

## HOLOMORPHIC DIFFUSIONS AND BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

BY ZHEN-QING CHEN, RICHARD DURRETT<sup>1</sup> AND GANG MA

*Cornell University*

We study a family of differential operators  $\{L^\alpha, \alpha \geq 0\}$  in the unit ball  $D$  of  $C^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  that generalize the classical Laplacian,  $\alpha = 0$ , and the conformal Laplacian,  $\alpha = 1/2$  (that is, the Laplace–Beltrami operator for Bergman metric in  $D$ ). Using the diffusion processes associated with these (degenerate) differential operators, the boundary behavior of  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic functions is studied in a unified way for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ . More specifically, we show that a bounded  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic function in  $D$  has boundary limits in approaching regions at almost every boundary point and the boundary approaching region increases from the Stolz cone to the Korányi admissible region as  $\alpha$  runs from 0 to  $1/2$ . A local version for this Fatou-type result is also established.

1. Introduction. Let  $D$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . It is well known that every bounded harmonic function  $u$  in  $D$  has a nontangential limit at almost every boundary point. To state this precisely, define for  $\xi \in \partial D$  and  $\beta > 0$  the Stolz cone with opening  $\beta$  and vertex  $\xi$ :

$$\Gamma_\beta(\xi) = \{z \in D: |z - \xi| < \beta(1 - |z|)\}.$$

The classical result asserts that for every bounded harmonic function  $u$  in the unit ball  $D$ ,  $\lim_{\Gamma_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z)$  exists for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ . This can also be proved probabilistically by running a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (see, e.g., [3] for the case of  $D$  being the upper half space of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ). This nontangential convergence result in fact holds for any domain in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with Lipschitz smooth boundary (see [1] for a probabilistic proof of this result).

When  $D$  is the unit ball in  $C^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $f$  is a bounded holomorphic function in  $D$ , more is true. Let  $w \cdot z = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{z}_i$  denote the inner product in  $C^n$  between two vectors  $w, z \in C^n$ , and define for  $\xi \in \partial D$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  the admissible region with opening  $\beta$  and vertex  $\xi$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) = \{z \in D: |\xi \cdot (z - \xi)| < \beta(1 - |z|) \text{ and } |z - \xi| < \beta(1 - |z|)^{1/2}\},$$

which is the intersection of a wedge  $|\xi \cdot (z - \xi)| < \beta(1 - |z|)$  with a paraboloid  $|z - \xi| < \beta(1 - |z|)^{1/2}$ . Korányi [9] showed that for any bounded holomorphic function  $f$  in  $D$ ,  $\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} f(z)$  exists for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ .

---

Received March 1996.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

AMS 1991 subject classifications. Primary 60J45, 31B25; secondary 60J60, 31B10.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic diffusions, conditional process, hitting probability, harmonic measure, martingale, holomorphic and  $L$ -harmonic functions, boundary limit, approaching region, Harnack inequality.

The key to Korányi's analysis is that  $f$  is harmonic for the invariant Laplacian,

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{\Delta} = 4(1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j, k=1}^n (\delta_{jk} - z_j \bar{z}_k) \partial_j \bar{\partial}_k,$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  is Kronecker's delta with  $\delta_{kk} = 1$  and  $\delta_{jk} = 0$  if  $j \neq k$ , and

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_j = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}} \right), \quad \bar{\partial}_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2k-1}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2k}} \right)$$

when  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (x_1 + ix_2, \dots, x_{2n-1} + ix_{2n})$ . The name invariant comes from the fact that  $\bar{\Delta}$  is invariant under the automorphisms of the unit ball (see [7] or Section 2.2 of [11]). Another special property of  $\bar{\Delta}$  is that it is the Laplace–Beltrami operator corresponding to the Bergman metric in  $D$ , under which  $D$  has constant negative curvature.

Holomorphic functions are harmonic for the ordinary Laplacian  $\Delta$  as well, but identifying  $C^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and using the results quoted in the first paragraph of this section lead only to nontangential convergence, a weaker result than Korányi's admissible limits. Given this, it is natural, if somewhat optimistic, to ask if we can find another operator which will allow us to get better results. To explain what we have in mind, note that a holomorphic function  $f$  has  $Lf = 0$  whenever

$$(1.3) \quad L = \sum_{i, j=1}^n a_{ij}(z) \partial_j \bar{\partial}_i,$$

since by definition we have  $\bar{\partial}_k f = 0$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . A diffusion process  $Z_t$  with a generator of this form is called a holomorphic diffusion, since if  $\tau$  is the exit time from  $D$  and  $f$  is a holomorphic function  $f$ , then  $f(Z_t)$ ,  $t < \tau$ , is a local martingale and, furthermore, a time change of a complex Brownian motion. In this connection, we would like to mention that Fukushima and Okada [4] used suitably chosen holomorphic diffusions (and the associated Dirichlet forms) to prove five properties of plurisubharmonic functions.

To motivate the class of operators we will consider, note that if  $Z$  is the diffusion process corresponding to  $(1 - |z|^2)^{-2} \bar{\Delta}/2$ , which we will call the (time-changed) invariant Brownian motion in  $D$ , then up to the exit time  $\tau$  from the ball (which turns out to be  $\tau < \infty$ ) we have  $dZ_t = \sigma(Z_t) dB_t$  where  $B$  is a  $2n$ -dimensional Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = C^n$  and  $\sigma$  is a  $2n \times 2n$  real matrix given by

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma(z) = |z|^{-1} (P, Q) \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} I_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} |z|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P' \\ Q' \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_k$  denotes the  $k \times k$  real identity matrix. Here the superscript prime denotes the matrix transpose and we make the identification

$$(1.5) \quad z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})' \quad \text{and} \quad iz = (-x_2, x_1, \dots, -x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})',$$

$P$  is the  $2n \times 2$  real matrix  $(z, iz)$  and  $Q$  is a  $2n \times (2n - 2)$  real matrix such that  $|z|^{-1}(P, Q)$  is a  $2n \times 2n$  orthonormal matrix. We set  $\sigma(0) = I_{2n}$ , the  $2n \times 2n$  real identity matrix. At a point  $z$  in  $D \setminus \{0\}$ , the process  $Z$  oscillates with magnitude 1 along the complex direction  $Cz$  and along the space of complex dimension  $n - 1$  which is perpendicular to  $Cz$ ; it oscillates with magnitude  $(1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2}$ . Note that if we multiply the oscillations by  $1 - |z|^2$ , then we get the dimensions of the admissible region  $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi)$  (with  $1 - |z|$  replaced by  $1 - |z|^2$ ).

Consider now the family of diffusion processes  $Z^\alpha$  in  $D$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$ , given by  $dZ_t^\alpha = \sigma_\alpha(Z_t^\alpha) dB_t$ , where

$$(1.6) \quad \sigma_\alpha(z) = |z|^{-1}(P, Q) \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - |z|^2)^{-\alpha} I_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} |z|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P' \\ Q' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since each entry of  $\sigma_\alpha(z)$  is a  $C^\infty$  function in  $D$ , the diffusion process  $Z^\alpha$  exists up to the exit time  $\tau$  from  $D$ . It is easy to see that  $Z_\tau^\alpha = \lim_{t \uparrow \tau} Z_t^\alpha$  exists almost surely and takes value in  $\partial D$ . The infinitesimal generator of  $Z^\alpha$  is

$$(1.7) \quad L^\alpha = \frac{2}{(1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}} \sum_{j, k=1}^n \left( \delta_{jk} - \frac{1 - (1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}}{|z|^2} z_j \bar{z}_k \right) \partial_j \bar{\partial}_k$$

and therefore every pluriharmonic function in  $D$  (that is, the real part of some holomorphic function in  $D$ ) is an  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic function. However, the space of  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic functions is larger than that of pluriharmonic functions. Forelli's theorem (see page 63 of [11]) asserts that if  $u$  defined in  $D$  satisfies  $u \in C^\infty(\{0\})$  as well as the differential equation  $\sum_{j, k=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_k \partial_j \bar{\partial}_k u(z) = 0$  for  $z \in D$ , then  $u$  is pluriharmonic in  $D$ . So by (1.7), if  $u$  is  $\Delta$ -harmonic and  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $u$  is pluriharmonic. Generalizing the classical results for the Laplacian  $\Delta$  and the invariant Laplacian  $\bar{\Delta}$ , we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For every bounded real-valued  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic function  $u$  in the unit ball  $D$  in  $C^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$  and for every  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z) = \psi(\xi)$  exists for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ , where*

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi) = \{z \in D: |\xi \cdot (z - \xi)| < \beta(1 - |z|) \text{ and } |z - \xi| < \beta(1 - |z|)^{1-\alpha}\}.$$

Furthermore,  $u$  can be recovered from its boundary value  $\psi$  by  $u(z) = E_z[\psi(Z_\tau^\alpha)]$ .

Note that for each fixed opening  $\beta > 0$ , the approaching region  $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi)$  increases from the Stolz cone  $\Gamma_\beta(\xi)$  to the admissible region  $\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi)$  as  $\alpha$  runs from 0 to 1/2. We believe that when  $\alpha > 1/2$ , Theorem 1 holds with  $1 - \alpha$  replaced by 1/2. It is clear that  $1 - \alpha$  cannot be correct in that case since for  $\alpha > 1/2$  the region  $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi)$  no longer fits inside the ball. To explain why we think the answer is 1/2 for  $\alpha > 1/2$  and why we have difficulty proving this,

consider the two-dimensional analogue

$$(1.8) \quad \sigma_\alpha(z) = |z|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & -x_2 \\ x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - |z|^2)^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} |z|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ -x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Itô's formula it is easy to see that the radial and angular parts are given by

$$\begin{aligned} dR_t &= dB_t^1 + (1 - R_t^2)^{-2\alpha} R_t^{-1} dt, \\ d\theta_t &= (1 - R_t^2)^{-\alpha} dB_t^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $B^1$  and  $B^2$  are two independent Brownian motions. If we let  $R_0 = 1 - r\varepsilon$ ,  $\theta_0 = \theta\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$  and we consider

$$X_t^\varepsilon = (1 - R_{t\varepsilon^2})/\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t^\varepsilon = \theta_{t\varepsilon^2}/\varepsilon^{1-\alpha},$$

then using  $1 - R_{t\varepsilon^2} = 1 - \{1 - \varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon\}^2 \approx 2\varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon$  and Brownian scaling  $\varepsilon^{-1} dB_{t\varepsilon^2}^i = d\bar{B}_t^i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^\varepsilon &\approx \varepsilon^{-1} dB_{t\varepsilon^2}^1 + \varepsilon^{-1} (2\varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon)^{-2\alpha} (1 + \varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon)^{-1} \varepsilon^2 dt \\ &\approx dB_t^1 + \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha} (2X_t^\varepsilon)^{-2\alpha} dt, \\ dY_t^\varepsilon &\approx \varepsilon^{-(1-\alpha)} (2\varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon)^{-\alpha} dB_{t\varepsilon^2}^2 \approx (2X_t^\varepsilon)^{-\alpha} d\bar{B}_t^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\approx$  denotes "approximate equality." When  $\alpha < 1/2$  the drift in  $dX_t^\varepsilon$  converges to 0, but when  $\alpha > 1/2$  it explodes. In the latter case, to get a sensible limit we let  $R_0 = 1 - r\varepsilon$ ,  $\theta_0 = \theta\varepsilon^{1/2}$  and we consider

$$X_t^\varepsilon = (1 - R_{t\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha}})/\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t^\varepsilon = \theta_{t\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha}}/\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

This time  $\varepsilon^{-1} dB_{t\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}^1 \Rightarrow 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^\varepsilon &\approx \varepsilon^{-1} dB_{t\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}^1 + \varepsilon^{-1} (2\varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon)^{-2\alpha} (1 + \varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon)^{-1} \varepsilon^{1+2\alpha} dt \approx (2X_t^\varepsilon)^{-2\alpha} dt, \\ dY_t^\varepsilon &\approx \varepsilon^{-1/2} (2\varepsilon X_t^\varepsilon)^{-\alpha} dB_{t\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha}}^2 \approx (2X_t^\varepsilon)^{-\alpha} d\bar{B}_t^2 \end{aligned}$$

and in the limit the first component becomes deterministic. This degeneration kills our proof of the Harnack inequality in Section 4.

