

PARSEVAL'S FORMULA FOR DOUBLE LAGUERRE SERIES

Chang-Pao Chen and Chin-Cheng Lin

Abstract. Let $a \geq 0$. We give sufficient conditions on $\{c_{jk}\}$ to obtain Parseval's formula for the corresponding double Laguerre series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} \mathcal{L}_j^a(x) \mathcal{L}_k^a(y)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $a \geq 0$, define the Laguerre function $\mathcal{L}_n^a(t)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_n^a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+a+1)}} e^{-t/2} t^{a/2} L_n^a(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$L_n^a(t) = \frac{1}{n!} t^{-a} e^t \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (t^{n+a} e^{-t}).$$

It is known that $\{\mathcal{L}_n^a(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, dt)$ with the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} f(t)g(t) dt$ (cf. [6, 7]).

In this paper, we consider the following double Laguerre series

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} \mathcal{L}_j^a(x) \mathcal{L}_k^a(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Let $s_{mn}(x, y)$ be the rectangular partial sums of series (1.1) given by

$$s_{mn}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_{jk} \mathcal{L}_j^a(x) \mathcal{L}_k^a(y).$$

Received February 18, 2004. accepted April 20, 2004.

Communicated by Der-Chen Chang.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42C10, 42C15.

Key words and phrases: Double Laguerre series, Rectangular partial sums, Parseval's formula.

Dedicated to Professor Hwai-Chiuan Wang on his retirement.

The research was supported by the National Science Council, Taipei, R.O.C.

We say that series (1.1) converges regularly to $f(x, y)$ if $s_{mn}(x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ as $\min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty$, the row series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} \mathcal{L}_j^a(x) \mathcal{L}_k^a(y)$ converges for each fixed value of k , and the column series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} \mathcal{L}_j^a(x) \mathcal{L}_k^a(y)$ converges for each fixed value of j (cf. [4]). For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, the series (1.1) is said to converge uniformly on E to $f(x, y)$ if $s_{mn}(x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ uniformly on E as $\min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty$.

We are interested in finding conditions on $\{c_{jk}\}$ and ϕ such that the following Parseval's formula holds:

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} f(x, y) \phi(x, y) dx dy = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} \hat{\phi}^*(j, k),$$

where $f(x, y)$ is the limit function of $s_{mn}(x, y)$ and

$$\hat{\phi}^*(j, k) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(x, y) \mathcal{L}_j^a(x) \mathcal{L}_k^a(y) dx dy.$$

For suitable ϕ , we shall prove that the following conditions are sufficient for the validity of (1.2):

$$(1.3) \quad |c_{jk}| (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} (\overline{\log j \log k})^{1+\eta} = O(1) \quad \text{as } \max\{j, k\} \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{p0} c_{jn}| (\bar{j}\bar{n})^{p/2-1/4} (\overline{\log n})^{1+\eta} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{0p} c_{mk}| (\bar{m}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} (\overline{\log m})^{1+\eta} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} c_{jk}| (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} < \infty,$$

where $p \geq 1, \eta > 0, \bar{\xi} \equiv \max\{\xi, 1\}$, and

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta} c_{jk} = \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{t=0}^{\beta} (-1)^{s+t} \binom{\alpha}{s} \binom{\beta}{t} c_{j+s, k+t}.$$

Conditions (1.4) – (1.6) describe certain concept of bounded variation, which are closely related to those in [2, Theorem 2]. Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a \geq 0, p \geq 1$, and $\eta > 0$. Assume that $\{c_{jk}\}$ satisfies conditions (1.3) – (1.6). Then series (1.1) converges regularly to some function*

$f(x, y)$ for all $x, y > 0$, and the convergence is uniform on any rectangle $\{\epsilon \leq x \leq \alpha, \delta \leq y \leq \beta\}$, where $0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty$ and $0 < \delta < \beta < \infty$. Moreover, if ϕ is measurable and locally bounded in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, $\hat{\phi}^*(j, k)$ exists for all $j, k \geq 0$, and

(1.7)

$$\sup_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ 0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty \\ 0 < \delta < \beta < \infty}} (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{(a+p)/2} \left| \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(x, y) (xy)^{-p/2} \mathcal{L}_j^{a+p}(x) \mathcal{L}_k^{a+p}(y) dx dy \right| < \infty,$$

then (1.2) holds.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in the next section. In §3, we investigate the validity of (1.7) for functions of the type $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x)\phi_2(y)$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are of the form $(t/(1+t))^{\kappa+1}(1+t)^{\mu}$.

