

## ON FINITE PRODUCTS OF POISSON-TYPE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

BY ROGER CUPPENS<sup>1</sup>

*The Catholic University of America and Faculté des Sciences, Montpellier*

**1. Introduction.** A characteristic function  $f$  of the  $n$  variables  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a Poisson-type characteristic function if it is of the form

$$f(t) = \exp \{ iP(t) + \sum_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} (e^{i(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n t_n)} - 1) \},$$

where  $P$  is a polynomial of degree one without constant term and with real coefficients, the  $\lambda$  are non-negative constants,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a real vector,  $\epsilon_j = 0$  or  $1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $\sum_{\epsilon}$  indicates the summation on the  $2^n - 1$  values of  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  different from  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .

Therefore, the product  $f$  of two Poisson-type characteristic functions is of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = \exp \{ iP(t) + \sum_{\epsilon} [\lambda_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} (e^{i(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 t_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n t_n)} - 1) + \mu_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} (e^{i(\epsilon_1 \beta_1 t_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \beta_n t_n)} - 1)] \}$$

with evident conditions on  $P$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  and the constants  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . In the case  $n = 2$ , we modify the notations and write (1.1) in the form

$$(1.2) \quad f(t) = \exp \{ iP(t) + \lambda_1 (e^{i\alpha_1 t_1} - 1) + \mu_1 (e^{i\alpha_2 t_2} - 1) + \nu_1 (e^{i(\alpha_1 t_1 + \alpha_2 t_2)} - 1) + \lambda_2 (e^{i\beta_1 t_1} - 1) + \mu_2 (e^{i\beta_2 t_2} - 1) + \nu_2 (e^{i(\beta_1 t_1 + \beta_2 t_2)} - 1) \}.$$

In the case of one variable, it is known since P. Lévy [3] that the product of two Poisson-type characteristic functions has no indecomposable factor (in the sense of the decomposition of characteristic functions). But in the case of two variables, it is not the same: There are products of two Poisson-type characteristic functions which have indecomposable factors as it is shown in Section 2. Nevertheless, it is possible to find simple conditions assuring that the product of two Poisson-type characteristic functions has no indecomposable factor as it is shown in Sections 3 and 4. Finally, in Section 5, we give some results on the finite product of Poisson-type characteristic functions.

**2. A counter-example.** Let  $f$  be the product of two Poisson-type characteristic functions defined by

$$f(t_1, t_2) = \exp \{ \lambda_1 (e^{it_1} - 1) + \mu_1 (e^{2it_2} - 1) + \nu_1 (e^{i(t_1 + 2t_2)} - 1) + \lambda_2 (e^{2it_1} - 1) + \mu_2 (e^{it_2} - 1) + \nu_2 (e^{i(2t_1 + t_2)} - 1) \},$$

where  $\lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are all positive. Then  $f$  has an indecomposable factor.

Received 20 November 1967.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the National Science Foundation, grant NSF-GP-6175.

The proof is almost identical to the one showing that the product of three Poisson-type characteristic functions of one variable may have an indecomposable factor (see, for instance, [4], pp. 178–179). Let  $P$  be the polynomial defined by

$$P(x, y) = 1 + \lambda_1 x + \mu_1 y^2 + \nu_1 xy^2 + \lambda_2 x^2 + \mu_2 y + \nu_2 x^2 y - kxy, \quad (k > 0).$$

If  $k$  is taken small enough, the expansion of

$$\exp [P(x, y)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [P(x, y)]^j / j!$$

in an entire series of the two variables  $x$  and  $y$  has only non-negative coefficients. Indeed we may choose  $k$  small enough so that the polynomials  $P^2$  and  $P^3$  have only non-negative coefficients. In this case, all the polynomials  $P^m$  ( $m > 1$ ) have only non-negative coefficients and only the coefficient of  $xy$  in  $\exp [P(x, y)]$  can be negative. But this coefficient is