In spite of our failure to prove results for  $\alpha > 1/2$ , Theorem 1 gives one more indication why the invariant Laplacian is well suited for the study of holomorphic functions in the ball. It would be interesting to investigate other regions in  $C^n$  as well. Stein [12] showed that bounded holomorphic functions in a bounded  $C^2$ -smooth domain in  $C^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) have admissible limits at almost every boundary point. However, this is only optimal for strongly pseudoconvex domains (see [6]). A simple example is provided by the "flat" upper half space  $H = \{z: \operatorname{Re} z_1 > 0\}$ , where bounded holomorphic functions have unrestricted limits at almost every boundary point. This indicates that the nature of boundary limits depends on the geometry of the boundary near the point in question. For a list of known results in this direction, see page 72 of [10]. It would be interesting to have a probabilistic approach to those results. How-

ever, we have not been able to overcome the problem of proving the existence of exit densities for the very singular diffusion processes involved.

In the discussion above we have restricted our discussion to bounded functions to keep attention focussed on the shape of the approach region. Korányi [9] proved his results for  $\bar{D}$ -harmonic functions that are in the Hardy space  $H^p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . By working harder we could prove the convergence in Theorem 1 in this generality or by using ideas from Section 5.3 of [3] we could extend the result to the Nevanlinna class (defined in Section 5.6 of [11]). See [2] for related work on the comparison of  $H^p$  space and martingale  $H^p$  space on the Hermitian hyperbolic space. To show that we can treat unbounded  $u$ , we will prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2** (Local Fatou-type theorem). *Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ . For an  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic function  $u$  in  $D$ ,  $\xi \in \partial D$  and  $\beta > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi) = \mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi) \cap \{z \in D: \operatorname{Re}(z \cdot \xi) > 0\}$  and let  $N_\beta^\alpha(\xi) = \sup_{\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi)} |u(z)|$ . Let  $F = \{\xi \in \partial D: N_\beta^\alpha(\xi) < \infty \text{ for some } \beta > 0\}$ . Then  $\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z)$  exists for every  $\beta > 0$  and a.e.  $\xi \in F$ .*

As we will see from Theorem 4.1(i) and Corollary 4.2 below, if  $N_\beta(\xi) < \infty$  for some  $\beta > 0$ , then  $N_\beta(\xi) < \infty$  for all  $\beta > 0$ . Thus the set  $F$  in Theorem 1.2 can also be expressed as  $\{\xi \in \partial D: N_\beta^\alpha(\xi) < \infty \text{ for all } \beta > 0\}$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Holomorphic diffusion  $Z^\alpha$  and some of its key estimates are studied in Section 2 for  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Unitary invariance of  $L^\alpha$  and  $Z^\alpha$  are discussed in Section 3 for  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Section 4 contains the Harnack inequality and uniform continuity for nonnegative  $L^\alpha$ -harmonic functions near the boundary of  $D$ , where  $\alpha$  is restricted to the closed interval  $[0, 1/2]$ . Estimates of the exit density functions and hitting probabilities of  $Z^\alpha$  are given in Section 5, with the proof of the existence and minimality of the exit density function hidden away in an Appendix. Finally, Section 6 presents the proofs for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Throughout the remainder of this paper,  $D$  denotes the unit ball in  $C^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \in R^{2n}$ . In the sequel, when no confusion may be caused, we will suppress the super- and subscript  $\alpha$  from  $L^\alpha$ ,  $Z^\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\alpha$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha$ , and so forth. The notation “ $\equiv$ ” stands for “be defined as.”

2. Holomorphic diffusions. In this section,  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$  and we will derive some key estimates for the holomorphic diffusions, which are important for our later development.

For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , let  $\sigma_\alpha$  be the matrix defined by (1.6). Since each entry of  $\sigma_\alpha$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth in  $D$ , for any given  $2n$ -dimensional real Brownian motion in  $C^n = R^{2n}$ , the stochastic differential equation

$$dZ_t^\alpha = \sigma(Z_t^\alpha) dB_t$$

has a unique strong solution. This process  $Z^\alpha$  has continuous sample paths and its lifetime is denoted by  $\tau^\alpha$ . As we mentioned at the end of the last section, we will suppress  $\alpha$  from  $Z^\alpha$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha$  and  $\tau^\alpha$  in the sequel.

For  $r > 0$ , let  $D_r$  denote the ball in  $C^n = R^{2n}$  of radius  $r$  centered at the origin. When  $r = 1$ , we simply denote  $D_1$  by  $D$ . For  $0 < r < 1$ , define  $\tau_r = \inf\{t > 0: |Z_t| = r\}$ . Clearly the lifetime  $\tau$  for process  $Z^\alpha$  is given by  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \tau_r$ . Since each component of  $\{Z_{\tau_r}; 0 < r < 1\}$  is a bounded martingale,  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} Z_{\tau_r}$  exists almost surely and takes values in  $\partial D$ . The limit  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} Z_{\tau_r}$  will be denoted as  $Z_\tau$  in the sequel.

The generator of  $Z$  is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2n} (\sigma\sigma')_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

where  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)' = (x_1 + ix_2, \dots, x_{2n-1} + ix_{2n})'$ . Since  $|z|^{-1}(P, Q)$  is a  $2n \times 2n$  orthonormal matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z)\sigma(z)' &= |z|^{-1}(P, Q) \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\alpha} I_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} |z|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P' \\ Q' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= |z|^{-2}(PP' + (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\alpha} QQ') \\ (2.1) \quad &= |z|^{-2}(PP' + (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\alpha}(|z|^2 I_{2n} - PP')) \\ &= |z|^{-2}((1 - |z|^2)^{-2\alpha} |z|^2 I_{2n} + (1 - (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\alpha}) PP') \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}} \left( I_{2n} - \frac{1 - (1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}}{|z|^2} PP' \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we use the notion  $\partial_j$  and  $\bar{\partial}_k$  defined in (1.2), then the generator of  $Z$  is given by

$$(2.2) \quad L = \frac{2}{(1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}} \sum_{j, k=1}^n \left( \delta_{jk} - \frac{1 - (1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}}{|z|^2} z_j \bar{z}_k \right) \partial_j \bar{\partial}_k.$$

We record the following observation as a lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. For  $z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})'$  in  $D$ ,

$$\sum_{i, j=1}^{2n} x_i x_j (\sigma\sigma')_{ij}(z) = |z|^2.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, j}^{2n} x_i x_j (\sigma\sigma')_{ij}(z) &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) \sigma(z)\sigma(z)' (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})' \\ &= (|z|, 0, \dots, 0) \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\alpha} I_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |z| \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= |z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $R = |Z|$  be the radial process of  $Z$ . Then for  $t < \tau$ ,*

$$(2.3) \quad R_t = R_0 + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{n-1}{R_s(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{R_s} ds,$$

$$(2.4) \quad R_t^2 = R_0^2 + 2 \int_0^t R_s dW_s + 2 \int_0^t \frac{n-1}{(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds + 2t,$$

where  $W$  is a one-dimensional Brownian motion.

**PROOF.** Let  $r(z) = |z| = (\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^2)^{1/2}$ . Then  $\partial r / \partial x_i = x_i / r$  and  $\partial^2 r / \partial x_i \partial x_j = \delta_{ij} / r - x_i x_j / r^3$ . Let  $\tau_r = \{t \geq 0: |Z_t| > r\}$  and denote the coordinate processes in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  of  $Z$  by  $X^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ . By Itô's formula, for  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t \wedge \tau_r} &= R_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{X_s^{(i)}}{R_s} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \sigma_{ij}(Z_s) dB_s^j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{R_s} (\sigma \sigma')_{ii}(Z_s) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \frac{X_s^{(i)} X_s^{(j)}}{R_s^3} (\sigma \sigma')_{ij}(Z_s) \right) ds \\ &\equiv R_0 + W_{t \wedge \tau_r} + A_{t \wedge \tau_r}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W \rangle_{t \wedge \tau_r} &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{X_s^{(i)} \sigma_{ij}(Z_s)}{R_s} \right)^2 ds \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s^2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{2n} X_s^{(i)} X_s^{(j)} \sigma_{ij}(Z_s) \sigma_{kj}(Z_s) ds \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s^2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{2n} X_s^{(i)} X_s^{(j)} (\sigma \sigma')_{ik}(Z_s) ds \\ &= t \wedge \tau_r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W$  is a one-dimensional Brownian motion up to time  $\tau = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \tau_r$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $Z^{(k)} = (X^{(2k-1)}, X^{(2k)})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t \wedge \tau_r} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{R_s} (\sigma \sigma')_{ii}(Z_s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \frac{X_s^{(i)} X_s^{(j)}}{R_s^3} (\sigma \sigma')_{ij}(Z_s) ds \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{1 - (1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}}{R_s^2} |Z_s^{(k)}|^2 \right) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s} ds \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} (n-1 + (1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s} ds \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{n-1}{R_s(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r} \frac{1}{R_s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.3) since  $\tau = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \tau_r$ . Formula (2.4) follows from (2.3) and Itô's formula.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *For  $z \in D$ , we have*

$$(2.5) \quad E_z \left[ \int_0^\tau R_s^{-1} (1 - R_s^2)^{-2\alpha} ds \right] \leq \frac{1 - |z|^2}{2(n-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad E_z[\tau] \leq \frac{1 - |z|^2}{2n}.$$

