

A UNIFORM ERGODIC THEOREM¹

BY GEORGE BURKE

State University of Iowa

1. Introduction. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with common distribution function $F(t)$, and let $F_n(t, \omega)$ be the n th empirical distribution function of the sequence. Then the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem ([3], p. 20), states that for almost all ω , $F_n(t, \omega)$ converges to $F(t)$ uniformly in t . In [4], Tucker has shown that even if $\{X_n\}$ is only strictly stationary $F_n(t, \omega)$ is still uniformly convergent for almost all ω , the limit being $F(t, \omega | \mathcal{I})$, the conditional distribution function of X_1 given \mathcal{I} , the invariant field of the sequence. Another generalization of the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem was accomplished by Fisz [2], who noted that for each fixed n , $F_n(t, \omega)$ could be looked upon as a non-decreasing stochastic process and for each fixed t the sequence of arithmetic means derived from a sequence of independent random variables.

Looking at Tucker's theorem in this light, we could rephrase it as follows. Let X be a random variable, let $X(t) = I_{\{X \leq t\}}$, and let T be a measure preserving set transformation. Choose $X_k(t) = T^k(X(t))$ in such a way that for each k , $X_k(t)$ is non-decreasing and right continuous. Then for almost all ω , $n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k(t, \omega)$ converges uniformly in t . It is our purpose in this paper to show that this result remains true whenever $X(t)$ is any non-decreasing, right continuous process with $E(X(t))$ bounded. The proof is based on a general criterion for uniform convergence of a sequence of monotone processes and some results on conditional expectations which may prove of interest in themselves.

2. Conditional expectations for non-decreasing right continuous processes.

Let $X(t, \omega)$ be a non-decreasing, right continuous process where t ranges over all real numbers. Let Y be an extended real valued function defined on our probability space Ω . We define

$$X(Y)(\omega) = X(Y(\omega), \omega).$$

For each real a , we define

$$Y_a(\omega) = \inf \{t: X(t, \omega) \geq a\}.$$

The following result is then easily seen.

THEOREM 1. For each real a and t , $\{Y_a > t\} = \{X(t) < a\}$ and $\{X(Y) < a\} = \{Y < Y_a\}$.

In view of Theorem 1 it is obvious that Y_a is always $\mathfrak{F}(X(t): -\infty < t < \infty)$ -measurable, and that $X(Y)$ is always measurable whenever Y is measurable.

Received 23 March 1965.

¹ This paper is part of a Ph.D. dissertation written at the University of Missouri under the direction of H. D. Brunk.

Now, let \mathcal{g} be a fixed Borel field of events. It is our purpose in this section to find a workable representation of $E(X(Y) | \mathcal{g})$ when Y is \mathcal{g} -measurable.

We shall call $F(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a conditional distribution function of the process $\{X(t)\}$ given \mathcal{g} if for each fixed t it is a left continuous conditional distribution function of $X(t)$ given \mathcal{g} and for each fixed ω and x a right continuous function of t . We shall call $E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a conditional expectation function of the process $\{X(t)\}$ if for each t , $E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g}) = E(X(t) | \mathcal{g})(\omega)$ a.s., and for each fixed ω , $E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ is a right continuous non-decreasing function of t . The existence of such functions is a straightforward exercise.

LEMMA. Let X and Y be extended real measurable functions with Y \mathcal{g} -measurable, and let $G(x, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ be a right continuous conditional distribution function of X given \mathcal{g} . For each ω in Ω let μ_ω be the measure on R with distribution function $G(x, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ and for each M in $R \times R$ let $M_\omega = \{x: (x, Y(\omega)) \in M\}$. Then $P((X, Y) \in M | \mathcal{g})(\omega) = \mu_\omega(M_\omega)$ a.s. for each Borel set M in the finite plane.

PROOF. We may clearly assume that $M = K \times L$ where K and L are one dimensional Borel sets. Then $\{(X, Y) \in M\} = \{X \in K\} \cap \{Y \in L\}$. Thus

$$P((X, Y) \in M | \mathcal{g})(\omega) = I_{\{Y \in L\}}(\omega)P(X \in K | \mathcal{g})(\omega) = I_{\{Y \in L\}}(\omega) \cdot \mu_\omega(K) = \mu_\omega(M_\omega).$$

THEOREM 2. If Y is an \mathcal{g} -measurable random variable, then $E(\varphi(X(Y)) | \mathcal{g})(\omega) = \int \varphi(x) dF(x, Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a.s., whenever φ is a Borel function with $\varphi(X(Y))$ integrable.

