

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR AN INFINITE LATTICE SYSTEM OF INTERACTING DIFFUSION PROCESSES

BY JEAN-DOMINIQUE DEUSCHEL

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule

A central limit theorem for interacting diffusion processes is shown. The proof is based on an infinite-dimensional stochastic integral representation of smooth functionals of diffusion processes. Exponential decay of correlations and the equation of the fluctuation field are also obtained.

1. Introduction. Consider an infinite-dimensional diffusion process $X = (X_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ of the form

$$X_t^i = \xi^i + \int_0^t b^i(X_s) ds + W_t^i, \quad i \in I,$$

where $I = Z^d$ is the d -dimensional lattice and $(W^i)_{i \in I}$ is a collection of independent Wiener processes. Such systems have been considered recently by several authors [for conditions to guarantee existence and uniqueness, see, e.g., Doss and Royer (1979), Shiga and Shimizu (1980), Fritz (1982) or Leha and Ritter (1984)]. We shall suppose that $(b^i)_{i \in I}$ is a stationary family of smooth drifts with bounded derivatives and that the process starts off with a translation invariant ergodic measure on R^I . The purpose of this paper is to derive a central limit theorem for a class of smooth functionals on the trajectory space $C[0, 1]^I$. More precisely, let F be a Fréchet-differentiable functional on $C[0, 1]^I$, Θ^i the usual shift transformation and V_n the cube $[-n, n]^d$ in Z^d . Then we show that the law of the standardized sum

$$S_n^*(F) := |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{i \in V_n} (F\Theta^i - E[F])$$

is asymptotically normal with variance $\sigma^2(F) = \sum_i \text{cov}(F, F\Theta^i)$. In particular we derive the distribution-valued stochastic differential equation associated to the Gaussian field of fluctuation [see, e.g., Holley and Stroock (1978) or Itô (1983)]. Similar results have been obtained for a mean-field interaction [cf. Shiga and Tanaka (1985) and Sznitman (1983)] and for some short range interacting spin-flip processes [cf. Holley and Stroock (1979, 1981)]. However, in this paper we restrict ourselves to finite time and it would be interesting to investigate the limit procedure when the time is speeded up simultaneously with the averaging over space as in Section 6 of Holley and Stroock (1979) or along hydrodynamical limes [cf. Rost (1983)].

The proof of the central limit theorem is based on an infinite-dimensional version of the Haussmann formula which gives an explicit representation for

Received June 1986; revised March 1987.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 60F05; secondary 60H10, 60J60, 60K35.

Key words and phrases. Central limit theorem for interacting stochastic systems, stochastic differential equation in infinite dimensions, stochastic integral representation, Haussmann formula.

smooth functionals of diffusion processes as stochastic integrals [cf. Haussmann (1978, 1979), Davis (1980) and Ocone (1984)]. This representation also provides information on the rate of decay of covariance similar to that obtained by the Dobrushin contraction technique [cf. Deuschel (1987)].

In Section 2 we introduce the gradient process associated to the diffusion $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and apply the method of variation of parameters to a linear perturbation of X . In Section 3 we show the Haussmann formula for finite dimensions with a perturbation argument using the Girsanov transformation [cf. Bismut (1981) and Blum (1986)]. Section 4 contains a proof of the central limit theorem by standard martingale techniques. In Section 5 we determine the equation of the fluctuation field.

2. Diffusion process with smooth drift. In this section we review the basic properties of the solution of an infinite system of stochastic differential equations with differentiable drifts.

Let us first introduce some notation. $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the d -dimensional lattice, $(\Theta^i)_{i \in I}$ the shift operation on R^I . For $x \in R^I$ put $|x|_1 = \sum_i |x^i|$, respectively, $|x|_\infty = \sup_i |x^i|$, and define as usual

$$l^p(I) = \left\{ (x^i)_{i \in I} : |x|_p < \infty \right\}, \quad p = 1, \infty,$$

and

$$l^2(\alpha) = \left\{ (x^i)_{i \in I} : |x|_{\alpha,2} = \left(\sum_i \alpha^i |x^i|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\},$$

where $(\alpha^i)_{i \in I}$ is a positive sequence in $l^1(I)$. Let $(W_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ be a collection of independent real-valued Wiener processes on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{O}, P) . Denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$, $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(W_s^i, 0 \leq s \leq t, i \in I)$, the filtration generated by $(W_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ and by $\| \cdot \|$ the supremum norm on $C[0, 1]$. Consider a diffusion process $X = (X_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ of the form

$$(2.1) \quad X_t^i = \xi^i + \int_0^t b^i(X_s) ds + W_t^i, \quad i \in I,$$

where $(b^i)_{i \in I}$ is a stationary family of differentiable drifts. More precisely, the $b^i, i \in I$, are real-valued functions defined on some Hilbert space $l^2(\gamma), \gamma \in l^1(I)$, to be specified later, which satisfy the following Conditions:

1. The family is *stationary* with respect to the shift Θ^k on R^I ,

$$b^{i+k}(x) = b^i(\Theta^k x) \quad \text{for all } i, k \in I.$$

2. For each $i \in I$, the function b^i is *differentiable* with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ on $l^\infty(I)$: For all $x \in l^2(\gamma)$ and $h \in l^\infty(I)$,

$$b^i(x + h) - b^i(x) = \sum_j \partial_j b^i(x) h^j + r^i(x, h),$$

where $\partial b^i(x) = (\partial_j b^i(x))_{j \in I}$ is a continuous linear mapping on $l^\infty(I)$ and $|r^i(x, h)| = O(|h|_\infty^{1+\delta})$ for some $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

3. For each $i \in I$, the norm of $\partial b^i(x)$ is uniformly bounded in x ,

$$\sup_x |\partial_j b^i(x)| \leq a^{i-j} \quad \text{with } |a|_1 < \infty.$$

REMARK 2.2. (i) Conditions 2 and 3 are naturally satisfied by a family of smooth drifts of finite range with bounded derivatives. Take $b^i \in C_b^2(R \otimes R^{N(i)})$, where $N(i)$ is a finite neighborhood of i . Then

$$b^i(x+h) - b^i(x) = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \partial_j b^i(x) h^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \in N(i)} \partial_j \partial_k b^i(x) h^j h^k$$

for some y between $x+h$ and x .