**PROOF.** The proof follows directly from (2.3), by first evaluating at  $\tau_r$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$  and then letting  $r \uparrow 1$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a one-dimensional diffusion on  $I = (r_1, r_2)$  satisfying  $dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \alpha(X_t)dW_t$ , where  $b: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions with  $\alpha(x) > 0$  for  $x \in I$ , and  $W$  is Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $g$  is a bounded continuous function on  $I$ . Then*

$$E_x \left[ \int_0^\zeta g(X_s) ds \right] = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} g(y) G(x, y) dy, \quad x \in I.$$

Here  $\zeta$  is the exit time of  $X$  from  $I$  (that is,  $\zeta = \lim_{s \downarrow r_1, r \uparrow r_2} \inf \{t > 0: X_t \notin (s, r)\}$ ) and

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(r_1)}{\phi(r_2) - \phi(r_1)} (\phi(r_2) - \phi(y)) \frac{1}{\alpha^2(y)f(y)}, & \text{if } y > x, \\ 2 \frac{\phi(r_2) - \phi(x)}{\phi(r_2) - \phi(r_1)} (\phi(y) - \phi(r_1)) \frac{1}{\alpha^2(y)f(y)}, & \text{if } y < x, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f(y) = \exp\left(-\int_x^y \frac{2b(t)}{\alpha^2(t)} dt\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds.$$

**PROOF.** This is a classical result. See, for example, Exercise 5.39 on page 352 of [8].  $\square$

For  $Z = (X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(2n)})'$ , let  $Y = X^{(2)}$  and  $V = (X^{(3)}, X^{(4)}, \dots, X^{(2n)})'$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *For  $0 < \varepsilon < \nu < 1/2$ , we have*

$$(2.6) \quad E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [ |V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2 ] \leq \begin{cases} 2(n-1) \left( \frac{\nu^{1-2\alpha} - \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha} \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^{2-2\alpha}}{2(1-\alpha)} \right), & \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1/2, \\ \varepsilon, & \text{for } \alpha \geq 1/2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [ |Y_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2 ] \leq \nu \varepsilon + \left( \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq 0.$$



PROOF. (i) By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2] \\
 &= E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_0|^2] \\
 (2.8) \quad &+ 2E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left[\int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} (1 - R_s^2)^{-2\alpha} \left(n - 1 - \frac{1 - (1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}}{R_s^2} |V_s|^2\right) ds\right] \\
 &\leq 2(n - 1)E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left[\int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} (1 - R_s^2)^{-2\alpha} ds\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2,  $R^2$  is the solution for the following stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = 2\sqrt{X_t} dW_t + 2\left(\frac{n - 1}{(1 - X_t)^{2\alpha}} + 1\right) dt, \quad 0 \leq t < \tau.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 to the radial process  $R^2$  on the interval  $(1 - \nu, 1)$ , we have from (2.8) that

$$(2.9) \quad E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2] \leq \int_{1-\nu}^1 \frac{2(n - 1)}{(1 - t)^{2\alpha}} G(1 - \varepsilon, t) dt,$$

where

$$G(1 - \varepsilon, t) = \begin{cases} 2 \frac{\phi(1 - \varepsilon) - \phi(1 - \nu)}{\phi(1) - \phi(1 - \nu)} (\phi(1) - \phi(t)) \frac{1}{4tf(t)}, & \text{if } 1 - \varepsilon < t < 1, \\ 2 \frac{\phi(1) - \phi(1 - \varepsilon)}{\phi(1) - \phi(1 - \nu)} (\phi(t) - \phi(1 - \nu)) \frac{1}{4tf(t)}, & \text{if } 1 - \nu < t < 1 - \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

with  $f(t) = \exp(-\int_{1-\varepsilon}^t ((n - 1)/(1 - s)^{2\alpha} + 1)s^{-1} ds)$ . Note that  $f(t)$  is a decreasing function in  $t \in (1 - \nu, 1)$ . Thus for  $1 - \varepsilon < t < 1$ ,

$$G(1 - \varepsilon, t) \leq 2 \int_t^1 \frac{f(s)}{f(t)} ds \frac{1}{4t} \leq \frac{1 - t}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}.$$

When  $1 - \nu < t < 1 - \varepsilon$ ,

$$G(1 - \varepsilon, t) \leq 2 \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \frac{f(s)}{f(t)} ds \frac{1}{4t} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2t} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 - \nu)}.$$

In summary, when  $0 < \varepsilon < \nu < 1/2$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad G(1 - \varepsilon, t) \leq \begin{cases} 1 - t, & \text{if } 1 - \varepsilon < t < 1, \\ \varepsilon, & \text{if } 1 - \nu < t < 1 - \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2] \\ & \leq \int_{1-\nu}^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-t)^{2\alpha}} \varepsilon dt + \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-t)^{2\alpha}} (1-t) dt \\ & = \frac{2(n-1)}{1-2\alpha} \varepsilon (\nu^{1-2\alpha} - \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}) + \frac{2(n-1)}{2-2\alpha} \varepsilon^{2-2\alpha} \\ & = 2(n-1) \left( \frac{\nu^{1-2\alpha} - \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha} \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^{2-2\alpha}}{2(1-\alpha)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , by (2.8) and Corollary 2.3, we have

$$\mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2] \leq 2(n-1) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n-1)} = \varepsilon.$$

(ii) The proof of (2.7) is more demanding. By Itô's formula and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2] \\ & = \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} (\sigma \sigma')_{22}(Z_s) ds \right] \\ & = \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} (1 - R_s^2)^{-2\alpha} \right. \\ (2.11) \quad & \quad \left. \times \left( 1 - \frac{1 - (1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}}{R_s^2} ((X_s^{(1)})^2 + (X_s^{(2)})^2) \right) ds \right] \\ & = \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - (1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}}{R_s^2 (1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} |V_s|^2 \right) ds \right] \\ & \leq \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}] + \frac{1}{1-\nu} \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} \frac{|V_s|^2}{(1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4 and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}] = \int_{1-\nu}^1 G(1-\varepsilon, t) dt \\ (2.12) \quad & \leq \int_{1-\nu}^{1-\varepsilon} \varepsilon dt + \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 (1-t) dt \\ & = (\nu - \varepsilon)\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \\ & = \nu \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A = \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} \frac{|V_s|^2}{(1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds \right]$$

and

$$V^* = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} |V_s|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad A &\leq E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ (V^*)^2 \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} (1 - R_s^2)^{-2\alpha} ds \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [(V^*)^4]} \sqrt{E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} (1 - R_s^2)^{-2\alpha} ds \right)^2 \right]}. \end{aligned}$$

By Doob's inequality,

$$E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [(V^*)^4] \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^4 E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [|V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}}|^4],$$

while by Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad &E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [|V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}}|^4] \\ &= E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} \left( |V_s|^2 \sum_{i=3}^{2n} (\sigma \sigma')_{ii}(Z_s) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \sum_{i,j=3}^{2n} X_s^{(i)} X_t^{(j)} (\sigma \sigma')_{ij}(Z_s) \right) ds \right] \\ &\leq E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} \frac{2|V_s|^2}{(1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} \left( n - \frac{1 - (1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}}{R_s^2} |V_s|^2 \right) ds \right] \\ &\leq E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} \frac{2n|V_s|^2}{(1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality above, we used the fact that by (2.1), for  $z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})' \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=3}^{2n} x_i x_j (\sigma \sigma')(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - |z|^{2\alpha}} \left( |w|^2 - \frac{1 - (1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}}{|z|^2} |w|^4 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|v|^2}{1 - |z|^{2\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v = (x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2n})'$ . Thus

$$(2.15) \quad E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [(V^*)^4] \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^4 E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}} \frac{2n|V_s|^2}{(1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds \right] = 2n \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^4 A.$$

Let  $\rho_s = R_s^2$  and  $T = \tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $\rho$  is a strong Markov process and  $T$  is a stopping time of  $\rho$ . By Corollary 2.3, for  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,

$$E_r \left[ \int_0^T (1 - \rho_s)^{-2\alpha} ds \right] \leq \frac{1 - r}{2(n - 1)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}} \frac{1}{(1 - R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds \right] \\
 &= \mathbf{E}_{1-\varepsilon} \left[ \left( \int_0^T (1 - \rho_s)^{-2\alpha} ds \right)^2 \right] \\
 &= 2\mathbf{E}_{1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^T (1 - \rho_s)^{-2\alpha} \left( \int_s^T (1 - \rho_t)^{-2\alpha} dt \right) ds \right] \\
 (2.16) \quad &= 2\mathbf{E}_{1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^T (1 - \rho_s)^{-2\alpha} \mathbf{E}_{\rho_s} \left[ \int_0^T (1 - \rho_u)^{-2\alpha} du \right] ds \right] \\
 &\leq 2\mathbf{E}_{1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^T (1 - \rho_s)^{-2\alpha} \frac{1 - \rho_s}{2(n-1)} ds \right] \\
 &\leq 2\mathbf{E}_{1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^T (1 - \rho_s)^{-2\alpha} \frac{\varepsilon}{(n-1)} ds \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{(n-1)^2} \varepsilon^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by (2.13), (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$A \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^2 \sqrt{2nA} \frac{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{n-1}$$

and, therefore,

$$(2.17) \quad A \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^4 \frac{4n}{(n-1)^2} \varepsilon^2.$$

By (2.11), (2.12) and (2.17), for  $0 < \varepsilon < \nu < 1/2$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [ |Y_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}|^2 ] \leq \nu \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + 2A = \nu \varepsilon + \left( \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \varepsilon^2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

Letting  $\nu = 2\varepsilon$  in Theorem 2.5, we get the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ ,

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [ |V_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}}|^2 ] \leq C_\alpha \varepsilon^{2\phi(\alpha)}$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad \mathbf{E}_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)} [ |Y_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}}|^2 ] \leq C\varepsilon^2 \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq 0,$$

where  $\phi(\alpha) = \max \{1 - \alpha, 1/2\}$ ,

$$C_\alpha = \begin{cases} 2(n-1) \left( \frac{2^{1-2\alpha} - 1}{1 - 2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \right), & \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1/2, \\ 1, & \text{for } \alpha \geq 1/2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$C = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^4.$$

LEMMA 2.7. (i) For  $0 < \varepsilon < \nu < 1$ ,  $P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}) \leq \varepsilon/\nu$ . In particular,  $P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau_{\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}) \leq 1/2$ .

(ii) For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \leq \varepsilon/(2(n-1)(1-\varepsilon))$ .

