

**BOUNDED STABLE SETS OF SKEW PRODUCT
 FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS**

Wang Sheng

Abstract. Boundedness of components of the Fatou set of the skew product is studied, which is associated with finitely generated meromorphic semigroup.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

For some integer $m \geq 1$, Σ_m denotes the one sided symbol's space of m digits,

$$\Sigma_m = \{1; 2; \dots; m\} \times \dots \times \{1; 2; \dots; m\} \times \dots = \prod_1^{\infty} \{1; 2; \dots; m\};$$

$\sigma : \Sigma_m \rightarrow \Sigma_m$ denotes the shift map, i.e. for any $w = (w_1; w_2; w_3; \dots) \in \Sigma_m$, $\sigma w = (w_2; w_3; \dots)$.

Let f_j ($j = 1; 2; \dots; m; m \geq 1$) be transcendental and meromorphic in \mathbb{C} . The map \tilde{f} is said to be the skew product associated with the generator system $\{f_1; f_2; \dots; f_m\}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : \Sigma_m \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \Sigma_m \times \overline{\mathbb{C}} \\ (w; x) &\rightarrow (\sigma w; f_{w_1}(x)); \end{aligned}$$

where $w = (w_1; w_2; \dots) \in \Sigma_m$. See [9] for the case of the skew product associated with rational semigroups. We define the following projection:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ \tilde{f} : \Sigma_m \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} \\ (w; x) &\rightarrow f_{w_1}(x); \end{aligned}$$

Some notations and definitions are stated below.

Received July 4, 2002. accepted November 7, 2002.

Communicated by S. B. Hsu.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D05, 58F23.

Key words and phrases: Fatou set, Julia set, meromorphic function, skew product.

$\{\tilde{f}^n\}$ is said to be normal at a point $(w_0; z_0) \in \Sigma_m \times \overline{C}$, if there is a neighborhood $V \times U \subset \Sigma_m \times \overline{C}$ of $(w_0; z_0)$ such that \tilde{f}^n are defined in $V \times U$ for all n and $\{\mathcal{W}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n\}$ is normal in $V \times U$ in the sense of Montel.

Furthermore, $\{\tilde{f}^n\}$ is said to be normal in $V \times U$ if $\{\tilde{f}^n\}$ is normal at each point $(w; z) \in V \times U$.

The Fatou set $F(\tilde{f})$ of \tilde{f} is defined by the subset of $\Sigma_m \times \overline{C}$ in which $\{\tilde{f}^n\}$ is normal. The Julia set $J(\tilde{f})$ of \tilde{f} is the complement of $F(\tilde{f})$, i.e.

$$J(\tilde{f}) = \Sigma_m \times \overline{C} \setminus F(\tilde{f});$$

A component $V \times U \subset F(\tilde{f})$ is said to be bounded, if U is bounded.

If $m = 1$, the dynamical behavior of \tilde{f} is the same as that of f . In this case, denote $F(\tilde{f}); J(\tilde{f})$ by $F(f); J(f)$ respectively. See [5] for reference.

Let f be transcendental and meromorphic in C . Set

$$L(r; f) = \inf_{|z|=r} |f(z)|;$$

If $f(z)$ is meromorphic in C satisfying

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(r; f)}{r} = \infty;$$

then any non wandering component of $F(f)$ must be bounded (see [12], [13], [14], [15] for some extension). Obviously it is still a research topic to consider the bounded components of $F(f)$ in the research field, see also [1], [2], [8], [10], [11]. Our main result is following:

Theorem. *Let f_j ($j = 1; 2; \dots; m; m \geq 1$) be transcendental and meromorphic in C with the properties: given $d > 1$, for any positive number $\mathcal{L} > 1$ and for all sufficiently large $R > 0$, there exists $R_j \in (R^{\frac{1}{d}}; R]$ such that*

$$(1) \quad L(R_j; f_j) > \mathcal{L}R; \quad j = 1; 2; \dots; m;$$

Suppose that \tilde{f} is the skew product associated with the generator system $\{f_1; f_2; \dots; f_m\}$. If there is a component $V \times U \subset F(\tilde{f})$ such that $\mathcal{W}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n : V \times U \rightarrow U$ for all n , and $\mathcal{W}_4(J(\tilde{f}))$ has an unbounded component, then $V \times U$ is bounded.

