

A NOTE ON PARTIALLY BALANCED DESIGNS

BY MARVIN ZELEN

National Bureau of Standards

It is well known [1], [2] that a singular group divisible design containing two associate classes can be derived from a balanced incomplete block design by replacing each treatment by n treatments. In this paper it is shown that a partially balanced design with $(m + 1)$ associate classes can be derived from a partially balanced design with m associate classes by replacing each treatment by n treatments.

The definition of a partially balanced incomplete block design with m associate classes can briefly be described as an experimental plan

(i) having v treatments arranged in b blocks such that each block contains k experimental units,

(ii) where each treatment is replicated r times and no treatment occurs more than once in any block,

(iii) such that with respect to any treatment t , the remaining treatments can be divided into m associate classes such that the i th class contains n_i treatments and t occurs in λ_i blocks with each of the treatments in the i th class ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$),

(iv) and if two treatments are k th associates, the number of treatments common to the i th associates of one and the j th associates of the other treatment is p_{ij}^k (for $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, with $p_{ij}^k = p_{ji}^k$), and is independent of the particular pair of treatments.

It has been shown [3] that the following relations hold between the parameters of the design.

$$(1) \quad bk = vr$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m n_i = v - 1$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \lambda_i = r(k - 1)$$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m p_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} n_i, & \text{for } i \neq k \\ n_i - 1, & \text{for } i = k \end{cases}$$

$$(5) \quad n_i p_{ik}^i = n_j p_{jk}^j = n_k p_{ij}^k.$$

The main result of this paper can be stated in the following theorem.

Received 9/14/53.

Main Theorem. *If, in a partially balanced incomplete block design having m associate classes and parameters*

$$(6) \quad v^*, b^*, r^*, k^*, \lambda_i^*, n_i^*, p_{ij}^{*k} \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m),$$

such that $\lambda_i^ \neq r^*$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), each treatment is replaced by n different treatments, the derived design will be a partially balanced incomplete block design with $(m + 1)$ associate classes having parameters*

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{llll} v = nv^*, & b = b^*, & k = nk^*, & r = r^*, \\ \lambda_i = \lambda_i^*, & n_i = nn_i^*, & & i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \lambda_{m+1} = r^*, & n_{m+1} = n - 1, & & \\ p_{ij}^k = np_{ij}^{*k} & & & i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ p_{k,m+1}^k = n - 1 & & & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ p_{i,m+1}^k = 0 & & i \neq k, & i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ p_{ij}^{m+1} = 0 & & i \neq j, & p_{ii}^{m+1} = nn_i^* \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ p_{m+1,m+1}^{m+1} = n - 2. & & & \end{array} \right.$$

PROOF. Let t be a treatment in the original design and denote the remaining treatments by $t_j^{(i)}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where $t_j^{(i)}$ are the i th associate treatments of t . Denote the treatments replacing t and $t_j^{(i)}$ in the derived design by the row vectors $\underline{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ and $\underline{t}_j^{(i)} = (t_{j1}^{(i)}, t_{j2}^{(i)}, \dots, t_{jn}^{(i)})$ respectively; then the row vector $T_i = (\underline{t}_1^{(i)}, \underline{t}_2^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{t}_{n_i}^{(i)})$ denotes the i th associates of any treatment element of \underline{t} . If t and t' are two k th associate treatments in the original design, and if t_p is any treatment element of \underline{t} and t'_q is any treatment element of \underline{t}' , then t_p and t'_q will be k th associates. With respect to each of these treatments, the remaining treatments can be divided into $(m + 1)$ associate classes in the following manner:

ASSOCIATE CLASSES

	1 \dots k \dots m	$m + 1$
t_p	$T_1 \dots T_k \dots T_m$	$t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, t_{p+1}, \dots, t_n$
t'_q	$T'_1 \dots T'_k \dots T'_m$	$t'_1, \dots, t'_{q-1}, t'_{q+1}, \dots, t'_n$

Upon replacing each treatment in the original design by n different treatments, the new design will have $v = nv^*$, $b = b^*$, $k = nk^*$, $r^* = r$. From the array we see that $n_i = nn_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and $n_{m+1} = n - 1$. Since any treatment in the original design occurred in λ_i^* blocks with each of its i th associates, the new treatments will occur in $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^*$ blocks with each of their i th associates for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Also each treatment will occur in r blocks with each of its $(m + 1)$ associates, that is, $\lambda_{m+1} = r$.

Since t and t' have p_{ij}^{*k} treatments in common which are i th associates (say)

of t and j th associates of t' , then t_p and t'_q will have $p_{ij}^k = np_{ij}^{*k}$ treatments in common which are i th associates of t_p and j th associates of t'_q for $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. It is readily seen that the number of treatments in common between the k th associates of t_p and the $(m + 1)$ associates of t'_q is $p_{k,m+1}^k = (n - 1)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and that $p_{i,m+1}^k = 0$ for $i \neq k$ and $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Similarly if, with respect to a pair of treatments which are $(m + 1)$ associates, the remaining treatments were put in an array, it can be demonstrated that $p_{ij}^{m+1} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, while $p_{ii}^{m+1} = nn_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $p_{m+1,m+1}^{m+1} = n - 2$.

