## ON STRONG CONSISTENCY OF DENSITY ESTIMATES<sup>1</sup>

### By J. VAN RYZIN

# University of Wisconsin-Madison

1. Introduction and summary. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , be a sample of n independent observations of a random variable X with distribution  $F(x) = F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  or  $R^m$  and Lebesgue density  $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . To estimate the density f(x) consider estimates of the form

(1) 
$$f_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n K_n(x, X_j), \qquad K_n(x, X_j) = h_n^{-m} K(h_n^{-1}(x - X_j));$$

where  $K(u) = K(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  is a real-valued Borel-measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  such that

(2) 
$$K(u)$$
 is a density on  $R^m$ 

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^m} K(u) < \infty$$

(4) 
$$||u||^m K(u) \to 0 \text{ as } ||u||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^2 \to \infty$$

and  $\{h_n\}$  is a sequence of numbers such that

(5) 
$$h_n > 0$$
,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n h_n^m = \infty$ .

Such density estimates have been shown to be weakly consistent (that is,  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  in probability as  $n \to \infty$ ) on the continuity set, C(f), of the density f(x) by Parzen [4] for m = 1 and by Cacoullos [1] for m > 1. In Theorem 1, we state conditions under which strong consistency (that is,  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  with probability one as  $n \to \infty$ ) of such estimates obtains.

Theorem 2 gives conditions under which uniform (in x) strong consistency of the estimates (1) is valid. In this respect, our results are very similar in the case m=1 to those of Nadaraya [4], although the method of proof and conditions imposed are different. Theorem 3 concerns the estimation of the unique mode of the density f(x) when it exists.

**2.** A pointwise strong consistency theorem. Before stating our first theorem, we shall give a lemma which we will need and is of some interest in its own right. Lemma. Let  $\{Y_n\}$  and  $\{Y_n'\}$  be two sequences of random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$  be a sequence of Borel fields,  $\mathfrak{F}_n \subset \mathfrak{F}_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $Y_n$  and

1765

Received 3 September 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant NSF Contract GP-8381 at the University of Michigan while the author was a summer guest at the Applied Mathematics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, L.I., New York. This research was also partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant NSF Contract GP-9324 at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.

 $Y_n'$  are measurable with respect to  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ . If

- (i)  $0 \leq Y_n$  a.e.
- (ii)  $EY_1 < \infty$
- (iii)  $E[Y_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n] \leq Y_n + Y_n'$  a.e. (iv)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E|Y_n'| < \infty$ ,

then  $Y_n$  converges a.e. to a finite limit.

PROOF. Let  $Z_n = Y_n + S_{n-1}$ , where  $S_0 \equiv 0$  and  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \{Y_i - E[Y_{i+1} | \mathfrak{F}_i]\}$ . Observe that by (i) and (iii),  $Z_n \ge S_{n-1} \ge -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i'$  a.e., and we have

$$\sup_{n} EZ_{n}^{-} \leq \sup_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E |Y_{i}'| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E |Y_{i}'| < \infty.$$

However, it is easy to verify that  $\{Z_n, \mathfrak{F}_n\}$  is a martingale and that  $\sup_n E|Z_n| =$  $2 \sup_{n} EZ_{n}^{-} + EY_{1} < \infty$  by the above observation and condition (ii). Hence, by a standard martingale theorem (e.g., see Theorem 9.4.1 in Chung [2]),  $\{Z_n\}$ converges a.e. to a finite limit.

Since  $Y_n = Z_n - S_{n-1}$  a.e., the proof will now be completed if we show  $S_n$  converges a.e. to a finite limit. Observe that  $S_n = -\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i' + \sum_{i=1}^n W_i$ , where  $W_i = Y_i + Y_i' - E[Y_{i+1} | \mathfrak{F}_i] \ge 0$  a.e. by (iii) and that under (iv)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i'$ converges a.e. (see Chung [2, Ex. 7, p. 116]). Therefore,  $S_n$  converges a.e. to a finite limit if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i < \infty$  a.e. Note that from the inequality  $S_n \leq Z_{n+1}$  a.e. (recall (i)) and the fact that  $\{Z_n\}$  converges a.e. to a finite limit, we have  $\limsup_{n} S_n \leq \lim_{n} Z_n < \infty$  a.e. Finally, since the  $W_i$  are non-negative, we have  $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i = \lim_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \leq \limsup_{n} S_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i' < \infty$  a.e. This completes the proof.

