

## ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF HIGH ORDER MEANS<sup>1</sup>

BY JAMES W. DANIEL

*The University of Texas at Austin*

The following simple but interesting question was suggested by S. W. Joshi. Given a nonnegative Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$  with  $\mu([0, 1]) = 1$ , the mean  $m_1$  can be defined as the unique root in  $[0, 1]$  of the equation  $\int_0^1 (x-m) d\mu(x) = 0$ ; the root  $m_r$  in  $[0, 1]$  of  $f_r(m) = \int_0^1 |x-m|^r \text{sign}(x-m) d\mu(x) = 0$ , for  $r \geq 1$ , can be considered a generalized mean, in the spirit of the more general  $\phi$ -means of [1] for which results similar to these being presented here can be obtained. The question arises as to how  $m_r$  depends on  $r$  and  $\mu$ ; in this note we show that  $m_r$  converges to the midpoint of the interval of essential support of the measure  $\mu$  as  $r$  tends to infinity.

Let  $a = \sup \{l; \mu([l, 1])\} = \mu([0, 1])$  and let  $b = \inf \{r; \mu([0, r]) = \mu([0, 1])\}$ . Clearly then  $\mu([a, b]) = \mu([0, 1])$ ,  $\mu([a, a+\varepsilon]) > 0$  for every  $\varepsilon$  in  $(0, b-a]$ , and  $\mu([b-\varepsilon, b]) > 0$  for every  $\varepsilon$  in  $(0, b-a]$ ; we call the interval  $[a, b]$  the interval of essential support of the measure  $\mu$ , although one might discard an endpoint if it itself has  $\mu$ -measure zero. Our result can now be stated as follows. *For each  $r \geq 1$ , a unique  $m_r$  exists, and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r = m_\infty \equiv (a+b)/2$ .*

The proof is simple. Since  $f_r(m)$  is a continuous function of  $m$  and satisfies  $f_r(0) = f_r(a) \geq 0$  and  $f_r(1) = f_r(b) \leq 0$ , at least one root exists in  $[0, 1]$ . Furthermore, by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we can show easily that  $f_r(m)$  is differentiable and  $f'_r(m) = -r \int_0^1 |x-m|^{r-1} d\mu(x)$  which is less than zero for all  $m$  unless  $a = b = m$ , in which case  $m_r = m_\infty$  for all  $r$  and there is nothing to prove. Thus a unique root  $m_r$  exists and lies in  $[a, b]$ . Now it remains to prove that  $m_r$  tends to  $m_\infty$  when  $a \neq b$ . Let  $m$  be a fixed number satisfying  $m_\infty < m \leq b$ . We shall show that for all large  $r$  we have  $f_r(m) < 0$  which implies  $m_r < m$  which in turn implies  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r \leq m_\infty$  since  $m > m_\infty$  was arbitrary; for this purpose let  $\varepsilon$  satisfy  $0 < \varepsilon < 2(m-m_\infty)$ ,  $\varepsilon < m-a$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_r(m) &= -\int_a^{a+\varepsilon} (m-x)^r d\mu(x) - \int_a^m (m-x)^r d\mu(x) + \int_m^b (x-m)^r d\mu(x) \\ &\leq -\int_a^{a+\varepsilon} (m-x)^r d\mu(x) + \int_m^b (x-m)^r d\mu(x) \\ &\leq -(m-a-\varepsilon)^r \mu([a, a+\varepsilon]) + (b-m)^r \mu([m, b]) \\ &= (m-a-\varepsilon)^r \mu([a, a+\varepsilon]) \left\{ -1 + \left( \frac{b-m}{m-a-\varepsilon} \right)^r \frac{\mu([m, b])}{\mu([a, a+\varepsilon])} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m-a-\varepsilon > 0$ , since  $\mu([a, a+\varepsilon]) > 0$ , and since  $(b-m)/(m-a-\varepsilon) < 1$  because  $\varepsilon < 2(m-m_\infty) = 2(m-(b+a)/2)$ , we conclude that  $f_r(m) < 0$  for sufficiently

---

Received October 12, 1970.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part under Contract Number N000-14-67-A-0128-0004 at the University of Wisconsin.

large  $r$ . By precisely similar arguments (or by replacing  $x$  by  $1-y$ ) we find that for any fixed  $m < m_\infty$ , we have  $f_r(m) > 0$  for large  $r$  and hence  $m_r > m$  and hence  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r \geq m_\infty$ . Therefore the two inequalities together yield  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r = m_\infty$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCE

- BRØNS, H. BRUNK, H., FRANCK, W., and HANSON, D. (1969). Generalized means and associated families of distributions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 339–355.