Remark. If f_j is transcendental and entire of order less than $\frac{1}{2}$ (see [4]) or with gaps (see [6]) or is transcendental meromorphic of order $\frac{1}{4}$ less than $\frac{1}{2}$ and $f(z)$ has the deficient number $\pm(\infty; f)$ at ∞ satisfying $\pm(\infty; f) > 1 - \cos \frac{3}{4}$ (see [7]), then f_j satisfies (1), for $j = 1; 2; \dots; m$.

2. LEMMAS

Let us recall some known results on hyperbolic geometry. An open set in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is hyperbolic if its boundary contains at least three points. Let Ω be a hyperbolic domain and $\rho_{\Omega}(z)$ denote the hyperbolic density of the hyperbolic metric on Ω . Let $\rho_{\Omega}(z_1; z_2)$ stand for the hyperbolic distance between z_1 and z_2 on Ω , i.e.

$$(2) \quad \rho_{\Omega}(z_1; z_2) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho_{\Omega}(z) |dz|;$$

where γ is a Jordan curve joining z_1 to z_2 in Ω . If Ω is simply-connected and $d(z; \partial\Omega)$ is the Euclidean distance between $z \in \Omega$ and $\partial\Omega$, then for any $z \in \Omega$

$$\frac{1}{4d(z; \partial\Omega)} \leq \rho_{\Omega}(z) \leq \frac{1}{d(z; \partial\Omega)};$$

Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be analytic, where U and V are hyperbolic domains. By Contraction Principle we have

$$(3) \quad \rho_V(f(z_1); f(z_2)) \leq \rho_U(z_1; z_2); \quad \forall z_1; z_2 \in U;$$

In order to prove the Theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let \tilde{f} be the skew product associated with $\{f_1; f_2; \dots; f_m\}$, where f_j are meromorphic in \mathbb{C} , $j = 1; 2; \dots; m; m \geq 1$. Suppose $V \times U$ is a component of $F(\tilde{f})$. If $\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n(V \times U) \subset U; n = 1; 2; \dots$ and $\mathcal{W}(J(\tilde{f}))$ has an unbounded component, then for any point $(w; z_0) \in V \times U$, there exists a compact set B containing z and $\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))$, $B \subset U$ such that for all sufficiently large n*

$$|\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))| \leq c |\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z))| + c^0; \quad (w; z) \in \{w\} \times B;$$

where c and c^0 are some constants.

Proof. Let Γ be an unbounded component of $\mathcal{W}(J(\tilde{f}))$. Then $C \setminus \Gamma$ is a simple connected domain and

$$\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n : \{w\} \times U \rightarrow C \setminus \Gamma; \quad n = 1; 2; \dots;$$

Take $a \in \Gamma$. Then for any $z \in C \setminus \Gamma$, we have

$$\rho_{C \setminus \Gamma}(z) \geq \frac{1}{4d(z; \Gamma)} \geq \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a|)};$$

Let γ be a Jordan curve in U connecting z_0 and $\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_0))$. Then $\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n(\{w\} \times \gamma)$ connects $\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_0))$ and $\mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^{2n}((w; z_0))$. Clearly, $\gamma \cup \mathcal{W} \circ \tilde{f}^n(\{w\} \times \gamma)$ is compact

and for any $(w; z) \in \{w\} \times \circ$, it follows that $\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z)) \in \circ \cup \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{w\} \times \circ)$. Set

$$A = \max\{\mathcal{H}_U(z; z^0) : z \in \circ; z^0 \in \circ \cup \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{w\} \times \circ)\};$$

Then $A < \infty$. From (2) and (3), for sufficiently large n , we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{Cn\Gamma}(\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z)); \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}(\tilde{f}(w; z))) \leq \mathcal{H}_U(z; \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z))) \leq A; z \in \circ:$$

Note that

$$A \geq \mathcal{H}_{Cn\Gamma}(\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z)); \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))) \geq \frac{\int_{\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))}^z \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a|)} |dz|}{\int_{\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z))}^z \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a|)} |dz|}:$$

By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$\frac{|\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))| + |a|}{|\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z))| + |a|} \leq e^{4K}; z \in \circ:$$

Then Lemma 1 follows, with $c = e^{4A}$, $c^0 = (e^{4A} - 1)|a|$ and $B = \circ$.

