Extremes of the stochastic heat equation with additive Lévy noise[*]

Carsten Chong[†]   Péter Kevei[‡]

Abstract

We analyze the spatial asymptotic properties of the solution to the stochastic heat equation driven by an additive Lévy space-time white noise. For fixed time $t > 0$ and space $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we determine the exact tail behavior of the solution both for light-tailed and for heavy-tailed Lévy jump measures. Based on these asymptotics we determine for any fixed time $t > 0$ the almost-sure growth rate of the solution as $|x| \to \infty$.

Keywords: almost-sure asymptotics; integral test; Poisson noise; regular variation; stable noise; stochastic PDE.
MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 60H15; 60F15; 60G70, Secondary 60G17; 60G51.
Submitted to EJP on April 1, 2022, final version accepted on September 12, 2022.
Supersedes arXiv:2203.06057.

1 Introduction

We consider the stochastic heat equation (SHE) on $\mathbb{R}^d$ driven by an additive Lévy space-time white noise $\dot{\Lambda}$, with zero initial condition, given by

$$\partial_t Y(t,x) = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Delta Y(t,x) + \dot{\Lambda}(t,x), \qquad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$
$$Y(0,\cdot) = 0,$$

(1.1)

where Δ stands for the Laplacian, $\kappa > 0$ is the diffusion constant, and the measure Λ is given by

$$\Lambda(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}x) = m\,\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}x + \int_{(1,\infty)} z\,\mu(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}z) + \int_{(0,1]} z\,(\mu - \nu)(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}z). \quad (1.2)$$

Here, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and μ is a Poisson random measure on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty)$ whose intensity measure ν takes the form $\nu(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}z) = \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}x\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$, with a Lévy measure satisfying

[†]Columbia University, USA. E-mail: carsten.chong@columbia.edu
[‡]University of Szeged, Hungary. E-mail: kevei@math.u-szeged.hu

$\int_{(0,\infty)} (1 \wedge z^2)\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$. To exclude trivialities, we always assume that λ is not identically zero.

In this case the mild solution to (1.1) can be written explicitly in the form

$$Y(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(t-s, x-y)\, \Lambda(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$g(t,x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\kappa t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\kappa t}}, \qquad t > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.4}$$

is the heat kernel. In our earlier paper [9] we obtained a complete description of the almost-sure growth behavior of $Y(t,x)$ at a fixed spatial point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as $t \to \infty$. In particular, $t \mapsto Y(t,x)$ satisfies a weak law of large numbers but surprisingly violates the strong law of large numbers. In the present paper we continue these investigations and analyze the almost-sure behavior for fixed time $t > 0$, as $|x| \to \infty$.

To this end, we determine in Section 2 the exact tail asymptotics for $Y(t,x)$ both for light-tailed and for heavy-tailed Lévy measures. Note that since the heat kernel is singular at the origin, the results in [15, 16, 26] for moving-average processes with bounded kernels do not apply. In [8] we proved that for any jump measure λ, the $(1 + \frac{2}{d})$-moment of $Y(t,x)$ is infinite, which suggests a power-law tail behavior. In Theorem 2.4 we show that this is indeed the case, regardless of whether the noise itself is light- or heavy-tailed. We also show that the tail behavior of the solution $Y(t,x)$ is governed by the tail of its largest jump, a common feature of heavy-tailed distributions. Furthermore, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the regular variation of the tail. In Section 3 the main result is Theorem 3.5, where we determine the tail asymptotics for $\sup_{x \in A} Y(t,x)$, with A being bounded Borel set. We prove that the tail is regularly varying under general conditions. Based on these results, we determine in Section 4 the almost-sure growth behavior of $Y(t,x)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Theorem 4.1 provides an integral test whether the almost-sure limsup as $x \to \infty$ of $\sup_{|y| \le x} Y(t,y)/f(x)$ is 0 or ∞. A similar integral test is given in Theorem 4.3 when the supremum is taken on the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$. A comparison of the two results show that the largest peaks of $Y(t,x)$ are typically not attained on the integer lattice.

The behavior of $Y(t,x)$ in the Lévy case is very different from the behavior in the Gaussian case, in which

$$\limsup_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{Y(t,x)}{(\log|x|)^{1/2}} = \left(\frac{4t}{\pi\kappa}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{1.5}$$

almost surely; see [20, Eq. (6.3)]. Recall that the maxima of iid Gaussian random variables has the same almost-sure growth rate, see [14, Example 3.5.4]. However, our results are characteristic of the heavy-tailed phenomena. Similar integral test for the almost-sure supremum holds for subordinators, see e.g. [3, Theorem III.9] and the remark after it, as well as for maxima of iid heavy-tailed random variables, see [14, Theorem 3.5.1]. All the proofs are gathered together in Section 5. In our companion paper [10] we address the same questions for the SHE with multiplicative Lévy noise.

Let us end this introductory section by stating necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of the jump measure λ for the existence of the integral (1.3). To the best of our knowledge, this result is new. While many works have studied sufficient conditions for existence [1, 5, 6, 28], necessary and sufficient conditions have only been derived for multiplicative noise [2] or for specific types of noises such as α-stable noise [12]. Introduce the measure η as

$$\eta(B) = \nu\Big(\{(s,y,z) : s \le t,\ g(s,y)z \in B\}\Big), \tag{1.6}$$

where $B \subseteq (0,\infty)$ is a Borel set.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that Λ is of the form* (1.2).

(i) The integral defining $Y(t,x)$ in (1.3) *exists if and only if (iff)*

$$\int_{(1,\infty)} (\log z)^{d/2}\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty \qquad and \qquad \begin{cases} \int_{(0,1]} z^2 |\log z|\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \int_{(0,1]} z^{1+2/d}\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

In this case, η is a Lévy measure and $Y(t,x)$ is infinitely divisible with characteristic function

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\mathrm{i}\theta Y(t,x)}] = \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i}\theta A + \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta u} - 1 - \mathrm{i}\theta u \mathbb{1}(u \leq 1) \right) \eta(\mathrm{d}u) \right\}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ stands for the indicator function and $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is some explicit constant.

(ii) The integral

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(0,\infty)} g(t-s, x-y)z\, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z) \tag{1.9}$$

exists iff

$$\int_{(1,\infty)} (\log z)^{d/2}\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty \qquad and \qquad \int_{(0,1]} z\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.2. Note that (1.7) is identical to the necessary and sufficient condition found in [2, Theorem 2.1] for the existence of solutions to the SHE with multiplicative noise in dimensions $d = 1, 2$ but is weaker than the necessary condition found in [2, Prop. 2.2] for $d \geq 3$. In other words, if $d \geq 3$, there are Lévy noises for which the SHE with additive noise has a solution but the SHE with multiplicative noise does not.

Whenever $\int_{(0,1]} z\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$, there is no need for compensation, so we assume without loss of generality that $m = \int_{(0,1]} z\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$. In this case,

$$Y(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(0,\infty)} g(t-s, x-y)z\, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z) = \sum_{\tau_i \leq t} g(t-\tau_i, x-\eta_i)\zeta_i, \tag{1.11}$$

where $(\tau_i, \eta_i, \zeta_i)$ are the points of the Poisson random measure μ. In what follows we always assume that (1.7) holds.

2 Tail asymptotics

Since $Y(t,x)$ is infinitely divisible, its tail behavior is the same as the tail behavior of its Lévy measure η, whenever the tail is subexponential. This result was proved in [13] for nonnegative infinitely divisible random variables and in [23, 24] in the general case. Therefore, we need to determine the tail of the Lévy measure η in (1.6).

For $\gamma > 0$ introduce the moments and truncated moments of λ as

$$m_\gamma(\lambda) = \int_{(0,\infty)} z^\gamma\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \qquad and \qquad M_\gamma(x) = \int_{(0,x]} z^\gamma\, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D = (2\pi\kappa t)^{d/2}$. For any $r > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\eta}(r) &= \eta((r,\infty)) \\ &= r^{-(1+2/d)} \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi\kappa(d+2)^{d/2+1}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{d/2} M_{1+2/d}(Dre^{ud/(d+2)})\, \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From the representation above we immediately see that as soon as $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, then $\overline{\eta}(r) \sim c\, r^{-1-2/d}$, for some $c > 0$. We can determine the tail even if this moment condition does not hold, provided that $\overline{\lambda}$ is regularly varying.

In the following, the class of regularly varying functions with index $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{RV}_\rho$. For the general theory on regular variation we refer to [4]. Write $\overline{\lambda}(r) = \lambda((r, \infty))$. By Theorem 1.1 in [18] for $\alpha > 0$, $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ iff the truncated moment $M_{1+2/d}$ in (2.1) is also regularly varying. However, for $\alpha = 0$, the latter holds iff $\overline{\lambda}$ belongs to the de Haan class (see e.g. [4, Thm. 3.7.1]). Therefore, it is more difficult to determine the asymptotics of $\overline{\eta}$ for $\alpha = 0$, and in fact the result itself is surprising. In what follows $\sim$ stands for asymptotic equivalence, that is $a(x) \sim b(x)$ as $x \to \infty$ if $\lim_{x \to \infty} a(x)/b(x) = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let λ satisfy* (1.7).

