ON CONVERGENCE IN r-MEAN OF NORMALIZED PARTIAL SUMS

BY RONALD PYKE AND DAVID ROOT

The Boeing Co. and University of Washington

The question of strengthening Minkowski's inequality when certain conditions are imposed on the summands has been considered for example in [1], [2], [3] and [4], p. 225. In this paper we prove a related result for the case of independent and identically distributed random variables (rv); namely that the finiteness of the rth moment implies that the normalized partial sums converge in r-mean if 0 < r < 2.

Let X_1 , X_2 , \cdots , X_n be independent rv's with a common distribution function. Set $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$.

Theorem. For each $r \in (0, 2)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $E|X_1|^r < \infty$, (assume $EX_1 = 0$ if $r \ge 1$),
- (ii) $n^{-1/r}S_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$,
- (iii) $E|S_n|^r = o(n),$ (iv) $n^{-1/r}S_n \rightarrow_r 0.$

Proof. It is known that (i) and (ii) are equivalent ([6], p. 243). Also, (iii) and (iv) are equivalent by definition. Since it is easy to see that (iii) implies (i) it remains to prove only that (i) implies (iii).

Set $A_{ni} = [|X_i| > n^{1/r}], B_{ni} = A_{ni}^c, p_n = P(A_{ni}) \text{ and } q_n = 1 - p_n$. Write $Y_i = X_i I_{A_{ni}}, Z_i = X_i - Y_i, S_n' = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n, S_n'' = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$. $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{A_{ni}} \text{ and } K = n - M.$

Let $1 \le r < 2$. Consider S_n' first and write

(1)
$$E|S_n'|^r = E[E(|S_n'|^r | M)] = E[E(|S_M^*|^r | M)]$$

where S_m^* is a sum of m independent rv's which are independent of M and have a common df equal to that of the conditional df of X_1 given A_{n1} . By Minkowski's inequality

(2)
$$E[|S_m^*|^r | M] \le M^r E[|X_1|^r | A_{n1}].$$

Since M is a $B(n, p_n)$ rv, it follows that $EM^r \leq EM^2 = np_nq_n + n^2p_n^2$. Since $E|X_1|^r < \infty$ it is known ([6], p. 242) that $\sum p_n < \infty$. Consequently $np_n = o(1)$, so that $EM^r \leq cnp_n$ for some constant c. From (1) and (2) it therefore follows that

(3)
$$E|S_n'|^r \leq cnp_n E[|X_1|^r | A_{n1}] = cn E[|X_1|^r I_{A_{n1}}] = o(n).$$

Similarly, consider S_n'' and write

(4)
$$E|S_n''|^r = E[E(|S_n''|^r|K)] = E[E(|S_K^{**}|^r|K)]$$

where S_k^{**} is a sum of k independent rv's which are independent of K and have a

379

Received September 6, 1967.

common df equal to that of the conditional df of X_1 given B_{n1} . Since $\{E|X|^r\}^{1/r}$ is non-decreasing in r and $r/2 \leq 1$, one may write

(5)
$$E[|S_{\kappa}^{**}|^{r} | K] \leq \{E[|S_{\kappa}^{**}|^{2} | K]\}^{r/2} = \{K\sigma_{n}^{2} + (K\mu_{n})^{2}\}^{r/2}$$
$$\leq K^{r/2}\sigma_{n}^{r} + K^{r}\mu_{n}^{r} \leq n^{r/2}(\sigma_{n}^{2} + \mu_{n}^{2})^{r/2} + (n\mu_{n})^{r}$$

where μ_n and σ_n^2 denote the conditional mean and variance of X_1 given B_{n1} . Since $B_{n1} = [|X_1| \le n^{1/r}]$ we have

$$(6) \sigma_n^2 + \mu_n^2 \equiv q_n^{-1} E[X_1^2 I_{B_{n1}}] \leq q_n^{-1} n^{2/r-1} E[|X_1|^r | n^{-1/r} X_1|^{2-r} I_{B_{n1}}].$$

Also, since $EX_1 = 0$

$$\mu_n \equiv q_n^{-1} E[X_1 I_{B_{n,1}}] = -q_n^{-1} E[X_1 I_{A_{n,1}}]$$

so that

$$|\mu_n| \le q_n^{-1} E[|X_1|^r / |X_1|^{r-1} I_{A_{n_1}}] \le q_n^{-1} n^{1/r-1} E[|X_1|^r I_{A_{n_1}}].$$

From (7) it follows that $(n\mu_n)^r = o(n)$. From (6), it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that $n^{r/2}(\sigma_n^2 + \mu_n^2)^{r/2} = o(n)$ since $n^{-1/r}X_1 \to_{\mathbf{a.s.}} 0$. These results, together with (4) and (5), imply that $E|S_n''|^r = o(n)$.

For 0 < r < 1 the proof is simpler. First of all, by the c_r -inequality ([6], p. 155)

$$E|S_n'|^r \leq nE[|X_1|^r I_{A_{n1}}] = o(n).$$

Secondly, since $\{E|X|^r\}^{1/r}$ is non-decreasing and since $n^{-1/r}X_1 \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$,

$$E|S_n''|^r \le \{E|S_n''|\}^r \le n^r \{E[|X_1|I_{B_{n1}}]\}^r$$

= $n\{E[|X_1|^r|n^{-1/r}X_1|^{1-r}I_{B_{n1}}]\}^r = o(n)$

by the dominated convergence theorem.

It should be remarked that a slightly different approach would be to assume that X_1 is a symmetric rv. This assumption can be made without loss of generality since if X_1 is not symmetric it may be symmetrized in the usual way. Recall that symmetrization can only increase $E|S_n|^r$. If one uses this approach the only change would be the omission of the computation which yields (7); since $\mu_n \equiv 0$.

A consequence of the above theorem, together with Markov's inequality, is the result of Brillinger [1] that whenever $E|X_1|^r < \infty$ and $1 \le r < 2$, then $P[|n^{-1}S_n| > \epsilon] = o(n^{1-r})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. (The authors are indebted to Vernon Johns for bringing reference [1] to their attention.) Another related result is that of Katz [5] who proves for example that $E|X_1|^r < \infty$ $(r \ge 1, EX_1 = 0)$ is equivalent to the convergence of the series $\sum \{n^{r-2}P[|n^{-1}S_n| > \epsilon]\}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Although this result is equivalent to ours for $1 \le r \le 2$ its form gives much more explicit information about the asymptotic behavior of $P[|n^{-1}S_n| > \epsilon]$. A similar comparison holds also for r < 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brillinger, David R. (1962). A note on the rate of convergence of a mean. *Biometrika* 49 574-576.
- [2] VON BAHR, BENGT and ESSEEN, CARL-GUSTAV (1965). Inequalities for the rth absolute moment of a sum of random variables, $1 \le r \le 2$. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 299-303.
- [3] VON BAHR, Bengt (1965). On the convergence of moments in the central limit theorem.

 Ann. Math. Statist. 36 808-818.
- [4] Doob, J. L. (1953). Stochastic Processes Wiley, New York.
- [5] KATZ, MELVIN L. (1963). The probability in the tail of a distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 34 312-318.
- [6] Loève, M. (1960). Probability Theory (2nd ed.). Van Nostrand, New York.