$  random variables, and an independent Poisson  $(n(1 - \epsilon))$  random variable  $Z$ . Clearly,  $X_1, \dots, X_Z$  can be considered as the arrival times in a homogeneous Poisson point process on  $[0, 1]$  with intensity  $n(1 - \epsilon)$ . Also, if  $N'_1, \dots, N'_k$  are the cardinalities of the intervals  $(0, a), (a, 2a), \dots, ((k - 1)a, ka)$  obtained from  $X_1, \dots, X_Z$ , then

$$P(\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} N'_i \geq 1) = (1 - \exp(-an(1 - \epsilon)))^k \leq P(\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} N_i \geq 1) + P(Z > n).$$

If  $G$  is a gamma  $(n)$  random variable, then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$P(Z \geq n) \leq P(G < n(1 - \epsilon)) \leq \exp(-n\epsilon^2/2).$$

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $u > 0$  and let  $k \geq 1$  be integer. If  $K_n$  is the  $k$ th largest spacing  $S_i(n), 1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , then

$$P(K_n > u) \leq e^{-\sqrt{un/2}} + P(Z \geq k)$$

where  $Z$  is a binomial  $(p, n)$  random variable and  $p = e^{-un} e^{un^{3/4}}$ .

PROOF. We use the fact that  $\{S_i(n), 1 \leq i \leq n + 1\}$  is distributed as  $\{E_i/T, 1 \leq i \leq n + 1\}$  where  $E_1, \dots, E_{n+1}$  are i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables and  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i$ . If  $E_{(k)}$  is the  $k$ th largest of the  $E_i$ 's, then

$$P(K_n > u) = P(E_{(k)} > u \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i) \leq P(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i < n - n^{3/4}) + P(E_{(k)} > u(n - n^{3/4})) \leq \exp(-\sqrt{n}/2) + P(Z \geq k)$$

by Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.6. [A strong law for the  $k_n$ th largest spacing].

Let

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= (\log n - (1 + c)\log_3 n - \log 2)/n, \quad c \geq 2, \\ p_n &= \exp(-nu_n + n^{3/4}u_n), \\ \delta_n &= \sqrt{2np_n} \cdot \sqrt{2 \log_2 n + (2 + c + \theta)\log_3 n}, \quad \theta > 0, \\ \text{and } k_n &= \overline{np_n + \delta_n} \quad (\overline{\phantom{x}} \text{ is the ceiling function}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $K_n$  is the  $k_n$ th largest spacing among  $S_i(n)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , then

$$P(K_n > u_n \text{ f.o.}) = 1.$$

NOTE. We will need good asymptotic estimates of  $p_n$ ,  $\delta_n$  and  $k_n$  in what follows. A quick check shows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= \frac{2(\log_2 n)^{1+c}}{n} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n^{1/4}}\right) \right), \\ \delta_n &= (\sqrt{8} + o(1))(\log_2 n)^{1+c/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k_n &= 2(\log_2 n)^{1+c} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n^{1/4}}\right) \right) + O((\log_2 n)^{1+c/2}) \\ &= 2(\log_2 n)^{1+c} (1 + O((\log_2 n)^{-c/2})) \\ &\sim 2(\log_2 n)^{1+c}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Note that  $u_n$  and  $k_n$  are monotone for  $n > N$ . Thus, for  $n > N$ , we have

$$P(K_n > u_n, K_{n+1} \leq u_{n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} P(K_n > u_n) 2k_n u_{n+1}, & k_n = k_{n+1}, \\ P(K_n > u_n) & k_n < k_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 1\* of Barndorff-Nielsen (1961), it suffices to show that

$$(2.1) \quad P(K_n > u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(K_n > u_n, K_{n+1} \leq u_{n+1}) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.5,  $P(K_n > u_n) \leq O(\exp(-n^{1/3})) + P(Z \geq k_n)$  where  $Z$  is binomial  $(p_n, n)$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $P(Z \geq k_n) \leq P(Z \geq np_n + \delta_n) \leq \exp(-\delta_n^2/(2np_n) + \delta_n^3/(2n^2p_n^2))$ . Now,

$$\delta_n^3/(2n^2p_n^2) \sim \sqrt{8} (\log_2 n)^{(1-c/2)}.$$

Thus, if  $b = e^{\sqrt{8}}$ ,

$$P(Z \geq k_n) \leq (b + o(1))/((\log n)^2(\log_2 n)^{2+c+\theta}),$$

so that (2.1) holds. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(K_n > u_n)k_n u_{n+1} &\leq \frac{(b + o(1))}{(\log n)^2 (\log_2 n)^{2+c+\theta}} \cdot (2 + o(1)) (\log_2 n)^{1+c} \cdot \frac{\log n}{n} \\
 &= \frac{2b + o(1)}{n \log n (\log_2 n)^{1+\theta}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is summable in  $n$ . To conclude the proof of (2.2), we need only show that

