

**AN EXTENSION OF MASSEY'S DISTRIBUTION OF THE MAXIMUM  
DEVIATION BETWEEN TWO-SAMPLE CUMULATIVE  
STEP FUNCTIONS<sup>1</sup>**

BY CHIA KUEI TSAO

*Wayne University*

**1. Summary and Introduction.** Let  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  and  $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_m$  be the ordered results of two random samples from populations having continuous cumulative distribution functions  $F(x)$  and  $G(x)$  respectively. Let  $S_n(x) = k/n$ , where  $k$  is the number of observations of  $X$  which are less than or equal to  $x$ , and  $S'_m(x) = j/m$ , where  $j$  is the number of observations of  $Y$  which are less than or equal to  $x$ .

The statistics

$$d_r = \max_{x \leq x_r} |S_n(x) - S'_m(x)|,$$

$$d'_r = \max_{x \leq \max(x_r, y_r)} |S_n(x) - S'_m(x)|, \quad r \leq \min(m, n),$$

can be used to test the hypothesis  $F(x) = G(x)$ . For example, using  $d_r$  we would reject the hypothesis if the observed  $d_r$ , that is, the maximum absolute deviation between the two step functions at or below the  $r$ th observation of a given sample, is significantly large.

In this paper, the distributions of  $d_r$  and  $d'_r$  under the hypothesis  $F(x) = G(x)$  are obtained and tabulated. Some possible applications are discussed and a numerical example in life testing is given.

**2. Distribution of  $d_r$ .** Denote by  $m_1$  the number of observed values of  $Y$  which are less than  $x_1$ ; by  $m_2$  the number of values of  $Y$  which are between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ,  $\dots$ ; by  $m_r$  the number of values of  $Y$  which are between  $x_{r-1}$  and  $x_r$ ; and by  $M$  the number of values of  $Y$  which are greater than  $x_r$ . If the hypothesis  $F(x) = G(x)$  is true, the probability of the occurrence of a set of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r, M$  is

$$\Pr(m_1, \dots, m_r, M) = \binom{M+n-r}{m} / \binom{m+n}{m}.$$

The formula is a special case of the general probability formula (3) in [3]. This formula depends only on  $M$ , that is, it is independent of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ . Thus, for any given  $M$ , the probability that  $d_r \leq a$  can be found by counting the number of sets of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  which give values of  $d_r \leq a$ . Denote this number of sets by  $K_{r,M}(a)$ , then

$$\Pr(d_r \leq a) = \sum_{M=0}^m K_{r,M}(a) \cdot \binom{M+n-r}{m} / \binom{m+n}{m}.$$

The method of counting  $K_{r,M}(a)$  is essentially the same as that of Massey [2]. As an illustration, suppose  $m = n$ , then  $S_m(x)$  and  $S'_m(x)$  can differ only by multiples of  $1/m$ . For any integer  $c$  and any given  $M$ ,  $K_{r,M}(c/m)$  may be counted

Received 7/20/53.

<sup>1</sup> Work supported by the Office of Naval Research.

as follows. Let  $V_{ij}(c)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2c$ , be the number of possible sets of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_i$  such that  $S'_m(x_i) = (i + j - c - 1)/m$  and such that  $d_i \leq c/m$ . Then it is evident that these  $V_{ij}(c)$  satisfy the  $2rc$  difference equations:

$$V_{ik}(c) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} V_{i-1,j}(c) \quad \begin{matrix} i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, 2c, \end{matrix}$$

where  $V_{0,c+1}(c) = 1$  and  $V_{0j}(c) = 0$  for  $j \neq c + 1$ , and  $V_{i-1,2c+1}(c) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ .

Since  $S'_m(x_r) = (r + j - c - 1)/m$ , we must have  $m - M = r + j - c - 1$ , or  $j = m - M - r + c + 1$ , for any given  $M$ . Consequently we obtain

$$K_{r,M}(c/m) = V_{r,m-M-r+c+1}(c).$$

We note that  $K_{r,M}(c/m) = 0$  for  $m - M - r + c + 1$  outside the range  $(1, 2c)$

**3. Distribution of  $d'_r$ .** In testing the hypothesis  $F(x) = G(x)$ , the critical region consists of  $d_r > c_\alpha/m$ , where  $\Pr(d_r > c_\alpha/m) = \alpha$ . If, however,  $r \leq c_\alpha$ , then the criterion  $d_r$  reduces to, say

$$\bar{d}_r = \min_{x \leq x_r} [S_n(x) - S'_m(x)],$$

since, in this case,  $S_n(x) - S'_m(x)$  can never be greater than  $c_\alpha/m$ . As a result, the test becomes a one-sided test in the sense that the null hypothesis will be rejected only when too many  $y$ 's are less than  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . One way of always getting a two-sided test is to use the statistic  $\bar{d}'_r$  which is symmetric with respect to the two samples.

Defining  $\bar{d}_r$  as

$$\bar{d}_r = \max_{x \leq y_r} |S_n(x) - S'_m(x)|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(d'_r \leq a) &= \Pr(d_r \leq a, x_r > y_r) + \Pr(\bar{d}_r \leq a, x_r < y_r) \\ &= \sum_{M=0}^{m-r} K_{r,M}(a) \binom{M+n-r}{M} / \binom{m+n}{m} + \sum_{N=0}^{n-r} K_{r,N}(a) \binom{N+m-r}{N} / \binom{m+n}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = n$ , then

$$\Pr(d'_r \leq a) = 2 \sum_{M=0}^{m-r} K_{r,M}(a) \binom{M+n-r}{M} / \binom{2m}{m}.$$

We note that:

(a) If  $r = m = n$ , the distributions of both  $d_m$  and  $d'_m$  reduce to Massey's distribution [2].

(b) If  $r = 1$ , then  $d_r$  reduces to a special case of the exceedance problem of Gumbel and von Schelling [1].

Tables I and II give the probabilities of  $d_r$  and  $d'_r$ , respectively, for  $m = n$ .

**4. Applications.** The statistics  $d_r$  and  $d'_r$  are useful for situations where the sample sizes are known, but where the information beyond a certain ordered observation, say  $x_r$ , is unavailable. In life testing, one often wishes, by drawing