The following lemmas from [4, pp.165].

Lemma 2. *Let D be a domain and $f_n \rightarrow f; g_n \rightarrow g$ locally and uniformly on D , $f_n; g_n$ are analytic on D , $n = 1; 2; \dots$. If $g(D) \subset D$, then $f_n \circ g_n \rightarrow f \circ g$ locally and uniformly on D , in the chordal metric.*

Lemma 3. *Let \tilde{f} be the skew product associated with $\{f_1; f_2; \dots; f_m\}$, where f_j are meromorphic in \mathbb{C} , $j = 1; 2; \dots; m; m \geq 1$. Suppose $V \times U$ is a component of $F(\tilde{f})$ and for a $w \in V$, $\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n : \{w\} \times U \rightarrow U$, $n = 1; 2; \dots$. If $\{\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n\}$ has a nonconstant limit function on $\{w\} \times U$, then there exists a subsequence $\{\tilde{f}^{n_k}\}$ of $\{\tilde{f}^n\}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((w; z)) \rightarrow z; \forall (w; z) \in \{w\} \times U; k \rightarrow \infty:$$

Proof. By the assumption in Lemma 3, any subsequence $\{t_k\}$, $\{\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{t_k}\}$ is normal in $\{w\} \times U$. Note that $\{\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n\}$ has nonconstant limit function in $\{w\} \times U$. Let $g(z)$ be a limit function of $\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n$ on $\{w\} \times U$. Obviously, $g : U \rightarrow U$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{l_k\}$ such that $\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{l_k}$ locally and uniformly converges to $g(z)$ in $\{w\} \times U$. Set

$$n_k = l_k - l_{k-1} \rightarrow \infty; k \rightarrow \infty:$$

$\{\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}\}$ is normal in $\{w\} \times U$. Without loss of generality, assume $\tilde{A}(z)$ is a limit

function of $\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}$ in $\{W\} \times U$. By Lemma 2, it deduces

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} \circ g(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k} \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{l_{k-1}}((w; z)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{l_{n-1}}) \circ (\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{l_{n-1}})^{-1} \\ &\quad \circ (\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{l_{n-1}})(z)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{l_{n-1}}(z) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((w; z)) = g(z): \end{aligned}$$

So, $\hat{A}(z) \equiv z$. Lemma 3 follows.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM

Assume $V \times U$ is unbounded. Then we shall prove by contradiction that there exists a point $(w; a) \in \{W\} \times U \subset V \times U$ such that

$$(4) \quad |\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; a))| < \infty; n = 1; 2; \dots;$$

where $w = (w_1; w_2; \dots; w_n \dots)$: In fact, if (4) is not true, then for any point $(w; z) \in \{W\} \times U$, we must have

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z)) \rightarrow \infty; n \rightarrow \infty:$$

Otherwise, suppose that there exists a point $(w; z_0) \in \{W\} \times U$ such that

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_0)) \not\rightarrow \infty; n \rightarrow \infty:$$

From (6), there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and nonnegative constant M_0 such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((w; z_0))| = M_0:$$

So, there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that for all $k > k_0$,

$$(7) \quad |\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((w; z_0))| < M_0 + 1:$$

Fixed $k > k_0$. Let Γ^0 be an unbounded component of $\mathcal{H}(J(\tilde{f}))$. Then $C \setminus \Gamma^0$ is a simple connected domain. From (3), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}(z_0; \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z_0))) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{C \setminus \Gamma^0}(\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((w; z_0)); \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k+1}((w; z_0))):$$