PROOF. (i) By Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \varepsilon &= E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[R_0^2] \\ &\leq E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[R_{\tau \wedge \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}}^2] \\ &= P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau < \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}) + (1 - \nu)P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}) \\ &= 1 - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}) + (1 - \nu)P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau_{\sqrt{1-\nu}}) \leq \varepsilon/\nu$ .

(ii) For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\ &= P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_0^\tau \sigma_{ij}(Z_s) dB_s^j \leq -\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_0^\tau \sigma_{ij}(Z_s) dB_s^j\right)^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_0^\tau (\sigma\sigma')_{11}(Z_s) ds\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left[\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(1 - \frac{1 - (1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}}{R_s^2} ((X^{(1)})^2 + (X^{(2)})^2)\right) ds\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}\left[\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{(1-R_s^2)^{2\alpha}} ds\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n-1)(1-\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality comes from Corollary 2.3.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.8.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , there is a constant  $B = B(\alpha) > 1$  such that

$$P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} > 0, |Y_\tau| < B\varepsilon \text{ and } |V_\tau| < B\varepsilon^{\phi(\alpha)}) > \frac{1}{6}$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ , where  $\phi(\alpha) = \max\{1 - \alpha, 1/2\}$ .

**PROOF.** Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} > 0, |Y_\tau| < B\varepsilon \text{ and } |V_\tau| < B\varepsilon^{\phi(\alpha)}) \\ & \geq P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|Y_\tau| < B\varepsilon \text{ and } |V_\tau| < B\varepsilon^{\phi(\alpha)}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\ & \geq P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}| < B\varepsilon \text{ and } |V_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}| < B\varepsilon^{\phi(\alpha)}) \\ & \quad - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\ & \geq 1 - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}| \geq B\varepsilon) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|V_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}| \geq B\varepsilon^{\phi(\alpha)}) \\ & \quad - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\ & \geq 1 - \frac{E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}|^2]}{B^2 \varepsilon^2} - \frac{E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}|^2]}{B^2 \varepsilon^{2\phi(\alpha)}} \\ & \quad - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0), \end{aligned}$$

which by Corollary 2.6, Lemma 2.7 and the assumption of  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq 1 - \frac{C + C_\alpha}{B^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n-1)(1-\varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{2} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{C_\alpha + C}{B^2} - \frac{1}{6(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if we choose  $B = B(\alpha) = \sqrt{6(C_\alpha + C)}$ , where constants  $C_\alpha$  and  $C$  are as in Corollary 2.6, then

$$P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} > 0, |Y_\tau| < B\varepsilon \text{ and } |V_\tau| < B\varepsilon^{\phi(\alpha)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6}.$$

More specifically,  $B(\alpha)$  can be taken as

$$B(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{8} \sqrt{2(n-1) \left( \frac{2^{2-2\alpha} - 1}{1-2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \right) + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^4}, & \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \sqrt{8} \sqrt{\frac{5}{2} + \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^4}, & \text{for } \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 2.9.** Suppose that  $\alpha \geq 0$ . For  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and  $0 < \delta < \phi(\alpha)$ , where  $\phi(\alpha) = \max\{1 - \alpha, 1/2\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} > 0, |Y_\tau| < \varepsilon^\gamma \text{ and } |V_\tau| < \varepsilon^\delta) = 1.$$

PROOF. Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \nu < 1/2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} > 0, |Y_\tau| < \varepsilon^\gamma \text{ and } |V_\tau| < \varepsilon^\delta) \\
 & \geq P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|Y_\tau| < \varepsilon^\gamma \text{ and } |V_\tau| < \varepsilon^\delta) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\
 & \geq P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}}| < \varepsilon^\gamma \text{ and } |V_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}}| < \varepsilon^\delta) \\
 & \quad - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\
 (2.20) \quad & \geq 1 - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}}| \geq \varepsilon^\gamma) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(|V_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}}| \geq \varepsilon^\delta) \\
 & \quad - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0) \\
 & \geq 1 - \frac{E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|Y_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}}|^2]}{\varepsilon^{2\gamma}} - \frac{E_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}[|V_{\tau \wedge \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}}|^2]}{\varepsilon^{2\delta}} \\
 & \quad - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(\tau > \tau\sqrt{1-\nu}) - P_{(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}, 0)}(X_\tau^{(1)} \leq 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\nu = \varepsilon^\beta$  with  $0 < 1 - \beta < \min\{1 - \gamma, \phi(\alpha) - \delta\}$ . When  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, (2.20) is bounded below by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 - \left( \varepsilon^{1+\beta-2\gamma} + \left( \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \varepsilon^{2-2\gamma} \right) \\
 (2.21) \quad & - 2(n-1) \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{1+\beta(1-2\alpha)-2\delta} - \varepsilon^{2-2\alpha-2\delta}}{1-2\alpha} + \frac{\varepsilon^{2-2\alpha-2\delta}}{2(1-\alpha)} \right) \\
 & - \varepsilon^{1-\beta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n-1)(1-\varepsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 again, (2.20) is bounded below by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 - \left( \varepsilon^{1+\beta-2\gamma} + \left( \frac{8n}{(n-1)^2} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \varepsilon^{2-2\gamma} \right) \\
 (2.22) \quad & - \varepsilon^{1-2\delta} - \varepsilon^{1-\beta} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n-1)(1-\varepsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9 now follows from (2.20)–(2.22) by passing  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .  $\square$

3. Unitary invariance. In this section,  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ . Let  $C = (c_{kj})_{n \times n}$  be an unitary complex matrix in  $C^n$  whose entries are  $c_{kj} = \alpha_{kj} + i\beta_{kj}$ ,  $1 \leq k, j \leq n$ , where  $\alpha_{kj}$  and  $\beta_{kj}$  are real numbers. Then with  $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n c_{kj} z_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_{kj} + i\beta_{kj})(x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_{kj} x_{2j-1} - \beta_{kj} x_{2j}) + i \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta_{kj} x_{2j-1} + \alpha_{kj} x_{2j}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, under the identification of  $C^n$  with  $R^{2n}$  through (1.5), the unitary matrix  $C$  in  $C^n$  is identified with an orthogonal matrix

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & -\beta_{11} & \alpha_{12} & -\beta_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} & -\beta_{1n} \\ \beta_{11} & \alpha_{11} & \beta_{12} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \beta_{1n} & \alpha_{1n} \\ \alpha_{21} & -\beta_{21} & \alpha_{22} & -\beta_{22} & \cdots & \alpha_{2n} & -\beta_{2n} \\ \beta_{21} & \alpha_{21} & \beta_{22} & \alpha_{22} & \cdots & \beta_{2n} & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & -\beta_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & -\beta_{n2} & \cdots & \alpha_{nn} & -\beta_{nn} \\ \beta_{n1} & \alpha_{n1} & \beta_{n2} & \alpha_{n2} & \cdots & \beta_{nn} & \alpha_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $R^{2n}$ . That is, under the identification (1.5),

$$C \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix} = \Phi \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{2n-1} \\ x_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, if

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{2n-1} \\ x_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n-1} \\ y_{2n} \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ -x_{2n} \\ x_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -y_2 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ -y_{2n} \\ y_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the operator  $L$  is invariant under the mapping  $\Phi$ ; that is, for any smooth function  $f$  in  $D$ ,

$$L(f \circ \Phi) = (Lf) \circ \Phi.$$

In fact, if let  $\tilde{Z} = \Phi(Z)$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad d\tilde{Z}_t = \sigma(\tilde{Z}_t) d\tilde{B}_t, \quad t < \tau,$$



where  $\tilde{B} = \Phi \circ B$ , which is a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Therefore, for  $0 < r \leq 1$  and  $A \subset \partial D_r$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad P_z(Z_{\tau_r} \in A) = P_{\Phi(z)}(Z_{\tau_r} \in \Phi(A)), \quad z \in D.$$

In particular, this implies the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Under  $P_0$ , the distribution of  $Z_{\tau_r}$  is a uniform distribution on  $\partial D_r$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ ; the distribution of  $Z_{\tau_r}$  is  $(\sigma_r(dx))/(\sigma_r(\partial D_r))$ , where  $\sigma_r$  is the Lebesgue surface measure on  $\partial D_r$ .*

4. Harnack inequality and uniform continuity. In this section, we assume that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ . For  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define

$$(4.1) \quad I_\gamma(\varepsilon) = \left\{ z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})' \in \partial D : \begin{aligned} &x_1 > 0, \\ &|x_2| < \gamma\varepsilon, \quad |(x_3, \dots, x_{2n})| < \gamma\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

and for  $0 < r < 1$ , define

$$rI_\gamma(\varepsilon) = \left\{ z \in D : |z| = r, \frac{z}{r} \in I_\gamma(\varepsilon) \right\}.$$

**THEOREM 4.1.** (i) *For any given constant  $\gamma > 0$ , there are constants  $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha, \gamma) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \gamma) \in (0, \min\{1/4, 1/(4\gamma^2)\})$  such that for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$*

$$\sup_{z \in \sqrt{1-\varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u(z) \leq \lambda \inf_{z \in \sqrt{1-\varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u(z),$$

for any  $L$ -harmonic function  $u \geq 0$  in  $D$ .

(ii) *For any given  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\alpha, \delta) > 0$  such that for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$ ,*

$$\text{osc}_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u \leq \delta \|u\|_\infty,$$

where  $\text{osc}_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u = \sup_{z, w \in \sqrt{1-\varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon)} |u(z) - u(w)|$  and  $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in D} |u(z)|$ .