(i) Assume that $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$. Then

$$\overline{\eta}(r) \sim r^{-1-2/d} \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi\kappa(d+2)^{d/2+1}} m_{1+2/d}(\lambda), \qquad r \to \infty.$$

(ii) Assume that $\overline{\lambda}(r) = \ell(r)r^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1 + \frac{2}{d}]$, where ℓ is slowly varying, and if $\alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{d}$, further assume that $\int_1^\infty \ell(u)u^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u = \infty$. Then as $r \to \infty$,

$$\overline{\eta}(r) \sim \begin{cases} \ell(r)r^{-\alpha} \dfrac{D^{1+2/d-\alpha}}{d\pi\kappa\alpha^{d/2}(1 + \frac{2}{d} - \alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{d}, \\ L(r)r^{-1-2/d}(d\pi\kappa(1 + \frac{2}{d})^{d/2})^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{d}, \end{cases}$$

where the slowly varying function L is defined as

$$L(r) = \int_1^r \ell(u)u^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u. \tag{2.3}$$

(iii) Assume that $\alpha = 0$ and $\overline{\lambda}(x) = \ell(x)$ is slowly varying. Then as $r \to \infty$,

$$\overline{\eta}(r) \sim L_0(r) \frac{D^{1+2/d}}{2\pi\kappa\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)(1 + \frac{2}{d})},$$

where

$$L_0(r) := \int_1^\infty \ell(ry)y^{-1}(\log y)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}y$$

is slowly varying and $L_0(r)/\ell(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.

Example 2.3. Assume that $\overline{\lambda}(r) = (\log r)^{-\beta}$ for $r > e$. Then (1.7) holds iff $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$. By substituting $u = (1 + \log y/\log r)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$L_0(r) = (\log r)^{d/2-\beta}\,\mathrm{B}(\tfrac{d}{2}, \beta - \tfrac{d}{2}), \qquad r > e,$$

where B is the usual beta function.

To determine the tail of the spatial supremum, we need the tail of the largest contribution to $Y(t, x)$ by a single atom. Without loss of generality, consider $x = 0$ and define

$$\overline{Y}(t) = \sup_{\tau_i \leq t} g(t - \tau_i, \eta_i)\zeta_i. \tag{2.4}$$

For $r > 0$ large, let

$$S_r = \{(s, y, z) : s \in [0, t], g(s, y)z > r\}.$$

Clearly, $\overline{Y}(t) \leq r$ iff $\mu(S_r) = 0$, which shows that

$$\mathbf{P}(\overline{Y}(t) \leq r) = e^{-\nu(S_r)} = e^{-\overline{\eta}(r)}. \tag{2.5}$$

As a result we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $Y(t, x)$ be given in (1.3) and assume (1.7).*

(i) The tail function $\overline{\eta}$ has extended regular variation at infinity [4, p. 65], that is, there are $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $c > 1$,

$$c^{\theta_1} \leq \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\eta}(cx)}{\overline{\eta}(x)} \leq \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\eta}(cx)}{\overline{\eta}(x)} \leq c^{\theta_2}. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) As $r \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P}(Y(t, x) > r) \sim \mathbf{P}(\overline{Y}(t) > r) \sim \overline{\eta}(r). \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) For $\alpha \in [0, 1 + \frac{2}{d})$, $\overline{\eta} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ iff $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$. For $\alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{d}$, we have $\overline{\eta} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-1-2/d}$ iff $r \mapsto \int_0^r u^{2/d} \int_1^\infty \overline{\lambda}(uv)(\log v)^{d/2-1} v^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}u$ is slowly varying. In particular, the latter holds if $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$.

3 Spatial supremum

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite and positive Lebesgue measure and define

$$X_A(t) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{\eta_i \in \overline{A}, \tau_i \leq t} (2\pi\kappa(t - \tau_i))^{-d/2} \zeta_i \mathbb{1}_{\{(2\pi\kappa(t-\tau_i))^{-d/2}\zeta_i > 1\}} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{\eta_i \in \overline{A}, \tau_i \leq t} (2\pi\kappa(t - \tau_i))^{-d/2} \zeta_i & \text{if } d \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\overline{A}$ is the closure of A. Since $X_A(t)$ is a functional of a Poisson random measure, one easily obtains necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence.

Define the measure τ on $(0, \infty)$ as

$$\tau(B) = (\mathrm{Leb} \times \lambda)\Big(\big\{(s, z) : (2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2} z \in B \cap (\mathbb{1}_{\{d=1\}}, \infty), \ s \leq t\big\}\Big), \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{3.2}$$

where Leb is the Lebesgue measure. For a Borel set A let $|A|$ be its Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $|\overline{A}| \in (0, \infty)$. The sum $X_A(t)$ is finite a.s. iff*

$$\int_{(0,1)} z^{2/d} |\log z|^{\mathbb{1}_{\{d=2\}}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore, if (3.3) holds then

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\mathrm{i}\theta X_A(t)}] = \exp\left\{|\overline{A}| \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta u}) \, \tau(\mathrm{d}u)\right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that (3.3) holds for any Lévy measure if $d = 1$. From (3.2) we obtain that for $r > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\tau}(r) = \tau((r, \infty)) &= \int_{(0,\infty)} \Big((2\pi\kappa)^{-1}(z/r)^{2/d} \wedge t\Big) \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \\ &= r^{-2/d}(2\pi\kappa)^{-1} M_{2/d}(rD) + t\,\overline{\lambda}(rD) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi\kappa d} r^{-2/d} \int_0^{rD} u^{2/d-1} \overline{\lambda}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In specific cases, we can determine the asymptotic behavior of $\overline{\tau}$ explicitly.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume (3.3).*

(i) If $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, then $\overline{\tau}(r) \sim (2\pi\kappa)^{-1} m_{2/d}(\lambda) r^{-2/d}$ as $r \to \infty$.

(ii) Assume that $\overline{\lambda}(r) = \ell(r)r^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{2}{d}]$, where ℓ is slowly varying, and further assume $\int_1^\infty \ell(u)u^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u = \infty$ if $\alpha = \frac{2}{d}$. Recalling the definition of L from (2.3), we have as $r \to \infty$ that

$$\overline{\tau}(r) \sim \begin{cases} \dfrac{2tD^{-\alpha}}{2-d\alpha}\ell(r)r^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < \frac{2}{d}, \\[2mm] \dfrac{1}{\pi\kappa d}L(r)r^{-2/d} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{2}{d}. \end{cases}$$

The lemma combined with a truncation argument immediately implies that if (3.3) holds then

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} r^{2/d}\overline{\tau}(r) > 0, \tag{3.6}$$

possibly infinite.

Introduce the notation

$$\overline{X}_A(t) = \sup\left\{ (2\pi\kappa(t-\tau_i))^{-d/2}\zeta_i \ : \ \tau_i \le t, \eta_i \in \overline{A} \right\}. \tag{3.7}$$

To determine the tail of $\overline{X}_A(t)$, let $T_r = \{(s,z) : s \le t, \ (2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2}z > r\}$. Then $\overline{X}_A(t) \le r$ iff $\mu(A \times T_r) = 0$, thus

$$\mathbf{P}(\overline{X}_A(t) > r) = 1 - e^{-|\overline{A}|\overline{\tau}(r)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Assume* (3.3).

(i) The tail function $\overline{\tau}$ has extended regular variation at infinity.

(ii) For every bounded Borel set A,

$$\mathbf{P}(X_A(t) > r) \sim \mathbf{P}(\overline{X}_A(t) > r) \sim |\overline{A}|\,\overline{\tau}(r), \qquad r \to \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

(iii) For $\alpha \in [0, \frac{2}{d})$, $\overline{\tau} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ iff $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$. For $\alpha = \frac{2}{d}$, we have $\overline{\tau} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-2/d}$ iff $r \mapsto \int_0^r u^{2/d-1}\overline{\lambda}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$ is slowly varying. In particular, the latter holds if $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$ or if $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-2/d}$.