$$\lambda_1 \mu_2 - 2k + C,$$

$C$  being non-negative, and therefore if

$$k \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \mu_2,$$

the expansion of  $\exp [P(x, y)]$  has only non-negative coefficients. The function defined by  $\exp [P(x, y) - P(1, 1)]$  is then a generating function so that the function  $g$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(t_1, t_2) &= \exp [P(e^{it_1}, e^{it_2}) - P(1, 1)] \\ &= \exp \{ \lambda_1 (e^{it_1} - 1) + \mu_1 (e^{2it_2} - 1) + \nu_1 (e^{i(t_1+2t_2)} - 1) + \lambda_2 (e^{2it_1} - 1) \\ &\quad + \mu_2 (e^{it_2} - 1) + \nu_2 (e^{i(2t_1+t_2)} - 1) - k(e^{i(t_1+t_2)} - 1) \} \end{aligned}$$

is a characteristic function which cannot be infinitely divisible from the Lévy's representation ([1], Chapter 1, Section 2). Therefore ([1], Theorem 1.6),  $g$  has an indecomposable factor. Since  $g$  divides  $f$ ,  $f$  is a product of two Poisson-type characteristic functions which has an indecomposable factor.

**3. A general theorem. Case  $n = 2$ .** Recall (cf. [1], Chapter 4) that a function  $\varphi$  of the  $n$  complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is said to be a ridge function if it is an entire function satisfying the condition

$$(3.1) \quad |\varphi(z)| \leq \varphi(\operatorname{Re} z), \quad \operatorname{Re} z = (\operatorname{Re} z_1, \dots, \operatorname{Re} z_n); \quad z \in C^n.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two ridge functions of the two variables  $z = (z_1, z_2)$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_1(z)\varphi_2(z) = \exp \{ \pi(z) + \lambda_1 e^{\alpha_1 z_1} + \mu_1 e^{\alpha_2 z_2} + \nu_1 e^{\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2} + \lambda_2 e^{\beta_1 z_1} + \mu_2 e^{\beta_2 z_2} + \nu_2 e^{\beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_2} \},$$

where  $\pi$  is a polynomial of degree one,  $\lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are non-negative constants

and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  are real vectors. If one of the following conditions<sup>2</sup>

- (a)  $\alpha_1\beta_1 \leq 0;$
- (b)  $0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_2;$
- (c)  $0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1, \quad 0 < \beta_2 < \alpha_2, \quad \beta_1\beta_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2 > 0;$
- (d)  $0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1, \quad \beta_1/\alpha_1$  irrational

is satisfied, then

$$\varphi_1(z) = \exp \{P(z) + l_1e^{\alpha_1z_1} + m_1e^{\alpha_2z_2} + n_1e^{\alpha_1z_1+\alpha_2z_2} + l_2e^{\beta_1z_1} + m_2e^{\beta_2z_2} + n_2e^{\beta_1z_1+\beta_2z_2}\},$$

where  $P, l_j, m_j, n_j$  have respectively the same properties as  $\pi, \lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j$ .

PROOF. The idea is the same as the one used for the proof of the Theorem 5.1 of [1]. We use the following theorem which can be deduced from Theorem VII of Ostrovskiy [5] (see also [6], p. 122).

Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two ridge functions of the variable  $z$  such that

$$\varphi_1(z)\varphi_2(z) = \exp \{\pi(z) + \lambda e^{\alpha z} + \mu e^{\beta z}\},$$

where  $\pi$  is a polynomial of degree one,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real constants and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are non-negative constants. Then

$$\varphi_1(z) = \exp \{P(z) + l e^{\alpha z} + m e^{\beta z}\},$$

where  $P, l, m$  have respectively the same properties as  $\pi, \lambda, \mu$ .

We may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\alpha_1 < \beta_1, \beta_1 > 0, \beta_2 > 0$ . We fix  $z_2$  real.  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are ridge functions of  $z_1$  which satisfy the conditions of the above theorem. Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{a + bz_1 + pe^{\alpha_1z_1} + qe^{\beta_1z_1}\},$$

where  $a, b, p, q$  are functions of  $z_2$ , real for  $z_2$  real. Then, fixing  $z_1$  real, we obtain the representation