PROOF. It is clearly sufficient to prove the result when $\varphi = I_{(-\infty, a]}$ for a fixed real a . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi(X(Y) | \mathcal{g}) &= P(X(Y) < a | \mathcal{g}) = P(Y < Y_a | \mathcal{g}) \\ &= P(\{Y < Y_a\} \cap \{Y_a \neq \infty\} | \mathcal{g}) + P(Y_a = \infty | \mathcal{g}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F_a(x, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ be a right continuous conditional distribution function of Y_a given \mathcal{g} . Then applying the lemma, with $G = F_a$ and $M = \{(x, y) \in R \times R: y < x\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi(X(Y)) | \mathcal{g})(\omega) &= (F_a(\infty, \omega | \mathcal{g}) - F_a(Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{g}) + (1 - F_a(\infty, \omega | \mathcal{g}))) \\ &= 1 - F_a(Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{g}) \quad \text{for all } \omega \end{aligned}$$

in an a.s. event A_1 .

Now, for each fixed t , $1 - F_a(t, \omega | \mathcal{g}) = P(Y_a > t | \mathcal{g})(\omega) = P(X(t) < a | \mathcal{g}) = F(a, t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a.s. Thus there exists an almost sure event A_2 such that if ω is in A_2 , $1 - F_a(t, \omega | \mathcal{g}) = F(a, t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ for all rational t , and so, both functions being right continuous, for all real t . Thus, if ω is in $A_1 \cap A_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi(X(Y)) | \mathcal{g})(\omega) &= F(a, Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{g}) \\ &= \int \varphi(x) dF(x, Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{g}). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. There exists an a.s. event A such that if ω is in A then

$$\int x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{g}) = E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$$

for all t .

PROOF. For each fixed t , $\int x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = E(t, \omega | \mathcal{G})$ a.s. Also, if $t_1 < t_2$ and n is a non-negative integer then

$$\int_n^\infty x dF(x, t_1, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \leq \int_n^\infty x dF(x, t_2, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \text{ a.s.}$$

For if $\alpha(x) = x \cdot I_{(n, \infty)}(x)$ then, α being non-decreasing, $\alpha(X(t_1)) \leq \alpha(X(t_2))$ and

$$E(\alpha(X(t)) | \mathcal{G})(\omega) = \int \alpha(x) dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \text{ a.s.}$$

for each t . Applying a similar argument to integration from $-\infty$ to $-n$, it is easily seen that there is an almost sure event A such that if ω is in A , then

- (1) $\int x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = E(t, \omega | \mathcal{G})$ for all rational t ,
- (2) $\int_n^\infty x dF(x, t_1, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \leq \int_n^\infty x dF(x, t_2, \omega | \mathcal{G})$ for all non-negative integers n and all rational $t_1 < t_2$,
- (3) $\int_{-\infty}^{-n} x dF(x, t_1, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{-n} x dF(x, t_2, \omega | \mathcal{G})$ for all non-negative integers n and all rational $t_1 < t_2$.

Let ω be in A , let t be real and let $\{t_m\}$ be a decreasing sequence of rationals converging to t . The proof will be complete if we can show

$$\int x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = \lim \int x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}).$$

To see this let

$$\varphi_n(x) = -n \cdot I_{(-\infty, n)}(x) + x \cdot I_{[-n, n]} + n \cdot I_{(n, \infty)}(x).$$

Also let $a_{mn} = \int_0^\infty \varphi_n(x) dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G})$. Then $\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(x) dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$, for, $\varphi_n \cdot I_{[0, \infty]}$ being bounded and continuous, the Helly Bray theorem applies ([3], p. 180). Thus

$$\int_0^\infty x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^\infty x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) - \int_0^\infty \varphi_n(x) dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \\ &= \int_n^\infty (x - n) dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \\ &\leq \int_n^\infty x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \\ &\leq \int_n^\infty x dF(x, t_1, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \quad \text{for all } m. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that a_{mn} converges to $\int_0^\infty x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G})$ uniformly in m . Also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$ is a non-increasing sequence, bounded from below by 0. Thus

$$\int_0^\infty x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}).$$

Now let $b_{mn} = \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi_n(x) dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G})$. By the same argument we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_{mn}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi_n(x) dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) - \int_{-\infty}^0 x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{-n} (n + x) dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \\
&\leq - \int_{-\infty}^{-n} x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G}) \\
&\leq - \int_{-\infty}^{-n} x dF(x, t_0, \omega | \mathcal{G})
\end{aligned}$$

where t_0 is a rational with $t_0 < t$. Thus b_{mn} converges to $\int_{-\infty}^0 x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G})$ uniformly in m and $\lim_{n=\infty} b_{mn}$ is a non-increasing sequence bounded from below by $\int x dF(x, t_0, \omega | \mathcal{G})$. We then have

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 x dF(x, t, \omega | \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{m=\infty} \lim_{n=\infty} b_{mn} = \lim_{m=\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 x dF(x, t_m, \omega | \mathcal{G})$$

and the desired result follows.

