

## AN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

Yuh-Jia Lee<sup>†</sup> and Aurel Stan<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** An analogue of the classical Heisenberg inequality is given for an infinite-dimensional space. The proof relies on a commutation relationship and integration by parts formula for Gaussian measure. We also discuss when the equality holds.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Heisenberg uncertainty principle [8] says that for any function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|f\|_2 = 1$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |xf(x)|^2 dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\gamma \hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \geq \frac{n^2}{4(2\pi)^{n-1}},$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} = 0$ , it appears that there is no such uncertainty principle for the infinite-dimensional case. This is reflected by the fact that the Lebesgue measure does not exist in an infinite-dimensional space. Moreover, the Fourier transform needs to be generalized to such a space.

First we briefly describe the idea to obtain an infinite-dimensional analogue of the above inequality. Take a basic Gel'fand triple  $\mathcal{E} \subset E \subset \mathcal{E}'$ ; e.g.,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_0$  denote the norm on  $E$ . The space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{E}'$  and the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by the standard Gaussian

---

Received February 10, 1998; revised January 4, 1999.

Communicated by H.-H. Kuo.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E50, 81T99, 60H99, 28C20, 60B05.

*Key words and phrases*: Gaussian measure, second quantization, Heisenberg uncertainty principle.

<sup>†</sup> Research supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan.

<sup>‡</sup> Research supported by U.S. Army Research Office grant # DAAH04-94-G-0249.

measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}'$ . Let  $(L^2)$  denote the complex  $L^2(\mu)$ -space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{E}) \subset (L^2) \subset (\mathcal{E}')^*$  be the associated Gel'fand triple (see [3, Section 4.2] for details).

The multiplication by  $x$  in (1) is replaced by a multiplication operator  $\tilde{Q}_\eta$  which is continuous from  $(\mathcal{E}')^*$  into itself [3, Theorem 9.18]. The Fourier transform is replaced by the Fourier-Wiener transform (or the second quantization operator  $\Gamma(iI)$  of  $iI$ ). Thus the infinite-dimensional analogue of the inequality in (1) takes the form

$$(2) \quad \left[ \int_{\mathcal{E}'} |\langle x, \eta \rangle \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right] \left[ \int_{\mathcal{E}'} |\langle x, \eta \rangle \mathcal{F}\varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right] \geq |\eta|_0^4 \|\varphi\|_0^4,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the  $\mathcal{E}' - \mathcal{E}$  pairing and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier-Wiener transform, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \varphi(\sqrt{2}y + ix) \mu(dy),$$

for any  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\varphi \in (L^2)$  (see [5]).

The inequality (1) may be proved directly by integration by parts formula. It can also be shown that the equality in Heisenberg inequality holds if and only if  $\varphi$  is of the form

$$\varphi(x) = e^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \langle x, u_\eta \rangle^2} \varphi(P_\eta^\perp x),$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real number such that  $|\alpha| < 1$ .

In Section 2, we will provide a brief background concerning the Gel'fand triples  $\mathcal{E} \subset E \subset \mathcal{E}'$  and  $(\mathcal{E}) \subset (L^2) \subset (\mathcal{E}')^*$ . The inequality in (2) will be proved in Section 3. We will discuss the equality in (2) in Section 4.

## 2. BACKGROUND

### 2.1. Concept and Notations

Let  $E$  be a real separable Hilbert space with norm  $|\cdot|_0$ . Let  $A$  be a densely defined self-adjoint operator on  $E$ , whose eigenvalues  $\{\lambda\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- $1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots$ .
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2} < \infty$ . (Hence  $A^{-1}$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.)

For any  $p \geq 0$ , we consider the space  $\mathcal{E}_p := \{f \in E \mid |A^p f|_0 < \infty\}$ . On the space  $\mathcal{E}_p$  we introduce the norm  $|f|_p = |A^p f|_0$ . Each of these spaces is a Hilbert space and we have the inclusion  $\mathcal{E}_q \subset \mathcal{E}_p$  for  $p < q$ . By the second condition the inclusion  $i : \mathcal{E}_{p+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_p$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Thus the space  $\mathcal{E} = \bigcap_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{E}_p$ , equipped with the topology given by the family  $\{|\cdot|_p\}_{p \geq 0}$  of seminorms, is a nuclear space.

