

A MULTIVARIATE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR RANDOM LINEAR VECTOR FORMS¹

By F. EICKER

University of Freiburg (Breisgau) and Columbia University

0. Summary. In [2] a central limit theorem [CLT] for sequences of univariate random linear forms was proved. That result is extended in this note to a multivariate CLT for q -dimensional linear forms of constant q -vectors with real-valued random weight coefficients. Some applications are indicated in Section 3.

1. Notation. We use the following notation which differs slightly from that used in [2]. Let \mathcal{F} be a (non-empty) set of distribution functions (d.f.'s) of random variables (r.v.'s) with zero means and positive, finite variances. Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ be the set of all sequences of independent random variables (independent within each sequence) whose d.f.'s belong to \mathcal{F} , but are not necessarily the same from term to term of the sequence. A generic member of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ will be denoted by $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_k; k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ or, when we discuss sequences of members of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$, by $\epsilon(n) = \{\epsilon_{nk}; k = 1, 2, \dots\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let

$$A_n = (a_1(n), a_2(n), \dots, a_{k_n}(n)) = (a_{jk}(n)), n = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

be a sequence of $q \times k_n$ matrices with column vectors $a_k(n) \in R_q$ (real q -dimensional Euclidean space with zero element ϕ) and elements $a_{jk}(n)$. Let $\min_n k_n \geq q$, $\min_n \text{rank } A_n = q$, $a_{k_n}(n) \neq \phi$ for all n .

For $\epsilon(n) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ we consider the random linear form $\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} a_k(n) \epsilon_{nk}$ (a random weighting of the vectors $a_1(n), a_2(n), \dots$ with the elements $\epsilon_{n1}, \epsilon_{n2}, \dots$ of $\epsilon(n)$). A short notation for this form is the matrix product $A_n \epsilon(n)$ where in this combination we interpret the symbol $\epsilon(n)$ as the vector $(\epsilon_{n1}, \dots, \epsilon_{nk_n})'$ [$'$ denotes the transpose].

The covariance matrix of the vector $A_n \epsilon(n)$ is

$$(1) \quad B_n^2 = A_n \Sigma_n A_n'$$

where $\Sigma_n \equiv \text{diag}(\sigma_{n1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{nk_n}^2)$ is the covariance matrix of the vector $\epsilon(n)$. B_n is the unique positive definite square root of B_n^2 . Thus, the random q -vectors

$$(2) \quad \zeta(n) = B_n^{-1} A_n \epsilon(n)$$

have mean ϕ and covariance matrix I_q (the q -dimensional identity matrix).

2. A CLT for random vector forms. We shall prove

$$(3) \quad \zeta(n) \rightarrow_L N(0, I_q)$$

Received 6 April 1965; revised 27 May 1966.

¹ Research supported in part by NSF-Grant no. NSF-GP-3694 at Columbia University.

(\rightarrow_L means convergence in distribution, $N(0, I_q)$ is the q -dimensional standard normal d.f.) for any sequence of sequences $\{\epsilon(n)\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\epsilon(n) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$, if the following three conditions are simultaneously satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(I}^*) \quad \max_{k=1, \dots, k_n} a_k'(n) (A_n A_n')^{-1} a_k(n) \rightarrow 0 \\
 (4) \quad & \text{(II)} \quad \sup_{G \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{|x| > c} x^2 dG(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty \\
 & \text{(III)} \quad \inf_{G \in \mathcal{F}} \int x^2 dG(x) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper all limits hold for $n \rightarrow \infty$ unless otherwise stated.

If the $\zeta(n)$ for all n are formed with one and the same sequence $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ we write $\zeta(n; \epsilon)$. We say that *the summands of $\zeta(n; \epsilon)$ are infinitesimal* if

$$(5) \quad \max_{k=1, \dots, k_n} P(\|B_n^{-1} a_k(n)\| |\epsilon_k| > \delta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } \delta > 0$$

($\|\cdot\|$ means the Euclidean norm in R_q). We now have

THEOREM. $\xi(n; \epsilon) \rightarrow_L N(0, I_q)$ and (5), both uniformly in $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$, \Leftrightarrow (I^{*}), (II), (III).

The previous univariate result [2] is completely contained in the above theorem for $q = 1$. We remark that conditions (II) and (III) concern only the set \mathcal{F} and that (I^{*}) requires no knowledge of the particular sequence ϵ occurring in a given situation. For $q = 1$, (I^{*}) reduces to

$$(I) \quad \max_{k=1, \dots, k_n} |a_{1k}(n)| (\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} a_{1k}^2(n))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0.$$

For the proof of the theorem we need a lemma on the convergence in distribution of a sequence of random q -vectors $\{\xi(n)\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We first derive Lemma 1 where F denotes a q -dimensional d.f., X a random vector $\sim F$, and S_q the unit sphere $\subset R_q$.

LEMMA 1. $\xi(n) \rightarrow_L F \Leftrightarrow \delta_n \equiv E \exp(ib_n' \xi(n)) - E \exp(ib_n' X) \rightarrow 0$ for all sequences $\{b_n\}$, $b_n \in S_q$.