**PROOF.** For  $\gamma > 0$ , let

$$U = U_\gamma(\varepsilon) = \left\{ z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})' \in D : \begin{aligned} &x_1 > 0, \quad 1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} < |z|^2 < 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ &|x_2| < 2\gamma\varepsilon, \quad \text{and } |(x_3, \dots, x_{2n})| < 2\gamma\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and

$$K = K_\gamma(\varepsilon) = \left\{ z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})' \in D : \begin{aligned} &x_1 > 0, \quad 1 - \frac{5\varepsilon}{4} \leq |z|^2 \leq 1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}, \\ &|x_2| \leq \gamma\varepsilon, \quad \text{and } |(x_3, \dots, x_{2n})| \leq \gamma\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

We observe that  $\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon) \subset K \subset U$  and  $U_\gamma(\varepsilon) \subset D$  when  $\varepsilon < \min\{1/4, (2\gamma)^{-2}\}$ . On  $U = U_\gamma(\varepsilon)$ , we introduce the following transformation  $T = T_\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n})' &= T_\varepsilon(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{2n})' \\ &= \left( \frac{1-|z|^2}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_3}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2n}}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)'. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n})}{\partial(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{2n})} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2x_1}{\varepsilon} & -\frac{2x_2}{\varepsilon} & -\frac{2x_3}{\varepsilon} & \dots & -\frac{2x_{2n}}{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that  $T_\varepsilon(U) = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n}): |u_1| < 1/2, |u_2| < 2\gamma \text{ and } |(u_3, \dots, u_{2n})| < 2\gamma\}$  and  $T_\varepsilon(K) = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n}): |u_1| \leq 1/4, |u_2| \leq \gamma \text{ and } |(u_3, \dots, u_{2n})| \leq \gamma\}$ . Let

$$a(z) = (a_{ij}(z))_{2n \times 2n} = ((\sigma\sigma')_{ij}(z))_{2n \times 2n}.$$

Under the new coordinates  $(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad L(z) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 |z|^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_{ii}(z) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad \varepsilon^2 L(z) &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \left( \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} a_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} - 2\varepsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_{ii}(z) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \alpha_{kl}(T_\varepsilon z) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} - \beta_1(T_\varepsilon z) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that on  $U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_1(T_\varepsilon z) &= 2\varepsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_{ii}(z) \right) \\
 (4.4) \qquad &= 2\varepsilon \left( \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-|z|^2)^{2\alpha}} + 2 \right) \leq 2^{2+2\alpha}(n-1)\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha} + 4\varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly bounded for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/4)$ . We now show that  $(\alpha_{kj})_{2n \times 2n}$  is uniformly bounded and elliptic on  $U$ . For any unit vector  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_{2n})'$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $z \in U$ , let

$$\eta = \frac{\partial(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n})}{\partial(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{2n})} \xi;$$

that is,  $\eta_1 = -2x_1\xi_1/\varepsilon$ ,  $\eta_2 = -2x_2\xi_1/\varepsilon + \xi_2/\varepsilon$ ,  $\eta_3 = -2x_3\xi_1/\varepsilon + \xi_3/\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$ , ... and  $\eta_{2n} = -2x_{2n}\xi_1/\varepsilon + \xi_{2n}/\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$ . Let  $P_z\eta = (\eta \cdot z)z/|z|^2$  be the projection of  $\eta$  to the complex line  $\mathbb{C}z$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P_z\eta|^2 &= \frac{1}{|z|^2} \left[ \left( -\frac{2|z|^2\xi_1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{x_2\xi_2}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{x_j\xi_j}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\
 (4.5) \qquad &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{x_1\xi_2}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(x_{2k-1}\xi_{2k} - x_{2k}\xi_{2k-1})}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{4|z|^2\xi_1^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{x_2^2\xi_2^2}{|z|^2\varepsilon^2} + A(\varepsilon, z, \xi),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(\varepsilon, z, \xi) &= \frac{1}{|z|^2} \left( \frac{x_2\xi_2}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{x_j\xi_j}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{|z|^2} \left( \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(x_{2k-1}\xi_{2k} - x_{2k}\xi_{2k-1})}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 \\
 (4.6) \qquad &\quad - \frac{4\xi_1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{x_2\xi_2}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{x_j\xi_j}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right) + \frac{2x_2\xi_2}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{x_{2k-1}\xi_{2k} - x_{2k}\xi_{2k-1}}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of  $U$ ,

$$(4.7) \qquad |A(\varepsilon, z, \xi)| \leq 5\gamma^2 + \frac{10\gamma}{\varepsilon}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $z \in U$  and unit vector  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\eta|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j^2 \\
 &= \frac{4|z|^2\xi_1^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\xi_2^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{\xi_j^2}{\varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}} - \left( \frac{4x_2\xi_2}{\varepsilon^2} + \sum_{k=3}^{2n} \frac{4x_k\xi_k}{\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}} \right) \xi_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\boldsymbol{\eta} - P_z \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 &= |\boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 - |P_z \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{\xi_j^2}{\varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}} + \frac{\xi_2^2 |z|^2 - x_1^2}{\varepsilon^2 |z|^2} \\
 &\quad - \left( \frac{4x_2 \xi_2}{\varepsilon^2} + \sum_{k=3}^{2n} \frac{4x_k \xi_k}{\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}} \right) \xi_1 - A(\varepsilon, z, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \\
 &= \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{\xi_j^2}{\varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}} + \frac{\xi_2^2 |z|^2 - x_1^2}{\varepsilon^2 |z|^2} + B(\varepsilon, z, \boldsymbol{\xi}),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(\varepsilon, z, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= -\frac{1}{|z|^2} \left( \left( \frac{x_2 \xi_2}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} \frac{x_j \xi_j}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left( \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(x_{2k-1} \xi_{2k} - x_{2k} \xi_{2k-1})}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{2x_2 \xi_2}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{x_{2k-1} \xi_{2k} - x_{2k} \xi_{2k-1}}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.9}$$

Thus for  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $z \in U$  and unit vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,

$$|B(\varepsilon, z, \boldsymbol{\xi})| \leq 22\gamma^2. \tag{4.10}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \alpha_{kl}(T_\varepsilon z) \xi_k \xi_l = \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} a_{ij}(z) \eta_i \eta_j = \varepsilon^2 |P_z \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{|z - P_z \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2}{(1 - |z|^2)^{2\alpha}}$$

and for  $z \in U$ ,  $\varepsilon/2 < 1 - |z|^2 < 3\varepsilon/2$ , it follows from (4.5)–(4.10) that for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - \frac{33\gamma^2}{3} \varepsilon^2 - \left( 10\gamma + \frac{102\gamma^2}{5} \right) \varepsilon &< \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \alpha_{kl}(T_\varepsilon z) \xi_k \xi_k \\
 &< 4 + 5\gamma^2 \varepsilon^2 + (10\gamma + 44\gamma^2) \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.11}$$

Thus there is a constant  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \gamma) \in (0, \min\{1/4, 1/(4\gamma^2)\})$ , depending on  $\gamma$  only, such that for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} < \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \alpha_{kl}(T_\varepsilon z) \xi_k \xi_k < 5 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ with } |\boldsymbol{\xi}| = 1$$

for any  $z \in U = U_\gamma(\varepsilon)$ . That is,  $(\alpha_{kl})_{2n \times 2n}$  is uniformly elliptic and bounded on  $T_\varepsilon(U)$ . Thus by (4.3) and (4.4),  $\{\varepsilon^2 L, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \gamma)\}$ , under the coordinates

$(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2n})$  in  $T_\varepsilon(U)$ , is a family of uniformly elliptic and bounded differential operators. It follows from Corollary 9.25 of [5] that any nonnegative  $L$ -harmonic function on  $D$  has Harnack inequality

$$\sup_{z \in K_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u(z) \leq \lambda \inf_{z \in K_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u(z)$$

with constant  $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha, \gamma) > 0$  independent of  $u$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ . For  $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ , let

$$F_\gamma(\varepsilon) = T_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{2n}): |u_1| < \gamma, |u_2| < \gamma, \text{ and } |(u_3, \dots, u_{2n})| < \gamma\});$$

that is,

$$F_\gamma(\varepsilon) = \{z = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})' \in D: x_1 > 0, \\ 1 - \varepsilon - \gamma\varepsilon \leq |z|^2 \leq 1 - \varepsilon + \gamma\varepsilon, |x_2| \leq \gamma\varepsilon, \\ \text{and } |(x_3, \dots, x_{2n})| \leq \gamma\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\}.$$

Then by Corollary 9.24 of [5], the following Hölder estimate holds for any nonnegative  $L$ -harmonic function  $u$  on  $D$ :

$$\text{osc}_{F_\gamma(\varepsilon)} u \leq \lambda_1(2\gamma)^r \text{osc}_{F_{1/2}(\varepsilon)} u, \quad 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2},$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $r > 0$  are constants independent of  $\varepsilon, \gamma$  and  $u$ . The theorem is thus proved by noting that  $\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon) \subset K_\gamma(\varepsilon) \cap F_\gamma(\varepsilon)$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 1.** When  $\alpha > 1/2$ , the above argument in the proof of Theorem 4.1 breaks down, since  $I_\gamma(\varepsilon)$  is no longer a relatively compact subset of  $D$  and  $\varepsilon^2L$  is not uniformly elliptic and bounded in  $U(\varepsilon)$ . However, we conjecture that Theorem 4.1 still holds for  $\alpha > 1/2$  with  $1 - \alpha$  in the definition (4.1) of  $I_\gamma(\varepsilon)$  be replaced by  $1/2$ .

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *By the unitary invariance of  $L$ , Theorem 4.1 holds on  $\Phi(\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon}I_\gamma(\varepsilon))$  for any orthogonal transformation of the form (3.1).  $\square$*

5. Exit density and hitting probabilities. In this section,  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$  unless otherwise specified, as in Theorems 5.5–5.7 and Corollaries 5.6–5.8, where  $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ . Let  $h(z, \xi), z \in D, \xi \in \partial D$ , denote the exit density function of the holomorphic diffusion  $Z_t$  with respect to the Lebesgue surface measure  $\sigma$  on  $\partial D$  (or equivalently, the Poisson kernel for  $L$  in  $D$ ); that is,  $h$  is such a function that for any continuous function  $\phi$  on  $\partial D$ ,

$$E_z[\phi(Z_\tau)] = \int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi)h(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi).$$

For each fixed  $\xi \in \partial D, h_\xi(z) = h(z, \xi)$  is a minimal  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $L$ -harmonic function in  $z \in D$  and  $h \in C(D \times \partial D)$ . The existence of  $h(z, \xi)$  as well as its

aforementioned properties are shown in the Appendix. By the unitary invariance (3.5), we have

$$(5.1) \quad h(z, \xi) = h(\Phi(z), \Phi(\xi))$$

for any orthogonal transformation  $\Phi$  of (3.1). From Proposition 3.1, we see that

$$(5.2) \quad h(0, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\partial D)}, \quad \xi \in \partial D.$$

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $\tau_r$  be the first exit time of  $Z$  from  $D_r$ . For  $z \in D$ ,  $0 < r < 1$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}$ , let*

$$(5.3) \quad P_z^\xi(A) = E_z[h(Z_{\tau_r}, \xi); A]/h(z, \xi).$$

*Then (5.3) uniquely defines a probability measure  $P_z^\xi$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\tau \equiv \sigma(\bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r})$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $0 < s < r < 1$ , since  $h(z, \xi)$  is a bounded  $L$ -harmonic for  $z \in D_{r+\varepsilon}$ , where  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - r$ , then by the optional stopping theorem, we have

$$E_z[h(Z_{\tau_r}, \xi); A]/h(z, \xi) = E_z[h(Z_{\tau_s}, \xi); A]/h(z, \xi), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_s}.$$

That is, the definitions of  $P_z^\xi$  in (5.3) on  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , are consistent and therefore  $P_z^\xi$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}$ . Then by the Carathéodory extension theorem,  $P_z^\xi$  in (5.3) uniquely defines a probability measure on  $\sigma(\bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r})$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 2.** We will show in Corollary 5.8 below that under  $P_z^\xi$ ,  $\{Z_t, 0 \leq t < \tau\}$  is the process conditioned to exit  $D$  at  $\xi$ .

**LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  and  $z \in D$ . Let  $g(\xi) = P_z^\xi(A)$ . Then  $g(Z_\tau) = P_z(A|Z_\tau)$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof is the same as that for (4) in Section 3.2 of [3].  $\square$

**LEMMA 5.3.** *If  $u$  is a bounded  $L$ -harmonic function in  $D$ , then  $P_z^\xi(\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_r}) \text{ exists}) = 1$  for each  $z \in D$  and for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $A = \{\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_r}) \text{ exists}\}$  and  $g(\xi) = P_z^\xi(A)$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ , it follows from Lemma 5.2 that  $g(Z_\tau) = P_z(A|Z_\tau)$ . Hence

$$E_z[g(Z_\tau)] = E_z[P_z(A|Z_\tau)] = P_z(A) = 1,$$

where the last equality holds since  $\{Z_\tau, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}\}_{0 \leq r < 1}$  is a bounded  $P_z$ -martingale. Combining

$$\int_{\partial D} g(\xi) h(z, \xi) \sigma(d\xi) = E_z g(Z_\tau) = 1$$

with the fact that  $g(\xi) \leq 1$  and  $\int_{\partial D} h(z, \xi) \sigma(d\xi) = 1$  yields  $g(\xi) = 1$  a.e. on  $\partial D$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION 5.1.** An event  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  is said to be shift invariant if for any stopping time  $T < \tau$ ,  $\theta_T^{-1}(A) = A$   $P_z$ -a.s for all  $z \in D$ , where  $\theta$  is the shifting operator on the sample space such that  $Z_s \circ \theta_t = Z_{s+t}$  for  $0 \leq t < \tau(\omega) - s$ .

**LEMMA 5.4 (0-1 law).** Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$  be a shift invariant event. Then  $z \rightarrow P_z^\xi(A)$  is a constant function which is either 0 or 1.

**PROOF.** With the fact that the exit density functions  $\{h(z, \xi), \xi \in \partial D\}$  are minimal  $L$ -harmonic functions in  $z \in D$  and with the establishment of representation of a nonnegative  $L$ -harmonic  $u \leq h(\cdot, \xi)$  in terms of  $h(\cdot, \xi)$  in Theorems A.4 and A.5 in the Appendix, the rest of the proof is the same as that in Section 3.5 of [3].  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.5.** For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $\beta > 0$ , the exit density function  $h(z, \xi)$  of  $Z = Z^\alpha$  from  $D$  has the estimate

$$h(z, 1) \geq \lambda_1(1 - |z|^2)^{-1-2(n-1)(1-\alpha)}, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(1) \quad \text{with } |z|^2 > 1 - \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta),$$

where  $\varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta)$  is the constant in Theorem 4.1(1) and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\alpha, \beta) > 0$  is a constant independent of  $z$ .

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\beta > B$ , where  $B$  is the constant in Theorem 2.8. For  $z \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(1)$  with  $|z|^2 > 1 - \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta)$ , let  $\varepsilon = 1 - |z|^2$ . Note that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$  and  $\beta^2\varepsilon < 1/4$ . By Theorem 2.8 and the mean value theorem,

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{6} < p \equiv \int_{I_B(\varepsilon)} h(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}1, \xi) \sigma(d\xi) = h(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}1, \eta) \sigma(I_B(\varepsilon))$$

for some  $\eta \in I_B(\varepsilon)$ . Let  $\Psi$  be the reflection transformation with respect to the complex line  $Cw$  with  $w = (\eta + 1)/|\eta + 1|$ ; that is,

$$(5.5) \quad \Psi(z) = (z \cdot w)w - (z - (z \cdot w)w) = 2(z \cdot w)w - z, \quad z \in C^n.$$

The transformation  $\Psi$  is a unitary transformation of  $C^n$  such that  $\Psi(\eta) = 1$  and  $\Psi(1) = \eta$ . Observe that

$$(5.6) \quad \sigma(I_\gamma(\varepsilon)) = c_n \gamma^{2n-1} \varepsilon^{1+2(n-1)(1-\alpha)} \quad \text{for } \gamma \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} < 1,$$

where  $c_n$  is a constant independent of  $\gamma$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_\beta(1) \cap \{w \in D: |w|^2 = 1 - \varepsilon\} \subset \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} I_B(\varepsilon)$ . Thus by Theorem 4.1(1), the unitary invariance property

(5.1) and (5.4), we have that for  $z \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(1)$  with  $|z|^2 > 1 - \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(z, 1) &\geq \lambda^{-1} h(\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \eta, 1) \\ &= \lambda^{-1} h(\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \Psi(\eta), \Psi(1)) \\ &= \lambda^{-1} h(\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} 1, \eta) \\ &> \frac{1}{6\lambda} \sigma(I_B(\varepsilon))^{-1} \\ &= (6c_n \lambda)^{-1} B^{-(2n-1)} \varepsilon^{-1-2(n-1)(1-\alpha)} \\ &= \lambda_1 (1 - |z|^2)^{-1-2(n-1)(1-\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha, \beta)$  is the constant in Theorem 4.1(1) and  $\lambda_1 = (6c_n \lambda)^{-1} B^{-(2n-1)} > 0$  which is independent of  $z$ .  $\square$

For  $\xi \in \partial D$ , let  $\Phi$  be an orthogonal transformation of (3.1) such that  $\Phi(1) = \xi$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) = \Phi \mathcal{A}_\beta(1)$  for  $\beta > 0$ . By the unitary invariance (5.1), we have

**COROLLARY 5.6.** *For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $\xi \in \partial D$ , the exit density function  $h(z, \xi)$  of  $Z = Z^\alpha$  has the estimate*

$$h(z, \xi) \geq \lambda_1 (1 - |z|^2)^{-1-2(n-1)(1-\alpha)}, \quad z \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \quad \text{with } |z|^2 > 1 - \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta),$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the constant in Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

Recall that  $I_\gamma(\varepsilon)$  is an open subset of  $\partial D$  defined by (4.1).

**THEOREM 5.7.** *Suppose that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ . For a sequence of points  $\{z_k; k \geq 1\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi)$  with  $z_k \rightarrow \xi \in \partial D$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , let  $\Phi_k$  be an orthogonal transformation of (3.1) such that  $\Phi_k(1) = z_k/|z_k|$ . For  $\gamma > 0$ , let  $R_k \equiv R_k(\gamma) = |z_k| \Phi_k(I_\gamma(1 - |z_k|^2))$ , for  $k \geq 1$ . Then*

$$P_z^\xi(Z_t, 0 \leq t < \tau, \text{ hits infinitely many } R_k) = 1, \quad z \in D.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\tau_k$  be the first hitting time of  $\{z: |z| = |z_k|\}$  by  $Z$ . Then by the definition (5.3) of  $P_0^\xi$ , Proposition 3.1 and (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &\equiv P_0^\xi(Z_t \text{ hits } R_k \text{ for } t < \tau) \\ &= E_0[h(Z_{\tau_k}, \xi); Z_{\tau_k} \in R_k]/h(0, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_k(\partial D_{|z_k|}) \sigma(\partial D)} \int_{R_k} h(z, \xi) \sigma_k(dz), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_k$  is the Lebesgue surface measure on  $\partial D_{|z_k|}$ . A simple calculation shows that  $R_k \subset \mathcal{A}_{\beta_1}(\xi)$ , where  $\beta_1 = \max\{\beta + 2\gamma, \sqrt{2}\gamma(\beta + 1 + \sqrt{4\gamma^2 + 1})\}$ . Applying Corollaries 4.2 and 5.6 to the  $L$ -harmonic function  $h(\cdot, \xi)$  on  $R_k$ , we



obtain that for some constants  $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha, \gamma) > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\alpha, \beta_1) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &\geq \frac{1}{\sigma_k(\partial D_{|z_k|}) \sigma(\partial D)} \frac{1}{\lambda} h(z_k, \xi) \sigma_k(R_k) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda \sigma_k(\partial D_{|z_k|}) \sigma(\partial D)} \lambda_1 (1 - |z_k|^2)^{-1-2(n-1)(1-\alpha)} c_n \gamma^{2n-1} (1 - |z_k|^2)^{1+2(n-1)(1-\alpha)} \\ &\geq \frac{c_n \lambda_1 \gamma^{2n-1}}{\lambda |z_k|^{2n-1} \sigma(\partial D)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where constant  $c_n$  in the second inequality is the one in (5.6). It follows from Fatou's lemma and the 0-1 law of Lemma 5.4 that

$$P_z^\xi \left( \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \geq m} \{Z_t \text{ hits } R_k\} \right) = 1. \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 5.8.** *Suppose that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ . For each  $\xi \in \partial D$ , we have*

$$P_z^\xi \left( \lim_{t \uparrow \tau} Z_t = \xi \right) = 1, \quad z \in D.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $A = \{\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} Z_t \text{ exists and } \lim_{t \uparrow \tau} Z_t \in \partial D\}$ , which is a measurable event in  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ . Applying Lemma 5.3 to the holomorphic function  $u(z) = z$ , we have that for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ ,  $P_z^\xi(A) = 1$  for all  $z \in D$ . It follows from the unitary invariance of  $Z$  [see, in particular, (3.4)] that  $P_z^\xi(A) = P_{\Phi(z)}^{\Phi(\xi)}(A)$  for any orthogonal transformation  $\Phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  of the form (3.1). Therefore for each  $\xi \in \partial D$ ,  $P_z^\xi(A) = 1$  for all  $z \in D$  and Corollary 5.8 is proved in view of Theorem 5.7.  $\square$

6. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Now we are in the position to prove the main results of this paper. In this section,  $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ . Keep in mind that throughout this section the super- and subscript  $\alpha$  are suppressed from the ones that appeared in the statement of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** From Lemma 5.3,  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_r})$  exists  $P_z^\xi$  almost surely for every  $z \in D$  and a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ . Let  $\psi(\xi) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_r})$  under  $P_0^\xi$ . We show that  $\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z) = \psi(\xi)$ .