Set $A_0 = \max\{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}(z_0; \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z_0))); 1\}$. Then $A_0 < \infty$. Since

$$\mathcal{H}_{C \setminus \Gamma^0}(z) \geq \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a^0|)}; a^0 \in \Gamma^0;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma^0} (\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k}((w; z_0)); \mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k+1}((w; z_0))) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k}((w; z_0))}^{\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k+1}((w; z_0))} \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a^0|)} |dz| \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{|\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k+1}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|}{|\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|}. \end{aligned}$$

From (7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k+1}((w; z_0))| &\leq e^{4A_0} (|\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|) \\ &< e^{4A_0} (M_0 + 1 + |a^0|) = e^{4A_0} M_1; \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = M_0 + 1 + |a^0|$. Similarly, for any integer $s > 0$, we have

$$(8) \quad |\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k+s}((w; z_0))| \leq s e^{4sA_0} M_1;$$

Choose $\mathcal{L} > 2e^{4A_0}$ and sufficiently large $\tilde{R} > e^{4dA_0} M_1^d$. Then there exists $\tilde{R}_1 \in (\tilde{R}^{\frac{1}{d}}; \tilde{R}]$ such that

$$(9) \quad |f_{w_{n_k+1}}(z)| \geq L(\tilde{R}_1; f_{w_{n_k+1}}) > \mathcal{L}\tilde{R} > e^{4A_0} M_1; |z| = \tilde{R}_1;$$

Choose a Jordan curve \circ in U connecting $\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^{\tilde{n}_k}((w; z_0))$ to a point in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \tilde{R}_1\}$. Then from (8), we have

$$f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\circ) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq e^{4A_0} M_1\} \neq \emptyset$$

and from (9), it follows that

$$f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\circ) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \mathcal{L}\tilde{R}\} \neq \emptyset;$$

Therefore there exists $\tilde{z}_1 \in \circ$ satisfying

$$|f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\tilde{z}_1)| = \mathcal{L}\tilde{R}:$$

By the assumption in Theorem, there is $\tilde{R}_2 \in ((\mathcal{L}\tilde{R})^{\frac{1}{d}}; \mathcal{L}\tilde{R}]$ such that

$$(10) \quad |f_{w_{n_k+2}}(z)| \geq L(\tilde{R}_2; f_{w_{n_k+2}}) > \mathcal{L}^2\tilde{R} > 2e^{4E_2A_0} M_1; |z| = \tilde{R}_2;$$

Similarly, from (8), we have

$$f_{w_{n_k+2}} \circ f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\circ) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 2e^{4E_2A_0} M_1\} \neq \emptyset$$

and from (10), we have

$$f_{w_{n_k+2}} \circ f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\circ) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \mathcal{L}^2\tilde{R}\} \neq \emptyset;$$

Hence there exists $\tilde{z}_2 \in \circ$ satisfying

$$|f_{w_{n_k+2}} \circ f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\tilde{z}_2)| = \mathcal{L}^2 \tilde{R}.$$

By mathematical induction, there exists $\tilde{z}_s \in \circ$ satisfying

$$(11) \quad |f_{w_{n_k+s}} \circ \dots \circ f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\tilde{z}_s)| = \mathcal{L}^s \tilde{R}.$$

Set $A^0 = \max\{\frac{1}{2} \int_U (|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((w; z_0)); z|; z \in \circ)\}$. Then $A^0 < \infty$. Similarly, from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^0 &\geq \int_{|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k+s}((w; z_0))|}^{|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k+s}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|} \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a^0|)} |dz| \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k+s}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|}{|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k+s}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|f_{w_{n_k+s}} \circ \dots \circ f_{w_{n_k+1}}(\tilde{z}_s)| \leq e^{4A^0} (|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k+s}((w; z_0))| + |a^0|)$$

Let $s = n_{k+1} - n_k$. From (7) and (11), it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}^{n_{k+1} - n_k} \tilde{R} \leq e^{4A^0} M_1;$$

equivalently

$$2^{n_{k+1} - n_k} e^{4(n_{k+1} - n_k + d)A^0} M_1^d < e^{4A^0} M_1;$$

Similarly, for any integer $p \geq 1$, it follows that

$$2^{n_{k+p} - n_k} e^{4(n_{k+p} - n_k + d)A^0} M_1^d < e^{4A^0} M_1;$$

The above inequality is impossible when $p \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction shows (5) is valid.