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{a' + b'z_2 + p'e^{\alpha_2z_2} + q'e^{\beta_2z_2}\},$$

where  $a', b', p', q'$  are functions of  $z_1$ , real for  $z_1$  real. Therefore we obtain from (3.3) and (3.4) the equation for any  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  real

$$a + bz_1 + pe^{\alpha_1z_1} + qe^{\beta_1z_1} = a' + b'z_2 + p'e^{\alpha_2z_2} + q'e^{\beta_2z_2}.$$

Using the well-known properties of linear independence of polynomials and exponentials, we can solve this equation and obtain the representation for  $z_1, z_2$  real

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ & P(z) + cz_1z_2 + l_1e^{\alpha_1z_1} + m_1e^{\alpha_2z_2} + n_1e^{\alpha_1z_1+\alpha_2z_2} + l_2e^{\beta_1z_1} \\ & + m_2e^{\beta_2z_2} + n_2e^{\beta_1z_1+\beta_2z_2} + r_1e^{\alpha_1z_1+\beta_2z_2} + r_2e^{\beta_1z_1+\alpha_2z_2} + s_1z_2e^{\alpha_1z_1} \\ & + t_1z_2e^{\beta_1z_1} + s_2z_1e^{\alpha_2z_2} + t_2z_1e^{\beta_2z_2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup> We have not written the symmetrical conditions obtained by exchanging the roles of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

Since, in the two members of (3.5), we have two entire functions of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , the representation (3.5) is also valid for  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  complex. Setting

$$u(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} \log [\varphi_1(x + iy)], \quad x, y \in R^2,$$

we obtain, by a simple calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & u(x, 0) - u(x, y) \\ &= cy_1y_2 + 2[l_1e^{\alpha_1x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1y_1) + m_1e^{\alpha_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2y_2) \\ &\quad + n_1e^{\alpha_1x_1+\alpha_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1y_1 + \alpha_2y_2) + l_2e^{\beta_1x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1y_1) \\ &\quad + m_2e^{\beta_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2y_2) + n_2e^{\beta_1x_1+\beta_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1y_1 + \beta_2y_2) \\ &\quad + r_1e^{\alpha_1x_1+\beta_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1y_1 + \beta_2y_2) + r_2e^{\beta_1x_1+\alpha_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1y_1 + \alpha_2y_2) \\ &\quad + s_1x_2e^{\alpha_1x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1y_1) + t_1x_2e^{\beta_1x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1y_1) \\ &\quad + s_2x_1e^{\alpha_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2y_2) + t_2x_1e^{\beta_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2y_2)] \\ &\quad + s_1y_2e^{\alpha_1x_1} \sin \alpha_1y_1 + t_1y_2e^{\beta_1x_1} \sin \beta_1y_1 + s_2y_1e^{\alpha_2x_2} \sin \alpha_2y_2 \\ &\quad + t_2y_1e^{\beta_2x_2} \sin \beta_2y_2, \end{aligned}$$

and, from the definition (3.1) of a ridge function, we must have

$$(3.7) \quad u(x, 0) - u(x, y) \geq 0.$$

Letting  $y_1 = y_2 \rightarrow +\infty$  in (3.6) and using (3.7), we obtain  $c \geq 0$ ; similarly, letting  $y_1 = -y_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $c \leq 0$ . Hence

$$c = 0.$$

Let  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  be arbitrary, but fixed, and  $|y_2| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we can conclude from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$s_1e^{\alpha_1x_1} \sin \alpha_1y_1 + t_1e^{\beta_1x_1} \sin \beta_1y_1 = 0.$$

Hence

$$s_1 = t_1 = 0.$$

In the same way, letting  $|y_1| \rightarrow \infty$ , we find

$$s_2 = t_2 = 0.$$

We obtain now for  $y_1 = 0$

$$(3.8) \quad u(x, 0) - u(x, y) = 2e^{\alpha_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2y_2)[m_1 + n_1e^{\alpha_1x_1} + r_2e^{\beta_1x_1}] \\ + 2e^{\beta_2x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2y_2)[m_2 + n_2e^{\beta_1x_1} + r_1e^{\alpha_1x_1}]$$

and for  $y_2 = 0$

$$(3.9) \quad u(x, 0) - u(x, y) = 2e^{\alpha_1x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1y_1) [l_1 + n_1e^{\alpha_2x_2} + r_1e^{\beta_2x_2}] \\ + 2e^{\beta_1x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1y_1)[l_2 + n_2e^{\beta_2x_2} + r_2e^{\alpha_2x_2}].$$

We distinguish now the different cases.

