# CORRECTION NOTES

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PRIORITY

By Wadie F. Mikhail

*University of North Carolina*

It has been brought to my attention by the editor of the *Calcutta Statistical Association Bulletin*, that the result in my note, "An Inequality for Balanced Incomplete Block Designs" (*Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 31 (1960), pp. 520–522) was obtained seven years back by Purnendu Mohon Roy in his paper, "Note on the Resolvability of Balanced Incomplete Block Designs," (*Calcutta Stat. Assn. Bull.*, Vol. 4, No. 15, October, 1952, p. 130), which was reviewed in *Math. Rev.*, Vol. 14, No. 7, July–August 1953, p. 61. I wish to acknowledge the priority of Roy's result.

---

## CORRECTIONS TO "TRUNCATION AND TESTS OF HYPOTHESES"

By Om P. Aggarwal and Irwin Guttman

*McGill University*

The authors are indebted to Prof. T. W. Anderson for calling our attention to an error in the above mentioned paper (*Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 30, [1959], p. 230). The expression (4.7) of that paper is wrong and should read

$$(4.7) \qquad \begin{cases} \text{Reject } H \text{ if } \bar{X} > K_\alpha \, (a, n) \text{ or if } \max_i^n X_i > a \\ \text{Accept } H \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The $K_\alpha \, (a, n)$ will be the same as given on page 235 of the paper, since, under the hypothesis, $\Pr(\max X_i > a) = 0$, but unfortunately Table III, which tabulates $P_c(\mu)$, the power of test (4.7), should now be deleted.