It is now necessary to show that the relations (1) through (5) are satisfied. For (1) we have

$$b_k = b^*nk^* = nr^*v^* = rv.$$

For (2) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} n_i = n(v^* - 1) + (n - 1) = v - 1.$$

For (3) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} n_i \lambda_i = nr^*(k^* - 1) + (n - 1)r = r(k - 1).$$

For (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} p_{ij}^k \\ &= \begin{cases} nn_j^* & j \neq k; j = 1, 2, \dots, m; k = 1, \dots, m + 1, \\ n(n_j^* - 1) + n - 1 = n_j - 1 & j = k; j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} p_{i,m+1}^k = n - 1 = n_{m+1} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} p_{i,m+1}^{m+1} = n - 2 = n_{m+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

For (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_k p_{ij}^k &= n^2 n_k^* p_{ij}^{*k} = n_i p_{jk}^i = n^2 n_i^* p_{ij}^{*k} = n_j p_{ik}^j = n^2 n_j^* p_{ik}^{*j} \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ n_{m+1} p_{ij}^{m+1} &= n_i p_{j,m+1}^i = n_j p_{i,m+1}^j = 0 \quad i, j \neq m + 1, \\ n_i p_{i,m+1}^i &= nn_i^*(n - 1) = n_{m+1} p_{ii}^{m+1} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

The condition that $\lambda_i^* \neq r^*$ arises from the fact that if this condition is not true, then with respect to a particular treatment t there will exist a group of (say) m th associate treatments which will occur with t in exactly r blocks. Since every treatment is replicated r^* times, t will always appear with the same group of treatments. Thus if a treatment occurs in a certain block, then every m th associate treatment will also occur in that block. Therefore it is possible to replace each group of treatments by a single treatment to derive a new design.

It can be shown, using an argument similar to that used to prove the main theorem, that the derived design will be a partially balanced incomplete block design with $(m - 1)$ associate classes having parameters

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} v = v^*/n_m^*, & b = b, & r = r^*, & k = k^*/n_m^*, \\ \lambda_i = \lambda_i^*, & n_i = n_i^*/n_m^* & & i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1, \\ p_{ij}^k = p_{ij}^{*k}/n_m^* & & & i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1. \end{cases}$$

This last result can be summarized in the following theorem.

THEOREM: *If in a partially balanced design having m associate classes and parameters (6) such that (say) $\lambda_m^* = r$, then the treatments can be divided into v^*/n_m^* groups so that each treatment occurs in a block with all the treatments of its group r^* times. Also it is possible to replace each group of treatments by one treatment to derive a partially balanced design with $(m - 1)$ associate classes and parameters given by (8).*

A large number of partially balanced incomplete block designs with two associate classes are available [4], [5]. These designs can be used to construct three associate class designs having parameters

$$\begin{aligned} v &= nv^*, & b &= b^*, & k &= nk^*, & r &= r^*, \\ \lambda_i &= \lambda_i^*, & n_i &= nn_i^*, & & & & i = 1, 2, \\ \lambda_3 &= r, & n_3 &= n - 1, & & & & \\ p_{ij}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} np_{11}^{*1} & np_{12}^{*1} & n - 1 \\ np_{12}^{*1} & np_{22}^{*1} & 0 \\ n - 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & p_{ij}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} np_{11}^{*2} & np_{12}^{*2} & 0 \\ np_{12}^{*2} & np_{22}^{*2} & n - 1 \\ 0 & n - 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ p_{ij}^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} nn_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & nn_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n - 2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. BOSE AND W. S. CONNOR, "Combinatorial properties of group divisible incomplete block designs," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 23 (1952), pp. 367-383.
- [2] R. C. BOSE AND T. SHIMAMOTO, "Classification and analysis of partially balanced incomplete block designs with two associate classes," *J. Amer. Stat. Assn.*, Vol. 47 (1952), pp. 151-184.
- [3] R. C. BOSE AND K. R. NAIR, "Partially balanced incomplete block designs," *Sankhya*, Vol. 4 (1939), pp. 337-372.
- [4] R. C. BOSE, S. S. SHRIKHANDE AND K. N. BHATTACHARYA, "On the construction of group divisible incomplete block designs," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 24 (1953), pp. 167-195.
- [5] R. C. BOSE, W. H. CLATWORTHY AND S. S. SHRIKHANDE, "Tables of Partially Balanced Designs With Two Associate Classes," Univ. North Carolina, Mimeo. Series 77, (1953).