*Case (a).* In the cases  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$  and  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. We suppose that  $\alpha_2 < \beta_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 < 0$  (the proof is the same if  $\alpha_2 > \beta_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 < 0$ ). Comparing (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain for  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(3.10) \quad m_2 + n_2 e^{\beta_1 x_1} + r_1 e^{\alpha_1 x_1} \geq 0$$

and for  $x_2 \rightarrow -\infty$

$$(3.11) \quad m_1 + n_1 e^{\alpha_1 x_1} + r_2 e^{\beta_1 x_1} \geq 0.$$

From (3.10), we obtain for  $x_1 \rightarrow -\infty$

$$r_1 \geq 0,$$

and therefore  $r_1 = 0$ , since the corresponding term in  $\varphi_2$  has the same sign and since their sum is zero from (3.2). We obtain then for  $x_1 \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$m_2 \geq 0$$

and for  $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$

$$n_2 \geq 0.$$

From (3.11), we obtain in the same way

$$r_2 = 0, \quad m_1 \geq 0, \quad n_1 \geq 0.$$

Comparing (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain

$$l_1 \geq 0, \quad l_2 \geq 0,$$

and the theorem is demonstrated in this case.

*Case (b).* We can suppose  $0 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2$ , the cases  $\alpha_2 = 0$  and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$  being trivial. Comparing (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain in the same way

$$r_2 = 0, \quad m_1 \geq 0, \quad n_1 \geq 0, \quad m_2 \geq 0, \quad n_2 \geq 0,$$

and comparing (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain

$$r_1 = 0, \quad l_1 \geq 0, \quad l_2 \geq 0,$$

and the theorem is demonstrated.

*Case (c).* Comparing (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain as in the case (b)

$$r_2 = 0, \quad m_1 \geq 0, \quad n_1 \geq 0, \quad m_2 \geq 0, \quad n_2 \geq 0$$

and comparing (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain

$$l_1 \geq 0, \quad l_2 \geq 0.$$

It remains to demonstrate that  $r_1 = 0$  and it is sufficient, from the remark made in the Case (a) to demonstrate that  $r_1 \geq 0$ . Because of condition (c), we can choose  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that

$$\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 = 2\pi,$$

$$\beta_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2 = 2\pi.$$

We have then

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &u(x, 0) - u(x, y) \\ &= 2[l_1 e^{\alpha_1 x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 y_1) + m_1 e^{\alpha_2 x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 y_2) + l_2 e^{\beta_1 x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 y_1) \\ &\quad + m_2 e^{\beta_2 x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 y_2) + r_1 e^{\alpha_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

From the condition

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2 > 0$$

we may choose  $x_2 = kx_1$  ( $k$  constant) so that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 &> \alpha_2 x_2, \\ \alpha_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 &> \beta_1 x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting then  $x_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain from (3.7) and (3.12)

$$u(x, 0) - u(x, y) = e^{\alpha_1 x_1 + k \beta_2 x_1} [r_1 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2) + o(1)]$$

and since  $\alpha_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2 \neq 2m\pi$  for any integer  $m$ ,

$$r_1 \geq 0$$

and the theorem is demonstrated in this case.

*Case (d).* We may suppose that  $0 < \beta_2 < \alpha_2$  (the other cases are contained in the cases (a) and (b)). As in the case (c), we obtain from (3.7) and (3.8)

$$r_2 = 0, \quad m_1 \geq 0, \quad n_1 \geq 0, \quad m_2 \geq 0, \quad n_2 \geq 0,$$

and from (3.7) and (3.9)

$$l_1 \geq 0, \quad l_2 \geq 0,$$

and it remains to demonstrate that  $r_1$  is zero and for that it is sufficient to show that  $r_1 \geq 0$ . Setting  $y_2 = 2\pi/\alpha_2$ , since  $\beta_1/\alpha_1$  is irrational, from a theorem of Kronecker ([2], Theorem 444), it is possible to find  $y_1 = y_1(x_2)$  such that

$$\sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 y_1) = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 x_2)}), \quad \sin \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2) = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 x_2)})$$

when  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ . We have then

$$u(x, 0) - u(x, y) = e^{\beta_2 x_2} [m_2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 y_2) + r_1 e^{\alpha_1 x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2) + o(1)]$$

when  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ , so that letting  $x_1$  great enough, we obtain  $r_1 \geq 0$  and the theorem is demonstrated.

