

## A NOTE ON HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND MARTINGALES<sup>1</sup>

BY CHARLES W. LAMB

Stanford University

**1. A decomposition theorem.** In this note we will be concerned with the problem of decomposing a positive harmonic function  $h$  into a sum of three positive harmonic functions  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ , and  $h_3$ , each of which behaves quite differently when composed with Brownian motion. This problem has been treated in a very general context by Blumenthal and Gettoor (1968) and, in fact, our main result (Theorem 1) is contained in Theorem 5.14 of Chapter IV. We present here a direct treatment based on the theory of conditional Brownian motion which, in addition to giving the required decomposition, characterizes the functions  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and  $h_3$  in terms of their Martin boundary representations (Corollary 1). As will be seen in the examples, this last characterization is useful in understanding the nature of the non-uniformly integrable martingale component  $h_2$ . It is assumed throughout that the reader is familiar with the relationship between harmonic functions and Brownian motion as described in Doob (1954) and (1957a), and with the theory of the Martin boundary and conditional processes as developed in Doob (1957b) and (1958). Before stating the main result, we introduce some notation and recall a few facts.

Let  $D$  be a domain in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space which has a Green's function  $g$ . Let  $\partial D$  denote the Martin boundary of  $D$  and let  $\partial D_e$  denote the subset of  $\partial D$  consisting of the minimal points.  $K(\eta, \cdot)$  will denote the minimal harmonic function associated with  $\eta \in \partial D_e$  which is normalized so that  $K(\eta, \xi_0) = 1$  for a fixed  $\xi_0 \in D$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be the function space consisting of all continuous functions  $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow D \cup \partial D_e$  with the property that, if  $\omega(s) = \eta \in \partial D_e$ , then  $\omega(t) = \eta$  for all  $t \geq s$ .  $X(t)$  will denote the  $t$ th coordinate function on  $\Omega$ . Using the notation of Blumenthal and Gettoor (1968), let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}(t), X(t), \theta(t), P_\xi)$  denote the standard Brownian motion process on  $D$ , stopped when  $\partial D_e$  is hit. Note that we can write  $P_\xi$  (or  $E_\xi$ ) for  $\xi \in D \cup \partial D_e$ , but that each point of  $\partial D_e$  acts as an absorbing point. Define the lifetime  $\tau$  by the equation

$$\tau(\omega) = \inf \{t: X(t) \in \partial D_e\}.$$

If  $h$  is a positive harmonic function on  $D$ , then  $\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} h[X(t)]$  exists  $P_\xi$ -almost everywhere ( $\xi \in D$ ) and, in fact,  $h$  defines a Borel measurable boundary function (which we continue to denote by  $h$ ) on  $\partial D_e$  such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \tau} h[X(t)] = h[\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} X(t)]$$

$P_\xi$ -almost everywhere ( $\xi \in D$ ). When dealing with a given measure  $P_\xi$  ( $\xi \in D$ ), we adopt the convention that  $h[X(t)]$  equals the quantity in (1) if  $t \geq \tau$ . With this

---

Received February 2, 1971.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part under Contract AF-F44620-67-C-0049 at Stanford University.

notation, the process  $\{h[X(t)], 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$  is a supermartingale with respect to each of the measures  $P_\xi (\xi \in D)$ .

We shall make use of conditional Brownian motion processes which are conditioned to converge to certain minimal points  $\eta \in \partial D_e$ . If  $p$  denotes the transition density function of killed Brownian motion on  $D$ , then  $p^\eta$  will denote the conditional transition density function

$$p^\eta(t, \xi, \sigma) = p(t, \xi, \sigma)K(\eta, \sigma)/K(\eta, \xi).$$

A conditional process governed by  $p^\eta$  will be denoted by

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^\eta, \mathcal{F}^\eta(t), X(t), \theta(t), P_\xi^\eta).$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $h$  be a positive harmonic function defined on  $D$ . The function  $h$  can be written uniquely as a sum of three positive harmonic functions  $h = h_1 + h_2 + h_3$  where, for every  $\xi \in D$  and corresponding measure  $P_\xi$ ,*

- (a)  $\{h_1[X(t)], 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$  is a martingale,
- (b)  $\{h_2[X(t)], 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  is a martingale and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_2[X(t)] = 0$  almost everywhere,
- (c)  $\{h_3[X(t)], 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  is a supermartingale with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_3[X(t)] = 0$  almost everywhere and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_\xi\{h_3[X(t)]\} = 0$  (a potential).

