

NOTES

MINIMUM GENERALIZED VARIANCE FOR A SET OF LINEAR FUNCTIONS¹

BY ROBERT G. D. STEEL

University of Wisconsin

1. Summary. Let n variates possessing finite first and second moments be partitioned into k sets. A system of equations is developed for which some solution consists of k sets of coefficients which combine the k sets of variates into k variates possessing minimum generalized variance.

2. Introduction. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be observed variates having zero means and finite covariances, σ_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Let the variates be such that

$$(1) \quad |\sigma_{ij}| \neq 0,$$

where $|\sigma_{ij}|$ is the determinant of the covariance matrix, $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$. Partition the n variates into k vector variates

$$(2) \quad x_{(j)} = (x_{m_j+1}, \dots, x_{m_j+n_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $m_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_i$, $j \neq 1$, $m_1 = 0$, and $n_i \leq n_{i+1}$. Partition Σ correspondingly as

$$(3) \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & \dots & \Sigma_{1k} \\ \Sigma'_{12} & \Sigma_{22} & \dots & \Sigma_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Sigma'_{1k} & \Sigma'_{2k} & \dots & \Sigma_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

where Σ_{ij} is an n_i by n_j matrix with transpose Σ'_{ij} .

For $k = 2$, Hotelling [1] forms two variates, one linear function of the variates for each vector variate, for which the correlation is maximum. This leads to canonical variates and canonical correlations. Wilks' λ_1 criterion [2] is the likelihood ratio criterion for testing independence among k sets of normally distributed variates.

We consider the choice of k linear functions, one per vector variate (2), such that the k resulting variates possess minimum generalized variance when each has unit variance.

¹ This paper is based on doctoral dissertation 957 on file at the Iowa State College Library and submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Iowa State College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Ph.D. in Mathematical Statistics. A part of the research was conducted under the sponsorship of the Office of Naval Research. The principal result in the paper was presented to the American Mathematical Society in Lawrence, Kansas, April 30, 1949.



3. Some theorems and the procedure.

THEOREM 1. *A set of linear functions with unit variances exists possessing minimum generalized variance.*

PROOF. From the vector variates (2), form variates

$$(4) \quad a_{(j)} x'_{(j)}$$

(' denotes transpose), where $a_{(j)} = (a_{m_j+1}, \dots, a_{m_j+n_j})$ is a 1 by n_j vector of real numbers such that

$$(5) \quad a_{(j)} \Sigma_{jj} a'_{(j)} = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

The variates (4) have zero means and unit variances. Denote the determinant of the covariance matrix by C . C is a bounded continuous function of n a_i 's and is defined jointly over k closed connected sets, the positive definite quadratic forms (5). Therefore, there exists a minimum for C .

Apply real nonsingular transformations to the vector variates (2):

$$(6) \quad x_{(j)} T'_j = \xi_{(j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

for T_j an n_j by n_j matrix such that

$$(7) \quad T_j \Sigma_{jj} T'_j = I_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

I_j is an n_j by n_j identity matrix.

The n by n matrix

$$(8) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & T_k \end{pmatrix}$$

will be called an internal linear transformation when applied to the k ordered vector variates simultaneously. T is real and nonsingular.

The covariance matrix of (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n) is

$$T \Sigma T' = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & T_1 \Sigma_{12} T'_2 & \dots & T_1 \Sigma_{1k} T'_k \\ T_2 \Sigma'_{12} T'_1 & I_2 & \dots & T_2 \Sigma_{2k} T'_k \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_k \Sigma'_{1k} T'_1 & T_k \Sigma'_{2k} T'_2 & \dots & I_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote it by Γ and $T_i \Sigma_{ij} T'_j$ by Γ_{ij} .

LEMMA 1. *Transforming matrices T_j subject to (7) differ only by multiplication by orthogonal matrices.*

Hence matrices such as (8) differ only by multiplication by orthogonal matrices consisting of blocks of orthogonal matrices in the diagonals and zeros elsewhere.

