

**TWIN POSITIVE SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS FOR LIDSTONE  
 BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS**

Yanping Guo and Weigao Ge

**Abstract.** In this paper, we consider the Lidstone boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi(y^{(2n_i-1)}))'(t) &= f(t; y(t); y^{(0)}(t); \dots; y^{(2(n_i-1))}(t)); \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\
 y^{(2i)}(0) &= y^{(2i)}(1) = 0; \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1;
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f : [0; 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $\Phi(v) = |v|^{p-2}v$ ;  $p > 1$ . Growth conditions are imposed on  $f$  which yield the existence of at least two symmetric positive solutions by using a fixed point theorem in cones.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of two positive solutions for the 2nth order Lidstone boundary value problem with a  $p$ -Laplacian operator

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} (\Phi(y^{(2n-1)}))'(t) = f(t; y(t); y^{(0)}(t); \dots; y^{(2(n-1))}(t)); \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ y^{(2i)}(0) = y^{(2i)}(1) = 0; \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1; \end{cases}$$

where the nonlinear term  $f$  is allowed to change sign, and  $\Phi(v) = |v|^{p-2}v$ ;  $p > 1$ . We will impose growth conditions on  $f$  which ensure the existence of at least two positive solutions for (1) by using a fixed point theorem in cones.

Fixed point theorems and their applications to nonlinear problems have a long history. Recently, there seems to be increasing interest in multiple fixed point theorems and their applications to boundary value problems for ordinary differential

---

Received December 16, 2002. accepted February 19, 2003.

Communicated by S. B. Hsu.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34B15, 34B18.

*Key words and phrases*: Lidstone boundary value problem, Fixed point theorem in cones, Positive symmetric solution, Green's function.

The project is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (10371030), the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (603384) and the Doctoral Program Foundation of Hebei Province (B2002108).

equations or finite difference equations. Such applications can be found in the papers [1-3, 6, 9-10, 12, 14-16], and the recent book by Agarwal et. al. [1] which gives a good overview of the current work. Davis et. al. [7-8] imposed conditions on  $f$  which yield at least three symmetric positive solutions to the  $2m$ th order Lidstone boundary value problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} y^{(2m)} = f(y(t); y^{(0)}(t); \dots; y^{(2(m-1))}(t)); & t \in [0; 1]; \\ y^{(2i)}(0) = y^{(2i)}(1) = 0; & 0 \leq i \leq m-1; \end{cases}$$

where  $(-1)^m f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0; \infty)$  is continuous, using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem [13] and the five functionals fixed point theorem [4]. Avery et. al. [5] applied a twin fixed point theorem to obtain at least two positive solutions for the right focal boundary value problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} y^{(0)} + f(y) = 0; & 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ y(0) = y'(1) = 0; \end{cases}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0; \infty)$  is continuous.

In order to apply the concavity of solutions in the proofs, all the above results were obtained under the assumption that function  $f$  or  $(-1)^m f$  is nonnegative. For the sign changing nonlinearity  $f$ , few results were obtained. In some sense this paper should be viewed as companion for [7-8], and the result in this paper fills a gap under the assumption that function  $(-1)^m f$  is nonnegative in [7-8].

The paper is divided into three sections. In section 2, we prove a fixed point theorem in cones. In section 3, we impose growth conditions on  $f$  which allow us to apply the fixed point theorem in obtaining two symmetric positive solutions for (1).

## 2. THE FIXED POINT THEOREM IN CONES

For a cone  $K$  in a Banach space  $X$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and a constant  $r > 0$ , let  $K_r = \{x \in K : \|x\| < r\}$ ,  $@K_r = \{x \in K : \|x\| = r\}$ . Suppose  $@ : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous functional, let

$$K(b) = \{x \in K : @(x) < b\}; \quad @K(b) = \{x \in K : @(x) = b\}$$

and  $K_a(b) = \{x \in K : a < \|x\|; @(x) < b\}$ . The origin in  $X$  is denoted by  $\mu$ .