It will be convenient for us to state two Lemmas before proceeding to the proof.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $h$  be a positive harmonic function whose canonical measure  $\mu_h(h(\xi) = \int_{\partial D_e} K(\eta, \xi) \mu_h(d\eta))$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$ . Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h[X(t)] = 0$$

$P_\xi$ -almost everywhere ( $\xi \in D$ ). In addition

$$(2) \quad E_\xi\{h[X(t)]\} = \int E_\xi\{K[\eta, X(t)]\} \mu_h(d\eta).$$

**PROOF.** The statement involving almost everywhere convergence is well known and so we will prove only (2). Fubini's Theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t < \tau} h[X(t)] dP_\xi &= \int_{t < \tau} \int K[\eta, X(t)] \mu_h(d\eta) dP_\xi \\ &= \int \int_{t < \tau} K[\eta, X(t)] dP_\xi \mu_h(d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} h[X(t)] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h[X(t)] = 0$$

$P_\xi$ -almost everywhere, we have

$$\int_{t < \tau} h[X(t)] dP_\xi = E_\xi\{h[X(t)]\}.$$

Since  $\mu_h$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$ , it follows that the measure  $\varepsilon_\eta$  (unit mass concentrated at  $\eta \in \partial D_e$ ) is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$ , for  $\mu_h$ -almost every  $\eta$ . Hence, by the same reasoning which led to the preceding equation,

$$\int_{t < \tau} K[\eta, X(t)] dP_\xi = E_\xi\{K[\eta, X(t)]\}$$

for  $\mu_h$ -almost every  $\eta$  and (2) follows.

LEMMA 2. For a fixed  $\eta \in \partial D_e$ , the function  $v$  defined by

$$v(\xi) = P_\xi^\eta\{\tau = \infty\}$$

is either identically 0 or identically 1.

PROOF. A standard argument shows that  $v$  is a bounded  $K(\eta, \cdot)$ -harmonic function on  $D$ . Since  $K(\eta, \cdot)$  is a minimal harmonic function,  $v$  is necessarily equal to a constant  $c$ . On the set where  $\tau = \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v[X(t)] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{X(t)}^\eta\{\tau = \infty\} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_\xi^\eta\{\tau = \infty \mid \mathcal{F}^\eta(t)\} \\ &= P_\xi^\eta\{\tau = \infty \mid \bigvee_{t > 0} \mathcal{F}^\eta(t)\} \\ &= I_{[\tau = \infty]} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$P_\xi^\eta$ -almost everywhere. It follows that, if  $v(\xi) > 0$  for some  $\xi$ , then  $c = 1$  and, if  $v(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi$ , then  $c = 0$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Decompose the canonical measure  $\mu_h$  associated with  $h$  by the formula  $\mu_h = \mu_h^a + \mu_h^s$  where  $\mu_h^a$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_1$ , and  $\mu_h^s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$ . If  $\mu_h^a(d\eta) = f(\eta)\mu_1(d\eta)$ , then

$$(3) \quad h(\xi) = \int K(\eta, \xi)f(\eta)\mu_1(d\eta) + \int K(\eta, \xi)\mu_h^s(d\eta).$$

The first term on the right of (3) is denoted by  $h_1$  and is simply the Perron-Wiener-Brelot solution to the Dirichlet problem corresponding to the Martin boundary function  $f$ . Hence  $h_1[X(t)]$  is uniformly integrable and (a) is well known.

In order to decompose the second term on the right of (3) still further, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \{\eta \in \partial D_e : P_\xi^\eta\{\tau = \infty\} = 1 \text{ for all } \xi \in D\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{\eta \in \partial D_e : P_\xi^\eta\{\tau = \infty\} = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in D\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2,  $\partial D_e = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ . Since

$$P_\xi^\eta\{\tau > t\} = \int p(t, \xi, \sigma)K(\eta, \sigma)/K(\eta, \xi) d\sigma$$

is a measurable function of  $\eta$ , it follows that  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are Borel measurable subsets of  $\partial D_e$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(\xi) &= \int_{\Gamma_1} K(\eta, \xi)\mu_h^s(d\eta), \\ h_3(\xi) &= \int_{\Gamma_2} K(\eta, \xi)\mu_h^s(d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu_h^s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_i[X(t)] = 0$$

$P_\xi$ -almost everywhere ( $\xi \in D$ ) for  $i = 2, 3$ . Lemma 1 also implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_\xi\{h_2[X(t)]\} &= \int_{\Gamma_1} E_\xi\{K[\eta, X(t)]\} \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_1} [\int p(t, \xi, \sigma) K(\eta, \sigma) d\sigma] \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 (4) \qquad &= \int_{\Gamma_1} K(\eta, \xi) [\int p^\eta(t, \xi, \sigma) d\sigma] \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_1} K(\eta, \xi) P_\xi^\eta\{\tau > t\} \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_1} K(\eta, \xi) \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 &= h_2(\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (4) implies that  $\{h_2[X(t)], 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  is a martingale and (b) is proved. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_\xi\{h_3[X(t)]\} &= \int_{\Gamma_2} K(\eta, \xi) P_\xi^\eta\{\tau > t\} \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 &\quad \downarrow \int_{\Gamma_2} K(\eta, \xi) P_\xi^\eta\{\tau = \infty\} \mu_h^s(d\eta) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and (c) follows easily.