(ii) Condition 1 implies the stationarity of $(b^i)_{i \in I}$,

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_{j+k} b^{i+k}(x) = \partial_j b^i(\Theta^k x) \quad \text{for all } i, j, k \in I.$$

(iii) We could as well introduce diffusion coefficients to our system (2.1),

$$X_t^i = \xi^i + \int_0^t b^i(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^i) dW_s^i, \quad i \in I,$$

and obtain the same kind of results as long as σ^2 is a strictly positive differentiable function with a bounded derivative.

By the mean value theorem we have

$$(2.4) \quad |b^i(x) - b^i(y)| \leq \sum_j a^{i-j} |x^j - y^j| = (a * |x - y|)^i$$

for all $x - y \in l^\infty(I)$. Following Leha and Ritter (1984) we define the strictly positive sequence $(\gamma^k)_{k \in I} \in l^1(I)$,

$$\gamma := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{a}^{*n} / K^n,$$

where \tilde{a} is a strictly positive sequence with $\tilde{a}^k \geq a^{-k}$ and $|a|_1 < K$. Since γ is superharmonic with respect to the kernel \tilde{a}/K , $\tilde{a} * \gamma \leq K\gamma$, inequality (2.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |b(x) - b(y)|_{\gamma,2}^2 &= \sum_i \gamma^i |b^i(x) - b^i(y)|^2 \\ &\leq |a|_1 \sum_{i,j} \gamma^i a^{i-j} |x^j - y^j|^2 \leq |a|_1 K |x - y|_{\gamma,2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the mapping $b: x \rightarrow (b^i(x))_{i \in I}$ defines a Lipschitz continuous function from $l^2(\gamma)$ to $l^2(\gamma)$. Rewriting (2.1) as an $l^2(\gamma)$ -valued stochastic differential equation,

$$(2.1') \quad X_t = \xi + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + W_t,$$

we have by Theorem 3.3 and Remark 4.6 of Leha and Ritter (1984)

PROPOSITION 2.5. Assume Conditions 1-3. Then for each $\xi \in l^2(\gamma)$, (2.1') has a unique strong solution $X = (X_t^i(\xi, W), 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I} \in C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$,

which satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad E^P \left[\sum_k \gamma^k |X_t^k|^2 \right] < \infty.$$

REMARK 2.7. (i) The stationarity of $(b^i)_{i \in I}$ and the uniqueness of the solution imply the stationarity of $X(\xi, W)$,

$$\Theta^k X(\xi, W) = X \Theta^k(\xi, W), \quad P \text{ a.s. for all } k \in I.$$

(ii) Using Doob's inequality we can generalize inequality (2.6),

$$(2.6') \quad E^P \left[\sum_k \gamma^k \|X^k\|^2 \right] < \infty.$$

We shall now see how the smoothness of the drifts implies the smoothness of the solution of (2.1). Note that, although the mapping $b: (x^i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow (b^i(x))_{i \in I}$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\gamma,2}$, it is not necessarily differentiable with respect to $|\cdot|_{\gamma,2}$. In order to cover such examples as in Remark 2.2(i), we have to introduce the norm $|\cdot|_{\infty}$. This makes the extension of finite-dimensional results more delicate. Denote by $B(l^\infty(I))$ the space of bounded linear operators from $l^\infty(I)$ to $l^\infty(I)$ and by $\|\cdot\|_B$ the operator norm. The matrix $(\partial_j b^i(x))_{(j,i) \in I \otimes I}$ can be considered as an element of $B(l^\infty(I))$ with

$$(2.8) \quad \|\partial b(x)\|_B \leq |a|_1$$

by Condition 3. Let $\Phi = (\Phi_{t,s}^{i,k}(X), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1)_{(i,k) \in I \otimes I}$ be the fundamental solution in $B(l^\infty(I))$ of the linear equation

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi_{t,s}^{i,k} = \delta^{i,k} + \int_s^t \sum_j \partial_j b^i(X_u) \Phi_{u,s}^{j,k} du, \quad (i,k) \in I \otimes I,$$

respectively, in operator form,

$$(2.9') \quad \Phi_{t,s} = E + \int_s^t \partial b(X_u) \Phi_{u,s} du,$$

where E denotes the identity. The matrix $\Phi_{t,s}$ is given by $\Phi_{t,s} = Z_t Z_s^{-1}$, where $(Z_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is the solution of

$$Z_t = E + \int_0^t \partial b(X_u) Z_u du$$

and Z_s^{-1} is the inverse of Z_s [cf. Daleckiĭ and Kreĭn (1974)]. Note that (2.8) implies

$$(2.10) \quad \|\Phi_{t,s}(X)\|_B \leq e^{|\alpha|_1(t-s)};$$

moreover, by (2.3), $\Phi_{t,s}$ is stationary,

$$\Phi_{t,s}^{i+j,k+j}(X) = \Phi_{t,s}^{i,k}(\Theta^j X) \quad \text{for all } i, k, j \in I.$$

Thus defining the positive coefficients

$$c^{i-k}(s) := \sup_X \sup_{s \leq t \leq 1} |\Phi_{t,s}^{i,k}(X)|,$$

by (2.10) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_k c^k(s) \leq e^{|a|_1(1-s)}.$$

The process $\Phi_{t,s}^{i,k}$ plays the role of the gradient of X_t^i with respect to X_s^k . If we interpret $c^{i-k}(s)$ as a weight of the influence of the k th coordinate on the trajectory of $(X_t^i, s \leq t \leq 1)$, inequality (2.11) roughly says that the total influence remains bounded. If we have nonconstant diffusion coefficients $(\sigma(x^i))_{i \in I}$, the gradient process is of the form

$$\Phi_{t,s}^{i,k} = \delta^{i,k} + \int_s^t \sum_j \partial_j b^i(X_u) \Phi_{u,s}^{j,k} du + \int_s^t \sigma'(X_u^i) \Phi_{u,s}^{i,k} dW_u^i, \quad (i, k) \in I \otimes I,$$

and $c^{k-i}(s)$ should be replaced by

$$c^{k-i}(s) = \sup_X E^P \left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq 1} |\Phi_{t,s}^{i,k}| \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right].$$

We conclude this section with an application of the variation of parameters method to the following linear perturbation of X .