TABLE I  
Probability of  $d_r \leq c/m$

$m = n$	$c$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
3	2	.50000	.95000	1.00000									
	$r$												
4	2	.45714	.81429	.98571	1.00000								
	3	.28571	.81429	.98571	1.00000								
5	2	.43651	.85714	.96032	.99603	1.00000							
	3	.25397	.73810	.96032	.99603	1.00000							
	4	.15873	.67857	.93651	.99603	1.00000							
6	2	.42424	.84091	.94372	.98701	.99892	1.00000						
	3	.23810	.70130	.92857	.98701	.99892	1.00000						
	4	.13853	.60390	.89827	.98701	.99892	1.00000						
	5	.08658	.55519	.87229	.97944	.99892	1.00000						
7	2	.41608	.83042	.93240	.97931	.99592	.99971	1.00000					
	3	.22844	.67920	.90793	.97348	.99592	.99971	1.00000					
	4	.12821	.56643	.85897	.97348	.99592	.99971	1.00000					
	5	.07459	.48776	.82634	.96300	.99592	.99971	1.00000					
	6	.04662	.44843	.80186	.95280	.99359	.99971	1.00000					
	7												
8	2	.41026	.82308	.92424	.97319	.99277	.99876	.99992	1.00000				
	3	.22191	.66434	.89378	.96232	.99068	.99876	.99992	1.00000				
	4	.12183	.54336	.83294	.95649	.99068	.99876	.99992	1.00000				
	5	.06838	.45315	.78291	.94367	.99068	.99876	.99992	1.00000				
	6	.03978	.39021	.75245	.92968	.98718	.99876	.99992	1.00000				
	7	.02486	.35874	.73007	.91890	.98345	.99806	.99992	1.00000				
	8												
9	2	.40588	.81765	.91810	.96833	.98992	.99757	.99963	.99998	1.00000			
	3	.21719	.65362	.88355	.95352	.98548	.99685	.99963	.99998	1.00000			
	4	.11748	.52756	.81473	.94272	.98322	.99685	.99963	.99998	1.00000			
	5	.06450	.43149	.75376	.92236	.98322	.99685	.99963	.99998	1.00000			
	6	.03620	.35985	.70769	.90539	.97869	.99685	.99963	.99998	1.00000			
	7	.02106	.30987	.68005	.89058	.97314	.99572	.99963	.99998	1.00000			
	8	.01316	.28488	.65981	.87982	.96870	.99443	.99942	.99998	1.00000			
	9												
10	2	.40248	.81347	.91331	.96440	.98744	.99637	.99921	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	3	.21362	.64551	.87580	.94653	.98086	.99466	.99897	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	4	.11431	.51602	.80128	.93192	.97610	.99383	.99897	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	5	.06183	.41650	.73309	.90525	.97378	.99383	.99897	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	6	.03395	.34065	.67739	.88049	.96836	.99383	.99897	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	7	.01952	.28409	.63587	.86262	.96121	.99228	.99897	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	8	.01108	.24464	.61101	.84801	.95464	.99020	.99861	.99989	.99999	1.00000		
	9	.00693	.22491	.59283	.83759	.94987	.98849	.99818	.99983	.99999	1.00000		
	10												
15	2	.39272	.80172	.89962	.95259	.97920	.99159	.99690	.99898	.99970	.99993	.99999	1.00000
	3	.20383	.62328	.85472	.92635	.96571	.98547	.99447	.99815	.99947	.99987	.99998	1.00000
	4	.10611	.48591	.76593	.90199	.95254	.97933	.99203	.99735	.99926	.99984	.99997	1.00000
	5	.05544	.38006	.68171	.85897	.94046	.97372	.98990	.99671	.99913	.99982	.99997	1.00000
	6	.02909	.29843	.60791	.81327	.92146	.96902	.98828	.99632	.99908	.99982	.99997	1.00000
	7	.01534	.23544	.54425	.77033	.90032	.96232	.98728	.99617	.99908	.99982	.99997	1.00000
	8	.00814	.18683	.48977	.73200	.88009	.95482	.98578	.99617	.99908	.99982	.99997	1.00000
	9	.00436	.14935	.44362	.69904	.86243	.94774	.98378	.99582	.99908	.99982	.99997	1.00000
	10	.00236	.12055	.40521	.67192	.84825	.94159	.98135	.99515	.99898	.99982	.99997	1.00000

TABLE I (continued)