From this theorem and from the relations between entire characteristic functions and ridge functions (see [1], Chapter 4), we deduce almost immediately the

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $f$  be the product of two Poisson-type characteristic functions of two variables  $t = (t_1, t_2)$  defined by (1.2). If the vectors  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  satisfy one of the conditions of the Theorem 1,  $f$  has no indecomposable factor.*

REMARK. The example of the Section 2 satisfies the condition

$$0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1, \quad 0 < \beta_2 < \alpha_2, \quad \beta_1\beta_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2 = 0.$$

**4. The case  $n$  arbitrary.**

THEOREM 2. Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two ridge functions of the  $n$  variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  such that

$$\varphi_1(z)\varphi_2(z) = \exp \{ \pi(z) + \sum_{\epsilon} [\lambda_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} e^{\epsilon_1\alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\alpha_n z_n} + \mu_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} e^{\epsilon_1\beta_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\beta_n z_n}] \}$$

where  $\pi$  is a polynomial of degree one,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  are real vectors (we may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\beta$  is positive), the  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are non-negative constants,  $\epsilon_j = 0$  or  $1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $\sum_{\epsilon}$  indicates the summation on the  $2^n - 1$  values of  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  different from  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . If for all couples of indices  $(j, k)$ ,  $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_k, \beta_k$  satisfy one the conditions of the Theorem 1, then

$$\varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ P(z) + \sum_{\epsilon} [l_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} e^{\epsilon_1\alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\alpha_n z_n} + m_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} e^{\epsilon_1\beta_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\beta_n z_n}] \}$$

where  $P$ , the  $l$  and  $m$  have respectively the same properties as  $\pi$ , the  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

PROOF. We proceed by induction and suppose that this theorem is true for the ridge functions of  $k (< n)$  variables. We suppose also that  $n$  is greater than 2.

If we fix  $z_1$  real, we may apply the induction hypothesis and obtain the representation

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ a + \sum_{j=2}^n b_j z_j + \sum_{\epsilon'} [\xi_{\epsilon'} e^{\epsilon_2\alpha_2 z_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n\alpha_n z_n} + \eta_{\epsilon'} e^{\epsilon_2\beta_2 z_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n\beta_n z_n}] \}$$

where  $a, b_j, \xi_{\epsilon'}, \eta_{\epsilon'}$  are functions of  $z_1$ , real for  $z_1$  real and where  $\sum_{\epsilon'}$  indicates a summation on the  $2^{n-1} - 1$  values of  $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  different from  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .

If we fix  $z_2, \dots, z_n$  real, we may apply the Ostrovskiy's theorem cited above and obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ \gamma + \delta z_1 + \rho e^{\alpha_1 z_1} + \sigma e^{\beta_1 z_1} \}$$

where  $\gamma, \delta, \rho, \sigma$  are functions of the variables  $z_2, \dots, z_n$ , real for  $z_2, \dots, z_n$  real.

If we compare (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain an equation which can be solved by a use of the linear independence of polynomials and exponentials. We obtain for  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  real the representation

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ & P(z) + \sum_{k=2}^n C_k z_1 z_k \\ & + \sum_{\epsilon} [l_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon_1\alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\alpha_n z_n} + m_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon_1\beta_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n\beta_n z_n}] \\ & + \sum_{\epsilon'} [p_{\epsilon'} e^{\alpha_1 z_1 + \epsilon_2\beta_2 z_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n\beta_n z_n} + q_{\epsilon'} e^{\beta_1 z_1 + \epsilon_2\alpha_2 z_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n\alpha_n z_n}] \\ & + r_{\epsilon'} z_1 e^{\epsilon_2\alpha_2 z_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n\alpha_n z_n} + s_{\epsilon'} z_1 e^{\epsilon_2\beta_2 z_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n\beta_n z_n}] \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^n [v_k z_k e^{\alpha_1 z_1} + w_k z_k e^{\beta_1 z_1}] \} \end{aligned}$$

where  $P$  is a polynomial of degree one and where all the constants  $C_k, l_{\epsilon}, m_{\epsilon},$