**Definition 1.** Given a cone  $K$  in a real Banach space  $X$ , a functional  $@ : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be concave functional on  $K$  provided

$$@(tx + (1-t)y) \geq t@(x) + (1-t)@(y)$$

for all  $x; y \in K$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $K \subset X$  a cone. Suppose  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is a completely continuous operator, and  $\mathbb{R} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  a continuous concave functional satisfying  $\mathbb{R}(x) \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in K$ . If there are constants  $c > b > a > 0$  such that*

$$(C_1) \|Tx\| < a \text{ for } x \in @K_a;$$

$$(C_2) \mathbb{R}(Tx) > b \text{ for } x \in @K(b);$$

$$(C_3) \mathbb{R}(x) \leq b \text{ implies } \|Tx\| < c,$$

then  $T$  has at least a fixed points  $y$  in  $K$  such that

$$a < \|y\| < c; \mathbb{R}(y) < b;$$

*Proof.* Let the symbol  $\text{deg}_K$  denote the degree on the cone  $K$ . Then condition  $(C_1)$  implies

$$\text{deg}_K \{I - T; K_a; \mu\} = 1:$$

Let  $\Omega = K(b) \cap K_{2c}$ , we now prove that

$$\text{deg}_K \{I - T; \Omega; \mu\} = 0:$$

Conditions  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$  imply  $\inf_{x \in @K(b)} \mathbb{R}(Tx) \geq b > 0$  and  $\inf_{x \in @K(b)} \|Tx\| \leq c$ .

Let  $\tilde{T} : \overline{K}(b) \rightarrow K$  be an extension of  $T|_{@K(b)} : @K(b) \rightarrow K$ . Dugundji extension theorem ([17, p. 5]) ensures that  $\tilde{T}$  is completely continuous and  $\tilde{T}(\overline{K}(b)) \subset \text{convexT}(@K(b))$ . Since  $\{x \in K : \mathbb{R}(x) \geq b\} \cap \{x \in K : \|x\| \leq c\}$  is a convex set, we have

$$\inf_{x \in \overline{K}(b)} \mathbb{R}(\tilde{T}x) \geq b > 0; \text{ and } \inf_{x \in \overline{K}(b)} \|\tilde{T}x\| \leq c:$$

We claim

$$\text{deg}_K \{I - \tilde{T}; \Omega; \mu\} = 0:$$

Clearly  $@\Omega = (@K(b) \cap \overline{K}_{2c}) \cup (@K_{2c} \cap \overline{K}(b))$ . For  $x \in @\Omega$ ,  $(I - \tilde{T})(x) \neq \mu$ . If it is not true, then there exists  $x_0 \in @\Omega$  such that

$$x_0 = \tilde{T}x_0:$$

If  $x \in @K(b) \cap \overline{K}_{2c}$ , then

$$b = \mathbb{R}(x_0) = \mathbb{R}(\tilde{T}x_0) = \mathbb{R}(Tx_0) > b;$$

a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $x \in @K_{2c} \cap \overline{K}(b)$ ; then

$$2c = \|x_0\| = \|\tilde{T}x_0\| \leq c;$$

a contradiction. For  $x \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\mathbb{R}(x) < b; \text{ and } \mathbb{R}(\tilde{T}x_0) \geq b:$$

Thus,  $(I - \tilde{T})(x) \neq \mu$  for  $x \in \Omega$ . It follows that

$$\deg_K \{I - \tilde{T}; \Omega; \mu\} = 0:$$

Take a homotopy  $H(x; \lambda) = \lambda Tx + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{T}x$ . It is easy to see that

$$H(x; \lambda) \neq x; \text{ for all } x \in \partial\Omega; \lambda \in [0; 1]:$$

Thus,

$$\deg_K \{I - T; \Omega; \mu\} = \deg_K \{I - \tilde{T}; \Omega; \mu\} = 0:$$

From  $\mathbb{R}(x) \leq \|x\|$ , we have  $K_a \subset K(b) \cap K_{2c} = \Omega$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg_K \{I - T; \Omega \setminus K_a; \mu\} \\ &= \deg_K \{I - T; \Omega; \mu\} - \deg_K \{I - T; K_a; \mu\} \\ &= -1: \end{aligned}$$

So  $T$  has in  $K$  a fixed point  $y$  such that

$$a < \|y\| < c; \mathbb{R}(y) < b:$$

Theorem 1 is now proved.