In order to determine the tail asymptotics of the local supremum of the solution, let us introduce for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the measure on $(0, \infty)$

$$\eta_A(B) = \nu\left(\left\{(s,y,z) : \ s \le t, \ (2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2}e^{-\frac{\mathrm{dist}(y,A)^2}{2\kappa s}}z \in B \cap (\mathbb{1}_{\{d=1\}}, \infty)\right\}\right), \tag{3.10}$$

where $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

If $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$ or if $\overline{\lambda}$ is regularly varying with index $-\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{2}{d}]$, one can express $\overline{\eta}_A$ in terms of $\overline{\tau}$ or $\overline{\lambda}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a bounded Borel set. Assume* (3.3) *and $\int_{(1,\infty)}(\log z)^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$.*

(i) If $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$ or $\overline{\lambda}(r) = \ell(r)r^{-2/d}$ and ℓ is slowly varying with $\int_1^\infty \ell(u)u^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u = \infty$, then

$$\overline{\eta}_A(r) \sim |\overline{A}|\,\overline{\tau}(r), \qquad r \to \infty.$$

(ii) If $\overline{\lambda}(r) = \ell(r)r^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{2}{d})$, where ℓ is slowly varying, then

$$\overline{\eta}_A(r) \sim \overline{\lambda}(r)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(2\pi\kappa s)^{-\alpha d/2}e^{-\frac{\alpha\,\mathrm{dist}(y,A)^2}{2\kappa s}}\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y, \qquad r \to \infty.$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let A be a bounded Borel set. Assume* (3.3) *and $\int_{(1,\infty)}(\log z)^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$. If $d = 1$, further assume that*

$$\exists q \in (0,2) : \ M_q(1) < \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

Then under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4 (i) or (ii) we have that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{x\in A} Y(t,x) > r\right) \sim \overline{\eta}_A(r), \qquad r \to \infty.$$

Remark 3.6. As the proof shows, even without the assumptions of Lemma 3.4 (i) and (ii), the statement of Theorem 3.5 continues to hold provided $\overline{\eta}_A$ is subexponential. We were not able to prove or disprove this in general.

4 Growth rate

In what follows we assume (3.3). For $r > 0$ and $0 \le r_1 < r_2$, we write

$$V(r) = \left\{ (s, z) \colon \frac{z}{(2\pi\kappa s)^{d/2}} > r, \ s \le t \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Recalling (3.2) we have for $r > 1$

$$(\mathrm{Leb} \times \lambda)(V(r)) = \overline{\tau}(r). \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\overline{\tau}(r)$ is a continuous strictly decreasing function, with $\overline{\tau}(\infty) = 0$ and $\overline{\tau}(0+) = \infty$ whenever $\lambda((0,1)) = \infty$. If $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, then by (3.5)

$$\overline{\tau}(r) \le r^{-2/d}(2\pi\kappa)^{-1}m_{2/d}(\lambda). \tag{4.3}$$

From (3.5) we further see that whenever $\int_{(0,1]} z^{2/d}\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = \infty$ we have $\overline{\tau}(r) = \infty$ for any $r > 0$. Therefore, for any $r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a point (τ, η, ζ) of the Poisson random measure μ, such that $\eta \in B(x, r)$ and $(2\pi\kappa(t - \tau))^{-d/2}\zeta > r$, implying

$$\sup_{y \colon |x - y| \le r} Y(t, y) \ge g(t - \tau, 0)\zeta > r.$$

As this holds for any $r > 0$ we obtain

$$\sup_{y \colon |x - y| \le r} Y(t, y) = \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, our standing assumption (3.3) is optimal for $d \ge 3$ and almost optimal for $d = 2$. For a more general result in this direction, see [7, Thm. 3.3]. Furthermore, by [7, Thm. 3.1], if $\int_{(0,1]} z^p\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$ for some $p < \frac{2}{d}$, then for any fixed t the function $x \mapsto Y(t, x)$ is a.s. continuous.

If $\int_0^\infty z^{2/d}\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, the non-Gaussian analogue of (1.5) (see also [11, Thm. 1.2]) reads as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be nondecreasing and assume that* (3.3) *holds. If $d = 1$, further assume* (3.11). *Then almost surely*

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{|y| \le x} Y(t, y)}{f(x)} = \infty \qquad or \qquad \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{|y| \le x} Y(t, y)}{f(x)} = 0,$$

according to whether the following integral diverges or converges:

$$\int_1^\infty r^{d-1}\overline{\tau}(f(r))\,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.5}$$

The result says that there is no proper normalization. If $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, then almost surely there are infinitely many peaks in $B(x) = \{y : |y| \le x\}$ that are larger than $x^{d^2/2}(\log x)^{d/2}$ but only finitely many that are larger than $x^{d^2/2}(\log x)^{d/2+\varepsilon}$.

Remark 4.2. If the Lévy measure is small in the sense that $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, then the large peaks of $Y(t, x)$ are caused by points very close to the time t. (If we remove jumps close to (t, x), this is equivalent to removing the singularity of g in (1.3), the local spatial supremum of the resulting process would have a finite moment of order $\frac{2}{d}$. In particular,

its tail probability would be $o(r^{-2/d})$, which by the arguments of the proof of the theorem implies that the peaks will be of smaller order.) However, if $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$, then the peaks are caused by large jumps, which are not necessarily close to t. Indeed, assume that the integral in (4.5) diverges. For some $\delta \in (0, t)$ and large $K > 0$ define

$$\widetilde{A}_n = \{\mu(\{(s, y, z) : s \leq t - \delta, \ |y| \in [n, n+1], \ z > Kf(n)\}) \geq 1\}.$$

Then as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P}(\widetilde{A}_n) \sim Cn^{d-1}\overline{\lambda}(f(n)) \sim Cn^{d-1}\overline{\tau}(f(n)),$$

showing that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\widetilde{A}_n) = \infty$. By the second Borel–Cantelli lemma $\widetilde{A}_n$ occurs infinitely often.

In line with the previous remark we show in our next and final result that the largest peaks of $x \mapsto Y(t, x)$ are typically *not* attained at integer locations if $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$. To this end, introduce the process

$$Y_0(t, x) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{(0,\infty)} g(t-s, x-y) z \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \ g(t-s, x-y)z > 1\}} \, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z), & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{(0,\infty)} g(t-s, x-y) z \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}} \, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z), & \text{if } d \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

(4.6)

which is infinitely divisible with Lévy measure

$$\eta_0(B) = \nu(\{(s, y, z) : s \leq t, \ |y| \leq \tfrac{1}{2}, \ g(s, y)z \in B \cap (\mathbb{1}_{\{d=1\}}, \infty)\}).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be nondecreasing and assume that (1.7) holds. If $d = 1$, further assume (3.11). Then*

$$\int_1^{\infty} r^{d-1}\overline{\eta}(f(r)) \, \mathrm{d}r < \infty \implies \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\max_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, |y| \leq x} Y(t, y)}{f(x)} = 0,$$

$$\int_1^{\infty} r^{d-1}\overline{\eta}_0(f(r)) \, \mathrm{d}r = \infty \implies \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\max_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, |y| \leq x} Y(t, y)}{f(x)} = \infty.$$

The result above is optimal if $\overline{\eta}(r) \asymp \overline{\eta}_0(r)$ $(0 < \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\eta}_0(r)}{\overline{\eta}(r)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{\eta}_0(r)}{\overline{\eta}(r)} < \infty)$. We end with a sufficient condition for the asymptotic equivalence of $\overline{\eta}$ and $\overline{\eta}_0$ and an example where they are not.

Lemma 4.4. *(i) If $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, or if there exist $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for $r, y > 1$ large enough*

$$\frac{\overline{\lambda}(ry)}{\overline{\lambda}(r)} \leq Cy^{-\delta}, \tag{4.7}$$

then $\overline{\eta}_0(r) \asymp \overline{\eta}(r)$ as $r \to \infty$.

(ii) Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 (iii) we have $\overline{\eta}_0(r) = o(\overline{\eta}(r))$ as $r \to \infty$.

To make the remark before Theorem 4.3 precise, let $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$. Then by Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2 we see that $\overline{\eta}(r) = c_1 r^{-(1+2/d)}$ and $\overline{\tau}(r) = c_2 r^{-2/d}$ as $r \to \infty$. Applying Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 we see that for $d^2/(d+2) < a \leq d^2/2$ we have

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{|y| \leq x} Y(t, x)}{x^a} = \infty,$$

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, |y| \leq x} Y(t, x)}{x^a} = 0,$$

which means that the largest peaks are not attained at integer locations.