$p_{\epsilon'}, q_{\epsilon'}, r_{\epsilon'}, s_{\epsilon'}, v_k, w_k$  are real. Since in the two members of (4.3) we have entire functions of  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , the representation (4.3) is also valid for  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  complex.

If we take all the variables other than  $z_1$  and  $z_j$  fixed and real, we may apply the Theorem 1 and obtain the representation

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ \tau + \omega z_1 + \omega' z_j + f_1 e^{\alpha_1 z_1} + g_1 e^{\alpha_j z_j} + h_1 e^{\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_j z_j} + f_2 e^{\beta_1 z_1} + g_2 e^{\beta_j z_j} + h_2 e^{\beta_1 z_1 + \beta_j z_j} \}.$$

Comparing (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain from the independence of polynomials and exponentials

$$C_j = 0, \quad v_j = 0, \quad w_j = 0$$

and

$$p_{\epsilon'} = q_{\epsilon'} = r_{\epsilon'} = s_{\epsilon'} = 0$$

for all  $\epsilon'$  such that  $\epsilon_j = 1$ . Since  $j$  is arbitrary, we obtain finally the representation

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{ P(z) + \sum_{\epsilon} [ l_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n z_n} + m_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon_1 \beta_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \beta_n z_n} ] \}.$$

It remains to demonstrate that

$$(4.6) \quad l_{\epsilon} \geq 0, \quad m_{\epsilon} \geq 0.$$

Fixing  $z_j$ , we may apply again the induction hypothesis and obtain the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(z) = & \exp \{ P_j(z) \\ & + \sum_{\epsilon''} [ l_{\epsilon''} \exp (\epsilon_1 \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{j-1} \alpha_{j-1} z_{j-1} + \epsilon_{j+1} \alpha_{j+1} z_{j+1} + \dots + \epsilon_n \alpha_n z_n) \\ & + m_{\epsilon''} \exp [\epsilon_1 \beta_1 z_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{j-1} \beta_{j-1} z_{j-1} + \epsilon_{j+1} \beta_{j+1} z_{j+1} + \dots + \epsilon_n \beta_n z_n] \} \end{aligned}$$

with evident notations. Moreover

$$l_{\epsilon''} \geq 0, \quad m_{\epsilon''} \geq 0.$$

Since, from (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\epsilon''} &= l_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{j-1}, 0, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} + l_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{j-1}, 1, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} e^{\alpha_j z_j} \\ m_{\epsilon''} &= m_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{j-1}, 0, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} + m_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{j-1}, 1, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} e^{\beta_j z_j} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain easily (4.6).

From this theorem, we obtain easily the

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $f$  be the product of two Poisson-type characteristic functions of the  $n$  variables  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  defined by (1.1). If for all couples of indices  $(j, k)$ ,  $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_k, \beta_k$  satisfy one of the conditions of the Theorem 1,  $f$  has no indecomposable factor.*

**5. Other results.** With the method used here, we can also deduce from the corresponding results of Ostrovskiy in the case of one variable ([5]) the following results on the finite products of Poisson-type characteristic functions.