It can be shown that for all  $p \geq 0$ , the dual space of  $\mathcal{E}_p$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_{-p}$ , which is the completion of the space  $E$  with respect to the norm  $|f|_{-p} = |A^{-p} f|_0$ . Moreover, we have  $\mathcal{E}' = \bigcup_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{E}_{-p}$  and for any  $0 < p < q$ ,

$$\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_q \subset \mathcal{E}_p \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_{-p} \subset \mathcal{E}_{-q} \subset \mathcal{E}'.$$

Equip  $\mathcal{E}'$  with the inductive limit topology. The triple  $\mathcal{E} \subset E \subset \mathcal{E}'$  becomes a Gel'fand triple.

By Minlos' theorem, there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}'$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , the random variable  $\langle \cdot, f \rangle$  is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance  $|f|_0^2$ . Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the duality between  $\mathcal{E}'$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ . Because of the denseness of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $E$ , we can define for each  $f \in E$ , a random variable  $\langle \cdot, f \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{E}'$  which is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance  $|f|_0^2$ .

For  $x \in \mathcal{E}'$ , we define

$$: x^{\otimes n} := \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n-2k)! k! 2^k} \tau^{\widehat{\otimes} k} \widehat{\otimes} x^{\otimes (n-2k)},$$

where  $\tau \in (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E})'$  is defined by  $\langle \tau, \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ . Let  $E_c$  denote the complexification of  $E$ . We denote by  $(L^2)$  the space of all complex-valued square integrable functions on  $\mathcal{E}'$ . It can be proved that for each  $\varphi \in (L^2)$ , there exists a unique sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $f_n \in E_c^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ , such that:

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : x^{\otimes n} : , f_n \rangle.$$

Moreover, we have  $\|\varphi\|_0^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |f_n|_0^2$ .

The second quantization operator  $\Gamma(A)$  of  $A$  is defined by

$$\Gamma(A)\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : \cdot^{\otimes n} : A^{\widehat{\otimes} n} f_n \rangle.$$

By using  $(L^2)$  and  $\Gamma(A)$  instead of  $E$  and  $A$ , respectively, we can construct a Gel'fand triple  $(\mathcal{E}) \subset (L^2) \subset (\mathcal{E})^*$ . The elements in  $(\mathcal{E})$  are called test functions on  $\mathcal{E}'$ . The elements in  $(\mathcal{E})^*$  are called generalized functions on  $\mathcal{E}'$ .

The bilinear pairing between  $(\mathcal{E})^*$  and  $(\mathcal{E})$  is denoted by  $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ . It must be mentioned that if  $\varphi \in (L^2)$  and  $\psi \in (\mathcal{E})$ , then  $\ll \varphi, \psi \gg = (\varphi, \bar{\psi})$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the inner product of the complex Hilbert space  $(L^2)$ .

Let  $\varphi \in (L^2)$  be represented by  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x^{\otimes n} : , f_n \rangle$ . It can be shown that  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$  if and only if for all  $p \geq 0$ , we have

$$\|\varphi\|_p^2 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |f_n|_p^2 < \infty.$$

On the other hand, each  $\Phi \in (\mathcal{E})^*$  can be represented as

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : \cdot^{\otimes n} : , F_n \rangle, \quad F_n \in (\mathcal{E}'_c)^{\widehat{\otimes} n},$$

and there exists a  $p > 0$  depending on  $\Phi$  such that

$$\|\Phi\|_{-p}^2 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |F_n|_{-p}^2 < \infty.$$

For  $\Phi \in (\mathcal{E})^*$  and  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$  from above we have

$$\ll \Phi, \varphi \gg = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle F_n, f_n \rangle.$$

## 2.2. Differential Operators and the Adjoints

Consider a simple test function  $\varphi(x) = \langle x^{\otimes n} : , f \rangle \in (\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $y \in \mathcal{E}'$ . We can show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x + ty) - \varphi(x)}{t} = n \langle x^{\otimes(n-1)} : , y \widehat{\otimes}_1 f \rangle,$$

where  $y \widehat{\otimes}_1 \cdot : E_c^{\widehat{\otimes} n} \rightarrow E_c^{\widehat{\otimes}(n-1)}$  is the unique continuous and linear map such that

$$y \widehat{\otimes}_1 g^{\otimes n} = \langle y, g \rangle g^{\otimes(n-1)}, \quad g \in E_c.$$

This shows that the function  $\varphi$  has Gâteaux derivative  $D_y \varphi$ . In general, for  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x^{\otimes n} : , f_n \rangle \in (\mathcal{E})$ , we may define

$$D_y \varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \langle x^{\otimes(n-1)} : , y \widehat{\otimes}_1 f_n \rangle.$$

It can be checked that  $D_y$  is a continuous linear operator on  $(\mathcal{E})$  (see [3, Theorem 9.1]).

