

**CORRECTION TO
“AN ITERATIVE PROCEDURE FOR ESTIMATION IN CONTINGENCY
TABLES”**

BY STEPHEN E. FIENBERG

University of Chicago

Figure 4.2 in this paper (*Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** 907–917) is incorrect. The first step of the iteration does go along a generator of the family $\{TT^*\}$, running from A_1A_2 to A_4A_3 , as in the figure. However, for any $\alpha > 1$ subsequent steps correspond to line segments which are either all in the quadrant corresponding to A_3 , or all in the one corresponding to A_2 .

It follows immediately that, for complete cycles after the 1st step, φ is a contraction, and expression (4.3) should be replaced by

$$\rho(p^{(2m+2)}, p) = \rho(\varphi^m p^{(2)}, \varphi^m p) \leq \beta^m \rho(p^{(2)}, p).$$

**CORRECTION TO
“THE REPRESENTATION OF FUNCTIONALS OF BROWNIAN MOTION
BY STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS”**

BY J. M. C. CLARK

Imperial College of Science and Technology

Theorem 4 of this paper stated, in essence, that any finite-valued functional of a Brownian motion could be represented as a stochastic integral of that Brownian motion. I am indebted to Professor J. Neveu for pointing out that there is an error in my proof of this theorem and for providing an example of a functional which, while not directly contradicting it, would seem to make the assertion of the theorem extremely unlikely. So the composition of the class of functionals representable by stochastic integrals remains unknown.