Let $U = (U_t^k, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{k \in I} \in C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$ be an (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted process of the form

$$U_t^k = U_0^k + \int_0^t u_s^k ds, \quad k \in I,$$

such that

$$(2.12) \quad E^P [\|U\|_\infty^{1+\delta}] = k(U, \delta) < \infty,$$

with $\|U\|_\infty := \sup_k \|U^k\|$. Denote by $X(\varepsilon) \in C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$ the solution of

$$(2.13) \quad X_t(\varepsilon) = \xi + \int_0^t b(X_s(\varepsilon)) ds + \varepsilon U_t + W_t.$$

LEMMA 2.14. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.15) \quad E^P [\|X(\varepsilon) - X\|_\infty^{1+\delta}] \leq \varepsilon^{1+\delta} k(U, \delta) e^{(1+\delta)|a|_1},$$

$$(2.16) \quad E^P [\|X(\varepsilon) - X - \varepsilon \Psi\|_\infty] = o(\varepsilon),$$

where $\Psi = (\Psi_t^k, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{k \in I}$ is the process given by

$$\Psi_t = \Phi_{t,0} U_0 + \int_0^t \Phi_{t,s} u_s ds.$$

PROOF. Let $\eta = (\eta_t^k, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{k \in I} \in C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$ be the positive solution of the linear equation

$$\eta_t = \int_0^t a * \eta_s ds + \varepsilon |U_t|.$$

By (2.4), $X(\varepsilon) - X$ satisfies the inequality

$$|X_t(\varepsilon) - X_t| \leq \int_0^t a * |X_s(\varepsilon) - X_s| ds + \varepsilon |U_t|;$$

hence, $\eta - |X(\varepsilon) - X|$ is in the cone of the nonnegative functions $C([0, 1], l^2_+(\gamma))$ [cf. Daleckiĭ and Kreĭn (1974)], i.e., $X(\varepsilon) - X \in C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$ with

$$\|X(\varepsilon) - X\|_\infty \leq \|\eta\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon e^{|\alpha|_1} \|U\|_\infty.$$

This implies the first inequality by (2.12). For the second inequality, note that $\Psi \in C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$ is the solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$\Psi_t = U_0 + \int_0^t \partial b(X_s) \Psi_s ds + \int_0^t u_s ds$$

[cf. Daleckiĭ and Krein (1974)]. Put $\zeta = X(\varepsilon) - X - \varepsilon\Psi$; then $E^P[\|\zeta\|_\infty] < \infty$ by (2.12) and (2.15) and

$$\zeta_t = \int_0^t \partial b(X_s) \zeta_s ds + R_t(\varepsilon)$$

with

$$R_t(\varepsilon) = \int_0^t \{b(X_s(\varepsilon)) - b(X_s) - \partial b(X_s)(X_s(\varepsilon) - X_s)\} ds.$$

Condition 2 and (2.15) yield

$$E^P[\|R(\varepsilon)\|_\infty] \leq KE^P[\|X(\varepsilon) - X\|_\infty^{1+\delta}] = O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}).$$

Hence, by (2.8), we obtain

$$E^P \left[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} |\zeta_u|_\infty \right] \leq |\alpha|_1 \int_0^t E^P \left[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} |\zeta_u|_\infty \right] ds + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}),$$

which implies (2.16) by Gronwall's lemma. \square

3. The infinite-dimensional Haussmann formula. Let F be a measurable functional on $C[0, 1]^I$ with $E^P[F^2(X)] < \infty$. Then, since $X = X(\xi, W)$ is a strong solution of (2.1), $F(X)$ can be written as

$$(3.1) \quad F(X) = E^P[F(X)|\mathcal{F}_0] + \sum_{i \in I} \int_0^1 h_t^i dW_t^i,$$

where $(h_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ is a sequence of (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted processes with

$$E^P \left[(F(X) - E^P[F(X)|\mathcal{F}_0])^2 \right] = \sum_i \int_0^1 E^P \left[(h_s^i)^2 \right] ds$$

[cf. Hitsuda and Watanabe (1976)]. The purpose of this section is to generalize the Haussmann formula for infinite dimensions which gives an explicit representation for the integrands $(h^i)_{i \in I}$ of a smooth functional F in terms of the gradient process Φ and the derivative of F [cf. Haussmann (1978, 1979) and Blum (1986)].

Consider $C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$ as a Banach space endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. We introduce the class \mathcal{C}^1 of real-valued functionals F on $C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$ which are Fréchet-differentiable with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$:

4. For all $X \in C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$ and $H \in C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$,

$$F(X + H) - F(X) = \sum_j D_j F(X) H^j + R(X, H),$$

where $DF(X) = (D_j F(X))_{j \in I}$ is a continuous linear functional on $C([0, 1], l^\infty(I))$ with norm uniformly bounded in X and $|R(X, H)| = O(\|H\|_\infty^{1+\delta})$ for some $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

REMARK 3.2. The $D_j F(X)$, $j \in I$, denote the partial derivatives of F with respect to X^j . By the Riesz representation theorem there exists a (signed-) measure $D_j F(X, dt)$ on $[0, 1]$, such that

$$D_j F(X) H^j = \int_0^1 D_j F(X, dt) H_t^j.$$

The total variation of $D_j F(X, \cdot)$ equals the operator norm of $D_j F(X)$ and is uniformly bounded in X ,

$$|D_j F| := \sup_X \|D_j F(X, \cdot)\|_{\text{var}} < \infty,$$

with $|DF|_1 = \sum_j |D_j F| < \infty$ by condition 4.