THEOREM 4. *Let Y be an \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable with $X(Y)$ integrable. Then $E(X(Y) | \mathcal{G})(\omega) = E(Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{G})$ a.s.*

PROOF. This follows immediately from Theorem 2, with $\varphi(x) = x$, and Theorem 3.

It can be shown that if $E(X(t))$ is bounded from below then Theorem 4 remains true when Y is any extended real valued \mathcal{G} -measurable function which never takes the value $+\infty$.

3. A general theorem on uniform convergence. In this section we shall establish a criterion for the a.s. uniform on compacta convergence of a sequence of monotone processes. In the case where the probability space contains just one point a stronger form of our theorem is known from real variables: let F and each F_n be non-decreasing real functions defined on R . Suppose that $\lim F_n(t) = F(t)$ for all t in some dense subset and that, when t is a discontinuity point of F , then $\lim F_n(t) = F(t)$, $\lim F_n(t + 0) = F(t + 0)$ and $\lim F_n(t - 0) = F(t - 0)$. Then $\lim F_n(t) = F(t)$ uniformly on each compact interval of R . (The author is indebted to the referee for suggesting the use of this result, which greatly simplifies the proof of Theorem 5.)

THEOREM 5. *Let $\{X(t)\}$ and each $\{X_n(t)\}$ be non-decreasing processes, and let \mathcal{F} be the Borel field determined by $\{X(t) : -\infty < t < \infty\}$. Then there exists an a.s. A such that for all ω in A , $\lim X_n(t, \omega) = X(t, \omega)$ uniformly on each compact interval if and only if for each \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable Y ,*

$$\lim X_n(Y) = X(Y) \text{ a.s.,}$$

$$\lim X_n(Y + 0) = X(Y + 0) \text{ a.s.,}$$

and

$$\lim X_n(Y - 0) = X(Y - 0) \text{ a.s.}$$

PROOF. The necessity is valid for any real function Y , since uniformity of convergence allows the interchange of order in passing to the limit.

We now prove the sufficiency. For each real a , let $\bar{Y}_a(\omega) = \inf \{t : X(t + 0, \omega) \geq a\}$ and then set

$$Y_a = \bar{Y}_a \cdot I_{(|\bar{Y}_a| \neq \infty)}.$$

By Theorem 1, Y_a is measurable with respect to the field determined by $\{X(t + 0: -\infty < t < \infty)\}$ and is therefore \mathfrak{F} -measurable. Since constant functions are \mathfrak{F} -measurable, it is clear that there exists an a.s. event A such that if ω is in A then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim X_n(t, \omega) &= X(t, \omega) \quad \text{for all rational } t, \\ \lim X_n(Y_a(\omega), \omega) &= X(Y_a(\omega), \omega), \\ \lim X_n(Y_a(\omega) + 0, \omega) &= X(Y_a(\omega) + 0, \omega), \\ \lim X_n(Y_a(\omega) - 0, \omega) &= X(Y_a(\omega) - 0, \omega) \quad \text{for all rational } a. \end{aligned}$$

Let ω be in A . Suppose t_0 is a discontinuity point of $X(t, \omega)$. Pick a rational a , with

$$X(t_0 - 0, \omega) < a < X(t_0 + 0, \omega).$$

Then $\bar{Y}_a(\omega) \leq t_0$. If $t < t_0$, then

$$X(t + 0, \omega) \leq X(t_0 - 0, \omega) < a,$$

and so $\bar{Y}_a(\omega) > t$. Thus $t_0 = \bar{Y}_a(\omega) = Y_a(\omega)$. If we now set $F_n(t) = X_n(t, \omega)$ and $F(t) = X(t, \omega)$ then the conditions of the result mentioned at the beginning of this section are satisfied and the proof is complete.

We note that in general $X_n(Y)$ may not be measurable and so it appears that the theorem will not be useful unless the processes are either right or left continuous.