$$\sum_{n:k_n < k_{n+1}} P(K_n > u_n) < \infty.$$

Clearly,  $k_n \leq 3(\log_2 n)^{1+c}$  for all  $n$  large enough. For such  $n$ , we have  $\log n \geq \exp((k_n/3)^{1/(1+c)})$ . By our upper bounds for  $P(K_n > u_n)$  obtained above it suffices to check that

$$\sum_{n:k_n < k_{n+1}} (\log n)^{-2} (\log_2 n)^{-(2+c+\theta)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp(-2(j/3)^{1/(1+c)}) (j/3)^{-\frac{2+c+\theta}{1+c}} < \infty.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

**3. Proof of the theorem.** The proof is based upon the following implication:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & [M_n < (\log n - \log_3 n - \log 2)/n \text{ i.o.}] \\
 & \supset [K_{n_j} > (\log n_j - (1 + c)\log_3 n_j - \log 2)/n_j \text{ f.o.}] \\
 & \cap [A_{n_j} \text{ i.o.}] \cap [M_n > (\log n + 3 \log_2 n)/n \text{ f.o.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

(i)  $n_j$  is a monotone subsequence such that  $n_{j+1} - n_j > \rho_n$ , all  $j$  large enough, and

$$\rho_n = \frac{cn \log_3 n}{\log n}, \quad \text{some } c \geq 2$$

( $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  is the floor function);

(ii)  $K_n, p_n, \delta_n$  are defined as in Lemma 2.6;

(iii)  $A_n$  is defined as follows: let  $m_n = (\log n - 4 \log_2 n)/n$ . Let  $B_1, \dots, B_{k_n}$  disjoint sets of  $[0, 1]$  with the property that each  $B_i$  is a finite union of intervals whose boundaries are measurable functions of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  only; each  $B_i$  has Lebesgue measure  $m_n$ ; and  $B_i$  either covers the  $i$ th largest spacing among  $S_i(n)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , or covers the interval of length  $m_n$  centered at the middle of this spacing (when the spacing itself is larger than  $m_n$ ). We let  $A_n$  be the event [all the  $B_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq k_n$ , are occupied by at least one  $X_i$  from  $X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+\rho_n}$ ].

In (3.1) we are using the fact that if  $A_{n_j}$  occurs,  $M_n \leq (\log n_j + 3 \log_2 n_j)/n_j$ , and  $K_n \leq (\log n_j - (1 + c)\log_3 n_j - \log 2)/n_j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & M_{n_j+\rho_{n_j}} \leq K_{n_j} \leq (\log n_j - (1 + c)\log_3 n_j - \log 2)/n_j \\
 & \leq (\log(n_j + \rho_{n_j}) - \log_3(n_j + \rho_{n_j}) - \log 2)/(n_j + \rho_{n_j}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality in (3.2) follows from our choice of  $\rho_n$  because

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{n + \rho_n}{n} (\log n - (1 + c)\log_3 n - \log 2) - (\log(n + \rho_n) - \log_3(n + \rho_n) - \log 2) \\
 & \leq \frac{\rho_n}{n} \log n - c \frac{n + \rho_n}{n} \log_3 n \leq c \log_3 n (1 - 1) - c \frac{\rho_n \log_3 n}{n} \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality in (3.2) is valid because each of the  $k_{n_j}$  largest intervals among  $S_i(n_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n_j + 1$ , is either smaller than  $m_{n_j}$  or is split into two intervals of length at most  $(1/2)(m_{n_j} + (\log n_j + 3 \log_2 n_j)/n_j) = (\log n_j - (1/2)\log_2 n_j)/n_j$ . In either case, for  $n_j$  large enough, all the new intervals at time  $n_j + \rho_{n_j}$  are smaller than  $(\log n_j - (1/2)\log_2 n_j)/n_j \leq K_{n_j}$ .