The mean value theorem implies the Lipschitz continuity of F ,

$$(3.3) \quad |F(X) - F(Y)| \leq \sum_j |D_j F| \|X^j - Y^j\|;$$

hence, $F(X) \in L^2(P)$ as

$$E^P[F^2(X)] \leq 2|DF|_1 \sum_j |D_j F| E^P[\|X^j\|^2] + 2F^2(0) < \infty,$$

by (2.6') and (2.7). Lemma 3.4 is an immediate consequence of the chain rule.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $X(\varepsilon)$ be the solution of the perturbed equation (2.13) and $F \in \mathcal{C}^1$; then the function $F(X(\varepsilon))$ is differentiable in $L^1(P)$ with respect to $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, with*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} E^P[F(X(\varepsilon))]_{\varepsilon=0} = E^P[DF(X)\Psi] = E^P\left[\sum_j \int_0^1 D_j F(X, dt) \Psi_t^j\right].$$

PROOF. By Condition 4 we have

$$F(X(\varepsilon)) - F(X) = \varepsilon DF(X)\Psi + DF(X)(X(\varepsilon) - X - \varepsilon\Psi) + R(X, X(\varepsilon) - X).$$

Thus

$$E^P[|F(X(\varepsilon)) - F(X) - \varepsilon DF(X)\Psi|] \leq |DF|_1 E^P[\|X(\varepsilon) - X - \varepsilon\Psi\|_\infty] + KE^P[\|X(\varepsilon) - X\|_\infty^{1+\delta}],$$

which implies (3.5) by (2.15) and (2.16). \square

We can state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.6 (Haussmann formula). *Let F be in the class \mathcal{C}^1 . Then*

$$(3.7) \quad F(X) = E^P[F(X)|\mathcal{F}_0] + \sum_i \int_0^1 E^P[DF(X)\Phi_{\cdot, s}^i | \mathcal{F}_s] dW_s^i,$$

with

$$DF(X)\Phi_{:,s}^{j,i} = \sum_j \int_s^1 D_j F(X, dt)\Phi_{t,s}^{j,i}.$$

PROOF. We follow the argument of Bismut (1981) using the Girsanov transformation. Assume $E^P[F(X)|\mathcal{F}_0] = 0$. Then (3.7) is equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad E^P\left[F(X)\sum_i \int_0^1 u_s^i dW_s^i\right] = E^P\left[\int_0^1 \sum_i DF(X)\Phi_{:,s}^{j,i}u_s^i ds\right]$$

for all adapted $(u_s^i, 0 \leq s \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ with bounded $\sum_i \int_0^1 (u_s^i)^2 ds$. By (3.1) and Itô's formula we have

$$E^P\left[F(X)\sum_i \int_0^1 u_s^i dW_s^i\right] = E^P\left[\int_0^1 \sum_i h_s^i u_s^i ds\right],$$

which implies $h_s^i = E^P[DF(X)\Phi_{:,s}^{j,i}|\mathcal{F}_s]$ by a standard projection argument. The $(W_t^i(\epsilon), 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ satisfy

$$dW_t^i(\epsilon) = dW_t^i - \epsilon u_t^i dt, \quad i \in I.$$

Then $(W_t^i(\epsilon))_{i \in I}$ is a collection of independent Wiener processes under $(\Omega, \mathcal{O}, P^{(\epsilon)})$, where $P^{(\epsilon)}$ is given by the Girsanov transformation

$$dP^{(\epsilon)} = G(\epsilon) dP,$$

with $G(\epsilon) = \exp(\epsilon \sum_i \int_0^1 u_s^i dW_s^i - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \sum_i \int_0^1 (u_s^i)^2 ds)$ [cf. Theorem 4.1 of Hitsuda and Watanabe, (1976)], i.e.,

$$(3.9) \quad E^P[F(X)G(\epsilon)] = E^P[F(X(\epsilon))].$$

Since $\sum_i \int_0^1 (u_s^i)^2 ds$ is bounded, we can differentiate the left side of (3.9) in the expectation and obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} E^P[F(X)G(\epsilon)] \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = E^P\left[F(X)\sum_i \int_0^1 u_s^i dW_s^i\right].$$

By Lemma 3.4 the right side of (3.9) is differentiable with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} E^P[F(X(\epsilon))] \Big|_{\epsilon=0} &= E^P[DF(X)\Psi] = E^P\left[\sum_{j,i} \int_0^1 D_j F(X, dt) \int_0^t \Phi_{t,s}^{j,i} u_s^i ds\right] \\ &= E^P\left[\sum_i \int_0^1 \left(\sum_j \int_s^1 D_j F(X, dt)\Phi_{t,s}^{j,i}\right) u_s^i ds\right] \end{aligned}$$

by partial integration. □

The following example illustrates a potential theoretic approach to the Haussmann formula [cf. Davis (1980)].

EXAMPLE 3.10. Take a smooth function f of finite range with bounded derivatives: $f \in C_b^2(R \otimes R^{N(i)})$, $|N(i)| < \infty$, and consider the \mathcal{C}^1 -functional

$F(X) := f(X_1)$. Let $(P_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ denote the semigroup associated to $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and define a space-time harmonic function $v: (0, 1) \otimes R^I \rightarrow R$,

$$(3.11) \quad v(t, X_t) := P_{1-t}f(X_t) = E^P[f(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_t],$$

by the Markov property of $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ [cf. Leha and Ritter (1984)]. By Lemma 3.4, $v(t, x)$ is differentiable in x with

$$(3.12) \quad \partial_i v(t, X_t) = E^P \left[\sum_j \partial_j f(X_1) \Phi_{1,i}^{j,i} | \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Computing the quadratic variation of the martingale $(v(t, X_t), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and $(W_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$, we have

$$d\langle v, W^i \rangle_t = \partial_i v(t, X_t) dt.$$

Hence,

$$f(X_1) = E^P[f(X_1)|\mathcal{F}_0] + \sum_i \int_0^1 \partial_i v(t, X_t) dW_t^i,$$

which is equivalent to the Haussmann formula (3.7).

4. Central limit theorem and decay of covariance. Let the process $X = (X_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{i \in I}$ start off with a measure μ carried by $l^2(\gamma)$ and let Q denote the law of X on $C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$. Assuming that μ is shift invariant with respect to $(\Theta^i)_{i \in I}$, ergodic and weakly mixing, then Proposition 2.5 and Remark 2.7 imply the shift invariance and ergodicity of Q [cf. Kornfeld, Sinai and Fomin (1981)]. Moreover, for all $F \in L^1(Q)$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |V_n|^{-1} \sum_{k \in V_n} F\Theta^k = E^Q[F(X)], \quad Q \text{ a.s.},$$

where $V_n = [-n, n]^d$ [cf. Wiener (1939)]. We shall derive a central limit theorem for \mathcal{C}^1 -functionals using the Haussmann formula and standard martingale techniques.