Restrict further internal linear transformations to orthogonal matrices.

From among such transformations, it is required to choose one such that the k resulting variates shall possess minimum generalized variance. Clearly, this restriction on the transformations is no restriction on the value of the minimum generalized variance. Let each of the k desired variates be the first in its respective vector variate. Movement of any variate within a vector variate can be accomplished by means of a permutation matrix, included among the permissible orthogonal matrices.

Consider the effect of an orthogonal internal linear transformation on the covariance matrix of the k variates that are the first ones in the k vector variates (6) subject to (7). To do this, examine the effect of the transforming matrix on the appropriate k by k principal minor of Γ . The effect may be observed by using compound matrices, in which any principal minor of the matrix compounded occurs as a diagonal element. Denote the k th compound of a square matrix A with elements a_{ij} by $A^{(k)}$ with elements $a_{ij}^{(k)}$.

Let the orthogonal internal linear transformation be P with

$$(9) \quad \xi_{(\alpha)} P'_\alpha = \eta_{(\alpha)}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, k,$$

for P_α and n_α by n_α orthogonal matrix. Denote the elements of P_α by ${}_\alpha p_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$. The covariance matrix of (η_1, \dots, η_n) is $P\Gamma P'$. Denote this by N . The k th compound of N is

$$(10) \quad N^{(k)} = (P\Gamma P')^{(k)} = P^{(k)}\Gamma^{(k)}P^{(k)'}$$

The principal minor of Γ which we wish to observe under the transformation (9) is that with diagonal elements the unities in the upper left corners of the I_j . This minor appears as a diagonal element of $\Gamma^{(k)}$, its transform being in the same position in $N^{(k)}$.

The transformed principal minor from (10) is given by

$$(11) \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{\binom{n}{k}})\Gamma^{(k)}(t_1, \dots, t_{\binom{n}{k}})' = \sum_{\delta, \beta=1}^{\binom{n}{k}} t_\delta \gamma_{\delta\beta}^{(k)} t_\beta = \nu_{g\theta}^{(k)},$$

where the subscript g is appropriately chosen and the elements t_i are the k by k minors, ordered lexicographically, of the matrix consisting of rows $m_1 + 1, \dots, m_k + 1$ of P . Clearly each nonzero t_δ consists of a single product of one element from the first row of each P_α .

The problem is to determine those ${}_\alpha p_{1i}$'s, $i = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$, which minimize $\nu_{g\theta}^{(k)}$. Hence let us obtain partial derivatives of the elements of the P_α 's with respect to the parameters of these orthogonal matrices.

4. The derivative of an orthogonal matrix. If a nonsingular square matrix A is a function of x , then by differentiating AA^{-1} it follows that

$$(12) \quad \frac{dA}{dx} = -A \frac{dA^{-1}}{dx} A, \quad \frac{dA^{-1}}{dx} = -A^{-1} \frac{dA}{dx} A^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 2. For a real nonexceptional orthogonal matrix Q ,

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial q_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial s_{ij}} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} (\delta_{\alpha i} + q_{\alpha i}) & (\delta_{\alpha j} + q_{\alpha j}) \\ (\delta_{i\beta} + q_{i\beta}) & (\delta_{j\beta} + q_{j\beta}) \end{vmatrix},$$

where $q_{\alpha\beta}$ is the α, β th element of Q and s_{ij} is the i, j th element of the skew-symmetric matrix S in Cayley's² parametrization of Q , viz., $Q = (I - S)/(I + S)$.

PROOF. Let the parameters be s_{ij} , $i < j$. By (12) and since $(I + S)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(I + Q)$,

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial s_{ij}} = -\frac{1}{2}(I + Q) \frac{\partial S}{\partial s_{ij}} (I + Q).$$

Now $\partial S/\partial s_{ij}$ is a matrix consisting of a $+1$ in the i, j th position, a -1 in the j, i th position and zeros elsewhere. Hence, elementwise, we have (13) where δ is Kronecker's delta. The partial derivatives are expressed in terms of the elements of Q .