5 Proofs

5.1 Proofs for Section 1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with the part (ii). By standard results on Poisson integrals (see e.g. [21, Thm. 2.7]), the integral in (1.9) exists a.s. iff

$$\iiint (1 \wedge g(s,y)z) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty,$$

where $\iiint = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(0,\infty)}$. For any $u > 0$

$$g(s,y) \leq u \iff s \geq (2\pi\kappa u^{2/d})^{-1} =: H_1(u) \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ s \in (0, H_1(u)) \right.$$
$$\left. \text{and } |y| \geq \sqrt{-\kappa ds \log(2\pi\kappa s u^{2/d})} = \sqrt{\kappa ds \log(H_1(u)/s)} =: H_2(s,u) \right\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Note that if $z \leq (2\pi\kappa t)^{d/2} =: D$, then $H_1(1/z) \leq t$. Let

$$A_1 = \{(s,y,z) : z \leq D, s \leq H_1(1/z), |y| \leq H_2(s, 1/z)\},$$
$$A_2 = \{(s,y,z) : z > D, s \leq t, |y| \leq H_2(s, 1/z)\}$$

and

$$B_1 = \{(s,y,z) : t \geq s > H_1(1/z)\},$$
$$B_{21} = \{(s,y,z) : z \leq D, s \leq H_1(1/z), |y| > H_2(s, 1/z)\},$$
$$B_{22} = \{(s,y,z) : z > D, s \leq t, |y| > H_2(s, 1/z)\}.$$

Then $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_{21}, B_{22}$ form a partition of $(0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty)$. Moreover, by (5.1), $1 \leq g(s,y)z$ iff $(s,y,z) \in A_1 \cup A_2$.

Consider the upper incomplete gamma function $\Gamma(s,x) = \int_x^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-u} \, \mathrm{d}u$. For $r \leq H_1(1/z)$, by a change of variables $v = \log(H_1(1/z)/s)$,

$$\int_0^r H_2(s, 1/z)^d \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^r \left(\kappa ds \log \frac{H_1(1/z)}{s} \right)^{d/2} \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= (\kappa d)^{d/2} H_1(1/z)^{d/2+1} \int_{\log \frac{H_1(1/z)}{r}}^\infty e^{-v(d/2+1)} v^{d/2} \, \mathrm{d}v \tag{5.2}$$
$$= (\kappa d)^{d/2} \left(\frac{2}{d+2} \right)^{d/2+1} H_1(1/z)^{d/2+1} \Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}+1, (\tfrac{d}{2}+1)\log(H_1(1/z)/r)).$$

Therefore, on A_1, after simplifying the constant,

$$\iiint_{A_1} (1 \wedge g(s,y)z) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_{(0,D]} \int_0^{H_1(1/z)} v_d H_2(s, 1/z)^d \, \mathrm{d}s \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$
$$= \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi\kappa(d+2)^{d/2+1}} \int_{(0,D]} z^{1+2/d} \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z),$$

where $v_d = \pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}+1)$ is the volume of the unit ball $B(1)$. We see that this integral is finite iff $\int_{(0,1]} z^{1+2/d} \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$. On A_2,

$$\iiint_{A_2} (1 \wedge g(s,y)z) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$
$$= \frac{d^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}+1)\pi\kappa(d+2)^{d/2+1}} \int_{(D,\infty)} z^{1+2/d} \Gamma\left(\tfrac{d}{2}+1, (\tfrac{d}{2}+1)\log \frac{z^{2/d}}{2\pi\kappa t} \right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}z).$$

Since $\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1,u) \sim e^{-u}u^{d/2}$ as $u \to \infty$,

$$z^{1+2/d}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1,(\frac{d}{2}+1)\log\frac{z^{2/d}}{2\pi\kappa t}\right) \sim (2\pi\kappa t)^{d/2+1}(1+\frac{2}{d})^{d/2}(\log z)^{d/2},$$

as $z \to \infty$, which implies that

$$\iiint_{A_2}(1 \wedge g(s,y)z)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty \iff \int_{(1,\infty)}(\log z)^{d/2}\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.$$

On B_1,

$$\iiint_{B_1}g(s,y)z\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_{(0,D]}z(t-H_1(1/z))\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z),$$

which is finite iff $\int_{(0,1]}z\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$. For any $h > 0$,

$$\int_{|y|>h}g(s,y)\,\mathrm{d}y = dv_d(2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2}\int_h^\infty e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\kappa s}}r^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}r = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2},\frac{h^2}{2\kappa s})}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}. \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore, for any $a > 0$,

$$\int_0^a \Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2},\tfrac{d}{2}\log\tfrac{a}{s})\,\mathrm{d}s = a\Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2})(1-(1+\tfrac{2}{d})^{-d/2}). \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore, by (5.3) and (5.4),

$$\iiint_{B_{21}}g(s,y)z\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = (2\pi\kappa)^{-1}(1-(1+\tfrac{2}{d})^{-d/2})\int_{(0,D]}z^{1+2/d}\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z).$$

Finally, on B_{22}, we use (5.3) and the asymptotics $\Gamma(\frac{d}{2},u) \sim e^{-u}u^{d/2-1}$ to obtain that

$$\iiint_{B_{22}}g(s,y)z\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty \iff \int_{(1,\infty)}(\log z)^{d/2-1}\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.$$

Summarizing, (ii) follows. By [21, Thm. 2.7 (ii)] the characteristic function of the integral in (1.9) is

$$\exp\left\{-\iiint(1-e^{\mathrm{i}\theta g(s,y)z})\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)\right\} = \exp\left\{-\int_{(0,\infty)}(1-e^{\mathrm{i}\theta u})\,\eta(\mathrm{d}u)\right\}. \tag{5.5}$$

To prove the existence of $Y(t,x)$ defined as a compensated integral, we use the stochastic integration theory from [25]. By writing

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(t,x) &= mt + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{(0,1]}g(t-s,x-y)z\,(\mu-\nu)(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{d}z)\\
&\quad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{(1,\infty)}g(t-s,x-y)z\,\mu(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{d}z)\\
&=: mt + Y_s(t,x) + Y_b(t,x)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

and the previously proved existence result for $Y_b(t,x)$, it is enough to deal with $Y_s(t,x)$, that is, we may assume that there are only small jumps. Spelling out [25, Thm. 2.7] to our setting, we obtain that $Y(t,x)$ exists iff

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{(0,1]}g(s,y)z\mathbb{1}(g(s,y)z>1)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty, \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(0,1]} (1 \wedge g(s,y)^2 z^2) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty. \tag{5.8}$$

To check (5.7), as in (5.3) write

$$\int_{|y| \leq h} g(s,y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{h^2}{2\kappa s}} e^{-u} u^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}u.$$

Thus, as in (5.4),

$$\int_0^{H_1(1/z)} \int_0^{H_2^2(s,1/z)/(2\kappa s)} e^{-u} u^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}s = H_1(1/z)(1 + \tfrac{2}{d})^{-d/2} \Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}),$$

which gives that

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(0,1]} g(s,y) z \mathbb{1}(g(s,y)z > 1) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = (1 + \tfrac{2}{d})^{-d/2} \int_{(0,1]} z H_1(1/z) \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z).$$

The latter integral exists iff $\int_{(0,1]} z^{1+2/d} \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$.

For (5.8), by the previous calculations, we only have to deal with the integral on $B_1 \cup B_{21}$. As

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(s,y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y = 2^{-d} (\pi\kappa)^{-d/2} s^{-d/2},$$

we obtain that

$$\iiint_{B_1} g(s,y)^2 z^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$$

iff the second part of (1.7) holds. Finally, for $h > 0$,

$$\int_{|y|>h} g(s,y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{h^2}{\kappa s})}{2^d (\pi\kappa s)^{d/2} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})},$$

and for $a > 0$,

$$\int_0^a s^{-d/2} \Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}, d \log \tfrac{a}{s}) \, \mathrm{d}s = a^{1-d/2} \Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}) \frac{2(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{d})^{-d/2} - 2}{d - 2},$$

where the last fraction is $\frac{1}{2}$ if $d = 2$. Thus,

$$\iiint_{B_{21}} g(s,y)^2 z^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = 2^{-d} (\pi\kappa)^{-d/2} \frac{2(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{d})^{-d/2} - 2}{d - 2} \int_{(0,1]} z^2 H_1(1/z)^{1-d/2} \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z),$$

which is finite iff $\int_{(0,1]} z^{1+2/d} \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$. In summary, (5.7) and (5.8) hold iff (1.7) holds.

By [25, Thm. 2.7 (iv)], the characteristic function of $Y_s(t,x)$ is

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\mathrm{i}\theta Y_s(t,x)}] = \exp\left\{ -\mathrm{i}\theta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{(0,1]} \mathbb{1}(g(s,y)z > 1) g(s,y) z \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta u} - 1 - \mathrm{i}\theta(u \wedge 1) \right) \eta(\mathrm{d}u) \right\}.$$

Combining with (5.5), we obtain (1.8). $\square$

5.2 Proofs for Section 2

Proof of Lemma 2.1. By (5.1) and (5.2) and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\eta}(r) &= \int_{(0,\infty)} \int_0^{H_1(r/z)\wedge t} v_d H_2(s,r/z)^d \, \mathrm{d}s \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \\
&= \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi\kappa(d+2)^{d/2+1}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \left(\frac{z}{r}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{d}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1, (\tfrac{d}{2}+1)\log\frac{H_1(r/z)}{H_1(r/z)\wedge t}\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \quad (5.9) \\
&= r^{-(1+2/d)} \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi\kappa(d+2)^{d/2+1}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{d/2} M_{1+2/d}(Dre^{ud/(d+2)}) \, \mathrm{d}u,
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the exact formula for $\overline{\eta}(r)$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. (i) If $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, the asymptotic result follows immediately from (2.2).