Next, choose a Jordan curve \circ_1^0 in U connecting z to $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z))$, by Lemma 1, there are constants $L > 0$ and $L^0 > 0$ satisfying

$$(12) \quad |\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))| \leq L |\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z))| + L^0; (w; z) \in \{w\} \times \circ_1^0.$$

Since \circ_1^0 connects z to $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z))$, take a part curve $\circ_2^0 \subset \frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}(\{w\} \times \circ_1^0)$ lying between $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z))$ and $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^2((w; z))$ and connecting $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z))$ to $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^2((w; z))$, \dots , similarly, take a part curve $\circ_n^0 \subset \frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}(\{w\} \times \circ_1^0)$ lying between $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z))$ and $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))$ and connecting $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z))$ to $\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z))$, \dots , such that \circ_n^0 and \circ_{n+1}^0 have only one common end point, $n = 1; 2; \dots$. Let $\Gamma^{00} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \circ_n^0$. Then Γ^{00} is a curve approaches ∞ in U . For any point $(w; z^0) \in \Gamma^{00}$, there exists a point $(w; z^0) \in \circ_1^0$ and $n \geq 1$ such that

$$|\frac{1}{4} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z^0))| = |z^0|;$$

Since for any sufficiently large $R > 0$, we have $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\} \cap \Gamma^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists infinitely many large n , and for each this kind of n , there exists a point $(w; z_n^0) \in \{w\} \times \circ_1^0$ satisfying

$$R_{w_n} = |\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z_n^0))|:$$

By the assumption in Theorem, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_n^0))| &= |f_{w_n} \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z_n^0))| \\ &\geq L(R_{w_n}; f_{w_n}) \\ &> (L+1)|\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^{n-1}((w; z_n^0))|: \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to (12), because $(w; z_n^0)$ satisfying (12). Hence (4) holds.

From (4), there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$(13) \quad |\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; a))| < M < \infty; n = 1; 2; \dots :$$

For any $K > 1$ and all sufficiently large $R > 0$, by the assumption of Theorem, there is $R_1 \leq R$ such that

$$L(R_1; \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}) > KR:$$

Make a Jordan curve \circ in U connecting a to a point in $U \cap \{z : |z| = R\}$. Then

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{w\} \times \circ) \cap \{z : |z| = KR\} \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{w\} \times \circ) \cap \{z : |z| \leq M\} \neq \emptyset:$$

So there exists a point $(w; z_1) \in \{w\} \times \circ$ satisfying

$$|\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}((w; z_1))| = KR:$$

By the assumption in Theorem, there is $R_2 \leq KR$ such that

$$L(R_2; f_{w_2}) > K^2R; |z| = R_2:$$

Take $\circ_1 \subset \mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{w\} \times \circ)$, such that \circ_1 connects $\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}((w; a))$ to a point in $U \cap \{z : |z| = KR\}$ and $\circ_1 \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq KR\}$. Then

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{\mathcal{H}w\} \times \circ_1) \cap \{z : |z| = K^2R\} \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \tilde{f}(\{\mathcal{H}w\} \times \circ_1) \cap \{z : |z| \leq M\} \neq \emptyset:$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^2(\{w\} \times \circ) \cap \{z : |z| = K^2R\} \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^2(\{w\} \times \circ) \cap \{z : |z| \leq M\} \neq \emptyset:$$

There exists a point $(w; z_2) \in \{w\} \times \circ$ satisfying

$$|\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^2((w; z_2))| = K^2R:$$

Inductively, for all sufficiently large n , there exist $R_n \leq K^{n+1}R$ and a point $(w; z_n) \in \{w\} \times \circ$ such that

$$L(R_n; \tilde{f}_{w_n}) > K^nR$$

and

$$(14) \quad |\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_n))| = K^nR:$$

It remains to be considered two cases below.