$m = n$	$c$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	$r$												
20	2	.38808	.79626	.89313	.94674	.97478	.98868	.99519	.99808	.99928	.99975	.99992	.99998
	3	.19939	.61316	.84525	.91681	.95784	.97989	.99100	.99624	.99854	.99948	.99983	.99995
	4	.10260	.47286	.75053	.88858	.94070	.97047	.98627	.99410	.99767	.99916	.99973	.99992
	5	.05289	.36526	.66048	.83900	.92417	.96103	.98143	.99186	.99674	.99883	.99963	.99990
	6	.02733	.28268	.58122	.78559	.89854	.95196	.97672	.98969	.99580	.99853	.99954	.99988
	7	.01414	.21923	.51231	.73418	.86907	.93937	.97236	.98773	.99512	.99829	.99948	.99987
	8	.00734	.17043	.45256	.68657	.83906	.92458	.96661	.98607	.99453	.99813	.99945	.99986
	9	.00382	.13287	.40080	.64319	.81020	.90909	.96046	.98417	.99414	.99804	.99944	.99986
	10	.00200	.10393	.35603	.60405	.78336	.89401	.95397	.98198	.99011	.99802	.99944	.99986
	30	2	.38359	.79103	.88687	.94096	.97024	.98549	.99316	.99688	.99863	.99942	.99976
3		.19520	.60360	.83640	.90768	.94998	.97393	.98693	.99370	.99708	.99870	.99944	.99977
4		.09940	.46086	.73634	.87616	.92922	.96122	.97963	.98974	.99504	.99771	.99899	.99957
5		.05065	.35211	.64144	.82088	.90888	.94809	.97170	.98525	.99265	.99651	.99842	.99932
6		.02583	.26922	.55808	.76114	.87750	.93498	.96350	.98045	.99001	.99515	.99776	.99902
7		.01318	.20600	.48576	.70332	.84110	.91690	.95526	.97550	.98724	.99369	.99705	.99870
8		.00673	.15775	.42312	.64937	.80340	.94486	.95530	.94486	.97055	.98442	.99220	.99631
9		.00344	.12092	.34041	.59969	.76628	.87193	.93244	.96472	.98164	.99072	.99559	.99803
10		.00176	.09278	.32189	.55418	.73062	.84800	.91867	.94868	.97855	.98930	.99490	.99773
40		2	.38139	.78851	.88382	.93811	.96793	.98381	.99203	.99617	.99821	.99918	.99963
	3	.19319	.59901	.83218	.90327	.94607	.97086	.98472	.99221	.99614	.99814	.99913	.99960
	4	.09790	.45521	.72965	.87031	.92365	.95655	.97606	.98722	.99339	.99668	.99838	.99924
	5	.04962	.34605	.72363	.81244	.90164	.94169	.96659	.98148	.99007	.99484	.99741	.99874
	6	.02517	.25940	.54759	.74992	.86770	.92678	.95669	.97524	.98633	.99270	.99623	.99812
	7	.01277	.20022	.47403	.68948	.82829	.90623	.94661	.96869	.98228	.99031	.99489	.99740
	8	.00648	.15239	.41049	.63311	.78741	.88163	.93389	.96198	.97803	.98776	.99342	.99660
	9	.00329	.11604	.35572	.58144	.74735	.85523	.91899	.95439	.97402	.98543	.99221	.99608
	10	.00167	.08840	.30821	.53369	.70817	.82735	.90161	.94461	.96875	.98234	.99025	.99482

two samples, to detect whether one population is the same as another. If the observations become available in order of magnitude, then we can stop the experiment whenever at least  $r$  observations of each sample have occurred and reach a decision by the use of  $d'_r$ . Evidently, by doing so, it would be possible, in many cases, to reduce both the average time needed and/or the average number of items destroyed.

As an illustration, we give a numerical example as follows. Suppose fuses are produced by two different methods. One is interested in detecting whether the distribution of the current needed to blow the fuses is the same for fuses produced by the two methods. To this end, one then may put on a test, say, 40 fuses produced by each method. Suppose one arranges the test in such a way that every fuse in the two samples is subjected to the same current so that the weakest blows first, then the second weakest, etc.

Let us choose in advance that  $r = 6$  and  $\alpha = .05$ . Let  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  denote the ordered observed current needed to blow the fuses in the first sample and  $y_1 < y_2 < \dots$  that in the second. Suppose that the actual combined outcomes are  $x_1x_2x_3x_4y_1x_5x_6x_7y_2x_8x_9y_3x_{10}x_{11}x_{12} \dots$ . Then the experiment may be terminated when the observation  $x_{12}$  has occurred with rejection of the null hypothesis, using the statistic  $d'_6$ , since for  $m = n = 40$ , Table II gives  $\Pr(d'_6 \geq 9/40) = .04951$ .