**DEFINITION.** A real-valued function on the real line g(c) is said to be locally Lipschitz of order  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , at 1 if there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 < M < \infty$  such that  $|g(c) - g(1)| \leq M |c - 1|^{\alpha}$  for all  $c \in (1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let K(u) satisfy (2), (3) and (4) and let  $\{h_n\}$  satisfy (5) and

$$(6) h_n/h_{n+1} \to 1 as n \to \infty.$$

Assume that K(u) and  $\{h_n\}$  are such that

(7) 
$$g_1(c) = \sup_{\|u\| \ge a} \|u\|^m \{K(cu) - K(u)\}^2$$
 is locally Lipschitz of order

$$\alpha$$
 at  $c = 1$  for some  $a > 0$ ,

(8) 
$$g_2(c) = \int \{K(cu) - K(u)\}^2 du$$
 is locally Lipschitz of order  $\alpha$  at  $c = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 h_{-}^m} < \infty, \quad and$$

(10) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n h_n^{m-\beta}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right|^{\beta} < \infty, \qquad \beta = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \alpha, 1 \right\}.$$

Then,  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  with probability one if  $x \in C(f)$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $Ef_n(x) \to f(x)$  for  $x \in C(f)$  by Theorem 3.1 of Cacoullos [1], it suffices to show that  $f_n(x) - Ef_n(x) \to 0$  with probability one as  $n \to \infty$  on C(f). The proof of this relies on the lemma with  $Y_n = \{f_n(x) - Ef_n(x)\}^2$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_n = \text{Borel}$ 

field generated by  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , and the fact that for each  $x \in C(f)$ ,  $\lim_n EY_n(x) = 0$  (Cacoullos [1, Lemma 2.1]). Observe that

$$f_{n+1}(x) - Ef_{n+1}(x) = f_n(x) - Ef_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_n(x, X_j) + (n+1)^{-1} \{K_{n+1}(x, X_{n+1}) - EK_{n+1}(x, X)\}$$

where

$$W_n(x, X_j) = (n+1)^{-1} \{ K_{n+1}(x, X_j) - EK_{n+1}(x, X) \}$$
$$- n^{-1} \{ K_n(x, X_j) - EK_n(x, X) \}.$$

Hence,

(11) 
$$E[Y_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n] = Y_n + U_n(x) + V_n(x) + \alpha_n(x),$$

with  $U_n(x) = \{\sum_{j=1}^n W_n(x, X_j)\}^2$ ,  $V_n(x) = 2\{f_n(x) - Ef_n(x)\}\{\sum_{j=1}^n W_n(x, X_j)\}$  and  $\alpha_n(x) = (n+1)^{-2} \operatorname{Var}\{K_{n+1}(x, X)\}$ .

Letting  $Y_n' = Y_n'(x) = U_n(x) + V_n(x) + \alpha_n(x)$  for each  $x \in C(f)$  and applying the above lemma the proof is then completed by merely verifying that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E |Y_n'| < \infty$  for each  $x \in C(f)$ . We show that for each  $x \in C(f)$ : (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EU_n(x) < \infty$ , (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E |V_n(x)| < \infty$ , and (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(x) < \infty$ .

(i) By double use of the inequality  $(a + b)^2 \le 2a^2 + 2b^2$  we obtain

$$EU_{n}(x) = nEW_{n}^{2}(x, X)$$

$$\leq nE\left\{\frac{K_{n+1}(x, X)}{n+1} - \frac{K_{n}(x, X)}{n}\right\}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nh_{n}^{m}} \int \left\{\frac{nh_{n}^{m}}{(n+1)h_{n+1}^{m}} K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u)\right\}^{2} f(x - h_{n}u) du$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{nh_{n}^{m}} \int \left\{K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u)\right\}^{2} f(x - h_{n}u) du$$

$$+ \frac{4}{n(n+1)^{2}h_{n+1}^{m}} \int K^{2}(u)f(x - h_{n+1}u) du$$

$$+ \frac{4}{nh_{n+1}^{m}} \left\{1 - \left(\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n}}\right)^{m}\right\}^{2} \int K^{2}(u)f(x - h_{n+1}u) du.$$

Note that since

(13) 
$$(1-t^m) = (\sum_{j=1}^m t^{j-1})(1-t),$$

we have under (6) that

(14) 
$$\left\{1 - \left(\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n}\right)^n\right\}^2 \sim (mh_{n+1})^2 \left(\frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^2 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Also, (2), (3), (4) and (5), Theorem 2.1 of Cacoullos [1] yields