4. A uniform ergodic theorem. In this section we shall use the results and notation of [1]. $\{X(t)\}$ will again be a non-decreasing right continuous process and T a measure preserving set transformation defined on some Borel field containing $\mathfrak{F}(X(t): -\infty < t < \infty)$. We shall denote by \mathfrak{g} the invariant field of T . It is easily seen that we may choose $X^*(t)$ in such a way that $X^*(t) = T(X(t))$ a.s. for all t and the process $\{X^*(t)\}$ is itself non-decreasing and right continuous. Moreover, if Y is an \mathfrak{g} -measurable random variable, then $X^*(Y) = T(X(Y))$ a.s. For let a be real. Let $Y_a^*(\omega) = \inf \{t: X^*(t, \omega) \geq a\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(X(Y) < a) &= T(Y < Y_a) \\ &= \bigcup (T(Y < t) \cap T(Y_a > t): t \text{ is rational}) \\ &= \bigcup (\{Y < t\} \cap T(X(t) < a): t \text{ is rational}) \\ &= \bigcup (\{Y < t\} \cap \{X^*(t) < a\}: t \text{ is rational}) \\ &= \bigcup (\{Y < t\} \cap \{Y_a^* > t\}: t \text{ is rational}) \\ &= \{Y < Y_a^*\} = \{X^*(Y) < a\} \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\varphi = I_{(-\infty, \omega)}$, $T(\varphi(X(Y))) = (\varphi(X^*(Y)))$ a.s. This clearly remains true for all Borel functions φ and the desired result is obtained by taking $\varphi(x) = x$.

Suppose now we use T to generate a sequence of processes, i.e., let $X_k(t) = T^k(X(t))$ for each k and t and choose the representations in such a way that for each k , $\{X_k(t)\}$ is a non-decreasing right continuous process. We will show that if $E(X(t))$ is bounded then there is uniform convergence of $X_k(t)$ in arithmetic means.

THEOREM 6. *Let $\{X(t)\}$ be a non-decreasing right continuous process with each $X(t)$ integrable. Let T be a measure preserving set transformation with invariant field \mathcal{g} and let $E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ be a conditional expectation function of $\{X(t)\}$ given \mathcal{g} . Pick $X_k(t) = T^k(X(t))$ in such a way that for each k , $\{X_k(t)\}$ is non-decreasing and right continuous. Then for almost all ω , $\lim n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k(t, \omega) = E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ uniformly on each compact interval. If, moreover, $E(X(t))$ is bounded, then for almost all ω the convergence is uniform on R .*

PROOF. Let $S_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k(t)$. Let J be a fixed positive integer. To prove the first part of our assertion it is sufficient to show that for almost all ω we have uniform convergence on the interval $[-J, J]$. In showing this there is clearly no loss of generality in assuming that $X(t) = X(-J)$ if $t \leq -J$ and $X(t) = X(J)$ if $t \geq J$.

Let the random variable Y be measurable with respect to the field of the process $\{E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})\}$. Then Y is \mathcal{g} -measurable. Moreover, $X(Y)$ is integrable, for $X(-J) \leq X(Y) \leq X(J)$, and we have seen that $X_k(Y) = T^k(X(Y))$. It therefore follows from the individual ergodic theorem that $\lim S_n(Y) = E(X(Y) | \mathcal{g})$ a.s. Thus, by Theorem 4, $\lim S_n(Y(\omega), \omega) = E(Y(\omega), \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a.s. Now set $X_k^*(t, \omega) = X_k(t - 0, \omega)$ and $E^*(t, \omega | \mathcal{g}) = E(t - 0, \omega | \mathcal{g})$. We may then replace $X_k(t, \omega)$, $E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ and Y by $-X_k^*(-t, \omega)$, $-E^*(-t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ and $-Y$ respectively in the above argument. This yields $\lim S_n(Y(\omega) - 0, \omega) = E(Y(\omega) - 0, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a.s. We have shown that the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied and so the proof of the first half of the theorem is complete.

Now suppose $E(X(t))$ is bounded. Then $X(\pm\infty)$ are both a.s. finite and integrable. Also $X_k(\pm\infty) = T^k(X(\pm\infty))$ and $E(X(\pm\infty) | \mathcal{g})(\omega) = E(\pm\infty, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ a.s. Thus $\lim S_n(\pm\infty, \omega) = E(\pm\infty, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ and $E(\pm\infty, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ are finite for almost all ω . If ω is also a point at which $\lim S_n(t, \omega) = E(t, \omega | \mathcal{g})$ uniformly on each compact interval then, bearing in mind that the functions involved are non-decreasing, it is clear that the convergence is uniform on R .

REFERENCES

- [1] DOOB, J. L. (1953). *Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, New York.
- [2] FISZ, M. (1958). A limit theorem for non-decreasing random functions. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 6 485-497.
- [3] LOÈVE, M. M. (1955). *Probability Theory*. Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [4] TUCKER, HOWARD G. (1959). A generalization of the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 30 828-830.