TABLE II  
Probability of  $d_r' \leq c/m$

$m = n$	$c$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	$r$												
3	2	.40000	.90000	1.00000									
4	2	.34286	.77143	.97143	1.00000								
	3	.22857	.77143	.97143	1.00000								
5	2	.31746	.71429	.92063	.99206	1.00000							
	3	.19048	.64286	.92063	.99206	1.00000							
	4	.12698	.64286	.92063	.99206	1.00000							
6	2	.30303	.68182	.88745	.97403	.99784	1.00000						
	3	.17316	.58442	.85714	.97403	.99784	1.00000						
	4	.10390	.52597	.85714	.97403	.99784	1.00000						
	5	.06926	.52597	.85714	.97403	.99784	1.00000						
7	2	.29371	.66084	.86480	.95862	.99184	.99942	1.00000					
	3	.16317	.55070	.81585	.94697	.99184	.99942	1.00000					
	4	.09324	.47203	.78788	.94697	.99184	.99942	1.00000					
	5	.05594	.42483	.78788	.94697	.99184	.99942	1.00000					
	6	.03730	.42483	.78788	.94697	.99184	.99942	1.00000					
8	2	.28718	.64615	.84848	.94639	.98555	.99751	.99984	1.00000				
	3	.15664	.52867	.78757	.92463	.98135	.99751	.99984	1.00000				
	4	.08702	.44056	.74281	.91298	.98135	.99751	.99984	1.00000				
	5	.04973	.37762	.71733	.91298	.98135	.99751	.99984	1.00000				
	6	.02828	.33986	.71733	.91298	.98135	.99751	.99984	1.00000				
	7	.01989	.33986	.71733	.91298	.98135	.99751	.99984	1.00000				
9	2	.28235	.63529	.83620	.93665	.97984	.99515	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
	3	.15204	.51312	.76709	.90703	.97096	.99371	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
	4	.08293	.41983	.71181	.88544	.96643	.99371	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
	5	.04607	.34986	.67133	.87413	.96643	.99371	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
	6	.02633	.29988	.64829	.87413	.96643	.99371	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
	7	.01680	.26989	.64829	.87413	.96643	.99371	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
	8	.01053	.26989	.64829	.87413	.96643	.99371	.99926	.99996	1.00000			
10	2	.27864	.62694	.82663	.92879	.97487	.99274	.99842	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	3	.14861	.50155	.75161	.89307	.96172	.98933	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	4	.08002	.40510	.68926	.86384	.95220	.98766	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	5	.04365	.33144	.63955	.84300	.94755	.98766	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	6	.02425	.27620	.60317	.83218	.94755	.98766	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	7	.01386	.23674	.58248	.83218	.94755	.98766	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	8	.00831	.21307	.58248	.83218	.94755	.98766	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
	9	.00554	.21307	.58248	.83218	.94755	.98766	.99794	.99978	.99999	1.00000		
15	2	.26820	.60345	.79923	.90517	.95840	.98318	.99380	.99795	.99941	.99985	.99997	1.00000
	3	.13946	.47069	.70945	.85270	.93142	.97094	.98894	.99629	.99894	.99975	.99995	.99999
	4	.07276	.36837	.63148	.80397	.90509	.95865	.98406	.99469	.99853	.99968	.99995	.99999
	5	.03811	.28943	.56419	.76009	.88093	.94744	.97979	.99343	.99826	.99965	.99995	.99999
	6	.02006	.22850	.50637	.72137	.85979	.93805	.97655	.99264	.99816	.99965	.99995	.99999
	7	.01062	.18145	.45702	.68795	.84224	.93096	.97455	.99234	.99816	.99965	.99995	.99999
	8	.00566	.14516	.41535	.66003	.82875	.92646	.97375	.99234	.99816	.99965	.99995	.99999
	9	.00305	.11725	.38087	.63798	.81978	.92454	.97375	.99234	.99816	.99965	.99995	.99999
	10	.00166	.09593	.35340	.62247	.81558	.92454	.97375	.99234	.99816	.99965	.99995	.99999

TABLE II (continued)