Case 1. $\{\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n\}$ has only constant limit functions on $\{w\} \times U$. We can choose an unbounded connected set Γ of $\mathbb{1}_4(J(\tilde{f}))$ such that

$$\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z)) \rightarrow q \notin \Gamma; \forall (w; z) \in \{w\} \times U; n \rightarrow \infty:$$

Then $C \setminus \Gamma$ is simple connected and

$$\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n(\{w\} \times U) \subset C \setminus \Gamma; n = 1; 2; \dots :$$

For $a_0 \in \Gamma$ and any $z \in C \setminus \Gamma$, then

$$\rho_{Cn\Gamma}(z) \geq \frac{1}{4d(z; \Gamma)} \geq \frac{1}{4(|z| + |a_0|)}:$$

Similarly, from the above proof, there is a constant A , by Contraction Principle, it follows that

$$\rho_{Cn\Gamma}(\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; a)); \mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_n))) \leq \rho_U(a; z_n) < A:$$

So, from (13), it follows

$$|\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; z_n))| \leq e^{4A}(|\mathbb{1}_4 \circ \tilde{f}^n((w; a))| + |a_0|) < e^{4A}(M + |a_0|):$$

It leads to a contradiction if we let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the following

$$K^nR < e^{4A}(M + |a_0|) < \infty:$$

Case 2. $\{\varphi \circ \tilde{f}^n\}$ has nonconstant limit function in $\{W\} \times U$. By Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence $\{\varphi \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}\}$ such that

$$\varphi \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((W; Z)) \rightarrow Z; \forall (W; Z) \in \{W\} \times U; k \rightarrow \infty:$$

Therefore, $\forall (W; Z) \in \{W\} \times U$, for all sufficiently large k , it gets

$$(15) \quad |\varphi \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((W; Z))| < K|Z|:$$

On the other hand, for all sufficiently large k , there is $(W; Z) = (W; Z_{n_k}) \in \{W\} \times U$ satisfying (14). And then

$$|\varphi \circ \tilde{f}^{n_k}((W; Z_{n_k}))| = K^{n_k} R:$$

This contradicts to (15).

Hence in any case, $V \times U$ is bounded. We complete the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was written when the author studied at Tsinghua University in 2002. In addition, I would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions in improving this paper.

REFERENCES

1. J. M. Anderson and A. Hinkkanen, Unbounded domains of normality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 3243-3252.
2. I. N. Baker, The iteration of polynomials and transcendental entire functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **30** (1981), 483-495.
3. P. D. Barry, On a theorem of Besicovitch, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford(2)* **14** (1963), 293-302.
4. A. F. Beardon, *Iteration of rational functions*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. 1991.
5. W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1993), 151-188.
6. W. K. Hayman, Angular value distribution of power series with gaps, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*(3) **24** (1972), 590-624.
7. I. V. Ostrowskii, Deficiencies of meromorphic functions of order less than one, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSSR* **150** (1963), 32-35.
8. G. M. Stallard, The iteration of entire functions of small growth, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **114** (1993), 43-55.

9. H. Sumi, Skew product maps related to finitely generated rational semigroups, *Non-linearity* **13** (2000), 995-1019.
10. Y. F. Wang, On the Fatou set of an entire function with gaps, *Tohoku Math. J.* **53** (2001), 163-170.
11. Y. F. Wang, Bounded domains of the Fatou set of an entire function, *Israel J. Math.* **121** (2001), 55-60.
12. J. H. Zheng, Unbounded domains of normality of entire functions of small growth, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **128** (2000), 355-361.
13. J. H. Zheng, On non-existence of unbounded domains of normality of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **264** (2001), 479-494.
14. J. H. Zheng and S. Wang, *Boundeness of components of Fatou set of entire and meromorphic functions*. Preprint of Tsinghua University 2002.
15. J. H. Zheng, S. Wang and Z. G. Huang, Some properties of Fatou and Julia sets of transcendental meromorphic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **66** 2002, to appear.

Wang Sheng
Department of Mathematics,
Nanjing University,
Nanjing 210093,
P. R. China
E-mail: swang1122@yahoo.com.cn
wangsh@pub.zhaoqing.gd.cn