For a measurable function F on $C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$ with $E^Q[F^2(X)] < \infty$, put

$$S_n^*(F) := |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \{F\Theta^k - E^Q[F(X)]\}.$$

Let $L(R^I)$ be the class of Lipschitz continuous functions on R^I ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sum_i \delta_i(f) |x^i - y^i| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i \delta_i(f) < \infty,$$

where $\delta_i(f) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)|/|x^i - y^i|: x^k = y^k, k \neq i\}$. We assume that the central limit theorem holds for the initial measure μ :

5. For all $f \in L(R^I)$, $S_n^*(f)(X_0)$ converges in law to a centered Gaussian random variable $Y_0(f)$ with variance

$$\sigma_0^2(f) = \sum_k \text{cov}_\mu(f, f\Theta^k) \geq 0.$$

This condition is satisfied if, e.g., under μ the $X_0^i, i \in I$, are positively associated

[cf. Newman (1980) and Fessler (1986)] or weakly dependent [cf. Bolthausen (1982) and Künsch (1982, 1984)]. Theorem 4.2 gives sufficient conditions for the central limit theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let μ be a shift invariant ergodic measure satisfying Condition 5 and let F be an $L^2(Q)$ -functional on $C([0, 1], l^2(\gamma))$ of the form (3.1)*

$$F(X) = E^Q[F(X)|\mathcal{F}_0] + \sum_i \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i,$$

such that

$$(4.3) \quad PF(X_0) := E^Q[F(X)|\mathcal{F}_0] \in L(R^I),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\left(\sum_i |h_s^i \Theta^{-i}| \right)^2 \right] ds < \infty.$$

Then $S_n^*(F)$ converges in law to a centered Gaussian random variable $Y(F)$ with variance

$$\sigma^2(F) = \sum_k \text{cov}_Q(F, F\Theta^k) \geq 0.$$

PROOF. We show that $S_n^*(F)$ converges in law to

$$Y(F) = Y_0(PF) + \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\left(\sum_i h_s^i \Theta^{-i} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} d\beta_s,$$

where $(\beta_s, 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ is a Wiener process independent of $Y_0(PF)$. This is equivalent to the preceding statement, since by (3.1) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \text{cov}_Q(F, F\Theta^k) &= \sum_k \text{cov}_\mu(PF, PF\Theta^k) \\ &+ \sum_k E^Q \left[\left(\sum_i \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i \right) \left(\sum_j \int_0^1 h_s^j \Theta^k dW_s^{j+k} \right) \right] \\ &= \sigma_0^2(PF) + \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\sum_{i,j} h_s^i h_s^j \Theta^{i-j} \right] ds = \text{var}(Y(F)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By (4.4) we can choose $m \in N$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\left(\sum_{i \notin V_m} |h_s^i \Theta^{-i}| \right)^2 \right] ds < \varepsilon.$$

Define a continuous martingale $(M_t^{(m,n)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ by

$$M_t^{(m,n)} = S_n^*(PF)(X_0) + |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} h_s^i \Theta^{-i} \right) \Theta^k dW_s^k.$$

Then by (3.1) we have for $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^*(F) &= S_n^*(PF)(X_0) + S_n^* \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i \right) + S_n^* \left(\sum_{i \notin V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i \right) \\ &= M_1^{(m,n)} + R_1^{(m,n)} + S_n^* \left(\sum_{i \notin V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $R_1^{(m, n)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{(m, n)} &= |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i \Theta^k dW_s^{i+k} \right) \\ &\quad - |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i \Theta^{k-i} dW_s^k \right) \\ &= |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in \partial_m(V_n)} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} h_s^i \Theta^{k-i} \{ \chi_{V_n}(k-i) - \chi_{V_n}(k) \} \right) dW_s^k, \end{aligned}$$

with $\partial_m(V_n) := V_{n+m} - V_{n-m}$. Both $R_1^{(m, n)}$ and $S_n^*(\sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i)$ can be neglected,

$$E^Q \left[(R_1^{(m, n)})^2 \right] \leq |\partial_m(V_n)| / |V_n| \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\left(\sum_i |h_s^i \Theta^{-i}| \right)^2 \right] ds \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

by (4.4). On the other hand we have

$$S_n^* \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i \right) = |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i \Theta^k dW_s^{i+k}.$$

Thus (4.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} E^Q \left[\left(S_n^* \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} \int_0^1 h_s^i dW_s^i \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ \leq |V_n|^{-1} \sum_{k \in V_n} \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\sum_{i, j \in V_m} |h_s^i \Theta^k| |h_s^j \Theta^{i+k-j}| \right] ds < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the convergence of $M_1^{(m, n)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Computing the quadratic variation of $M^{(m, n)}$, by (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M^{(m, n)} \rangle_t &= |V_n|^{-1} \sum_{k \in V_n} \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i \in V_m} h_s^i \Theta^{-i} \right)^2 \Theta^k ds \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^t E^Q \left[\left(\sum_{i \in V_m} h_s^i \Theta^{-i} \right)^2 \right] ds, \quad Q. \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

This together with the convergence of $M_0^{(m, n)}$ by (4.3) and Condition 5 implies the convergence in law of $M_1^{(m, n)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$Y^{(m)}(F) = Y_0(PF) + \int_0^1 E^Q \left[\left(\sum_{i \in V_m} h_s^i \Theta^{-i} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} d\beta_s$$

[cf. Shiriyayev (1981)] and we obtain (4.5) with $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square

As a direct consequence we obtain our main result.