### 3. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we will impose growth conditions on  $f$  which allow us to apply Theorem 1 to obtain two symmetric positive solutions for (1). Let  $G(t; s)$  be the Green's function for

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} u'' = 0; & t \in [0; 1]; \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0: \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$G(t; s) = - \begin{cases} (1-t)s; & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1; \\ (1-s)t; & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1: \end{cases}$$

Let  $G_1(t; s) = G(t; s)$ , then for  $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$  we recursively define

$$G_j(t; s) = \int_0^1 G(t; r)G_{j-1}(r; s)dr:$$

It is easy to see that  $G_j(t; s) (1 \leq j \leq n - 1)$  is the Green's function for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y^{(2j)}(t) = 0; & 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ y^{(2i)}(0) = y^{(2i)}(1) = 0; & 0 \leq i \leq j - 1; \end{cases}$$

For each  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , we define  $A_j : C[0; 1] \rightarrow C[0; 1]$  by

$$A_j v(s) = \int_0^1 G_j(s; \xi) v(\xi) d\xi;$$

For each  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , from the construction of  $A_j$  we see that

$$(A_j v)^{(2j)}(t) = v(t); \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1;$$

$$(A_j v)^{(2i)}(0) = (A_j v)^{(2i)}(1) = 0; \quad 0 \leq i \leq j - 1;$$

Therefore (1) has a solution if and only if the boundary value problem

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} (\Phi(v^0))^0(t) = f(t; A_{n-1}v(t); A_{n-2}v(t); \dots; A_1v(t); v(t)); & 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ v(0) = v(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a solution. If  $y$  is a solution of (1), then  $v = y^{(2(n-1))}$  is a solution of (5). Conversely, if  $v$  is a solution of (5), then  $y = A_{n-1}v$  is a solution of (1). In particular, if  $(-1)^{n-1}v(t) \geq 0 (\neq 0)$  on  $[0; 1]$ , then  $y = A_{n-1}v$  is a positive solution of (1).

**Lemma 1.**  $G(t; s)$  has the following properties

$$(6) \quad \int_0^1 |G(t; s)| ds = \frac{t(1-t)}{2}; \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1;$$

$$(7) \quad \int_{\pm}^{1-\pm} |G(t; s)| ds = \frac{1}{2}t(1-t) - \frac{1}{2}\pm^2; \quad \pm \leq t \leq 1 - \pm;$$

*Proof.* From the expression of  $G(t; s)$ , it is easy to see that (6) and (7) hold.

Let  $X = C[0; 1]$ ,  $K = \{x \in X : (-1)^{n-1}x(t) \geq 0; x(t) = x(1-t); t \in [0; 1]\}$ ,  $K' = \{x \in K : (-1)^{n-1}x \text{ is concave on } [\frac{\pm}{2}; 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}]\}$ , where  $\pm \in (0; \frac{1}{2})$ . Obviously,  $K; K^0 \subset X$  are two cones with  $K^0 \subset K$ .

Let  $(-1)^j [a; b] = [a; b]$  if  $j$  is even and  $(-1)^j [a; b] = [-b; -a]$  if  $j$  is odd. The following conditions are satisfied throughout the rest of this paper

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $f : [0; 1] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j [0; \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and for each  $(u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \in \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j [0; \infty)$ ,  $f(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1})$  is symmetric about  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $(-1)^n f(t; 0; 0; \dots; 0) \geq 0 (\neq 0)$  for  $t \in [0; 1]$ ;

and there exist  $a; b; d > 0$  satisfying

$$0 < \frac{1 - \pm}{2} \Phi^{-1} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{2d}{\pm} \right) + \frac{M \pm}{2} \right] + d \leq a < \pm b < b$$

such that

- (H<sub>3</sub>)  $(-1)^n f(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \geq -M$  for  $(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \in [0; 1] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j [0; \infty)$ ;
- (H<sub>4</sub>)  $(-1)^n f(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \geq \frac{\pm}{1 - \pm} M$  for  $(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \in \left[ \frac{\pm}{2}; 1 - \frac{\pm}{2} \right] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left[ \frac{1}{4^{n-1-j}} \pm^{n-1-j} (1 - \pm)^{n-1-j} d; \frac{1}{8^{n-1-j}} b \right]$ ;
- (H<sub>5</sub>)  $(-1)^n f(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) < 2\Phi(2a)$  for  $(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \in [0; 1] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left[ 0; \frac{1}{8^{n-1-j}} a \right]$ ;
- (H<sub>6</sub>)  $(-1)^n f(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \geq \frac{2}{1 - 2\pm} (M \pm + \Phi(b))$  for  $(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \in \left[ \pm; 1 - \pm \right] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n-1-j}} \pm^{n-j} (1 - 2\pm)^{n-1-j} b; \frac{1}{8^{n-1-j}} b \right]$ ;
- (H<sub>7</sub>)  $(-1)^n f(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \leq \frac{2}{\pm} \Phi \left( \frac{2a}{\pm} \right)$  for  $(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \in \left[ 0; \frac{\pm}{2} \right] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left[ 0; \frac{1}{8^{n-1-j}} b \right]$ .