We have to show that the three events on the right-hand side of (3.1) have probability one. By Lemma 2.6,

$$P(K_n > (\log n_j - (1 + c)\log_3 n_j - \log 2)/n_j \text{ f.o.}) = 1.$$

By (1.1),

$$P(M_n > (\log n + 3 \log_2 n)/n \text{ f.o.}) = 1.$$

The Theorem follows if  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_j$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $A_{n_1}, \dots, A_{n_j}$  (i.e., it is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_j + \rho_n}$ ). Since  $n_{j+1} - n_j > \rho_n$ , for  $j$  large enough, we have

$$P(A_{n_j} | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) = P(A_{n_j}) \text{ a.s.}$$

for all large  $j$ . Thus,  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  when

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(A_{n_j}) = \infty$$

(see for example Serfling (1975), Theorem 2 or Iosifescu and Theodorescu (1969), page 2, for a more general statement of this type). We are still free to choose  $n_j$  within condition (i). Let us define

$$n_j = \exp(\sqrt{2c'j \log_2 j}), \text{ some } c' > c.$$

Let us first check that  $n_{j+1} - n_j > \rho_n$  for all  $j$  large enough. A trivial analysis shows that

$$\rho_n \sim cn_j \log_3 n_j / \log n_j \sim n_j \sqrt{(\log_2 j / 2j)} c / \sqrt{c'}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} n_{j+1} - n_j &\geq n_j [\exp(\sqrt{2c'(j+1)\log_2(j+1)} - \sqrt{2c'j \log_2 j}) - 1] - 1 \\ &\sim n_j [\sqrt{2c'(j+1)\log_2(j+1)} - \sqrt{2c'j \log_2 j}] - 1 \\ &\geq n_j \log n_j [1 + o(1)] [\sqrt{1 + 1/j} - 1] - 1 \\ &\sim n_j \log n_j / 2j \\ &\sim n_j \sqrt{(\log_2 j / 2j)} \sqrt{c'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (i) holds in view of  $\sqrt{c'} > c/\sqrt{c'}$ .

We conclude the proof by showing that for this choice of  $n_j$ , (3.3) holds. A helpful lower bound for  $P(A_n)$  is provided in Lemma 2.4 if we set  $\varepsilon := n^{-1/4}$ ,  $a := (\log n - 4 \log_2 n)/n$ ,  $n := \rho_n$  and  $k := k_n$  in the formal inequality obtained there. This gives

$$P(A_n) \geq \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\log n - 4 \log_2 n}{n}\right) \rho_n (1 - n^{-1/4})\right)\right)^{k_n} - \exp(-\rho_n / 2\sqrt{n}).$$

We note that

$$\left(\frac{\log n - 4 \log_2 n}{n}\right) \rho_n (1 - n^{-1/4}) \geq c \log_3 n - \frac{5c \log_2 n \log_3 n}{\log n} \geq \frac{c}{2} \log_3 n,$$

all  $n$  large enough.

Also,  $\exp(-\rho_n / 2\sqrt{n}) \leq \exp(-c\sqrt{n} \log_3 n / 2 \log n) \leq \exp(-n^{1/3})$  for  $n$  large enough. By combining these estimates, and using the inequality  $\log(1 - u) \geq -u/(1 - u)$ ,  $u \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_n) &\geq \exp(-k_n \exp(-[c \log_3 n - 5c \log_2 n \log_3 n / \log n])) / (1 - \exp(-(c/2) \log_3 n)) \\ &\quad - \exp(-n^{1/3}) \\ &\geq \exp(-2 \log_2 n (1 + O((\log_2 n)^{-c/2}))) - \exp(-n^{1/3}). \end{aligned}$$

We used the asymptotic estimate for  $k_n$  given in the Note following Lemma 2.6. Replacing  $n$  by  $n_j$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_{n_j}) &\geq \exp(-2 \log \sqrt{2c'j \log_2 j} (1 + O((\log j)^{-c/2}))) - \exp(-n_j^{1/3}) \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2c'j \log_2 j} \right]^{1+O((\log j)^{-c/2})} - O(e^{-j}). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is not summable in  $j$  when  $c' > 0$ ,  $c \geq 2$ . This concludes the proof of (3.3) and the Theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BARNDORFF-NIELSEN, O. (1961). On the rate of growth of the partial maxima of a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables. *Math. Scand.* **9** 383-394.
- [2] DEHEUVELS, P. (1982). Strong limiting bounds for maximal uniform spacings. *Ann. Probability* **10**, to appear.
- [3] DEVROYE, L. (1981). Laws of the iterated logarithm for order statistics of uniform spacings. *Ann. Probability* **9** 860-867.
- [4] DUDLEY, R. M. (1978). Central limit theorems for empirical measures. *Ann. Probability* **6** 899-929.
- [5] IOFISESCU, M. and THEODORESCU, R. (1969). *Random Processes and Learning*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] MALLOWS, C. L. (1968). An inequality involving multinomial probabilities. *Biometrika* **55** 422-424.
- [7] OKAMOTO, M. (1958). Some inequalities related to the partial sum of binomial probabilities. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **10** 29-35.
- [8] SERFLING, R. J. (1975). A general Poisson approximation theorem. *Ann. Probability* **3** 726-731.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
MCGILL UNIVERSITY  
805 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST  
MONTREAL, CANADA H3A2K6