The uniqueness of the decomposition follows from the following observations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \qquad h_1(\xi) &= E_\xi\{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h[X(t)]\}, \\
 h_2(\xi) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_\xi\{h[X(t)]\} - h_1, \\
 h_3 &= h - h_1 - h_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete.

We remark that the proof of Theorem 1 could have been carried out without mentioning the Martin boundary. We could simply define  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h_3$  by (5) and proceed from there. However, our method has tied the decomposition to the Martin boundary representation of the functions involved and we summarize the connection in the following Corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The canonical measures  $\mu_{h_1}, \mu_{h_2}$  and  $\mu_{h_3}$  of the functions  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h_3$  of Theorem 1 can be characterized by the following conditions:*

- (a)  $\mu_{h_1}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_1$ ,
- (b)  $\mu_{h_2}$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$  and is concentrated on  $\Gamma_1$ ,
- (c)  $\mu_{h_3}$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$  and is concentrated on  $\Gamma_2$ .

**2. Examples and comments.** Let  $D = \{(x, y) : -\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y\}$  be the upper half plane in 2-dimensional Euclidean space  $E^2$ . It is known that the Martin boundary  $\partial D (= \partial D_e)$  may be topologically identified with the lower Euclidean boundary together with the point at infinity (which we denote by  $\infty$ ). We claim

that every point of the lower boundary belongs to  $\Gamma_2$  while  $\infty$  belongs to  $\Gamma_1$ . We leave the routine verification of these points to the reader and remark only that the functions  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  in the decomposition of a positive harmonic function  $h$  on the half plane take the form

$$h_1(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s)y/[(x-s)^2 + y^2] ds,$$

$$h_2(x, y) = cy,$$

$$h_3(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y/[(x-s)^2 + y^2]\mu(ds),$$

where  $c$  is a nonnegative constant and  $\mu(ds)$  is singular with respect to  $\mu_1$  (or, equivalently, with respect to Lebesgue measure).

As a second example, let  $D$  be the unit disk in  $E^2$ . The Martin boundary of  $D$  coincides with the Euclidean boundary and by symmetry one of the sets  $\Gamma_1$  or  $\Gamma_2$  is empty. Since the lifetime of almost every Brownian path from a point  $\xi$  is finite, it follows that  $P_{\xi}^{\eta}\{\tau < \infty\} = 1$  for  $K(\eta, \xi)$   $\mu_1(d\eta)$ -almost every  $\eta$  and hence  $\Gamma_1$  is empty. It follows that  $h_2 = 0$  in the decomposition of any positive harmonic function on the disk.

As a final remark, we state a theorem concerning the decomposition of a positive supermartingale  $\{x_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  which is analogous to Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{x_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a positive supermartingale. There exists three uniquely determined (up to sets of measure zero) positive processes  $\{x_n^i, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) such that  $x_n = x_n^1 + x_n^2 + x_n^3$  for  $n \geq 1$  and*

- (a)  $\{x_n^1, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a uniformly integrable martingale,
- (b)  $\{x_n^2, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a martingale and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^2 = 0$  almost everywhere,
- (c)  $\{x_n^3, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a supermartingale with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^3 = 0$  almost everywhere and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\{x_n^3\} = 0$  (a potential).

**PROOF.** Let

$$x_n^1 = E\{\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m \mid \mathcal{F}_n\},$$

$$x_n^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E\{x_m \mid \mathcal{F}_n\} - x_n^1,$$

$$x_n^3 = x_n - x_n^1 - x_n^2.$$

The proof now follows by standard arguments (see the proof of the Riesz decomposition theorem given in Meyer (1966, page 89).

#### REFERENCES

- BLUMENTHAL, R. and GETTOOR, R. (1968). *Markov Processes and Potential Theory*. Academic Press, New York.
- DOOB, J. L. (1954). Semimartingales and subharmonic function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 86-121.

- DOOB, J. L. (1957a). Brownian motion on a green space. *Teor. Verojatnosti. i Primenen.* 2 3–33.
- DOOB, J. L. (1957b). Conditional Brownian motion and the boundary limits of harmonic functions. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 85 431–458.
- DOOB, J. L. (1958). Probability theory and the first boundary value problem. *Illinois J. Math.* 2 19–36.
- MEYER, P. A. (1966). *Probability and Potentials*. Blaisdell Publishing Co., Waltham.