Let  $b = \limsup_{\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z)$ . By the uniform continuity property of Corollary 4.2, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $0 < \gamma < 1/2$  and  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\alpha, \gamma)$  so that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and every orthogonal transformation  $\Phi$  of form (3.1),  $\text{osc}_{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}\Phi(I_\gamma(\varepsilon))} u < \delta$ . Let  $z_k \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi)$  so that  $z_k \rightarrow \xi$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u(z_k) > b - \delta$  for all  $k$ . Let  $\Phi_k$  be an orthogonal transformation of the form (3.1) such that  $\Phi_k(1) = z_k/|z_k|$ . Then  $u \geq b - 2\delta$  on  $\sqrt{1-\varepsilon_k}\Phi_k(I_\gamma(\varepsilon))$  for all  $k$  such that  $\varepsilon_k \equiv 1 - |z_k| < \varepsilon_0$ . Since  $Z_t$  hits infinitely many  $\sqrt{1-\varepsilon_k}\Phi_k(I_\gamma(\varepsilon))$  under  $P_z^\xi$  by Theorem 5.7,  $\psi(\xi) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_r}) \geq b - 2\delta$   $P_z^\xi$ -a.s. After letting  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , we

get

$$\psi(\xi) \geq b = \limsup_{\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z), \quad P_z^\xi\text{-a.s.}$$

Applying this to  $-u$ , we see that

$$\psi(\xi) \leq \liminf_{\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z), \quad P_z^\xi\text{-a.s.}$$

Consequently,  $\psi(\xi)$  is not random and equals  $\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u(z)$  for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ .

To show the probabilistic representation of  $u$  in terms of its boundary data  $\psi$ , let  $\tau_k = \tau_{|z_k|}$ . Since  $u$  is a bounded  $L$ -harmonic function in  $D$ , by the optional stopping theorem we see that  $\{Z_{\tau_k}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a bounded martingale under  $P_z$  and therefore  $u(z) = E_z[u(Z_{\tau_k})]$  for  $z \in D$ . Let  $A = \{\lim_{k \uparrow \infty} u(Z_{\tau_k}) = \psi(Z_\tau)\}$ . Note that  $P_z^\xi(A) = 1$  for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$  and every  $z \in D$ , because  $P_z^\xi(Z_\tau = \xi) = 1$  by Corollary 5.8. Thus it follows from Lemma 5.2 that  $P_z(A) = \int_{\partial D} P_z^\xi(A) h(z, \xi) \sigma(d\xi) = 1$ . That is,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(Z_{\tau_k}) = \psi(Z_\tau)$ ,  $P_z$ -a.s. for all  $z \in D$ . Now passing  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $u(z) = E_z[u(Z_{\tau_k})]$  yields that  $u(z) = E_z[\psi(Z_\tau)]$ .  $\square$

We are now going to prove a local version of Theorem 1.1. We begin with two lemmas. For  $\xi \in \partial D$ , define  $I_\gamma^\xi(\varepsilon) = \Phi I_\gamma(\varepsilon)$ , where  $\Phi$  is any orthogonal transformation in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  of the form (3.1) such that  $\Phi(1) = \xi$ . This definition is well defined since, as can be seen from (3.2) and (3.3),  $\Psi I_\gamma(\varepsilon) = I_\gamma(\varepsilon)$  for any orthogonal transformation  $\Psi$  of (3.1) with  $\Psi(1) = 1$ . In fact,  $I_\gamma^\xi(\varepsilon)$  can be explicitly written down:

$$(6.1) \quad I_\gamma^\xi(\varepsilon) = \{ \eta \in \partial D: \operatorname{Re}(\eta \cdot \xi) > 0, |\operatorname{Im}(\eta \cdot \xi)| < \gamma \varepsilon, \text{ and } |\eta - (\eta \cdot \xi)\xi| < \gamma \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \}.$$

**LEMMA 6.1.** *For  $k > \sup_{\{|z| < 1/2\}} |u(z)|$ , let  $F_\beta^k = \{\xi \in \partial D: N_\beta(\xi) \leq k\}$ . Set  $D_0 = D_{1/2} \cup \bigcup_{\xi \in F_\beta^k} \mathcal{A}_\beta^\xi(\xi)$ . Then for  $\beta > B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} + 1$  and  $z \in D \setminus D_0$ , we have  $\partial D \setminus F_\beta^k \supset I_B^\xi(\varepsilon)$ , where  $B$  is the constant in Theorem 2.8,  $\xi = z/|z|$  and  $\varepsilon = 1 - |z|^2$ .*

**PROOF.** Note that  $\eta \in \partial D$ ,  $|\eta - \xi| \geq |z - \eta| - |z - \xi| \geq |z - \eta| - \varepsilon$  and  $|(\xi - \eta)\xi| = |(\eta - \xi)\eta| \geq |(z - \eta)\eta| - |(z - \xi)\eta| \geq |(z - \eta)\eta| - \varepsilon$ . Thus for  $\eta \in F_\beta^k$ , since  $z \notin \mathcal{A}_\beta^\xi(\eta)$ , either  $\operatorname{Re}(\eta\xi) = |z|^{-1} \operatorname{Re}(z\xi) \leq 0$  or  $|\eta - \xi| > (\beta - 1)\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$  or  $|(\xi - \eta)\xi| > (\beta - 1)\varepsilon$ . However, an easy calculation shows that

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_B^\xi(\varepsilon) &\subset \{ \eta \in \partial D: \operatorname{Re}(\eta\xi) > 0, |(\xi - \eta)\xi| < B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} \varepsilon \\ &\quad \text{and } |\eta - \xi| < 2B\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \} \\ &\subset \{ \eta \in \partial D: \operatorname{Re}(\eta\xi) > 0, |(\xi - \eta)\xi| < B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} \varepsilon \\ &\quad \text{and } |\eta - \xi| < B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $B > 1$ . Thus for  $\beta > B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} + 1$ , we have  $F_\beta^k \cap I_B^\xi(\xi) = \emptyset$ ; that is,  $\partial D \setminus F_\beta^k \supset I_B^\xi(\varepsilon)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 6.2. Let  $k, F_\beta^k$  and  $D_0$  be as in Lemma 6.1 with  $\beta > B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} + 1$ . Let  $\tau_0 = \inf\{t > 0: Z_t \in D \setminus D_0\}$ . Then for  $z \in D \setminus D_0$ ,  $P_z(Z_\tau \notin F_\beta^k) \geq \frac{1}{6}P_z(\tau_0 < \tau)$ .

PROOF. Note that  $D_0$  is an open set since each  $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\xi(\xi)$  is open. By the continuity of  $Z$  inside  $D$ ,  $Z_{\tau_0} \in \partial D_0 \cap D$  on  $[\tau_0 < \tau]$ . Thus by the strong Markov property of  $Z$ , Theorem 2.8 and unitary invariance property (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} P_z(Z_\tau \notin F_\beta^k) &= P_z(Z_\tau \notin F_\beta^k; \tau_0 < \tau) \\ &= E_z[P_{Z_{\tau_0}}(Z_\tau \notin F_\beta^k; \tau_0 < \tau)] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6}P_z(\tau_0 < \tau). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Let  $k, F_\beta^k$  and  $D_0$  be as in Lemma 6.1 with  $\beta > B\sqrt{4B^2 + 1} + 1$  and  $\tau_0 = \inf\{t > 0: Z_t \in D \setminus D_0\}$ . Note that by Theorem 4.1(1) and Corollary 4.2,  $F = \{\xi \in \partial D: N_\gamma^\alpha(\xi) < \infty \text{ for all } \gamma > 0\}$  and therefore  $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_\beta^k$ . Let  $A = \{\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_r}) \text{ exists}\}$ , which is a shift invariant event in  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ . On  $A$ , denote the limit of  $u(Z_{\tau_r})$  as  $r \uparrow 1$  by  $\eta$ . It follows from Theorem 5.7 that  $|\eta| \leq k$   $P_z^\xi$ -a.e. on  $A$  for  $\xi \in F_\beta^k$ . Since the  $L$ -harmonic function  $u$  is bounded on  $D_0$  by  $k$ ,  $\{u(Z_{\tau_0 \wedge \tau_r}), \mathcal{F}_{\tau_0 \wedge \tau_r}, 0 \leq r < 1\}$  is a bounded martingale and therefore  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_0 \wedge \tau_r})$  exists almost surely. Thus  $[\tau_0 = \tau] \subset A$   $P_z$ -a.e. and  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_0 \wedge \tau_r}) = \eta$   $P_z$ -a.s. on  $[\tau_0 = \tau]$  for  $z \in D$ , while on  $[\tau_0 < \tau]$ ,  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_0 \wedge \tau_r}) = u(Z_{\tau_0})$ . Therefore for  $z \in D_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3) \quad u(z) &= \lim_{r \uparrow 1} u(Z_{\tau_0 \wedge \tau_r}) \\ &= E_z[u(Z_{\tau_0}); \tau_0 < \tau] + E_z[\eta; \tau_0 = \tau] \\ &= E_z[u(Z_{\tau_0}); \tau_0 < \tau] + E_z[\eta; Z_\tau \in F_\beta^k] - E_z[\eta; Z_\tau \in F_\beta^k, \tau_0 < \tau] \\ &\equiv u_1(z) + u_2(z) + u_3(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta$  and  $Z_\tau \in F_\beta^k$  are both  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable and shift invariant,  $u_2$  is a bounded  $L$ -harmonic function on  $D$  and therefore by Theorem 1.1,  $\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta^\xi(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} u_2(z)$  exists for a.e.  $\xi \in \partial D$ . On the other hand, for  $i = 1, 3$ ,  $|u_i(z)| \leq kP_z(\tau_0 < \tau)$ . From Lemma 6.2, we have

$$|u_i(z)| \leq 6k E_z[1_{\partial D \setminus F_\beta^k}(Z_\tau)].$$

For  $z \in D$ , let  $v(z) = 6k E_z[u(1_{\partial D \setminus F_\beta^k}(Z_\tau))]$ , which is a bounded  $L$ -harmonic function in  $D$ . Therefore by Theorem 1.1 there is a bounded function  $\psi$  defined on  $\partial D$  such that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{A}_\beta^\xi(\xi) \ni z \rightarrow \xi} v(z) = \psi(\xi), \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \partial D,$$

whereas  $\psi(\xi)$  is also the limit of  $v(Z_{\tau_r})$  under  $P_z^\xi$  as  $r \uparrow 1$  as is seen in the proof of Theorem 1.1. By the martingale convergence theorem,



which is an orthogonal transformation in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  of the form (3.1) and maps  $\xi$  to 1. By the unitary invariance (3.5) and the mean value theorem, we have

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |h_{r\xi}(z) - h_{r\eta}(w)| &= |h_{r1}(\Phi_\xi(z)) - h_{r1}(\Phi_\eta(w))| \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{z \in \bar{D}_{r_0}} |\nabla h_{r1}(z)| \right) |\Phi_\xi(z) - \Phi_\eta(w)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi_\xi$  and  $\Phi_\eta$  are of the form (A.1),