COROLLARY 4.6. *The central limit theorem holds for functionals of the class \mathcal{C}^1 .*

PROOF. We first show the Lipschitz continuity of PF with the mean value theorem. Take a path $\xi(\varepsilon) = x + \varepsilon(y - x)$, $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, from x to y in $l^2(\gamma)$. Applying Lemma 3.4 with $U_t \equiv y - x$ and $\Psi_t = \Phi_{t,0}(y - x)$, we have $(d/d\varepsilon)PF(\xi(\varepsilon)) = (d/d\varepsilon)E^P[F(X(\xi(\varepsilon)), W)] = \sum_i E^P[DF(X(\varepsilon))\Phi_{:,0}^i](y^i - x^i)$, where $E^P[DF(X(\varepsilon))\Phi_{:,0}^i] = E^P[\sum_j \int_0^1 D_j F(X(\varepsilon), dt)\Phi_{t,0}^j]$ is bounded in $l^1(I)$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} |E^P[DF(X(\varepsilon))\Phi_{:,0}^i]| \leq \sum_j |D_j F| c^{j-i}(0).$$

Hence by the mean value theorem, $PF \in L(R^I)$ with

$$(4.7) \quad \delta_i(PF) \leq \sum_j |D_j F| c^{j-i}(0).$$

Condition (4.4) follows immediately from the Haussmann formula,

$$(4.8) \quad |h_s^i \Theta^{-i}| \leq \sum_j |D_j F| E^P[\|\Phi_{:,s}^{j,i}\| | \mathcal{F}_s] \Theta^{-i} \leq \sum_j |D_j F| c^{j-i}(s).$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 E^Q \left[\left(\sum_i |h_s^i \Theta^{-i}| \right)^2 \right] ds \leq |DF|_1^2 (e^{2|a|_1} - 1)(2|a|_1)^{-1}$$

by (2.11). \square

The Haussmann formula also provides information on the decay of covariances. Suppose that the following estimate holds:

$$6. |\text{cov}_{\mu}(f, g)| \leq \sum_{j,k} \delta_j(f) d^{k-j}(0) \delta_k(g)$$

for $f, g \in L(R^I)$, where $(d^k(0))_{k \in I}$ is a positive sequence in $l^1(I)$ [see, e.g., Künsch (1982) and Föllmer (1982)].

Put $\tilde{c}^k(s) := c^{-k}(s)$ and define a positive sequence $(d^k)_{k \in I} \in l^1(I)$ by

$$d := \tilde{c}(0) * d(0) * c(0) + \int_0^1 \tilde{c}(s) * c(s) ds,$$

with $|d|_1 \leq e^{2|a|_1} |d(0)|_1 + (e^{2|a|_1} - 1)(2|a|_1)^{-1}$ [cf. (2.11)].

PROPOSITION 4.9. *The covariance of any two functionals F and G in \mathcal{C}^1 satisfies*

$$(4.10) \quad |\text{cov}_Q(F, G)| \leq \sum_{j,k} |D_j F| d^{k-j} |D_k G|.$$

PROOF. By (3.7) we have

$$\text{cov}_Q(F, G) = \text{cov}_{\mu}(PF, PG) + \int_0^1 \sum_i E^Q [h_s^i \tilde{h}_s^i] ds,$$

with $h_s^i = E^P[DF\Phi_{:,s}^i | \mathcal{F}_s]$ and $\tilde{h}_s^i = E^P[DG\Phi_{:,s}^i | \mathcal{F}_s]$. Since PF and $PG \in L(R^I)$,

we can apply Condition 6 and with (4.7) obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{cov}_\mu(PF, PG)| &\leq \sum_{i,l} \delta_i(PF) d^{l-i}(0) \delta_l(PG) \\ &\leq \sum_{j,k} |D_j F| \left(\sum_{i,l} c^{j-i}(0) d^{l-i}(0) c^{k-l}(0) \right) |D_k G| \\ &= \sum_{j,k} |D_j F| (\tilde{c}(0) * d(0) * c(0))^{k-j} |D_k G|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, inequality (4.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \sum_i E^Q [h_s^i \tilde{h}_s^i] ds \right| &\leq \sum_{j,k} |D_j F| \int_0^1 \sum_i c^{j-i}(s) c^{k-i}(s) ds |D_k G| \\ &= \sum_{j,k} |D_j F| \left(\int_0^1 \tilde{c}(s) * c(s) ds \right)^{k-j} |D_k G|. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the preceding inequality, implies (4.10). \square

REMARK 4.11. Estimate (4.10) implies an exponential decay of correlation [cf. Künsch (1982) and Föllmer (1982)]: Let $r(i, j) = r(|i - j|)$ be a shift invariant metric on I and put $|x|_{e^r,1} := \sum_i e^{r(i)} |x^i|$. If we suppose that both $|a|_{e^r,1} < \infty$ and $|d(0)|_{e^r,1} < \infty$, then $|d|_{e^r,1}$ is finite with

$$|d|_{e^r,1} \leq \exp(2|a|_{e^r,1}) |d(0)|_{e^r,1} + (\exp(2|a|_{e^r,1}) - 1) (2|a|_{e^r,1})^{-1}.$$

Applying (4.10) and the triangle inequality for r , we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_i |\text{cov}_Q(F, G\Theta^i)| e^{r(i)} \leq |d|_{e^r,1} |DF|_{e^r,1} |DG|_{e^r,1}.$$

Finally we derive an estimate for the Laplace asymptotics,

$$\Lambda_n^Q(F) := |V_n|^{-1} \log \left(E^Q \left[\exp \left(\sum_{k \in V_n} F \Theta^k(X) \right) \right] \right), \quad F \in \mathcal{C}^1,$$

which can be used for the identification of the rate of the large deviation of the measure $|V_n|^{-1} \sum_{k \in V_n} \delta_{\Theta^k}$ [cf. Stroock (1984)].

PROPOSITION 4.13. *Assume that the initial distribution μ satisfies*

$$7. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^\mu(f) = \Lambda^\mu(f) \quad \text{for } f \in L(R^I).$$

Then the following estimate holds for $F \in \mathcal{C}^1$:

$$(4.14) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^Q(F) \leq \Lambda^\mu(PF) + \frac{1}{2} |DF|_1^2 (e^{2|a|_1} - 1) (2|a|_1)^{-1}.$$

PROOF. Let $F(X)$ be written in the form (3.1) and define the martingale $(M_t^{(n)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$

$$M_t^{(n)} := \sum_{k \in V_n} PF\Theta^k(X_0) + \sum_{k \in V_n} \left(\sum_i \int_0^t h_s^i dW_s^i \right) \Theta^k.$$

By (4.8) and (2.1), the quadratic variation of $M^{(n)}$ is bounded by

$$\frac{d\langle M^{(n)} \rangle_t}{dt} \leq |V_n| |DF|_1^2 e^{2|a|_1(1-t)}.$$

Hence Itô's formula implies (4.14) as

$$E^Q [\exp(M_1^{(n)})] \leq E^\mu [\exp(M_0^{(n)})] \exp\left(\frac{|V_n|}{2} |DF|_1^2 \int_0^1 e^{2|a|_1(1-t)} dt\right). \quad \square$$

5. The fluctuation field. This section illustrates how the central limit theorem can be applied to the derivation of the fluctuation field.