For  $x \in K$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{\text{R}}(x) &= \min_{\pm \leq t \leq 1 - \pm} |x(t)|; \\ (Tx)(t) &= \begin{cases} \left( - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right)^+; & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ \left( - \int_t^1 \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^s f(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right)^+; & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $(B)^+ = (-1)^{n-1} \max\{(-1)^{n-1}B; 0\}$ .

$$(Ax)(t) = \begin{cases} - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \\ \quad \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds; 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ - \int_t^1 \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^s f(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \\ \quad \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds; \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1; \end{cases}$$

For  $x \in X$ , define  $\mu : X \rightarrow K$  by  $(\mu x)(t) = (-1)^{n-1} \max\{(-1)^{n-1}x(t); 0\}$ , then  $T = \mu \circ A$ . For  $x \in K^0$ , let

$$(T^0x)(t) = \begin{cases} - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \\ \quad \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds; 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ - \int_t^1 \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^s f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \\ \quad \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds; \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1; \end{cases}$$

where

$$f^*(t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} f(t; 0; 0; \dots; 0); (t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \\ \quad \in [0; 1] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} [0; \infty); \\ f(t; u'_0; \dots; u'_{n-1}); (t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \\ \quad \in \left[ \frac{\pm}{2}; 1 - \frac{\pm}{2} \right] \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j [0; \infty); \\ f(t; u^*_0; \dots; u^*_{n-1}); (t; u_0; \dots; u_{n-1}) \\ \quad \in \left( \left[ 0; \frac{\pm}{2} \right] \cup \left[ 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}; 1 \right] \right) \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j [0; \infty); \end{cases}$$

and  $u'_j = u_j$  for  $u_j \in (-1)^j \left[ \frac{1}{4^{n_1-1} j} \pm^{n-1-j} (1 \pm)^{n-1-j} d; \frac{1}{8^{n_1-1} j} b \right]$ ,  $u'_j = (-1)^j \frac{1}{4^{n_1-1} j} \pm^{n-1-j} (1 \pm)^{n-1-j} d$  for  $u_j \in (-1)^j \left[ 0; \frac{1}{4^{n_1-1} j} \pm^{n-1-j} (1 \pm)^{n-1-j} d \right)$ ,  $u'_j = (-1)^j \frac{1}{8^{n_1-1} j} b$  for  $u_j \in (-1)^j \left( \frac{1}{8^{n_1-1} j} b; \infty \right)$ ;  $u^*_j = u_j$  for  $u_j \in (-1)^j \left[ 0; \frac{1}{8^{n_1-1} j} b \right]$ ,  $u^*_j = (-1)^j \frac{1}{8^{n_1-1} j} b$  for  $u_j \in (-1)^j \left( \frac{1}{8^{n_1-1} j} b; \infty \right)$ .

**Lemma 2.** ([11, Lemma 3.5]) *If  $A : K \rightarrow X$  is completely continuous, then  $\mu \circ A : K \rightarrow K$  is also completely continuous.*

(H<sub>1</sub>) implies that A and T' are well defined. From the continuity of f, it is easy to see that A : K → X is completely continuous. So T : K → K is completely continuous by using Lemma 2. For x ∈ K', we have |x(t)| ≥  $\frac{\pm}{1-\pm} \max_{\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1-\frac{\pm}{2}} |x(t)| \geq \pm \max_{\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1-\frac{\pm}{2}} |x(t)|$  for t ∈ [±; 1 - ±] by the concavity of (-1)<sup>n-1</sup>x on  $[\frac{\pm}{2}; 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}]$ . Thus,

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{Q}(x) \leq \|x\|; \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}(x) \geq \pm \max_{\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1-\frac{\pm}{2}} |x(t)|;$$

**Lemma 3.** Let (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>) hold. Then T' : K' → K' is completely continuous.