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Phi_\xi(z) - \Phi_\eta(w)| &\leq |\Phi_\xi(z) - \Phi_\xi(w)| + |\Phi_\xi(w) - \Phi_\eta(w)| \\ &\leq |z - w| + 2|\xi - \eta|. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < r \leq 1$  and a differentiable function  $u$  on  $D_r$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{1, D_r}^* &= \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2n} \sup_{z \in D_r} \left| (r - |z|) \frac{\partial u(z)}{\partial x_k} \right|, \\ [u]_{2, D_r}^* &= \sup_{1 \leq k, l \leq 2n} \sup_{z \in D_r} \left| (r - |z|)^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(z)}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.17)'', (6.82) and (6.14) of [5], there are constants  $\lambda_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda_3 > 0$  depending on  $r_1$  but independent of  $r \in (r_1, 1)$  such that

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (r_1 - r_0) \sup_{z \in \bar{D}_{r_0}} |\nabla h_{r1}(z)| &\leq [h_{r1}]_{1, D_{r_1}}^* \\ &\leq \lambda_2 \sup_{x \in D_{r_1}} h_{r1}(z) + [h_{r1}]_{2, D_{r_1}}^* \\ &\leq \lambda_2 \sup_{z \in D_{r_1}} h_{r1}(z) + \lambda_3 \sup_{z \in D_{r_1}} h_{r1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Proposition 3.1,  $h_{r1}(0) = r^{-(2n-1)} \sigma(S)^{-1}$ . Thus applying the Harnack inequality (cf. Corollary 9.25 of [5]) for the uniformly elliptic and bounded operator  $L$  on  $D_{r_1}$ , we have  $\sup_{r_1 < r < 1} \sup_{z \in D_{r_1}} h_{r1}(z) < \infty$ . This, combined with (A.2)–(A.4), completes the proof for this lemma.  $\square$

By the Arzela–Ascoli theorem and a diagonal selecting procedure,  $\{h_{r1}(z): 0 < r < 1\}$  has a subsequence  $\{h_{r_k1}(z): k \geq 1\}$  with  $r_k \uparrow 1$  converging uniformly on each  $D_{r_0} \times S$ ,  $0 < r_0 < 1$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote the limiting function as  $h_\xi(z)$ , which is nonnegative. Clearly  $h_\xi(z)$  is continuous in  $D_{r_0} \times S$ , hence in  $D \times S$ . It follows from the following lemma that  $h_\xi(z)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $L$ -harmonic function in  $z \in D$  for each fixed  $\xi \in S$ .

**LEMMA A.2.** *If  $\{u_k; k \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of  $L$ -harmonic functions in  $D_r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , and is uniformly convergent to a function  $u$  on  $D_r$ , then  $u$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth and  $L$ -harmonic in  $D_r$ .*

PROOF. For any  $r_1 \in (0, r)$  and  $z \in D_{r_1}$ ,

$$u(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_z[u_k(Z_{\tau_{r_1}})] = E_z[u(Z_{\tau_{r_1}})] = \int_{r_1 S} u(\eta) h_\eta(z) \sigma_{r_1}(d\eta).$$

Thus  $u$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth and  $L$ -harmonic in  $D_{r_1}$  and hence in  $D_r$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM A.3.** *The function  $h_\xi(z)$  obtained above is the exit density function of  $Z$  from  $D$  starting at  $z \in D$ .*

PROOF. By Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem, it suffices to show that for any open set  $U$  in  $S$  with  $\sigma(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $P_z(Z_\tau \in U) = \int_U h_\xi(z) \sigma(d\xi)$  holds for any  $z \in D$ , where  $\partial U$  is the boundary of  $U$  in  $S$ . Using the unitary invariance (3.5) for  $r = 1$  and Theorem 2.9, we have  $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} P_{r\xi}(Z_\tau \in U) = 1_U(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in S \setminus \partial U$ . Thus by the strong Markov property of  $Z$  in  $D$  and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} P_z(Z_\tau \in U) &= \lim_{r \uparrow 1} E_z[P_{Z_{\tau_r}}(Z_\tau \in U)] \\ &= \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \int_S P_{r\xi}(Z_\tau \in U) h_{r\xi}(z) r^{2n-1} \sigma(d\xi) \\ &= \int_S 1_U(\xi) h_\xi(z) \sigma(d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

We now proceed to show the minimal  $L$ -harmonicity of  $h_\xi(z)$  in  $D$  for each  $\xi \in S$ . The first step is to establish a representation theorem for nonnegative  $L$ -harmonic functions in terms of  $\{h_\xi; \xi \in S\}$ .

**THEOREM A.4.** *If  $u \geq 0$  is  $L$ -harmonic in  $D$ , then there exists a nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on  $S$  such that  $u(z) = \int_S h_\xi(z) d\mu(\xi)$  for all  $z \in D$ .*

PROOF. The proof essentially imitates (2) in Section 3.5 of [3] for the classical harmonic functions and the Poisson kernel. For  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $\mu_r$  be the measure on  $S$  that has the density function  $u(r\xi)$  with respect to the Lebesgue surface measure  $\sigma$  on  $S$ . By Proposition 3.1, we have for  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r(S) &= \int_S u(r\xi) \sigma(d\xi) = r^{-(2n-1)} \int_{rS} u(\eta) \sigma_r(d\eta) \\ &= \sigma(S) E_0[u(Z_{\tau_r})] = u(0) \sigma(S). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can use the Helly selection theorem to get a subsequence  $\{\mu_{r_k}; k \geq 1\}$  that converges weakly on the unit sphere  $S$  to a measure  $\mu$  as  $r_k \uparrow 1$ . According to Lemma A.1, we may and do assume that  $h_{r_k\xi}(z)$  converges uniformly in  $(z, \xi) \in D_r \times S$  to  $h_\xi(z)$  for each  $0 < r < 1$ .

For  $z \in D$ , let  $r_k$  be such that  $z \in D_{r_k}$ . Then

$$u(z) = E_z[u(Z_{\tau_{r_k}})] = \int_S u(r_k\xi) h_{r_k\xi}(z) \sigma(d\xi) = \int_S h_{r_k\xi}(z) d\mu_{r_k}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_S h_{r_k \xi}(z) d\mu_{r_k} - \int_S h_\xi(z) d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S |h_{r_k \xi}(z) - h_\xi(z)| d\mu_{r_k} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_S h_\xi(z) d\mu_{r_k} - \int_S h_\xi(z) d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\xi \in S} |h_{r_k \xi}(z) - h_\xi(z)| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{r_k}(S) + 0 \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $u(z) = \int_S h_\xi(z) d\mu$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM A.5.** *The exit density functions  $\{h_\xi; \xi \in S\}$  constructed above are minimal  $L$ -harmonic functions in  $D$  in the sense that if  $u$  is  $L$ -harmonic in  $D$  and  $0 \leq u(z) \leq h_{\xi_0}(z)$  for some  $\xi_0 \in S$  and all  $z \in D$ , then there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $u(z) = ch_{\xi_0}(z)$  for all  $z \in D$ .*

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\xi_0 = 1$ . By Theorem A.4, there is a nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on  $S$  such that  $u(z) = \int_S h_0(z) d\mu(z)$  for  $z \in D$ . We show that  $\mu$  concentrates at point 1. For this, let  $\mu_{r_k}(d\xi) = u(r_k \xi) \sigma(d\xi)$  be the sequence of measures on  $S$  in the proof of Theorem A.4 that converges weakly to  $\mu$ . For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , let  $J_\varepsilon = \{\eta \in S: |\eta - 1| \leq \varepsilon\}$ . Since  $S \setminus J_\varepsilon$  is an open subset of  $S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S \setminus J_\varepsilon) & \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{r_k}(S \setminus J_\varepsilon) \\ (A.5) \qquad & = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S \setminus J_\varepsilon} u(r_k \xi) \sigma(d\xi) \\ & \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S \setminus J_\varepsilon} h_1(r_k \xi) \sigma(d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\xi \in S \setminus \{-1\}$ , let  $\Psi_\xi$  be the reflection map of  $C^n$  with respect to the complex line  $Cw$  as defined by (5.5), where  $w = (\xi + 1)/|\xi + 1|$ . That is,  $\Psi_\xi(z) = 2(z \cdot w)w - z$  for  $z \in C^n$ . If  $\xi = -1$ , define  $\Psi_{-1}(z) = -z$ . In summary, for  $\xi \in S$ ,  $\Psi_\xi$  is a unitary transformation of  $C^n$  such that  $\Psi_\xi(\xi) = 1$  and  $\Psi_\xi(1) = \xi$ . Thus by the unitary invariance (5.1), the last term in (A.5) equals

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S \setminus J_\varepsilon} h_{\Psi_\xi(1)}(\Psi_\xi(r_k \xi)) \sigma(d\xi) & = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S \setminus J_\varepsilon} h_\xi(r_k 1) \sigma(d\xi) \\ & = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{r_k 1}(Z_\tau \in S \setminus J_\varepsilon) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from Theorem 2.9. This shows that  $\mu(S \setminus J_\varepsilon) = 0$  for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and therefore  $\mu$  is a measure on  $S$  with mass concentrated at point 1. Hence  $u(z) = \int_S h_\xi(z) \mu(d\xi) = \mu(\{1\})h_1(z)$ . This proves the minimality of  $h_1$  and therefore of  $h_\xi$  for any  $\xi \in S$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] BASS, R. F. (1995). *Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis*. Springer, New York.
- [2] DEBIARD, A. (1979). Comparaison des espaces  $H^p$  géométrique et probabilists au-dessus de l'espace Hermitien hyperbolique. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)* 103 305–351.
- [3] DURRETT, R. (1984). *Brownian Motion and Martingales in Analysis*. Wadsworth, Belmont, CA.
- [4] FUKUSHIMA, M. and OKADA, M. (1987). On Dirichlet forms for plurisubharmonic functions. *Acta Math.* 159 171–213.
- [5] GILBARG, D. and TRUDINGER, N. S. (1983). *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York.
- [6] HAKIM, M. and SIBONY, N. (1983). Fonctions holomorphes bornées et limites tangentielles. *Duke Math. J.* 50 133–141.
- [7] HUA, L. K. (1969). *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [8] KARATZAS, I. and SHREVE, S. E. (1991). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York.
- [9] KORÁNYI, A. (1969). Harmonic functions on Hermitian hyperbolic space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 507–516.
- [10] KRANTZ, S. (1991). Invariant metrics and the boundary behavior of holomorphic functions in domains in  $C^n$ . *Journal of Geometric Analysis* 1 71–97.
- [11] RUDIN, W. (1980). *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $C^n$* . Springer, New York.
- [12] STEIN, E. M. (1972). *Boundary Behavior of Holomorphic Functions of Several Complex Variables*. Princeton Univ. Press.

ZHEN-QING CHEN  
 RICHARD DURRETT  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 CORNELL UNIVERSITY  
 ITHACA, NEW YORK 14853  
 E-MAIL: zchen@math.cornell.edu  
 rtd1@cornell.edu

GANG MA  
 THE PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP  
 711 HIGH STREET  
 DES MOINES, IOWA 50392  
 E-MAIL: ma.gang@principal.com