(15) 
$$\lim_{n} \int K^{2}(u) f(x - h_{n+1}u) du = f(x)K^{*}, \quad K^{*} = \int K^{2}(u) du,$$

for all  $x \in C(f)$ . This result (6) and (14) combine to show that the second and third terms in the upper bound of (12) are respectively asymptotically equivalent for  $x \in C(f)$  to

(16) 
$$\frac{4K^*f(x)}{n^3h_n^m} \text{ and } \frac{4m^2K^*f(x)}{nh_n^{m-2}} \left(\frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^2.$$

Furthermore, for each  $x \in C(f)$  we have the following inequality for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and some  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, x) > 0$ ,

$$\int \left\{ K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u) \right\}^{2} f(x - h_{n}u) du$$

$$\leq \int_{\|h_{n}u\| \leq \delta} \left\{ K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u) \right\}^{2} f(x - h_{n}u) du$$

$$+ \int_{\|h_{n}u\| < \delta} \left\{ K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u) \right\}^{2} f(x - h_{n}u) du$$

$$\leq \delta^{-m} \sup_{\|u\| \leq \delta/h_{n}} \left[ \|u\|^{m} \left\{ K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u) \right\}^{2} \right]$$

$$+ \left\{ \epsilon + f(x) \right\} \int \left\{ K\left(\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u) \right\}^{2} du.$$

Hence, for n sufficiently large such that  $\delta/h_n \geq a$  (see condition (7)), we have

$$\int \left\{ K\left(\frac{h_n}{h_{n+1}}u\right) - K(u) \right\}^2 f(x - h_n u) \ du$$

$$\leq \delta^{-m} g_1\left(\frac{h_n}{\overline{h_{n+1}}}\right) + \left\{\epsilon + f(x)\right\} g^2\left(\frac{h_n}{\overline{h_{n+1}}}\right).$$

Therefore, by conditions (6), (7) and (8), the first term in the upper bound of (12) has an upper bound asymptotically equivalent as  $n \to \infty$  to

$$\frac{M(x)}{nh_n^{m-\alpha}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right|^{\alpha}$$

for some  $0 < M(x) < \infty$  for each  $x \in C(f)$ . Combining the asymptotic results (16) and (17) with inequality (12), we have now shown that for each  $x \in C(f)$  there exists  $M^*(x)$ ,  $0 < M^*(x) < \infty$  such that for n sufficiently large,

(18) 
$$EU_{n}(x) \leq \frac{M^{*}(x)}{nh_{n}^{m-2\beta}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_{n}} \right|^{2\beta} + \frac{4K^{*}f(x)}{n^{3}h_{n}^{m}}$$

This inequality under conditions (5), (6) and (10) completes the verification of (i).

To verify (ii) note that

(19) 
$$\{E|V_n(x)|\}^2 \le 4E\{f_n(x) - Ef_n(x)\}^2 EU_n(x)$$

$$= 4n^{-1} \operatorname{Var} \{K_n(x, X)\} EU_n(x).$$

By Lemma 2.1 of Cacoullos [1], Var  $\{K_n(x, X)\} \sim h_n^{-m} K^* f(x)$  for all  $x \in C(f)$ . Hence, inequalities (18) and (19) yield for n sufficiently large and each  $x \in C(f)$ 

a  $M^{**}(x)$ ,  $0 < M^{**}(x) < \infty$ , and a M'(x),  $0 < M'(x) < \infty$ , such that

$$E|V_n(x)| \le \frac{M^{**}(x)}{nh_n^{m-\beta}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right|^{\beta} + \frac{M'(x)}{n^4h_n^{2m}}$$

This inequality and conditions (5) and (10) completes the verification of (ii).

Verification of (iii) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 of Cacoullos [1] and condition (9) of the theorem. As noted earlier, this completes the proof.

REMARKS. (1) It can be shown that all the kernel functions K(u) given in Table 1 of Parzen [4] in the case m=1 and their natural product kernel generalizations (see Cacoullos [1, page 186]) in the case m>1 satisfy conditions (7) and (8) with  $\alpha=1$  in Theorem 1 as well as conditions (2), (3) and (4). It can be shown that all K(u) in Table 1 of Parzen [4] except the first entry satisfy conditions (7) and (8) with  $\alpha=2$  in Theorem 1.