*Proof.* For all x ∈ K', from (H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>4</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^n \int_{\frac{\pm}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\frac{\pm}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^n f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &+ \int_{\frac{\pm}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^n f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &\geq -\frac{\pm}{2}M + \frac{1-\pm}{2} \cdot \frac{\pm}{1-\pm}M \\ &= 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pm}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(-1)^n \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \geq 0 \text{ for } \frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2};$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1}(T'x)(t) &= \int_0^t \Phi^{-1}((-1)^n \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); \cdots; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta) ds \\ &\geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1}(\Phi((T'x)'))'(t) &= (-1)^{n-1}f^*(t; A_{n-1}x(t); \cdots; A_1x(t); x(t)) \\ &\leq 0 \text{ for } t \in \left[\frac{\pm}{2}; 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}\right]; \end{aligned}$$

So T' : K' → K'. Using the continuity of f and the definition of f\*, it is easy to see that T' : K → K' is completely continuous.

**Theorem 2.** Let (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>7</sub>) hold. Then the boundary value problem (1) has at least two positive solutions x<sub>1</sub> and x<sub>2</sub> such that :

$$0 < \|x_1^{(2(n-1))}\| < a < \|x_2^{(2(n-1))}\|; \min_{t \in [\pm; 1-\pm]} |x_2^{(2(n-1))}(t)| < \pm b;$$



*Proof.* At first we show that  $T$  has a fixed point  $y_1 \in K$  with  $0 < \|y_1\| < a$ . In fact, for all  $x \in @K_a$ , we have  $\|x\| = a$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$0 \leq (-1)^{n-1-j} (A_j x)(t) = (-1)^{n-1-j} \int_0^1 G_j(t; \zeta) x(\zeta) d\zeta \leq a \int_0^1 |G_j(t; \zeta)| d\zeta \leq \frac{1}{8^j} a;$$

From  $(H_5)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}} \left| - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right| \\ &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}} \max \{ (-1)^{n-1} \left( - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right); 0 \} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\Phi(2a) \right) \\ &= a; \end{aligned}$$

The existence of  $y_1$  is proved by using the Schauder fixed point theorem.

Obviously,  $y_1$  is a solution of (5) if and only if  $y_1$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . Next we need to prove that  $y_1$  is a solution of (5). Suppose the contrary, i.e., there is  $t_0 \in (0; 1)$  such that  $y_1(t_0) \neq (Ay_1)(t_0)$ . It must be  $(-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)(t_0) < 0 = y_1(t_0)$ . Let  $(t_1; t_2)$  be the maximal interval such that  $t_0 \in (t_1; t_2)$ , and  $(-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)(t) < 0$  for  $\forall t \in (t_1; t_2)$ . Obviously  $[t_1; t_2] \neq [0; 1]$  by  $(H_2)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $t_2 < 1$ . Then  $y_1(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \in [t_1; t_2]$  and  $(-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)(t) < 0$  for  $t \in (t_1; t_2)$ ,  $(Ay_1)(t_2) = 0$ . Thus,  $(-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)'(t_2) \geq 0$ .  $(H_2)$  implies  $(-1)^{n-1}(\Phi((Ay_1)'))'(t) = (-1)^{n-1}f(t; 0; 0 \dots; 0) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [t_1; t_2]$ . So  $(-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)'(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [t_1; t_2]$ . Therefore,  $t_1 = 0$  and  $(-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)(0) \leq (-1)^{n-1}(Ay_1)(t_0) < 0$ . On the other hand,  $(Ay_1)(0) = 0$ , a contradiction.

We now show that  $(C_1)$  of Theorem 1 is satisfied. For  $x \in @K'_a$ , we have  $\|x\| = a$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , from (6) we have

$$0 \leq (-1)^{n-1-j} (A_j x)(t) = (-1)^{n-1-j} \int_0^1 G_j(t; \zeta) x(\zeta) d\zeta \leq a \int_0^1 |G_j(t; \zeta)| d\zeta \leq \frac{1}{8^j} a;$$