PROOF. (\Leftarrow) Choose all $b_n \equiv \beta \in S_q$ and apply the multidimensional continuity theorem for characteristic functions ([1], p. 102).

(\Rightarrow) If $b_n \rightarrow \beta$ in Euclidean norm, then the assertion follows from the Helly-Bray theorem and the continuity on R_q of a q -dimensional characteristic function. If b_n does not converge suppose $\lim_n \sup |\delta_n| = \delta > 0$. Then $\delta_{n'} \rightarrow \delta$ for a suitable subsequence $n' \uparrow \infty$, and $b_{n''} \rightarrow \beta^*$ for $\{n''\} \subset \{n'\}$, $n'' \uparrow \infty$, some $\beta^* \in R_q$, which implies $\delta_{n''} \rightarrow 0$ and thus yields a contradiction.

An immediate consequence is

LEMMA 2. $\xi(n) \rightarrow_L N(0, I_q)$ if and only if $b'(n)\xi(n) \rightarrow_L N(0, 1)$ for all sequences of constant vectors $\{b(n), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $b(n) \in S_q$.

Another implication of Lemma 1 is the well known equivalence: $\zeta(n) \rightarrow_L F \Leftrightarrow \lambda' \zeta(n) \rightarrow_L \lambda' X$ for all $\lambda \in S_q$ (comp. [4], p. 103).

We remark, although this observation is not needed subsequently, that also the following is true: $\xi(n) \rightarrow_L N(0, I_q)$ if and only if $v'(n)\xi(n) \rightarrow_L N(0, 1)$ for all sequences of random q -vectors $v(n)$ for which there exists a sequence of constant vectors $b(n) \in S_q$ such that $v(n) - b(n) \rightarrow 0$ i.p..

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. (3) and (5) hold uniformly in ϵ if and only if both statements hold for every sequence of sequences $\epsilon(1), \epsilon(2), \dots$. To prove these modified statements we use Lemma 2 with

$$(6) \quad b(n) = \|B_n c(n)\|^{-1} B_n c(n),$$

$\{c(n)\}$ being any sequence of vectors $c(n) \in S_q$. Then

$$(7) \quad b'(n)\zeta(n) = (c'(n)B_n^2 c(n))^{-\frac{1}{2}} c'(n)A_n \epsilon(n).$$

Putting $c'(n)a_k(n) = \alpha_{nk}$ (3) is seen to be equivalent with the normal convergence of

$$(8) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \alpha_{nk}^2 \sigma_{nk}^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \alpha_{nk} \epsilon_{nk}$$

for all $\{c(n)\}$ and (5) is seen to be equivalent with

$$(9) \quad \sup_{c(n) \in S_q} \max_{k=1, \dots, k_n} P(|(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_{nj}^2 \sigma_{nj}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{nk} \epsilon_{nk}| > \delta) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $\delta > 0$. To see the latter we write $\max_{k=1, \dots, k_n} \sup_{b(n) \in S_q}$ instead of $\sup_{c(n) \in S_q} \max_{k=1, \dots, k_n}$ and note that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_{nj}^2 \sigma_{nj}^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{nk} = b'(n)B_n^{-1}a_k(n),$$

$$\sup_{b(n) \in S_q} |b'(n)B_n^{-1}a_k(n)| = \|B_n^{-1}a_k(n)\|.$$

Hence the left hand sides of (9) and (5) [replacing here ϵ_k by ϵ_{nk}] are equal.

Now by Theorem 1 of [2], (8) and (9) are jointly equivalent to the three statements (II), (III), and

$$(10) \quad \sup_{k; c(n) \in S_q} (\alpha_{nk}^2 / \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_{nj}^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

The left hand side of (10) equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k; c \in S_q} \{ (c'a_k(n))^2 / (c'A_n A_n' c) \} &= \sup_{k; c \in S_q} \{ (c'(A_n A_n')^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_k(n))^2 \} \\ &= \max_k a_k'(n) (A_n A_n')^{-1} a_k(n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (10) is equivalent to (I*), and the theorem is proved.

3. Remarks. The above theorem can be applied, e.g., to determine the joint asymptotic distribution of the least squares parameter estimates in a multiple linear regression system with not necessarily identically distributed error random variables [3].

In the applications of the theorem the d.f.'s of the random variable of the sequence ϵ are frequently unknown and consequently also their variances σ_k^2 that occur in the matrices B_n . It can be shown, however, that without further assumptions these σ_k^2 can be replaced by ϵ_k^2 and that the statements (3) and (5) of the theorem remain valid [3].

Conditions (I*), (II), (III) presumably remain necessary, if instead of the

whole class $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ only a subset is admitted. However, we do not pursue this question further.

REFERENCES

- [1] CRAMÉR, H. (1946). *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. Princeton Univ. Press.
- [2] EICKER, F. (1963). Central limit theorems for families of sequences of random variables. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **34** 439-446.
- [3] EICKER, F. (1965). Limit theorems for regressions with unequal and dependent errors. *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.* Univ. of California Press.
- [4] RAO, C. R. (1965). *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications*. Wiley, New York.