(2) If  $h_n = \alpha_n^{-1} n^{-p}$ , where  $0 , <math>\alpha_n > 0$ , and  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + O(n^{-1})$ ,  $n\alpha_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\limsup \alpha_n < \infty$ , then it is straightforward to show that conditions (5), (6), (9) and (10) hold for the sequence  $\{h_n\}$ . In particular  $h_n = cm^{-p}$ , 0 , <math>c > 0, is such a sequence.

## 3. Uniform strong consistency and estimation of the mode. Let

$$k(t) = k(t_1, \dots, t_m)$$

be the characteristic function of K(u), that is,

$$k(t) = \int e^{it'u} K(u) du$$

where  $t'u = \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j u_j$ . Also define

(20) 
$$\varphi_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{it^{\prime}X_j}$$

and following Parzen [4, equation (3.3)] as in the case m = 1, we see that if

$$(21) \qquad \qquad \int |k(t)| \, dt < \infty$$

then

(22) 
$$f_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n K_n(x, X_j)$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-m} \int e^{-it'x} k(h_n t) \varphi_n(t) dt$$

We now state and prove

THEOREM 2. Let K(u) be such that (2), (3), (4) and (21) hold and assume

(23)  $g(c) = \int |k(ct) - k(t)| dt$  is locally Lipschitz of order 1 at c = 1.

Let  $\{h_n\}$  be a sequence such that (5) and (6) holds and assume

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(nh_n^m)^2} < \infty.$$

(25) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nh_n^{2m-1}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right| < \infty.$$

(26) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} nh_n^{2m} = \infty.$$

Then, if f(x) is uniformly continuous on  $R^m$ ,  $\sup_x |f_n(x) - f(x)| \to 0$  with probability one as  $n \to \infty$ .

Proof. We first show

(27) 
$$\sup_{x} |f_n(x) - Ef_n(x)| \to 0 \text{ a.e. as } n \to \infty.$$

Let  $\varphi(t) = Ee^{itX}$  and note that from (22), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\sup_{x} |f_{n}(x) - Ef_{n}(x)|\}^{2} &= \{ (2\pi)^{-m} \sup_{x} |\int e^{-it'x} k(h_{n}t) \{\varphi_{n}(t) - \varphi(t)\} dt \}^{2} \\
&\leq \{ (2\pi)^{-m} \int |k(h_{n}t)| |\varphi_{n}(t) - \varphi(t)| dt \}^{2} \\
&\leq \{ (2\pi)^{-2m} \int |k(h_{n}t)| dt \} \{ \int |k(h_{n}t)| |\varphi_{n}(t) - \varphi(t)|^{2} dt \} \\
&= \{ (2\pi)^{-2m} \int |k(t)| dt \} Y_{n}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_n = h_n^{-m} \int |k(h_n t)| |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)|^2 dt$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  be as in Theorem 1. Since

$$\varphi_{n+1}(t) = \varphi_n(t) - (n+1)^{-1} \{ \varphi_n(t) - e^{it'X_{n+1}} \},$$

we have a.e.

(28) 
$$E[Y_{n+1} \mid \mathfrak{F}_n] = n^2 \{ (n + 1)^2 h_{n+1}^m \}^{-1} \int |k(h_{n+1}t)| |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)|^2 dt + \{ (n + 1)^2 h_{n+1}^m \}^{-1} \int (1 - |\varphi(t)|^2) |k(h_{n+1}t)| dt \\ \leq Y_n + Z_n + \delta_n$$

where

$$Z_{n} = \int |h_{n+1}^{-m}k(h_{n+1}t) - h_{n}^{-m}k(h_{n}t)| |\varphi_{n}(t) - \varphi(t)|^{2} dt$$
  
$$\delta_{n} = \{ (n+1)h_{n+1}^{m} \}^{-2} \int |k(t)| dt.$$

With  ${Y_n}'=Z_n+\delta_n$  in (28) the proof of (27) follows immediately from the lemma in Section 2 and the fact that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\delta_n<\infty$  under (21) and (24) provided we show that

(29) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EZ_n < \infty \text{ and } \lim_n EY_n = 0.$$