Throughout this paper C , C_p , and C_{ap} denote constants, which are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Set

$$d_{jk} = c_{jk} \sqrt{\frac{j!}{\Gamma(j+a+1)}} \sqrt{\frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+a+1)}}.$$

Then $|d_{jk}| \leq C(\bar{j}\bar{k})^{-a/2}|c_{jk}|$. Therefore, (1.3) implies

$$(2.1) \quad |d_{jk}| (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{(a+p)/2-1/4} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \max\{j, k\} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the inequality $1 - \sqrt{1-y} \leq y$ for $y \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta^{\mu} \left(\sqrt{\frac{j!}{\Gamma(j+a+1)}} \right) \right| &\leq C_p \sqrt{\frac{j!}{\Gamma(j+a+1)}} \frac{a}{j+a+1} \\ &\leq C_{ap} \bar{j}^{-a/2-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \mu \leq p, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{p0} d_{jn}| &\leq \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{(j+p)!}{\Gamma(j+p+a+1)}} |\Delta_{p0} c_{jn}| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_{ap} \bar{j}^{-a/2-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{s} |\Delta_{s0} c_{jn}| \right\} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+a+1)}} \\ &\leq C_{ap} (\bar{j}\bar{n})^{-a/2} \left\{ |\Delta_{p0} c_{jn}| + \bar{j}^{-1} \left(\max_{j \leq \mu \leq j+p-1} |c_{\mu n}| \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this with (1.3), (1.4) together yields

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{p0} d_{jn}| (\bar{j}\bar{n})^{(a+p)/2-1/4} = 0.$$

Similarly, conditions (1.3) and (1.5) imply

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{0p} d_{mk}| (\bar{m}\bar{k})^{(a+p)/2-1/4} = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{(j+p)!}{\Gamma(j+p+a+1)}} \sqrt{\frac{(k+p)!}{\Gamma(k+p+a+1)}} |\Delta_{pp} c_{jk}| \\ &\quad + C_{ap} \bar{j}^{-a/2-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{s} |\Delta_{sp} c_{jk}| \sqrt{\frac{(k+p)!}{\Gamma(k+p+a+1)}} \\ &\quad + C_{ap} \bar{k}^{-a/2-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{t} |\Delta_{pt} c_{jk}| \sqrt{\frac{(j+p)!}{\Gamma(j+p+a+1)}} \\ &\quad + C_{ap} (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{-a/2-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{s} \binom{p}{t} |\Delta_{st} c_{jk}| \\ &\leq C_{ap} (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{-a/2} \left\{ |\Delta_{pp} c_{jk}| + \bar{j}^{-1} \left(\max_{j \leq \mu \leq j+p-1} |\Delta_{0p} c_{\mu k}| \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{k}^{-1} \left(\max_{k \leq \nu \leq k+p-1} |\Delta_{p0} c_{j\nu}| \right) + (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{-1} \left(\max_{\substack{j \leq \mu \leq j+p-1 \\ k \leq \nu \leq k+p-1}} |c_{\mu\nu}| \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this with (1.3) – (1.6) together yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}| (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{(a+p)/2-1/4} \\ &\leq C_{ap} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} c_{jk}| (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{j}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\max_{j \leq \mu \leq j+p-1} |\Delta_{0p} c_{\mu k}| \right) (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{k}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\max_{k \leq \nu \leq k+p-1} |\Delta_{p0} c_{j\nu}| \right) (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{-1} \left(\max_{\substack{j \leq \mu \leq j+p-1 \\ k \leq \nu \leq k+p-1}} |c_{\mu\nu}| \right) (\bar{j}\bar{k})^{p/2-1/4} \right\} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Applying [3, Theorem 2.1] to the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{jk} L_j^a(x) L_k^a(y)$, we see that series (1.1) converges regularly to some function $f(x, y)$ for $x, y > 0$, and the convergence is uniform on any rectangle $\{\epsilon \leq x \leq \alpha, \delta \leq y \leq \beta\}$, where $0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty$ and $0 < \delta < \beta < \infty$. As proved in [3, Theorem 2.1],

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}) L_j^{a+p}(x) L_k^{a+p}(y) \longrightarrow e^{(x+y)/2} (xy)^{-a/2} f(x, y)$$

as $\min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty$,

and the convergence is uniform on $\{\epsilon \leq x \leq \alpha, \delta \leq y \leq \beta\}$. Set

$$\Phi_{jk}^{st}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(x, y) L_j^{a+s}(x) L_k^{a+t}(y) e^{-(x+y)/2} (xy)^{a/2} dx dy.$$