(ii) Integration by parts gives for any $\gamma > 0$, for which the integral exists (at 0 it might explode), that

$$
M_\gamma(r) = \int_{(0,r]} z^\gamma \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_0^r \gamma u^{\gamma-1} \overline{\lambda}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u - r^\gamma \overline{\lambda}(r). \tag{5.10}
$$

Thus, as $r \to \infty$, we have by Karamata's theorem (see [4, Prop. 1.5.8 and 1.5.9a]) that for $\gamma > \alpha$,

$$
M_\gamma(r) \sim r^\gamma \overline{\lambda}(r) \frac{\alpha}{\gamma-\alpha} = r^{\gamma-\alpha} \ell(r) \frac{\alpha}{\gamma-\alpha}, \tag{5.11}
$$

while for $\gamma = \alpha$, if the integral exists,

$$
M_\alpha(r) \sim \alpha \int_1^r \ell(y) y^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}y = \alpha L(r), \tag{5.12}
$$

where L is slowly varying and $L(r)/\ell(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.

By (5.11) with $\gamma = 1 + \frac{2}{d}$ (or (5.12) for $\alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{d}$) and properties of slowly varying functions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1+2/d}(r) &\int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{d/2} \frac{M_{1+2/d}(Dre^{ud/(d+2)})}{M_{1+2/d}(r)} \, \mathrm{d}u \\
&\sim M_{1+2/d}(r) \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{d/2} (De^{ud/(d+2)})^{1+2/d-\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}u \\
&= M_{1+2/d}(r) D^{1+2/d-\alpha} \left(\frac{d+2}{\alpha d}\right)^{d/2+1} \Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}+1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the use of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem is justified by Potter's bounds.

(iii) For $\alpha = 0$ the truncated moment $M_{1+2/d}$ is not necessarily regularly varying, therefore more care is needed. First we analyze L_0, which is finite by (1.7). Indeed, since $\overline{\lambda}$ is nonincreasing, so is L_0, and

$$
L_0(1) \leq \int_1^\infty \overline{\lambda}(y) y^{-1} (\log y)^{d/2-1} \mathrm{d}y = \frac{2}{d} \int_{(1,\infty)} (\log z)^{d/2} \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.
$$

Furthermore, for any large K,

$$
L_0(r) \geq \int_1^K \ell(ry) y^{-1} (\log y)^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}y \sim \ell(r) \int_1^K y^{-1} (\log y)^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}y.
$$

Since the latter integral goes to infinity as $K \to \infty$, we obtain that $L_0(r)/\ell(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Next, for $a > 1$,

$$L_0(ar) = \int_a^\infty \ell(ry) y^{-1} (\log y/a)^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

thus

$$L_0(r) - L_0(ar) = \int_1^a \frac{\ell(ry)}{y} (\log y)^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_a^\infty \frac{\ell(ry)}{y} \left((\log y)^{d/2-1} - (\log y/a)^{d/2-1} \right) \mathrm{d}y,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{L_0(r) - L_0(ar)}{L_0(r)} = 0,$$

that is, $L_0(r)$ is indeed slowly varying. Furthermore, for any $a > 1$,

$$L_0(r) \sim \int_a^\infty \ell(ry) y^{-1} (\log y)^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}y, \qquad r \to \infty. \tag{5.13}$$

Next we turn to $\overline{\eta}(r)$. Changing variables $y = Dre^{ud/(d+2)}$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$\overline{\eta}(r) = \frac{D^{1+2/d}}{d\pi\kappa\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \int_{Dr}^\infty y^{-2-2/d} \left(\log \frac{y}{Dr} \right)^{d/2} M_{1+2/d}(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_r^\infty y^{-2-2/d} \left(\log y/r \right)^{d/2} M_{1+2/d}(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{(0,r]} z^{1+2/d} \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \, r^{-1-2/d} \int_1^\infty u^{-2-2/d} (\log u)^{d/2} \, \mathrm{d}u$$
$$+ \int_{(r,\infty)} z^{1+2/d} r^{-1-2/d} \int_{z/r}^\infty u^{-2-2/d} (\log u)^{d/2} \, \mathrm{d}u \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$
$$= r^{-1-2/d} \int_{(0,\infty)} z^{1+2/d} f(1 \vee z/r) \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z),$$

where $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$ and $f(y) = \int_y^\infty u^{-2-2/d} (\log u)^{d/2} \, \mathrm{d}u$. Using the fundamental theorem of calculus to write $z^{1+2/d} f(1 \vee z/r)$ as an integral, exchanging the two resulting integrals by Fubini's theorem, and changing variables $y = z/r$, we obtain

$$r^{-1-2/d} \int_{(0,\infty)} z^{1+2/d} f(1 \vee z/r) \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \overline{\lambda}(ry) \left[(1+\tfrac{2}{d}) y^{2/d} f(1 \vee y) - \mathbb{1}(y > 1)(\log y)^{d/2} y^{-1} \right] \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_0^1 \overline{\lambda}(ry)(1+\tfrac{2}{d}) y^{2/d} f(1) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$+ \int_1^\infty \overline{\lambda}(ry)(1+\tfrac{2}{d}) y^{2/d} \int_y^\infty u^{-2-2/d} \left[(\log u)^{d/2} - (\log y)^{d/2} \right] \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Using that

$$(1+\tfrac{2}{d}) y^{2/d} \int_y^\infty u^{-2-2/d} \left[(\log u)^{d/2} - (\log y)^{d/2} \right] \mathrm{d}u = \tfrac{d}{2} y^{2/d} \int_y^\infty v^{-2-2/d} (\log v)^{d/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}v,$$

we end up with

$$\overline{\eta}(r/D) = \frac{D^{1+2/d}}{d\pi\kappa\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \left[\int_0^1 \overline{\lambda}(ry)(1+\tfrac{2}{d})y^{2/d}f(1)\,\mathrm{d}y \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_1^\infty \overline{\lambda}(ry)\tfrac{d}{2}y^{2/d}\int_y^\infty v^{-2-2/d}(\log v)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}v\,\mathrm{d}y \right]. \tag{5.14}$$

As $y \to \infty$,

$$y^{2/d}\int_y^\infty v^{-2-2/d}(\log v)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}v \sim (1+\tfrac{2}{d})^{-1}y^{-1}(\log y)^{d/2-1},$$

so for K large enough,

$$\int_K^\infty \overline{\lambda}(ry)y^{2/d}\int_y^\infty v^{-2-2/d}(\log v)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}v\,\mathrm{d}y \sim (1+\tfrac{2}{d})^{-1}\int_K^\infty \overline{\lambda}(ry)y^{-1}(\log y)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}y$$
$$\sim (1+\tfrac{2}{d})^{-1}L_0(r),$$

where the last asymptotic follows from (5.13). Since $\ell(r)/L_0(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$,

$$\overline{\eta}(r/D) \sim \frac{D^{1+2/d}}{2\pi\kappa\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)(1+\frac{2}{d})}L_0(r),$$

as claimed. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. (i) Starting from the first line of (5.9), we can also write $\overline{\eta}(r)$ as

$$\overline{\eta}(r) = v_d(\kappa d)^{d/2}\int_0^t s^{d/2}\int_{(r(2\pi\kappa s)^{d/2},\infty)}\left(\log\frac{z^{2/d}}{2\pi\kappa s r^{2/d}}\right)^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)\,\mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \frac{(2t)^{1+d/2}\kappa^{d/2}v_d}{d}r^{-1-2/d}\int_0^r v^{2/d}\int_{(Dv,\infty)}\left(\log\frac{z}{Dv}\right)^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)\,\mathrm{d}v, \tag{5.15}$$

where we changed variables $v = (s/t)^{d/2}r$ to go from the first to the second line. By the fundamental theorem of calculus we have $\log\overline{\eta}(r) = C + \int_1^r \xi(v)v^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}v$ with

$$C = \log\frac{(2t)^{1+d/2}\kappa^{d/2}v_d}{d} + \log\int_0^1 v^{2/d}\int_{(Dv,\infty)}\left(\log\frac{z}{Dv}\right)^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)\,\mathrm{d}v,$$

$$\xi(v) = \frac{v^{1+2/d}\int_{(Dv,\infty)}(\log\frac{z}{Dv})^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^v u^{2/d}\int_{(Du,\infty)}(\log\frac{z}{Du})^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z)\,\mathrm{d}u} - (1+\tfrac{2}{d}).$$

Since

$$f(r) = \int_{(r,\infty)}\left(\log\frac{z}{r}\right)^{d/2}\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = \frac{d}{2}\int_r^\infty \frac{\overline{\lambda}(z)}{z}\left(\log\frac{z}{r}\right)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{5.16}$$

is decreasing in r, we have $-(1+\tfrac{2}{d}) \le \xi(v) \le 0$. The claim now follows from [4, Thm. 2.2.6].