From  $(H_5)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T'x\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}} \left| - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \dots; \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right| \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\Phi(2a) \right) \\ &= a; \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that  $(C_2)$  of Theorem 1 is satisfied. For  $x \in @K'(\pm b)$ , i.e.,  $@(x) = \pm b$ . For  $\pm \leq t \leq 1 - \pm$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , from (6), (7) and (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pm b &\leq (-1)^{n-1} x(t) \leq b; \\ (-1)^{n-1-j} (A_j x)(t) &= (-1)^{n-1-j} \int_0^1 G_j(t; \zeta) x(\zeta) d\zeta \leq b \int_0^1 |G_j(t; \zeta)| d\zeta \leq \frac{1}{8^j} b; \\ (-1)^{n-1-j} (A_j x)(t) &= (-1)^{n-1-j} \int_0^1 G_j(t; \zeta) x(\zeta) d\zeta \geq \pm b \int_{\pm}^{1-\pm} |G_j(t; \zeta)| d\zeta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^j} \pm^{j+1} (1 - 2\pm)^j b; \end{aligned}$$

we may use conditions  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_6)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} @(T'x) &= \min_{\pm \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}} \left| - \int_0^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots ; \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right| \\ &= \int_0^{\pm} \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^n f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots ; \right. \\ &\quad \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \\ &> \pm \Phi^{-1} \left( -M\pm + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \pm \right) \frac{2}{1 - 2\pm} (M\pm + \Phi(b)) \right) = \pm b; \end{aligned}$$

Using the continuity of  $f$  and the definition of  $f^*$ , there is  $c > b$  such that  $\|T'x\| < c$  for  $@(x) \leq b$ . Applying Theorem 1,  $T'$  has a fixed point  $y_2$  such that  $y_2 \in K'_a(\pm b)$ .

Finally, we show that  $Ax = T'x$  for  $x \in K'_a(\pm b) \cap \{u : T'u = u\}$ . Let  $x \in K'_a(\pm b) \cap \{u : T'u = u\}$ , then

$$\|x\| > a \geq \frac{1 - \pm}{2} \Phi^{-1} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{2d}{\pm} \right) + \frac{M\pm}{2} \right] + d;$$

We claim  $\|x\| = \max_{\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}} |x(t)|$ . If there is  $t_0 \in (0; \frac{\pm}{2})$  such that  $|x(t_0)| = \|x\| > a$ , then  $x'(t_0) = (A'x)'(t_0) = -\Phi^{-1} \left( \int_{t_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); \cdots ; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\int_{t_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); \cdots ; A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta = 0$ . From  $(H_7)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t_0)| = \|x\| &= \left| - \int_0^{t_0} \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots ; \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{t_0} \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_s^{t_0} f^*(\zeta; A_{n-1}x(\zeta); A_{n-2}x(\zeta); \cdots ; \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_1x(\zeta); x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\pm}{2} \Phi^{-1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{\pm}{2}} \frac{2}{\pm} \Phi \left( \frac{2a}{\pm} \right) d\zeta \right) \\ &= a; \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $\|x\| = \max_{\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}} |x(t)|$ .

Next we will prove  $(-1)^{n-1}x(\frac{\pm}{2}) \geq d$ . Suppose this is not true, then there exists  $t_0 \in (\frac{\pm}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$(-1)^{n-1}x'(t_0) > \Phi^{-1} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{2d}{\pm} \right) + \frac{M_{\pm}}{2} \right];$$

It follows from the concavity of  $(-1)^{n-1}x$  on  $[\frac{\pm}{2}, 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}]$  that

$$(-1)^{n-1}x' \left( \frac{\pm}{2} \right) \geq (-1)^{n-1}x'(t_0) > \Phi^{-1} \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{2d}{\pm} \right) + \frac{M_{\pm}}{2} \right];$$

For  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pm}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1}\Phi(x'(t)) &= (-1)^{n-1}\Phi \left( x' \left( \frac{\pm}{2} \right) \right) - \int_t^{\frac{\pm}{2}} (-1)^{n-1}(\Phi(x'(s)))' ds \\ &= (-1)^{n-1}\Phi \left( x' \left( \frac{\pm}{2} \right) \right) + \int_t^{\frac{\pm}{2}} (-1)^n f^*(s; A_{n-1}x(s); A_{n-2}x(s); \dots; \\ &\quad A_1x(s); x(s)) ds \\ &\geq \left( \Phi \left( \frac{2d}{\pm} \right) + \frac{M_{\pm}}{2} \right) - \frac{M_{\pm}}{2} \\ &= \Phi \left( \frac{2d}{\pm} \right); \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $(-1)^{n-1}x'(t) \geq \frac{2d}{\pm}$ . Therefore,