Then as $\min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}) \Phi_{jk}^{pp}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta) \longrightarrow \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} f(x, y) \phi(x, y) dx dy.$$

Employing the equation $\sum_{k=0}^n L_k^a(t) = L_n^{a+1}(t)$ (cf. [7, Eq. 5.1.13]), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{jk}^{st}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{\mu=0}^j \Phi_{\mu k}^{s-1, t}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k \Phi_{j\nu}^{s, t-1}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^j \sum_{\nu=0}^k \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{s-1, t-1}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{\phi}^*(j, k) = \left(\lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \Phi_{jk}^{00}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta) \right) \sqrt{\frac{j!}{\Gamma(j+a+1)}} \sqrt{\frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+a+1)}}.$$

Since $\hat{\phi}^*(j, k)$ exists for all $j, k \geq 0$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that the limit $\zeta_{jk}^{st} \equiv \lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \Phi_{jk}^{st}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta)$ exists for all $s, t, j, k \geq 0$. The condition (1.7) on ϕ is

equivalent to the existence of the constant C with

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{\substack{j, k \geq 0 \\ 0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty \\ 0 < \delta < \beta < \infty}} |\Phi_{jk}^{pp}(\epsilon, \delta, \alpha, \beta)| \leq C < \infty.$$

By (2.6) and (2.8), we can assume

$$(2.9) \quad |\zeta_{jk}^{st}| \leq C \quad \text{for all } j, k \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq s, t \leq p.$$

We have $(a + p)/2 - 1/4 \geq 0$. It follows from (2.4), (2.5), and (2.8) that the limit

$$\lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} f(x, y) \phi(x, y) dx dy$$

exists and equals

$$\zeta \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}) \zeta_{jk}^{pp}.$$

The double series defining ζ converges absolutely. For $m, n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{mn} &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_{jk} \hat{\phi}^*(j, k) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(x, y) s_{mn}(x, y) dx dy \\ (2.10) \quad &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(x, y) t_{mn}(x, y) e^{-(x+y)/2} (xy)^{a/2} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_{mn}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n d_{jk} L_j^a(x) L_k^a(y)$. Summation by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} t_{mn}(x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}) L_j^{a+p}(x) L_k^{a+p}(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m (\Delta_{pt} d_{j, n+1}) L_j^{a+p}(x) L_n^{a+t+1}(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta_{sp} d_{m+1, k}) L_m^{a+s+1}(x) L_k^{a+p}(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} (\Delta_{st} d_{m+1, n+1}) L_m^{a+s+1}(x) L_n^{a+t+1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Plug this into (2.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{mn} &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta_{pp} d_{jk}) \zeta_{jk}^{pp} + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m (\Delta_{pt} d_{j, n+1}) \zeta_{jn}^{p, t+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta_{sp} d_{m+1, k}) \zeta_{mk}^{s+1, p} + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} (\Delta_{st} d_{m+1, n+1}) \zeta_{mn}^{s+1, t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.1) – (2.3) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} d_{j,n+1}| |\zeta_{jn}^{p,t+1}| &\leq C \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^t \binom{t}{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} d_{j,n+1+\nu}| \\ &\leq 2^p C \left(\sup_{k>n} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} d_{jk}| \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{sp} d_{m+1,k}| |\zeta_{mk}^{s+1,p}| &\leq C \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^s \binom{s}{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} d_{m+1+\mu,k}| \\ &\leq 2^p C \left(\sup_{j>m} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} d_{jk}| \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s,t=0}^{p-1} |\Delta_{st} d_{m+1,n+1}| |\zeta_{mn}^{s+1,t+1}| &\leq C \sum_{s,t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^s \sum_{\nu=0}^t \binom{s}{\mu} \binom{t}{\nu} |\Delta_{00} d_{m+1+\mu,n+1+\nu}| \\ &\leq 2^{2p} C \left(\sup_{j>m, k>n} |d_{jk}| \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda_{mn} \rightarrow \zeta$ as $\min\{m, n\} \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

3. INVESTIGATION OF CONDITION (1.7)

The condition (1.7) with $p = 1$ is equivalent to

$$(1.7') \quad \sup_{\substack{j,k \geq 0 \\ 0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty \\ 0 < \delta < \beta < \infty}} \left| \int_{\delta}^{\beta} \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(x, y) L_j^{\alpha+1}(x) L_k^{\alpha+1}(y) e^{-(x+y)/2} (xy)^{\alpha/2} dx dy \right| < \infty.$$