**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f$  be the product of three Poisson-type characteristic functions defined by*

$$f(t) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \exp \{iP(t) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{\epsilon} \lambda_{j,\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} [e^{i(\alpha_{j,1}\epsilon_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j,n}\epsilon_n t_n)} - 1]\}.$$

*If for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $\alpha_{j,k}$  satisfy one of the following conditions*

- (a)  $\alpha_{1,k} < 0, \alpha_{3,k} > 0, 0 < \alpha_{2,k} < \min(\alpha_{3,k}, -\alpha_{1,k});$
- (b)  $\alpha_{1,k} < 0, \alpha_{3,k} > 0, 0 > \alpha_{2,k} > \max(-\alpha_{3,k}, \alpha_{1,k});$
- (c)  $0 < \alpha_{1,k} < \alpha_{2,k} < \min(2\alpha_{1,k}, \alpha_{3,k});$
- (d)  $0 > \alpha_{1,k} > \alpha_{2,k} > \max(2\alpha_{1,k}, \alpha_{3,k})$

*then  $f$  has no indecomposable factor.*

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f$  be the product of four Poisson-type characteristic functions defined by*

$$f(t) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \exp \{iP(t) + \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{\epsilon} \lambda_{j,\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} [e^{i(\alpha_{j,1}\epsilon_1 t_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j,n}\epsilon_n t_n)} - 1]\}.$$

*If for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $\alpha_{j,k}$  satisfy the following condition: There exist integer numbers  $m_k$  and  $n_k$  and incommensurable numbers  $\rho_k > 0, \sigma_k > 0$  such that  $\alpha_{1,k} = (n_k + 1)\sigma_k, \alpha_{2,k} = n_k\sigma_k, \alpha_{3,k} = m_k\rho_k, \alpha_{4,k} = (m_k + 1)\rho_k$  and  $\max\{(m_k - 1)\rho_k, n_k\sigma_k\} < \min\{m_k\rho_k, (n_k - 1)\sigma_k\}$  then  $f$  has no indecomposable factor.*

We prove also the

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $f$  be the product of  $p$  Poisson-type characteristic functions of the  $n$  variables  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  defined by*

$$(5.1) \quad f(t) = \exp \{i\pi(t) + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{\epsilon} [\lambda_{j,\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n} (e^{i(\epsilon_{1,1}\alpha_{j,1}t_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{n,n}\alpha_{j,n}t_n)} - 1)]\}$$

*where  $\pi$  is an homogeneous polynomial of degree one,  $\lambda_{j,\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n}$  are non-negative constants, the vectors  $(\alpha_{j,1}, \dots, \alpha_{j,n})$  are real ( $j = 1, \dots, p$ ),  $\epsilon_j = 0$  or  $1$  and  $\sum_{\epsilon}$  indicates the summation on the  $2^n - 1$  values of  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  different from  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . If for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , the components  $\alpha_{1,k}, \dots, \alpha_{p,k}$  are rationally independent then  $f$  has no indecomposable factor.*

**PROOF.** The theorem in the case  $n = 1$  has been obtained by P. Lévy ([3], p. 56). It is sufficient to demonstrate it in the case  $n = 2$ , the transition of these cases to the case  $n$  arbitrary following the same lines as the proof of Theorem 2. In the case  $n = 2$ , we change our notations and write (5.1) as

$$(5.2) \quad f(t) = f(t_1, t_2) = \exp \{i\pi(t) + \sum_{j=1}^p [\lambda_j (e^{i\alpha_j t_1} - 1) + \mu_j (e^{i\beta_j t_2} - 1) + \nu_j (e^{i(\alpha_j t_1 + \beta_j t_2)} - 1)]\}$$

$(\lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j \geq 0)$ . Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two characteristic functions such that for  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  real

$$(5.3) \quad f(t_1, t_2) = f_1(t_1, t_2)f_2(t_1, t_2).$$

We show that  $f_1$  satisfies a relation of the kind (5.2). From the Theorem 2.3 of

[1], it follows that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are entire characteristic functions and that (5.3) is satisfied for any  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  complex. On the other hand, it follows from the Theorem 2.8 of [1] that  $f$  is defined by (5.2) for  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  complex. We use also the following lemma which is an evident consequence of the Theorem 2.2 of [1].