An exceptional orthogonal matrix becomes nonexceptional when multiplied by an appropriate J matrix, a square matrix with $+1$ or -1 in each diagonal position and zeros elsewhere. When JP replaces P , principal minors of PJP' will not alter in value though some variates will change sign. Hence, let us consider only real nonexceptional orthogonal transformations.

To maximize $\nu_{\sigma\sigma}^{(k)}$ as in (11), equate to zero:

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial \nu_{\sigma\sigma}^{(k)}}{\partial {}_{\alpha} s_{ij}} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{(n)} \frac{\partial \nu_{\sigma\sigma}^{(k)}}{\partial t_{\beta}} \frac{\partial t_{\beta}}{\partial {}_{\alpha} s_{ij}} = 2 \sum_{\beta, \delta=1}^{(n)} t_{\delta} \nu_{\delta\beta}^{(k)} \frac{\partial t_{\beta}}{\partial {}_{\alpha} s_{ij}},$$

where ${}_{\alpha} s_{ij}$ is a parameter of P_{α} , $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$. The partial derivatives of the t_{β} 's are found by use of (13). Equations (14) with those imposing orthogonality restrictions on the P_{α} are $\sum_{\alpha=1}^k n_{\alpha}^2$ simultaneous equations in as many unknowns, the elements of the P_{α} 's.

5. Two-set case. For $k = 2$, variates having minimum generalized variance are seen to have maximum correlation. Since Hotelling's canonical form is unique except for the order and signs of the elements in the diagonal of the off-diagonal block and the maximum correlation is always present there, it can be shown that a solution obtained by the present method will agree with that from Hotelling's method.

6. A three-set case. For $k = 3$ and $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 2$, let Γ be such that each of $(\Gamma_{12}, \Gamma_{13})$, $(\Gamma'_{12}, \Gamma_{23})$, and $(\Gamma'_{13}, \Gamma'_{23})$ has unit rank. Chu [4] has shown that the rows of $(I_1, \Gamma_{12}, \Gamma_{13})$, $(\Gamma'_{12}, I_2, \Gamma_{23})$, and $(\Gamma'_{13}, \Gamma'_{23}, I_2)$ can all be internally

² For a recent work, see Weyl [3].

orthogonalized by an orthogonal internal linear transformation. The resulting covariance matrix may be written

$$(15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \rho_{13} & 0 & \rho_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{13} & 0 & 1 & 0 & \rho_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{15} & 0 & \rho_{35} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{say,}$$

where X , Y , and Z are 2 by 6 matrices.

Now XX' , YY' , and ZZ' have distinct characteristic roots. Hence (15) is unique except for internal permutations of rows and columns and changes in the signs of ρ_{13} , ρ_{15} , and ρ_{35} . Also, the orthogonal transforming matrix is unique except that the signs of the elements in any row may be altered simultaneously.

It is now easy to find variates, one per set, with minimum generalized variance. It can be shown that the only permissible orthogonal internal linear transformation consists of an identity matrix. Hence the minimum generalized variance is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_{13} & \rho_{15} \\ \rho_{13} & 1 & \rho_{35} \\ \rho_{15} & \rho_{35} & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is unique, as are also the internal linear transformation and the resulting variates.

7. Acknowledgment. The author wishes to express his appreciation for the constructive assistance and encouragement given by Professor B. Vinogradov of the Mathematics Department of Iowa State College.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. HOTELLING, "Relations between two sets of variates," *Biometrika*, Vol. 28 (1936), pp. 321-377.
- [2] S. S. WILKS, "On the independence of k sets of normally distributed statistical variables," *Econometrica*, Vol. 3 (1935); pp. 309-326.
- [3] H. WEYL, *The Classical Groups, Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, 1939, chap. II, B, sec. 10.
- [4] J. T. CHU, "Invariants of positive definite matrices under internal transformations," unpublished M. S. thesis, Iowa State College Library, 1948.