For one-variable case, it reduces to

$$(1.7'') \quad \sup_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ 0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty}} \left| \int_{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \phi(t) L_j^{\alpha+1}(t) e^{-t/2} t^{\alpha/2} dt \right| < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq a \leq 1/2$. Assume that*

$$(3.1) \quad |\phi(t)| \leq C \left(\left(\frac{t}{1+t} \right)^{\kappa+1} (1+t)^{\mu} \right) \quad (t > 0),$$

$$(3.2) \quad |\phi'(t)| \leq C \left(\left(\frac{t}{1+t} \right)^\kappa (1+t)^\mu \right) \quad (t > 0)$$

for some κ, μ satisfying $\kappa > -3/4$ and $\mu \leq -a/2 - 1$. Then condition (1.7'') holds.

Proof. Set $\psi(t) = \phi(t)e^{-t/2}t^{a/2}$. From [1, 5] we can find an absolute constant C such that

$$(3.3) \quad |L_j^\alpha(t)| \leq C e^{t/2} t^{-a/2-1/4} (\bar{j})^{a/2-1/4} (\bar{t})^{1/2} \quad (j \geq 0, t > 0).$$

Since $a/2 - 1/4 \leq 0$, $\kappa > -3/4$, and $\mu + 1/4 \leq 0$, it follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{t>0, j \geq 0} |\psi(t)L_{j+1}^\alpha(t)| \leq C \left(\sup_{t>0} |\phi(t)t^{-1/4}(1+t)^{1/2}| \right) < \infty.$$

We have

$$|\psi'(t)| \leq e^{-t/2} t^{a/2} \left\{ |\phi'(t)| + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{2t} \right) |\phi(t)| \right\}.$$

For $0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty$ and $j \geq 0$, as proved in [3, Lemma 3.3], (3.1) and (3.2) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_\epsilon^\alpha \psi'(t)L_{j+1}^\alpha(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^{1/(j+1)} + \int_{1/(j+1)}^1 + \int_1^{\nu/2} + \int_{\nu/2}^{3\nu/2} + \int_{3\nu/2}^\infty \right) |\psi'(t)||L_{j+1}^\alpha(t)| dt \\ & \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = 4j + 2a + 6$. Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ 0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \infty}} \left| \int_\epsilon^\alpha \psi'(t)L_{j+1}^\alpha(t) dt \right| \leq C.$$

Using $\frac{d}{dt}L_{j+1}^\alpha(t) = -L_j^{\alpha+1}(t)$ and integration by parts, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\epsilon^\alpha \phi(t)L_j^{\alpha+1}(t)e^{-t/2}t^{a/2} dt &= - \int_\epsilon^\alpha \psi(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}L_{j+1}^\alpha(t) \right) dt \\ &= -\psi(t)L_{j+1}^\alpha(t) \Big|_\epsilon^\alpha + \int_\epsilon^\alpha \psi'(t)L_{j+1}^\alpha(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Putting (3.4) – (3.6) together yields (1.7''). This finishes the proof.

Consider the case $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x)\phi_2(y)$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are of the form given in Theorem 3.1. Then (1.7') is satisfied and Theorem 1.1 can apply to such a case.

REFERENCES

1. R. Askey and S. Wainger, Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series, *Amer. J. Math.*, **87** (1965), 695-708.
2. C.-P. Chen and C.-C. Lin, Almost everywhere convergence of Laguerre series, *Studia Math.*, **109** (1994), 291-301.
3. C.-P. Chen and C.-C. Lin, Convergence of double Laguerre series, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **6** (2002), 533-544.
4. G. H. Hardy, On the convergence of certain multiple series, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **19** (1916-1919), 86-95.
5. B. Muckenhoupt, Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147** (1970), 433-460.
6. G. Sansone, *Orthogonal Functions*, Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Conn., 1959.
7. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., 4th ed., Providence, RI, 1975.

Chang-Pao Chen

Department of Mathematics,
National Tsing Hua University,
Hsinchu, Taiwan 300,
Republic of China
E-mail: cpchen@math.nthu.edu.tw

Chin-Cheng Lin

Department of Mathematics,
National Central University,
Chung-Li, Taiwan 320,
Republic of China
E-mail: clin@math.ncu.edu.tw