$$0 = (-1)^{n-1}x(0) = (-1)^{n-1}x \left( \frac{\pm}{2} \right) - \int_0^{\frac{\pm}{2}} (-1)^{n-1}x'(s) ds < d - \frac{\pm}{2} \cdot \frac{2d}{\pm} = 0;$$

a contradiction. Thus,  $d \leq (-1)^{n-1}x(t) \leq b$  for  $\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $\frac{\pm}{2} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{\pm}{2}$ , from (6) and (7) we have

$$(-1)^{n-1-j}(A_j x)(t) = (-1)^{n-1-j} \int_0^1 G_j(t; \zeta)x(\zeta) d\zeta \leq b \int_0^1 |G_j(t; \zeta)| d\zeta \leq \frac{1}{8^j} b;$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-1-j}(A_j x)(t) &= (-1)^{n-1-j} \int_0^1 G_j(t; \zeta)x(\zeta) d\zeta \geq d \int_{\frac{\pm}{2}}^{1 - \frac{\pm}{2}} |G_j(t; \zeta)| d\zeta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4^j} \pm^j (1 - \pm)^j d; \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $f^*$ , we have  $f^*(t; A_{n-1}x(t); \dots; A_1x(t); x(t)) = f(t; A_{n-1}x(t); \dots; A_1x(t); x(t))$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $Ax = T'x$  for  $x \in K'_a(\pm b) \cap \{u : T'u = u\}$ . Thus,  $y_2$  is a solution of (5). Let  $x_i(t) = (A_{n-1}y_i)(t) = \int_0^1 G_{n-1}(t; s)y_i(s)ds$ ;  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are two symmetric positive solutions of (1), and

$$0 < \left\| x_1^{(2(n-1))} \right\| < a < \left\| x_2^{(2(n-1))} \right\|; \quad \min_{t \in [\pm; 1-\pm]} \left| x_2^{(2(n-1))}(t) \right| < \pm b;$$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and P. J. Y. Wong, *Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1999.
2. R. I. Avery, Existence of multiple positive solutions to a conjugate boundary value problem, *MRS Hot-Line* **2** (1998), 1-6.
3. R. I. Avery and J. Henderson, Three symmetric positive solutions for a second order boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **13** (2000), 1-7.
4. R. I. Avery, A generalization of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, *Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line* **3** (1999), 9-14.
5. R. I. Avery, Chuan Jen Chyan and J. Henderson, Twin solutions of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations and finite difference equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **42** (2001), 695-704.
6. J. M. Davis and J. Henderson. Triple positive symmetric solutions for a Lidstone boundary value problem. *Differential Equations Dynam. Systems* **7** (1999), 321-330.
7. J. M. Davis, P. W. Eloe, and J. Henderson. Triple positive solutions and dependence on higher order derivatives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237** (1999), 710-720.
8. J. M. Davis, J. Henderson and P. J. Y. Wong, General Lidstone problems: multiplicity and symmetry of solutions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000), 527-548.
9. P. W. Eloe and J. Henderson, Positive solutions for  $(n - 1; 1)$  conjugate boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **28** (1997), 1669-1680.
10. L. H. Erbe, S. Hu and H. wang, Multiple positive solutions of some boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 640-648.
11. Guo Yanping, Ge Weigao and Gao Ying, Twin positive solutions for higher order  $m$ -point boundary value problems with sign changing nonlinearities, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **146** (2003), 299-311.
12. J. Henderson and H. B. Thompson, Multiple symmetric positive solutions for a second-order boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 2373-2379.
13. R. W. Leggett and L. R. Williams, Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28** (1979), 673-688.

14. F. Merdivenci, Two positive solutions of a boundary value problem for difference equations, *J. Differential Equations Appl.* **1** (1995), 262-270.
15. P. J. Y. Wong, Triple positive solutions of conjugate boundary value problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **36** (1998), 19-35.
16. P. J. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, Double positive solutions of  $(n; p)$  boundary value problems for higher order difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **32** (1996), 1-21.
17. Zhong Chenkui et al., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Lanchow Univ. Press, Lanchow, 1998.

Yanping Guo  
Marine Environment College,  
Ocean University of China,  
Qingdao 266003,  
and  
College of Sciences,  
Hebei University of Science and Technology,  
Shijiazhuang, 050018, Hebei,  
P. R. China  
E-mail: guoyanping65@sohu.com

Weigao Ge  
Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Beijing Institute of Technology,  
Beijing, 100081,  
P. R. China