We can define the adjoint operator  $D_y^*$  of  $D_y$  by the duality between  $(\mathcal{E})^*$  and  $(\mathcal{E})$ , i.e.,

$$\langle\langle D_y^* \Phi, \psi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \Phi, D_y \psi \rangle\rangle, \quad \Phi \in (\mathcal{E})^*, \psi \in (\mathcal{E}).$$

The adjoint  $D_y^*$  is a continuous linear operator.

For  $\Phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : x^{\otimes n} : , F_n \rangle \in (\mathcal{E})^*$ , we have

$$D_y^* \Phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle : x^{\otimes(n+1)} : , y \widehat{\otimes} F_n \rangle.$$

For  $y \in \mathcal{E}$ , the differential operator  $D_y$  extends by continuity to a continuous linear operator from  $(\mathcal{E})^*$  into itself [3, Theorem 9.10]. The extension is denoted by  $\tilde{D}_y$ . Moreover, for such  $y \in \mathcal{E}$ , the restriction of  $D_y^*$  to  $(\mathcal{E})$  is a continuous linear operator from  $(\mathcal{E})$  into itself [3, Corollary 9.14].

### 2.3. Multiplication Operators

If  $\varphi, \psi \in (\mathcal{E})$ , then the pointwise multiplication  $\varphi \cdot \psi$  is also in  $(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\Phi \in (\mathcal{E})^*$  be fixed. For  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$ , define  $\Phi \cdot \varphi \in (\mathcal{E})^*$  by

$$\langle\langle \Phi \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \Phi, \varphi \cdot \psi \rangle\rangle, \quad \psi \in (\mathcal{E}).$$

This multiplication operator by  $\Phi$  is a continuous linear operator from  $(\mathcal{E})$  into  $(\mathcal{E})^*$ .

In particular, if  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ , then the multiplication by  $\langle \cdot, \eta \rangle$ , denoted by  $Q_\eta$ , is a continuous linear operator from  $(\mathcal{E})$  into itself and can be extended to a continuous linear operator  $\tilde{Q}_\eta$  from  $(\mathcal{E})^*$  into itself. The operators  $\tilde{Q}_\eta$ ,  $\tilde{D}_\eta$ , and  $D_\eta^*$  are related by the formula

$$\tilde{Q}_\eta = \tilde{D}_\eta + D_\eta^*$$

(see [3, Theorem 9.18]).

### 2.4. The exponential Functions

Let  $x \in \mathcal{E}'$ . We define the following function

$$: e^{\langle \cdot, x \rangle} : = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle : \cdot^{\otimes n} : , x^{\otimes n} \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\| : e^{\langle \cdot, x \rangle} : \|_p = e^{|x|^2/p^2}.$$

Thus for all  $x \in \mathcal{E}'_c$ , we have  $: e^{\langle \cdot, x \rangle} : \in (\mathcal{E})^*$ . Also  $: e^{\langle \cdot, x \rangle} : \in (L^2)$  if and only if  $x \in E_c$  and  $: e^{\langle \cdot, x \rangle} : \in (\mathcal{E})$  if and only if  $x \in \mathcal{E}_c$ .

If  $x \in \mathcal{E}'_c$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_c$ , then we have

$$\langle\langle : e^{\langle \cdot, x \rangle} : , : e^{\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle} : \rangle\rangle = e^{\langle x, \xi \rangle}.$$

The exponential functions  $\{ : e^{\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle} : \mid \xi \in \mathcal{E}_c \}$  are linearly independent and span a dense subspace of  $(\mathcal{E})$ .

## 2.5. The S-transform

For all  $\Phi \in (\mathcal{E})^*$ , we define the **S-transform** of  $\Phi$  to be the function on  $\mathcal{E}_c$

$$S\Phi(\xi) = \langle\langle \Phi , : e^{\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle} : \rangle\rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{E}_c.$$

Because the exponential functions span a dense subspace of  $(\mathcal{E})$ , the S-transform is injective.

## 2.6. Commutation Relation

For all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ , the commutator of  $\tilde{D}_\xi$  and  $D_\eta^*$  is given by

$$[\tilde{D}_\xi, D_\eta^*] = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle I$$

(see [3, Theorem 9.15]).