Note that  $E |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)|^2 = n^{-1} (1 - |\varphi(t)|^2) \le n^{-1}$  implies

$$EY_n \leq (nh_n^m)^{-1} \int |k(h_n t)| dt = (nh_n^{2m})^{-1} \int |k(t)| dt$$

and hence  $\lim_{n} EY_{n} = 0$  under (26). Furthermore,

(30) 
$$EZ_{n} \leq n^{-1} \int |h_{n+1}^{-m} k(h_{n+1}t) - h_{n}^{-m} k(h_{n}t)| dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nh_{n+1}^{m}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}^{m}} - \frac{1}{h_{n}^{m}} \right| \int |k(t)| dt + \frac{1}{nh_{n}^{2m}} g\left(\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n}}\right).$$

From (13) and condition (6), we have

(31) 
$$\left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}^m} - \frac{1}{h_n^m} \right| = \frac{1}{h_n^m} \left| 1 - \left( \frac{h_n}{h_{n+1}} \right)^m \right| \sim \frac{m}{h_n^{m-1}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right|.$$

Therefore, the first term in the upper bound of (30) is asymptotically equivalent under (6) to

$$\frac{m}{nh_n^{2m-1}} \left| \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{h_n} \right| \int |k(t)| dt.$$

Observe also that under conditions (6) and (23), the second term in the upper bound of (30) is bounded by a term asymptotically equivalent to

$$M (n h_n^{2m-1})^{-1} |h_{n+1}^{-1} - h_n^{-1}|$$

for some M,  $0 < M < \infty$ . Using condition (25) this result and the asymptotic result (31) combine with inequality (30) to complete (29).

To complete the proof we need only show that  $\lim_n \{\sup_x |Ef_n(x) - f(x)|\} = 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then, with  $K_n(u) = h_n^{-m}K(h_n^{-1}u)$ , we have

$$\sup_{x} |Ef_{n}(x) - f(x)| \\
\leq \sup_{x} \int_{\|u\| \leq \delta} |f(x - u) - f(x)| K_{n}(u) du \\
+ \sup_{x} \int_{\|u\| > \delta} |f(x - u) - f(x)| K_{n}(u) du \\
\leq \sup_{x} \sup_{\|u\| \leq \delta} |f(x - u) - f(u)| + 2 \sup_{x} f(x) \int_{\|u\| > \delta/h_{n}} K(u) du$$

By uniform continuity of f(x) the first term can be made arbitrarily small by choosing  $\delta$  sufficiently small, while the second term for such a fixed  $\delta$  approaches 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

We point out that Theorem 2 in the case m = 1 is closely related to Theorem 1 of Nadaraya [3], although it can be shown that neither theorem implies the other.

Under condition (21), K(u) is continuous on  $R^m$  and under (4),  $K(u) \to 0$  as  $||u|| \to \infty$ . Therefore, there exists an m-dimensional random variable  $\theta_n$  such that

$$(32) f_n(\theta_n) = \max_x f_n(x).$$

THEOREM 3. Under the conditions of Theorem 2, if the mode of f(x),  $\theta$ , defined by

$$f(\theta) = \max_{x} f(x)$$

is unique, then  $\theta_n \to \theta$  with probability one as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $\theta_n$  is defined in (32). Proof. The proof follows from the fact that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(\theta) - f(x)| \ge \delta$  if  $||x - \theta|| \ge \epsilon$ . (See Nadaraya [3, Theorem 2] in the case m = 1.)

REMARKS. (1) It can be shown that except for the first entry (the uniform kernel) in Table 1 of Parzen [4] for m = 1 all the kernels given therein satisfy the conditions of Theorems 2 and 3. This remark clearly extends to the case m > 1 for product kernels of the same type (see Cacoullos [1, p. 186]).

(2) If  $h_n = \alpha_n^{-1} n^{-p}$ , where  $0 , <math>\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + O(n^{-1})$ ,  $n\alpha_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\limsup \alpha_n < \infty$ , then it is easily verified that conditions (5), (6), (24), (25) and (26) of Theorems 2 and 3 hold.

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank Professor H. Robbins for arranging for the support given this work during the summer of 1968 and for certain discussions regarding this work. I thank also the referee for pointing out some needed changes.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CACOULLOS, THEOPHILOS (1966). Estimation of a multivariate density. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 18 179-190.
- [2] Chung, Kai Lai (1968). A Course in Probability Theory. Harcourt, Brace & World, New York.
- [3] NADARAYA, E. A. (1965). On non-parametric estimates of density functions and regression curves. Theor. Probability Appl. 10 186-190.
- [4] PARZEN, EMANUEL (1962). On estimation of a probability density function and mode. Ann. Math. Statist. 33 1065-1076.