Let $\mathcal{S}_n := \mathcal{S}(R^{V_n})$ be the Schwarz space of rapidly decreasing smooth functions on R^{V_n} and $\mathcal{S}'_n := \mathcal{S}'(R^{V_n})$ the space of tempered distributions. Put $\mathcal{S}_\infty := \bigoplus_n \mathcal{S}_n$ for the direct sum viewed as a nuclear space [cf. Yamazaki (1985)] and $\mathcal{S}'_\infty := \bigotimes_n \mathcal{S}'_n$ for the dual. We introduce a continuous \mathcal{S}'_∞ -valued process $Y^{(n)} = (Y_t^{(n)}(\psi), 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty}$,

$$Y_t^{(n)}(\psi) := S_n^*(\psi)(X_t) = |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \{ \psi \Theta^k(X_t) - E^Q[\psi(X_t)] \}.$$

The central limit theorem of the last section implies

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The process $Y^{(n)}$ converges in law to a continuous \mathcal{S}' -valued Gaussian process $Y = (Y_t(\psi), 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty}$ with variance*

$$\sigma_t^2(\psi) = \sum_k \text{cov}_Q(\psi(X_t), \psi \Theta^k(X_t)).$$

PROOF. Note that $\mathcal{S}_\infty \subset \mathcal{C}^1$, hence Corollary 4.6 implies the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of $Y^{(n)}$. By Theorem 5.3 of Mitoma (1983), it suffices to show the tightness of the laws of $(Y_t^{(n)}(\psi), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ in $C[0, 1]$ for fixed $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$. By Itô's formula we have

$$(5.2) \quad Y_t^{(n)}(\psi) = Y_0^{(n)}(\psi) + \int_0^t Y_s^{(n)}(\mathcal{L}\psi) ds + M_t^{(n)}(\psi),$$

where $\mathcal{L}\psi(x) = \sum_k \{ b^k(x) \partial_k \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k^2 \psi(x) \}$ is the generator of the diffusion $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and $(M_t^{(n)}(\psi), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is the continuous martingale,

$$M_t^{(n)}(\psi) = |V_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in V_n} \sum_i \int_0^t \partial_i \psi \Theta^k(X_s) dW_s^{k+i}.$$

We prove the inequalities

$$(5.3) \quad E^Q \left[\left(\int_s^t Y_u^{(n)}(\mathcal{L}\psi) du \right)^2 \right] \leq k_1(\psi) |t - s|,$$

$$(5.4) \quad E^Q \left[\left(\int_s^t dM_u^{(n)}(\psi) \right)^4 \right] \leq k_2(\psi) |t - s|^2$$

for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$. This implies the tightness in $C[0,1]$ by Theorem 12.3 of Billingsley (1968). Note that

$$\int_s^t Y_u^{(n)}(\mathcal{L}\Psi) du = S_n^* \left(\int_s^t \mathcal{L}\psi(X_u) du \right),$$

where $\int_s^t \mathcal{L}\psi(X_u) du$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -functional with

$$\left| D \left(\int_s^t \mathcal{L}\psi(X_u) du \right) \right|_1 \leq \sum_i |\partial_i(\mathcal{L}\psi)| |t - s|.$$

Applying inequality (4.12) with $r \equiv 0$, we obtain (5.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} E^Q \left[\left(S_n^* \left(\int_s^t \mathcal{L}\psi(X_u) du \right) \right)^2 \right] &\leq \sum_k \left| \text{cov}_Q \left(\int_s^t \mathcal{L}\psi(X_u) du, \int_s^t \mathcal{L}\psi \Theta^k(X_u) du \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_i |\partial_i(\mathcal{L}\psi)| \right)^2 |d|_1 |t - s|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second inequality it suffices to see that the quadratic variation of $M^{(n)}(\psi)$ remains bounded in n ,

$$\sup_n d \langle M^{(n)}(\psi) \rangle_t / dt \leq \left(\sum_i |\partial_i \psi| \right)^2$$

(cf. proof of Theorem 4.4). \square

From now on we sharpen Condition 2 to:

2'. For all $i \in I$ there exists a finite neighborhood $N(i)$ of i such that $b^i \in \mathcal{S}(R \otimes R^{N(i)})$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\Psi(x) &= \sum_k \left\{ b^k(x) \partial_k \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k^2 \psi(x) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D}\Psi(x) &= \sum_k \partial_k \psi \Theta^{-k}(x) \end{aligned}$$

define two linear operators from \mathcal{S}_∞ to \mathcal{S}_∞ .

PROPOSITION 5.5. *The process $(Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ satisfies the linear stochastic differential equation*

$$(5.6) \quad Y_t(\psi) = Y_0(\psi) + \int_0^t Y_s(\mathcal{L}\psi) ds + \int_0^t dB_s(\mathcal{D}\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty,$$

where $B = (B_t(\psi), 0 \leq t \leq 1)_{\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty}$ is a \mathcal{S}'_∞ -valued Wiener process with quadratic variation

$$\langle B(\psi) \rangle_t = \int_0^t E^Q [\psi^2(X_s)] ds.$$

PROOF. Take $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$; by (5.2) we have

$$(5.2') \quad Y_t^{(n)}(\psi) - Y_0^{(n)}(\psi) - \int_0^t Y_s^{(n)}(\mathcal{L}\psi) ds = \int_0^t dM_s^{(n)}(\psi).$$

Since $\psi(X_t) - \psi(X_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}\psi(X_s) ds \in \mathcal{C}^1$, the left side of (5.2') converges in law to

$$Y_t(\psi) - Y_0(\psi) - \int_0^t Y_s(\mathcal{L}\psi) ds$$

by Corollary 4.6 and Proposition 5.1. By the ergodic theorem we have

$$\langle M^{(n)}(\psi) \rangle_t \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t E^Q [(\mathcal{D}\psi(X_s))^2] ds, \quad Q \text{ a.s.}$$