## 3. HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

It is well-known that every member  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$  has an analytic extension  $\tilde{\varphi}$  to  $\mathcal{E}_c$  (see [4]) so that every  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$  is Fréchet differentiable on  $\mathcal{E}'$ . Thus  $D_\eta\varphi$  is defined for every  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}'$ . If  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have  $D_\eta^*\varphi(x) = (x, \eta)\varphi(x) - D_\eta\varphi(x)$ .

**Proposition 1.** [5] *For  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$ , let  $\mathcal{F}\varphi$  be the Fourier-Wiener transform of  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \tilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{2}y + ix)\mu(dy)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous from  $(\mathcal{E})$  onto itself and*

$$\|\mathcal{F}\varphi\|_{L^2} = \|\varphi\|_{L^2}.$$

The inverse transform of  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi(y) = \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \tilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{2}x - iy)\mu(dx).$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}$  is extended to a continuous operator on  $(\mathcal{E})^*$ . Denote this extension also by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a unitary operator on  $(L^2)$ .

**Proposition 2.** For  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$  and for  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})^*$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{F}\{(D_\eta^* - \tilde{D}_\eta)\varphi\} = i\tilde{Q}_\eta\mathcal{F}\varphi.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 1, it is sufficient to verify (3) for  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{E})$ . Applying integration by parts formula, we obtain, for any  $y \in \mathcal{E}'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(D_\eta^* + D_\eta)\varphi(y) &= \mathcal{F}\{\langle \cdot, \eta \rangle \varphi\}(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \langle \sqrt{2}x + iy, \eta \rangle \tilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{2}x + iy) \mu(dx) \\ &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \langle x, \eta \rangle \tilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{2}x + iy) \mu(dx) + i\langle y, \eta \rangle \mathcal{F}\varphi(y) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \widetilde{D_\eta \varphi}(\sqrt{2}x + iy) \mu(dx) + i\langle y, \eta \rangle \mathcal{F}\varphi(y) \\ &= 2\mathcal{F}(D_\eta \varphi)(y) + i\tilde{Q}_\eta\mathcal{F}\varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{F}\{(D_\eta^* - D_\eta)\varphi\}(y) = i\tilde{Q}_\eta\mathcal{F}\varphi(y)$ . ■

**Theorem 3.** For any  $\varphi \in (L^2)$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have

$$\left[ \int_{\mathcal{E}'} |\langle x, \eta \rangle \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right] \left[ \int_{\mathcal{E}'} |\langle x, \eta \rangle \mathcal{F}\varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right] \geq |\eta|_0^4 \|\varphi\|_0^4.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to verify the inequality for a real-valued function  $\varphi$ . It follows from the commutation relation  $\tilde{D}_\eta D_\eta^* - D_\eta^* \tilde{D}_\eta = |\eta|_0^2 I$  that we have

$$\langle \tilde{Q}_\eta \varphi, (D_\eta^* - \tilde{D}_\eta)\varphi \rangle = |\eta|_0^2 \|\varphi\|_0^2.$$

Then the theorem follows immediately from Proposition 1, Proposition 2 and Schwarz inequality. ■

#### 4. EQUALITY IN THE HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

**Theorem 4.** The equality in the inequality (2) holds if and only if there exist real constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , not both zero, such that

$$(4) \quad K_1 \langle \cdot, \eta \rangle \varphi = K_2 D_\eta \varphi.$$

*Proof.* The well-known criterion in real analysis for the equality in the Schwarz inequality implies that the equality in the inequality (2) holds if and

only if there exist constants  $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ , not both 0, such that, for almost all  $x$  with respect to  $\mu$ ,

$$(5) \quad A|(x, \eta)\varphi(x)|^2 = B|D_\eta^*\varphi(x) - D_\eta\varphi(x)|^2.$$

It follows from the identity  $(x, \eta)\varphi(x) - 2D_\eta\varphi(x) = D_\eta^*\varphi(x) - D_\eta\varphi(x)$  that

- (i) if  $A = 0, B \neq 0$ , then  $(x, \eta)\varphi(x) = 2D_\eta\varphi(x)$ ;
- (ii) if  $B = 0, A \neq 0$ , then  $(x, \eta)\varphi(x) = 0$ ;
- (iii) if  $AB > 0$ , then  $(x, \eta)\varphi(x) - 2D_\eta\varphi(x) = \text{const.}(x, \eta)\varphi(x)$ .