(ii) By (i) and [4, Thm. 2.0.7], $\overline{\eta}$ has dominated variation [4, p. 54] and $\overline{\eta}(r+s)/\overline{\eta}(r) \to 1$ as $r \to \infty$ for any $s > 0$. Hence $\overline{\eta}$ is subexponential [17, Thm. 1] and (2.7) follows from (2.5) and [23, Thm. 3.1] (see also [24, Thm. 5.1]).

(iii) By (5.15) $\overline{\eta} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ iff $\int_0^r v^{2/d}f(Dv)\mathrm{d}v \in \mathcal{RV}_{1+2/d-\alpha}$. By a simple monotone density argument ([4, Theorem 1.7.2], or [18, Theorem 1.1]) this holds for $\alpha < 1 + \tfrac{2}{d}$ iff $f \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$. Consider the kernel $k(u) = (\log u^{-1})^{d/2-1}\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(u)$. Define the *Mellin convolution* of f_1 and f_2 by

$$f_1 \overset{\mathrm{M}}{*} f_2(r) = \int_0^\infty f_1(r/t)f_2(t)t^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}t,$$

see e.g. [4, Sect. 4]. With this notation $f(r) = k \overset{\text{M}}{*} \overline{\lambda}(r)$. The *Mellin transform* of k, that is,

$$\breve{k}(z) = \int_0^1 t^{-z-1}(\log t)^{d/2-1}\,\mathrm{d}t = \mathrm{i}^d\sqrt{z}^{-d}\Gamma(\tfrac{d}{2}),$$

is defined and nonzero whenever $\Re z < 0$ and $\sqrt{z}$ is chosen such that $\arg(\sqrt{z}) \in (\tfrac{1}{4}\pi, \tfrac{3}{4}\pi)$. Therefore, we can apply [4, Thm. 4.8.3]. (It is easy to check that the Tauberian condition is satisfied since $\overline{\lambda}$ is decreasing; see also [4, Exercise 1.11.14].) Therefore, $k \overset{\text{M}}{*} \overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ implies that $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$, as claimed. The other direction was proved in Lemma 2.2.

If $\alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{d}$, then $\int_0^r u^{2/d}f(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$ is slowly varying. Using the first identity in (5.16), we can easily verify that the latter holds if $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$. $\qquad\square$

5.3 Proofs for Section 3

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Without loss of generality, assume $\kappa = \frac{1}{2\pi}$. If $d = 1$, then by [21, Thm. 2.7] $X_A(t)$ exists iff

$$\iiint \mathbb{1}(s^{-d/2}z > 1,\ y \in \overline{A})\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = |\overline{A}|\int_{(0,\infty)}(z^2 \wedge t)\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty,$$

which is true for any Lévy measure. If $d \geq 2$, $X_A(t)$ exists iff

$$\iiint (1 \wedge s^{-d/2}z\mathbb{1}(y \in \overline{A}))\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = |\overline{A}|\int_0^t\int_{(0,\infty)}(1 \wedge s^{-d/2}z)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.$$

For $z \leq t^{d/2}$, we have $\int_0^t(1 \wedge s^{-d/2}z)\,\mathrm{d}s = z^{2/d} + z\int_{z^{2/d}}^t s^{-d/2}\,\mathrm{d}s$, while for $z > t^{d/2}$, we have $\int_0^t(1 \wedge s^{-d/2}z)\,\mathrm{d}s = t$. Thus,

$$\int_0^t\int_{(0,\infty)}(1 \wedge s^{-d/2}z)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_{(0,t^{d/2}]}z^{2/d}\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) + \int_{(0,t^{d/2}]}z\int_{z^{2/d}}^t s^{-d/2}\,\mathrm{d}s\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) + t\,\overline{\lambda}(t^{d/2}),$$

which is finite iff (3.3) holds. The identity (3.4) follows from [21, Thm. 2.7 (ii)]. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. (i) is an immediate consequence of (3.5). (ii) follows from (3.5) combined with Karamata's theorem. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Recall (3.8). Then, as in Theorem 2.4, claims (i) and (ii) follow by writing $\log \overline{\tau}(r) = C + \int_1^r \xi(u)u^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}u$ with

$$C = -\log(\pi\kappa d) + \log\int_0^D u^{2/d-1}\overline{\lambda}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u, \qquad \xi(u) = \frac{(Du)^{2/d}\overline{\lambda}(Du)}{\int_0^{Du} v^{2/d-1}\overline{\lambda}(v)\,\mathrm{d}v} - \frac{2}{d},$$

where ξ satisfies $-\frac{2}{d} < \xi(u) \leq 0$.

For (iii), by (3.5) $\overline{\tau} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$ iff $\int_0^r u^{2/d-1}\overline{\lambda}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u \in \mathcal{RV}_{2/d-\alpha}$, which for $\alpha < \frac{2}{d}$ holds iff $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-\alpha}$, as claimed. If $\alpha = \frac{2}{d}$ then $\int_0^r u^{2/d-1}\overline{\lambda}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$ is slowly varying. This holds if $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$ or $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{RV}_{-2/d}$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. (i) If $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and observe that for $r > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\eta}_A(r) &\leq \iiint \mathbb{1}((2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2}z > r)\mathbb{1}_{\{y \in A^\varepsilon\}}\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \\
&\quad + \iiint \mathbb{1}\left((2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2}e^{-\frac{\mathrm{dist}(y,A)^2}{2\kappa s}}z > r\right)\mathbb{1}_{\{y \notin A^\varepsilon\}}\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \qquad\qquad (5.17) \\
&\leq |A^\varepsilon|\overline{\tau}(r) + \iiint \mathbb{1}\left((2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2}e^{-\frac{\mathrm{dist}(y,A)^2}{2\kappa s}}z > r\right)\mathbb{1}_{\{y \notin A^\varepsilon\}}\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}y\,\lambda(\mathrm{d}z),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A^\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \operatorname{dist}(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$. Since

$$\iiint (2\pi\kappa s)^{-1} e^{-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(y,A)^2}{d\kappa s}} \mathbb{1}_{\{y \notin A^\varepsilon\}} z^{2/d} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty,$$

the last term in the previous display is $o(r^{-2/d})$, which together with Lemma 3.2 (i) shows that $\limsup_{r\to\infty} \overline{\eta}_A(r)/\overline{\tau}(r) \le |A^\varepsilon|$, which converges to $|\overline{A}|$ by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$. The opposite relation follows from the fact that

$$\overline{\eta}_A(r) \ge \iiint \mathbb{1}((2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2} z > r) \mathbb{1}_{\{y \in \overline{A}\}} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = |\overline{A}|\overline{\tau}(r).$$

If $\overline{\lambda}(r) = r^{-2/d}\ell(r)$, one can use Potter's bounds, dominated convergence and Lemma 3.2 (ii) to show that the last integral in (5.17) is

$$\sim r^{-2/d}\ell(r) \iiint (2\pi\kappa s)^{-1} e^{-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(y,A)^2}{d\kappa s}} \mathbb{1}_{\{y \notin A^\varepsilon\}} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y = o(\overline{\tau}(r)).$$

The remaining proof is the same as in the case $m_{2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$.

(ii) If $\overline{\lambda}(r) = r^{-\alpha}\ell(r)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{2}{d})$, a direct calculation shows that for $r > 1$,

$$\overline{\eta}_A(r) = \iiint \mathbb{1}\left((2\pi\kappa s)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(y,A)^2}{2\kappa s}} z > r\right) \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$

$$\sim r^{-\alpha}\ell(r) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi\kappa s)^{-\alpha d/2} e^{-\frac{\alpha \operatorname{dist}(y,A)^2}{2\kappa s}} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Note that for $d \ge 2$ condition (3.3) implies summable jumps, in which case we assume that $Y(t, x)$ has the form (1.11). For $d = 1$, note that $Y(t, x) = Y_d'(t, x) + Y_s'(t, x) + Y_b'(t, x)$, where

$$Y_d'(t, x) = mt + \iiint g(t-s, x-y) z(\mathbb{1}_{\{(2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2} z \le 1\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{z \le 1\}}) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}y \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z),$$

$$Y_s'(t, x) = \iiint g(t-s, x-y) z \mathbb{1}_{\{(2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2} z \le 1\}} \, (\mu - \nu)(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z), \qquad (5.18)$$

$$Y_b'(t, x) = \iiint g(t-s, x-y) z \mathbb{1}_{\{(2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2} z > 1\}} \, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z).$$