(cf. proof of Theorem 4.2). This implies the convergence in law of the martingale $M^{(n)}(\psi)$ to the Wiener process $B(\mathcal{D}\psi)$ [cf. Shiriyayev (1981)]. \square

REMARK 5.7. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Then the Haussmann formula yields

$$(5.8) \quad \psi(X_t) = P_t\psi(X_0) + \sum_i \int_0^t \partial_i P_{t-s}\psi(X_s) dW_s^i,$$

where $(P_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is the semigroup associated to $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ (cf. Example 3.10). Note that

$$\mathcal{D}(P_{t-s}\psi)(x) = \sum_k \partial_k P_{t-s}\psi \Theta^{-k}(x)$$

is well defined as

$$|\partial_k P_{t-s}\psi \Theta^{-k}| \leq \sum_j |\partial_j \psi| c^{j-k} (1 - (t - s))$$

by (4.8). Applying Theorem 4.2 to (5.8), we obtain an explicit form for the solution of (5.6),

$$Y_t(\psi) = \bar{Y}_0(P_t\psi) + \int_0^t d\bar{B}_s(\mathcal{D}(P_{t-s}\psi)),$$

where \bar{Y}_0 and $(\bar{B}_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ denote $L^2(Q)$ -completions of Y_0 and $(B_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Janos Blum for stimulating conversations.

REFERENCES

- BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York.
- BISMUT, J. M. (1981). Martingales, the Malliavin calculus and hypoellipticity under general Hörmander's conditions. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **56** 469-505.
- BLUM, J. (1986). Clark-Haussmann formulas for the Brownian sheet. Dissertation, ETH 8157.
- BOLTHAUSEN, E. (1982). On the central limit theorem for stationary mixing random fields. *Ann. Probab.* **10** 1047-1050.
- DALECKII, JU. L. and KREIN, M. G. (1974). *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space. Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. **43** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

- DAVIS, J. M. C. (1980). Functionals of diffusion processes as stochastic integrals. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **87** 157–166.
- DEUSCHEL, J.-D. (1987). Infinite-dimensional diffusion processes as Gibbs measures on $C[0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **76** 325–340.
- DOSS, H. and ROYER, G. (1979). Processus de diffusion associés aux mesures de Gibbs sur $R^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **46** 106–124.
- FESSLER, J. (1986). Konvergenz für vollständig monotone Markov-Ketten auf unendlichen Produkträumen. Dissertation, ETH 7975.
- FÖLLMER, H. (1982). A covariance estimate for Gibbs measures. *J. Funct. Anal.* **46** 387–395.
- FRTZ, J. (1982). Infinite lattice systems of interacting diffusion processes. Existence and regularity properties. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **59** 291–309.
- HAUSSMANN, U. (1978). Functionals of Itô processes as stochastic integrals. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **16** 252–269.
- HAUSSMANN, U. (1979). Functionals of Itô processes. *Stochastics* **3** 17–27.
- HITSUDA, M. and WATANABE, H. (1976). On stochastic integrals with respect to an infinite number of Brownian motions and its applications. *Proc. Internat. Symp. S.D.E. Kyoto* (K. Itô, ed.) 57–74. Wiley, New York.
- HOLLEY, R. and STROOCK, D. (1978). Generalized Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes and infinite particle branching Brownian motions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.* **14** 741–788.
- HOLLEY, R. and STROOCK, D. (1979). Central limit phenomena of various interacting systems. *Ann. of Math.* **110** 333–393.
- HOLLEY, R. and STROOCK, D. (1981). Rescaling short range interacting processes in higher dimensions. In *Random Fields* (J. Fritz, J. L. Lebowitz and S. Szász, eds.) **1** 535–554. North-Holland, Amsterdam and János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest.
- ITÔ, K. (1983). Distribution-valued processes arising from independent Brownian motions. *Math. Z.* **182** 17–33.
- KORNFELD, I., SINAI, Y. and FOMIN, S. (1981). *Ergodic Theory*. Springer, Berlin.
- KÜNSCH, H. (1982). Decay of correlation under Dobrushin’s uniqueness condition and its applications. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **84** 207–222.
- KÜNSCH, H. (1984). The central limit theorem for Gibbs fields with weak interaction. Unpublished.
- LEHA, G. and RITTER, G. (1984). On diffusion processes and their semigroups in Hilbert spaces with application to interacting stochastic systems. *Ann. Probab.* **12** 1077–1112.
- MITOMA, I. (1983). Tightness of probabilities on $C([0, 1], \mathcal{S}')$ and $D([0, 1], \mathcal{S}')$. *Ann. Probab.* **11** 989–999.
- NEWMAN, C. M. (1980). Normal fluctuations and the FKG-inequalities. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **74** 119–128.
- OCONE, D. (1984). Malliavin calculus and stochastic integral representations of functionals of diffusion processes. *Stochastics* **12** 161–185.
- ROST, H. (1983). Hydrodynamik gekoppelter Diffusionen: Fluktuationen im Gleichgewicht. *Dynamics and Processes. Lecture Notes in Math.* **1031** 97–107. Springer, New York.
- SHIGA, T. and SHIMIZU, A. (1980). Infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations and their applications. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20** 395–416.
- SHIGA, T. and TANAKA, H. (1985). Central limit theorem for a system of Markovian particles with mean field interactions. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **69** 439–459.
- SHIRYAYEV, A. N. (1981). Martingales: Recent developments, results and applications. *Internat. Statist. Rev.* **49** 199–233.
- STROOCK, D. (1984). *An Introduction to the Theory of Large Deviations*. Springer, Berlin.
- SZNITMAN, A. S. (1983). Nonlinear reflecting diffusion process, and the propagation of chaos and fluctuations associated. *J. Funct. Anal.* **56** 311–336.
- WIENER, N. (1939). The ergodic theorem. *Duke Math. J.* **5** 1–18.
- YAMAZAKI, Y. (1985). *Measures on Infinite-dimensional Spaces. Series in Pure Mathematics* **5**. World Scientific, Singapore.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139