LEMMA. *If  $f$  is an entire characteristic function and  $t_2^0$  a real constant, the function  $f_{t_2^0}$  defined by*

$$f_{t_2^0}(t_1) = f(t_1, it_2^0)/f(0, it_2^0)$$

*is an entire characteristic function.*

For the following, it is simpler to introduce now the "moment generating functions"  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by

$$f(-iz) = \varphi(z); \quad f_j(-iz) = \varphi_j(z).$$

If we fix  $z_2$  real, applying the lemma and the theorem of P. Lévy, we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{a + bz_1 + \sum_{j=1}^p q_j e^{\alpha_j z_1}\}$$

where  $a, b$  and  $q_j$  are functions of  $z_2$ , real for  $z_2$  real. Then fixing  $z_1$  real, we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{a' + b'z_2 + \sum_{k=1}^p q'_k e^{\beta_k z_2}\}$$

where  $a', b'$  and  $q'_k$  are functions of  $z_1$ , real for  $z_1$  real. From (5.4) and (5.5), we deduce as in the proof of the Theorem 1 the relation

$$(5.6) \quad \varphi_1(z) = \exp \{h + P(z) + cz_1z_2 + \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{k=0}^p n_{j,k} e^{\alpha_j z_1 + \beta_k z_2} + \sum_{j=1}^p s_j z_1 e^{\beta_j z_2} + t_j z_2 e^{\alpha_j z_1}\}$$

where all the constants and coefficients of  $P$  are real with the convention  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = n_{0,0} = 0$ . If we introduce for  $x, y \in R^2$  the function

$$u(x, y) = \text{Re } \varphi_1(x + iy)$$

we have the ridge property

$$(5.7) \quad u(x, 0) - u(x, y) \geq 0$$

and from this property, it follows as in the proof of Theorem 1 that

$$c = s_j = t_j = 0.$$

We have now

$$u(x, 0) - u(x, y) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{k=0}^p n_{j,k} e^{\alpha_j x_1 + \beta_k x_2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_k y_2).$$

If we show the relations

$$(5.8) \quad n_{j,k} \geq 0$$

it follows from the remark made during the proof of the Theorem 1 that

$$n_{j,k} = 0$$

for  $j \neq 0, k \neq 0, j \neq k$ , and the theorem will be demonstrated, the value of  $h$  being determined by the condition  $\varphi_1(0) = 1$ .

We can suppose without loss of generality that

$$\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_p, \quad \beta_k > 0.$$

We suppose that the relation (5.8) is satisfied for  $j = 1, \dots, m - 1$  and we show that

$$n_{m,k} \geq 0.$$

From the theorem of Kronecker ([2], Theorem 444), we may choose  $y_2 = y_2(x_2)$  such that

$$\sin \frac{1}{2}(\beta_q y_2) = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_q x_2)}), \quad q \neq k, \quad x_2 \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sin \frac{1}{2}(\beta_k y_2) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Then, we choose  $y_1 = y_1(x_2)$  such that when  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_j y_2) = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_j x_2)}), \quad j = 1, \dots, k - 1$$

and

$$\sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_q y_2) = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_q x_2)}), \quad j = k, \dots, n; \quad q \neq k.$$

We have then when  $x_2 \rightarrow \infty$

$$(5.9) \quad u(x, 0) - u(x, y) = O(e^{\beta_k x_2} (\sum_{j=m}^n n_{j,k} e^{\alpha_j x_1} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_k y_2))).$$

Comparing (5.7) and (5.9), we obtain if  $x_1$  is great enough

$$n_{m,k} \geq 0$$

and the theorem is demonstrated.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CUPPENS, R. (1967). Décomposition des fonctions caractéristique des vecteurs aléatoires. *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris* **16** 61-153.
- [2] HARDY, G. H. and WRIGHT, E. M. (1954). *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. 3rd ed. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [3] LÉVY, P. (1938). L'arithmétique des lois de probabilité et les produits finis de lois de Poisson. *Colloque de Genève*, No. 3, 25-59. *Actualités Sci. Indust.* No. 736, Hermann éd.
- [4] LUKACS, E. (1960). *Characteristic functions*. Griffin's Statistical Monographs and Courses No. 5. Charles Griffin and Co. Ltd., London.
- [5] OSTROVSKIY, I. V. (1965). Some theorems on decomposition of probability laws (in Russian) *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **79** 198-235.
- [6] RAMACHANDRAN, B. (1967). *Advanced Theory of Characteristic Functions*. Statist. Publ. Soc. Calcutta.