A straightforward computation shows that $Y_d'(t, x) < \infty$ for all Lévy measures λ. Furthermore, by (3.11) and the proof of [10, Thm. 3.8] one can show that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{x \in A} |Y_s'(t, x)| < \infty\right) = 1. \qquad (5.19)$$

For completeness, we sketch the proof. We use [22, Thm. 1] (with $\alpha = p = 2$) and Minkowski's integral inequality to obtain

$$\mathbf{E}[|Y_s'(t, x) - Y_s'(t, x')|^2]$$

$$\le C \iiint |g(t-s, x-y) - g(t-s, x'-y)|^2 z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{(2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2} z < 1\}} \, \nu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z)$$

for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$. We have on the set $(2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2} z < 1$

$$|g(t-s, x-y) - g(t-s, x'-y)|^2 z^2 = C(t-s)^{-1} z^2 \left| e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\kappa(t-s)}} - e^{-\frac{|x'-y|^2}{2\kappa(t-s)}} \right|^2$$

$$\le C|g(t-s, x-y) - g(t-s, x'-y)|^q z^q,$$

where q is the exponent from (3.11) (which satisfies $q < 2$). With this estimate and [28, Lemme A2] (we may choose $q > 3/2$), we conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}[|Y_s'(t,x) - Y_s'(t,x')|^2] \leq C|x - x'|^{3-q}.$$

Since $3 - q > 1$, it follows from [19, Thm. 4.3] that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{x \in A} Y_s'(t,x)^2\right] \leq \mathbf{E}[Y_s'(t,0)^2] + \mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{x,x' \in A} |Y_s'(t,x) - Y_s'(t,x')|^2\right] < \infty, \qquad (5.20)$$

which shows (5.19).

Next, choose $r > 0$ such that $A \subseteq B(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq r\}$. Then

$$\sup_{x \in A} Y_b'(t,x) \leq \iiint (2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-d/2} z \mathbb{1}_{\{y \in B(r)\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{d \geq 2 \text{ or } (2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2}z > 1\}} \, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z)$$

$$+ \iiint (2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{\mathrm{dist}(y,B(r))^2}{2\kappa(t-s)}} z \mathbb{1}_{\{y \notin B(r), \, d \geq 2 \text{ or } (2\pi\kappa(t-s))^{-1/2}z > 1\}} \, \mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y, \mathrm{d}z).$$

The first term on the right-hand side is simply $X_{B(r)}(t)$, which is finite a.s. by Theorem 3.3. The second term has the same distribution as $Y_b'(t,0)$, which is finite a.s. as well. Therefore, $\sup_{x \in A} Y(t,x) < \infty$ a.s. for all d. The assertion of the theorem now follows from Lemma 3.4 (which implies that $\overline{\eta}_A$ is subexponential under the stated assumptions) and [27, Thm. 3.1]. $\qquad \square$

5.4 Proofs for Section 4

For $0 < r < r'$ let $B(r, r') = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r < |x| \leq r'\}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. First assume that the integral in (4.5) converges and let $K > 0$. We start with $d \geq 2$. Since $B(n, n+1)$ can be covered with $O(n^{d-1})$ many unit cubes and Y is stationary in space, Theorem 3.3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{y \in B(n,n+1)} Y(t,y) > \frac{f(n)}{K}\right) &\leq Cn^{d-1}\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{y \in [0,1]^d} Y(t,y) > \frac{f(n)}{K}\right) \\
&\leq Cn^{d-1}\mathbf{P}\left(X_{[0,1]^d}(t) > \frac{f(n)}{K}\right) \\
&\leq 2Cn^{d-1}\overline{\tau}(f(n)/K) \leq C'n^{d-1}\overline{\tau}(f(n)),
\end{aligned} \qquad (5.21)$$

which is summable by hypothesis. In the last inequality we also used that $\overline{\tau}$ is extended regularly varying. So by the first Borel–Cantelli lemma,

$$\sup_{y \in B(n,n+1)} Y(t,y) > \frac{f(n)}{K}$$

only happens finitely often. Since f is nondecreasing and tends to infinity by the convergence of the integral in (4.5), we obtain

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{|y| \leq x} Y(t,y)}{f(x)} \leq K^{-1}$$

almost surely, proving the claim since K was arbitrary.

For $d = 1$, recall the decomposition (5.18) and the definition of $X_A(t)$ in (3.1). The bound (5.21) holds true for $Y_b'(t,y)$ instead of $Y(t,y)$. Furthermore, $Y_d'(t,x)$ is deterministic and $\mathbf{P}(\sup_{y \in [0,1]^d} Y_s(t,y) > r) = o(r^{-2})$ by (5.20). On the other hand,

$$\overline{X}_A(t) \leq \sup_{x \in A} Y_b'(t,x) \leq X_A(t),$$

where the upper and lower bounds have the same tail behavior by (3.9), which is cr^{-2} or larger by (3.6). Therefore, the final bound in (5.21) for $Y(t,x)$ also holds.

For the converse statement, assume that the integral in (4.5) diverges. If $d = 1$, we consider again the decomposition (5.18). Denote $Y_b'(t,x) = Y(t,x)$ if $d \geq 2$. For $K > 0$ large consider the events

$$A_n = \{\mu(\{(s,y,z) : (s,z) \in V(Kf(n+1)), \ y \in B(n,n+1)\}) \geq 1\}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (5.22)$$

By (4.2) and Theorem 3.3 (i),

$$\mathbf{P}(A_n) \sim v_d((n+1)^d - n^d)\overline{\tau}(Kf(n+1)) \geq Cn^{d-1}\overline{\tau}(f(n+1)).$$

Since the integral in (4.5) diverges, we have that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$. Noting that the A_n's are independent, the second Borel–Cantelli lemma implies that A_n occurs infinitely often. On A_n,

$$\sup_{y \in B(n,n+1)} Y_b'(t,y) \geq Kf(n+1).$$

Thus, almost surely,

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{|y| \leq x} Y_b'(t,y)}{f(x)} \geq K,$$

which proves the claim for $d \geq 2$ since $K > 0$ is arbitrarily large.

If $d = 1$, note that the proof above shows that $Y_b'(t,x)$ develops infinitely many peaks larger than $x^{1/2}$ on $B(x)$, again by (3.6). So if we show that $|Y_d'(t,x)|$ and $|Y_s'(t,x)|$ from (5.18) can only have finitely many peaks of that size, then the proof in $d = 1$ will be complete. Since $|Y_d'(t,x)|$ is deterministic and does not depend on x, this is trivial. For $|Y_s'(t,x)|$, note that by (5.20)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{y \in [0,1]} Y_s'(t,y) > \sqrt{n}\right) < \infty,$$

thus the Borel–Cantelli argument as in the first part of the proof implies the claim. $\quad\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.3. The upper bound proof is essentially the same as for Theorem 4.1, except that (5.21) should be replaced by

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\max_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, y \in B(n,n+1)} Y(t,y) > \frac{f(n)}{K}\right) \leq Cn^{d-1}\mathbf{P}\left(Y(t,0) > \frac{f(n)}{K}\right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{d-1}\overline{\eta}(f(n)/K) \leq C'n^{d-1}\overline{\eta}(f(n)).$$

For the lower bound proof, if $d = 1$, we consider the decomposition $Y(t,x) = At + Y_s''(t,x) + Y_b''(t,x)$, where A is the same constant as in Theorem 1.1 and

$$Y_s''(t,x) = \iiint g(t-s,x-y)z\mathbb{1}_{\{g(t-s,x-y)z \leq 1\}} (\mu - \nu)(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{d}z),$$

$$Y_b''(t,x) = \iiint g(t-s,x-y)z\mathbb{1}_{\{g(t-s,x-y)z > 1\}} \mu(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{d}z).$$

If $d \geq 2$, we let $Y_b''(t,x) = Y(t,x)$. Clearly, $Y_b''(t,x) \geq Y_0(t,x)$ from (4.6) and $\mathbf{P}(Y_0(t,x) > r) \sim \overline{\eta}_0(r)$ similarly to Theorem 2.4. Because the $(Y_0(t,x))_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are independent and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap B(n,n+1)} \mathbf{P}(Y_0(t,y) > Kf(n+1)) \geq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{d-1}\overline{\eta}_0(f(n+1)K) = \infty,$$

the second Borel–Cantelli lemma shows that $Y_b''(t,x)/f(x) \geq Y_0(t,x)/f(x) \geq K$ for infinitely many $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. If $d = 1$, then as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 one can show that the peaks of $|Y_s''(t,x)|$ are of lower order. $\quad\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Recall H_1 and H_2 from (5.1). For $r > 1$

$$\overline{\eta}_0(r) = \eta_0((r,\infty)) = \int_{(0,\infty)} \int_0^{H_1(r/z)\wedge t} v_d(\tfrac{1}{2} \wedge H_2(s,r/z))^d \, \mathrm{d}s \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z).$$