$m = n$	$c$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
20	2	.26334	.59252	.78631	.89348	.94956	.97735	.99038	.99616	.99856	.99950	.99984	.99995
	3	.13543	.45708	.69050	.83363	.91568	.95978	.98201	.99248	.99709	.99896	.99966	.99990
	4	.06977	.35320	.60709	.77715	.88141	.94093	.97254	.98820	.99533	.99832	.99946	.99985
	5	.03601	.27345	.53471	.72513	.84835	.92205	.96287	.98372	.99349	.99766	.99925	.99979
	6	.01863	.21216	.47193	.67771	.81727	.90393	.95344	.97938	.99161	.99706	.99908	.99976
	7	.00966	.16501	.41753	.63473	.78857	.88706	.94472	.97546	.99024	.99658	.99897	.99974
	8	.00502	.12871	.37041	.59598	.76243	.87180	.93701	.97215	.98907	.99626	.99890	.99973
	9	.00262	.10073	.32968	.56125	.73899	.85841	.93054	.96958	.98828	.99609	.99888	.99973
	10	.00137	.07914	.29454	.53039	.71837	.84708	.92546	.96781	.98065	.99603	.99888	.99973
	30	2	.25870	.58207	.77374	.88192	.94047	.97098	.98632	.99376	.99725	.99883	.99952
3		.13170	.44449	.67279	.81536	.89995	.94787	.97386	.98739	.99415	.99739	.99889	.99954
4		.06709	.33966	.58509	.75233	.85844	.92244	.95925	.97947	.99009	.99542	.99798	.99915
5		.03420	.25974	.50914	.69395	.81775	.89618	.94341	.97050	.98530	.99301	.99684	.99864
6		.01745	.19878	.44338	.64035	.77873	.86996	.92701	.96089	.98003	.99030	.99552	.99805
7		.00891	.15226	.38644	.59128	.74172	.84434	.91053	.95101	.97448	.98739	.99410	.99740
8		.00455	.11673	.33711	.54641	.70685	.81962	.89430	.94110	.96885	.98440	.99263	.99673
9		.00233	.08958	.29438	.50543	.67412	.79599	.87856	.93138	.96328	.98144	.99118	.99607
10		.00119	.06883	.25734	.46801	.64349	.76899	.86348	.90860	.95789	.97859	.98979	.99546
40		2	.25645	.57702	.76765	.87621	.93586	.96763	.98407	.99235	.99641	.99836	.99927
	3	.12994	.43853	.66436	.80654	.89214	.94172	.96943	.98442	.99228	.99628	.99826	.99921
	4	.06586	.33341	.57487	.74061	.84730	.91310	.95212	.97444	.98677	.99336	.99677	.99848
	5	.03339	.25358	.49756	.67961	.80328	.88338	.93318	.96297	.98014	.98969	.99482	.99748
	6	.01694	.19294	.43082	.62363	.76095	.85356	.91337	.95049	.97265	.98540	.99247	.99625
	7	.00860	.14686	.37319	.57241	.72071	.82419	.89321	.93739	.96455	.98063	.98978	.99480
	8	.00436	.11184	.32341	.52560	.68267	.79564	.87308	.92396	.95606	.97551	.98685	.99320
	9	.00222	.08521	.28061	.48327	.64740	.76874	.85391	.91114	.94804	.97086	.98443	.99216
	10	.00113	.06496	.24324	.44375	.61307	.74161	.83380	.89688	.93852	.96468	.98051	.98964

In this particular experiment, only 20 per cent of the fuses are destroyed in reaching a decision.

It, perhaps, should be remarked that if we define

$$D_r = \max_{x \geq x_{n-r+1}} |S_n(x) - S'_m(x)|,$$

$$D'_r = \max_{x \geq \min(x_{n-r+1}, y_{m-r+1})} |S_n(x) - S'_m(x)|, r \leq \min(m, n)$$

then the distributions of  $D_r$  and  $D'_r$  are identical with those of  $d_r$  and  $d'_r$ . Thus, in a test, if the information below a certain ordered observation is unavailable, or if the observations become available in decreasing order, that is,  $x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1$  and  $y_m, y_{m-1}, \dots, y_1$ , then  $D_r$  and  $D'_r$  would be the appropriate statistics to use.

In conclusion, I would like to thank Mrs. Dorothy Wolfe who carried out the computations of Tables I and II.

REFERENCES

[1] E. J. GUMBEL AND H. VON SCHELLING, "The distribution of the number of exceedances," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 21 (1950), pp. 247-262.  
 [2] F. J. MASSEY, "The distribution of the maximum deviation between two sample cumulative step functions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 22 (1951), pp. 125-128.  
 [3] S. S. WILKS, "Statistical prediction with special reference to the problem of tolerance limits," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 13 (1942), pp. 400-409.