All the above three cases imply that there exist real numbers  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , not both zero, such that

$$K_1\langle x, \eta \rangle \varphi(x) = K_2 D_\eta \varphi(x).$$

Conversely, if condition (4) holds, then condition (5) holds and hence the equality in the inequality (2) holds. ■

Now we solve completely the equation (4). Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $u_\eta = \eta/|\eta|_0$ . Denote by  $P_\eta$  the projection  $P_\eta(x) = \langle x, u_\eta \rangle u_\eta$  and define  $P_\eta^\perp = I - P_\eta$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Equality in Theorem 3 holds if and only if  $\varphi$  is of the form*

$$(6) \quad \varphi(x) = e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\langle x, u_\eta \rangle^2} \varphi(P_\eta^\perp x),$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real number such that  $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof:* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $|\eta|_0 = 1$ . It is clear that if  $\varphi = 0$ , then we have equality in Theorem 3, so we may assume that  $\varphi \neq 0$ . It is easy to check that the functions of the form (6) satisfies condition (4). Hence by Theorem 4 the equality in Theorem 3 holds.

Now suppose that  $\varphi$  is a function in  $(L^2)$  which satisfies the equality in Theorem 3. Then by Theorem 4,  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (4). Since  $\varphi \neq 0$ , the constant  $K_2 \neq 0$ . Apply the S-transform to both sides of condition (4). Then  $S\varphi$  satisfies the following equation:

$$(7) \quad \alpha\langle \xi, \eta \rangle S\varphi(\xi) = (1 - \alpha)S(D_\eta\varphi)(\xi),$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{K_1}{K_2}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ .

The case  $\alpha = 1$  implies that  $S\varphi(\xi) = 0$  except for  $\xi \perp \eta$ . If  $\xi \perp \eta$ , then  $\forall t \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\xi + t\eta$  is not perpendicular to  $\eta$ . Since  $S\varphi$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{E}_c$ , making  $t \rightarrow 0$  we can see that  $S\varphi(\xi) = 0$ . Hence  $S\varphi(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  which, in turn, implies that  $\varphi = 0$ . Therefore  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

To solve equation (7), for any fixed  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  define the function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(t) = S\varphi(t\eta + P_\eta^\perp \xi).$$

Then  $f$  is differentiable and

$$f'(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \frac{\alpha}{(1-\alpha)} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle).$$

Put  $t = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ , and the above equation becomes

$$f'(t) = \frac{\alpha}{(1-\alpha)} t f(t).$$

It is easy to see that the solution  $f$  is given by

$$f(t) = f(0) e^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} t^2}.$$

Observe that  $f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = S\varphi(\xi)$  and  $f(0) = S\varphi(P_\eta^\perp \xi)$ .  $S\varphi$  is given by

$$S\varphi(\xi) = e^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^2} S\varphi(P_\eta^\perp \xi).$$

Taking the inverse S-transform, we obtain

$$(8) \quad \varphi(x) = e^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \langle x, \eta \rangle^2} \Phi(P_\eta^\perp x),$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\mathcal{E}'} \varphi(x + \langle \eta, y \rangle \eta) \mu(dy).$$

Since  $\varphi \in (L^2)$ , we must have  $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Finally, if we replace  $x$  by  $P_\eta^\perp x$  in (8), we find that

$$\varphi(P_\eta^\perp x) = \Phi(P_\eta^\perp x).$$

This proves the theorem. ■

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Dym and H. P. McKean, *Fourier Series and Integrals*, Academic Press, 1972.
2. H.-H. Kuo, Integration by parts for abstract Wiener measures, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 373-378.
3. H.-H. Kuo, *White Noise Distribution Theory*, CRC Press, 1996.
4. Y.-J. Lee, Analytic version of test functionals, Fourier transform and a characterization of measures in white noise calculus, *J. Func. Anal.* **100** (1991), 359-380.

5. Y.-J. Lee, Integral representation of second quantization and its application to white noise analysis, *J. Func. Anal.* **133** (1995), 253-276.
6. N. Obata, *White Noise Calculus and Fock Space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1571, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
7. K. R. Parthasarathy, *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, Birkhauser, 1992.
8. R. Strichartz, *A Guide to Distribution Theory and Fourier Transforms*, CRC Press, 1994.
9. J. von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton University Press, 1955.

Yuh-Jia Lee

Department of Mathematics, National Cheng Kung University  
Tianan, 701, Taiwan  
E-mail: yjlee@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Aurel Stan

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University  
Baton Rouge, LA 70803, U.S.A.  
E-mail: stan@marais.math.lsu.edu