For fixed $u > 0$ the map $s \mapsto H_2(s,u)$ is increasing on $[0, (2\pi\kappa e u^{2/d})^{-1}]$, and decreasing on $[(2\pi\kappa e u^{2/d})^{-1}, H_1(u)]$, with global maximum $H_2((2\pi\kappa e u^{2/d})^{-1}, u) = \sqrt{d/(2\pi e)}u^{-1/d}$. In particular, $H_2(s,u) \leq \tfrac{1}{2}$ whenever $u \geq (2d/(\pi e))^{d/2}$. Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 2.1,

$$\int_{(0,(\pi e/(2d))^{d/2}r]} \int_0^{H_1(r/z)\wedge t} v_d(\tfrac{1}{2} \wedge H_2(s,r/z))^d \, \mathrm{d}s \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$

$$= \frac{d^{d/2}}{\pi\kappa(d+2)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \int_{(0,(2\pi e/d)^{d/2}r]} \left(\frac{z}{r}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{d}} \Gamma\left(\tfrac{d}{2}+1, (\tfrac{d}{2}+1)\log\frac{H_1(r/z)}{H_1(r/z) \wedge t}\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$

$$\geq c_1 r^{-1-2/d} M_{1+2/d}(c_2 r).$$

At the same time, if $u > 0$ is small enough, then

$$\int_0^{H_1(u)\wedge t} (\tfrac{1}{2} \wedge H_2(s,u))^d \, \mathrm{d}s \geq \frac{t}{3}.$$

Thus there exists c_3 such that

$$\int_{(c_3 r,\infty)} \int_0^{H_1(r/z)\wedge t} v_d(\tfrac{1}{2} \wedge H_2(s,r/z))^d \, \mathrm{d}s \, \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) \geq c_4 \overline{\lambda}(c_3 r).$$

It follows that there are finite constants $c_1, c_2, C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending only on d and t such that

$$c_1 r^{-1-2/d} M_{1+2/d}(c_2 r) + c_1 \overline{\lambda}(c_2 r) \leq \overline{\eta}_0(r) \leq C_1 r^{-1-2/d} M_{1+2/d}(C_2 r) + C_1 \overline{\lambda}(C_2 r). \quad (5.23)$$

(The second inequality is an easy consequence of the first two displays in this proof.)

From (5.23) and Lemma 2.2 (i) we see that $\overline{\eta}_0(r) \asymp \overline{\eta}(r)$ whenever $m_{1+2/d}(\lambda) < \infty$. If (4.7) holds, using $\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1, r) \sim e^{-r} r^{d/2}$ as $r \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{(rD,\infty)} \left(\frac{z}{r}\right)^{1+2/d} \Gamma\left(\tfrac{d}{2}+1, (\tfrac{d}{2}+1)\log\frac{H_1(r/z)}{H_1(r/z) \wedge t}\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}z)$$

$$\leq C \int_{(rD,\infty)} (\log(z/r))^{d/2} \lambda(\mathrm{d}z) = C \int_{rD}^{\infty} (\log(z/r))^{d/2-1} \overline{\lambda}(z) z^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}z$$

$$\leq C\overline{\lambda}(r) \int_D^{\infty} (\log y)^{d/2-1} y^{-1-\delta} \, \mathrm{d}y = C\overline{\lambda}(r),$$

which implies $\overline{\eta}_0(r) \asymp \overline{\eta}(r)$.

On the other hand, (ii) follows from Lemma 2.2 (iii) and (5.23). $\qquad\square$

References

[1] Balan, R. M.: *SPDEs with α-stable Lévy noise: a random field approach*, Int. J. Stoch. Anal. (2014), Art. ID 793275, 22. MR3166748

[2] Berger, Q., Chong, C., and Lacoin, H.: *The stochastic heat equation with multiplicative Lévy noise: Existence, moments, and intermittency*, arXiv:2111.07988 (2021). MR3980911

[3] Bertoin, J.: *Lévy processes*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 121, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR1406564

[4] Bingham, N. H., Goldie, C. M., and Teugels, J. L.: *Regular variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR1015093

[5] Chong, C.: *Lévy-driven Volterra equations in space and time*, J. Theoret. Probab. **30** (2017), no. 3, 1014–1058. MR3687248

[6] Chong, C.: *Stochastic PDEs with heavy-tailed noise*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **127** (2017), no. 7, 2262–2280. MR3652413

[7] Chong, C., Dalang, R. C., and Humeau, T.: *Path properties of the solution to the stochastic heat equation with Lévy noise*, Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput. **7** (2019), no. 1, 123–168. MR3916265

[8] Chong, C., and Kevei,P.: *Intermittency for the stochastic heat equation with Lévy noise*, Ann. Probab. **47** (2019), no. 4, 1911–1948. MR3980911

[9] Chong, C., and Kevei,P.: *The almost-sure asymptotic behavior of the solution to the stochastic heat equation with Lévy noise*, Ann. Probab. **48** (2020), no. 3, 1466–1494. MR4112721

[10] Chong, C., and Kevei,P.: *A landscape of peaks: The intermittency islands of the stochastic heat equation with Lévy noise*, arXiv:2204.00715 (2022). MR3980911

[11] Conus, D., Joseph, M., and Khoshnevisan, D.: *On the chaotic character of the stochastic heat equation, before the onset of intermitttency*, Ann. Probab. **41** (2013), no. 3B, 2225–2260. MR3098071

[12] Dalang, R. C., and Humeau, T.: *Random field solutions to linear SPDEs driven by symmetric pure jump Lévy space-time white noises*, Electron. J. Probab. **24** (2019), Paper No. 60, 28. MR3978210

[13] Embrechts, P., Goldie, C. M., and Veraverbeke, N.: *Subexponentiality and infinite divisibility*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **49** (1979), no. 3, 335–347. MR0547833

[14] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., and Mikosch, T.: *Modelling extremal events*, Applications of Mathematics (New York), vol. 33, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, For insurance and finance. MR1458613

[15] Fasen, V.: *Extremes of regularly varying Lévy-driven mixed moving average processes*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. **37** (2005), no. 4, 993–1014. MR2193993

[16] Fasen, V.: *Extremes of subexponential Lévy driven moving average processes*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **116** (2006), no. 7, 1066–1087. MR2238614

[17] Goldie, C. M.: *Subexponential distributions and dominated-variation tails*, J. Appl. Probability **15** (1978), no. 2, 440–442. MR0474459

[18] Kevei, P.: *On a conjecture of Seneta on regular variation of truncated moments*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **109(123)** (2021), 77–82. MR4248714

[19] Khoshnevisan, D.: *A primer on stochastic partial differential equations*, A minicourse on stochastic partial differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1962, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 1–38. MR2508772

[20] Khoshnevisan, D., Kim, K., and Xiao, Y.: *Intermittency and multifractality: a case study via parabolic stochastic PDEs*, Ann. Probab. **45** (2017), no. 6A, 3697–3751. MR3729613

[21] Kyprianou, A. E.: *Fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications*, second ed., Universitext, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014, Introductory lectures. MR3155252

[22] Marinelli, C., and Röckner, M.: *On maximal inequalities for purely discontinuous martingales in infinite dimensions*, Séminaire de Probabilités XLVI, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2123, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 293–315. MR3330821

[23] Pakes, A. G.: *Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility*, J. Appl. Probab. **41** (2004), no. 2, 407–424. MR2052581

[24] Pakes, A. G.: *Convolution equivalence and infinite divisibility: corrections and corollaries*, J. Appl. Probab. **44** (2007), no. 2, 295–305. MR2340199

[25] Rajput, B. S., and Rosiński, J.: *Spectral representations of infinitely divisible processes*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **82** (1989), no. 3, 451–487. MR1001524

[26] Rootzén, H.: *Extremes of moving averages of stable processes*, Ann. Probab. **6** (1978), no. 5, 847–869. MR0494450

[27] Rosiński, J., and Samorodnitsky, G.: *Distributions of subadditive functionals of sample paths of infinitely divisible processes*, Ann. Probab. **21** (1993), no. 2, 996–1014. MR1217577

[28] Loubert Bié, E. S.: *Étude d'une EDPS conduite par un bruit poissonnien*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **111** (1998), no. 2, 287–321. MR1633586

Electronic Journal of Probability
Electronic Communications in Probability

Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards

- Free for authors, free for readers

- Quick publication (no backlog)

- Secure publication (LOCKSS[1])

- Easy interface (EJMS[2])

Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS[3], BS[4], ProjectEuclid[5]

- Purely electronic

Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund[6] (click here to donate!)

- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP

- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

---

[1] LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/
[2] EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System: https://vtex.lt/services/ejms-peer-review/
[3] IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/
[4] BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/
[5] Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/
[6] IMS Open Access Fund: https://imstat.org/shop/donation/