TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 235-242, June 1999

GENERAL FORMULAE FOR THE LOWER BOUND OF THE FIRST TWO DIRICHLET EIGENVALUES

Feng-Yu Wang

Abstract. This note presents general formulae for the lower bound of the first two Dirichlet eigenvalues on a regular domain. As applications, the positivity of top spectrum and the gap between these two Dirichlet eigenvalues are studied.

1. Introduction

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension d, and let $\Omega \subset M$ be a regular domain. Next, let $L = \Delta + \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2(M)$. Consider the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on Ω:

$$Lu = -\lambda u, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Denote by λ_1 and λ_2 the first two Dirichlet eigenvalues. We have $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$.

The purpose of this note is to present general formulae for the lower bound of $\lambda_i (i = 1, 2)$. The motivation of the study comes from [4] and [5] in which a general lower bound formula was presented for the spectral gap of an elliptic operator. We first recall the formula for the first Neumann eigenvalue due to [4], which will be used later on to study the lower bound of λ_2.

Let $K(V) = \inf\{r : (\mathrm{Hess}_V - \mathrm{Ric})(X, X) \le r|X|,\ X \in T\Omega\}$, and simply denote $K(0) = K$. Define

$$a(r) = \sup\{\langle \nabla\rho(x,\cdot)(y), \nabla V(y)\rangle + \langle \nabla\rho(\cdot, y)(x), \nabla V(x)\rangle : x, y \in \Omega, \rho(x,y) = r, y \notin \mathrm{cut}(x)\}$$

Received February 25, 1997; revised June 20, 1998.
Communicated by S.-Y. Shaw.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35P15, 58G32.
Key words and phrases: Dirichlet eigenvalue, Riemannian manifold.
Research support in part by NSFC(19631060), Fok Ying-Tung Educational Foundation and Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars.

for $r \in (0, D]$, where ρ is the Riemannian distance and D is the diameter of Ω, and set $a(0) = 0$.

Next, choose $\gamma \in C[0, D]$ such that

$$\gamma(r) \geq \min\Big\{K(V)r,\ 2\sqrt{K^+(d-1)}\tanh\Big[\tfrac{r}{2}\sqrt{K^+/(d-1)}\Big] \\ -2\sqrt{K^-(d-1)}\tan\Big[\tfrac{r}{2}\sqrt{K^-/(d-1)}\Big] + a(r)\Big\}.$$

For simplicity, one may take $\gamma(r) = K(V)r$.

Finally, let $C(r) = \exp[\frac{1}{4}\int_0^r \gamma(s)\mathrm{d}s],\ r \in [0, D]$.

Theorem 1.1 (Chen-Wang[4]). *Suppose that Ω is convex. Let n_1 denote the first Neumann eigenvalue of L on Ω. We have*

$$n_1 \geq 4 \inf_{r\in(0,D)} f(r)\Big\{\int_0^r C(s)^{-1}\mathrm{d}s\int_s^D C(u)f(u)\mathrm{d}u\Big\}^{-1} \tag{1.1}$$

for any $f \in C[0, D]$ with $f > 0$ in $(0, D)$.

Theorem 1.1 provides a formula for the lower bound of n_1 in the sense that for each test function, one has a nontrival lower bound estimate. We will try to present formulae for the lower bound of λ_1 and λ_2 in the same spirit.

For the study of the lower bound of λ_1, a very famous tool is Barta's inequality, which says that (see also [9])

$$\lambda_1 \geq \inf_{\Omega}(-f^{-1}Lf) \tag{1.2}$$

for any $f \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ with $f > 0$ in Ω and $f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. But the lower bound may be negative for some test function. In Section 2, we will establish the exact form of the formula such that the lower bound is nontrivial for each test function. Furthermore, by comparing λ_2 with the first Neumann eigenvalue, we obtain the lower bound formula for λ_2 in Section 3. Consequently, the positivity of the top spectrum and the lower bound estimates of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ are also considered.

2. The Formula for the Lower Bound of λ_1

In this section, we assume that $\Omega = B(p, R)$, the open geodesic ball with centre p and radius R. Let $\rho(x) = \rho(p, x)$, and let $i(p)$ be the injectivity radius of p.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $i(p) > R$. Let $\gamma \in C[0, R]$ be such that $L\rho(x) \geq \gamma(\rho(x)),\ 0 < \rho(x) < R$. For any positive $f \in C[0, R]$, we have*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \inf_{r\in(0,R)} f(r)\Big\{\int_r^R \exp\Big[-\int_0^s \gamma(u)\,du\Big]ds\int_0^s \exp\Big[\int_0^t \gamma(u)\,du\Big]f(t)\,dt\Big\}^{-1},$$

where λ_1 denotes the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of L on $B(p,R)$.

Proof. Let δ denote the lower bound given by Theorem 2.1, and take

$$h(x)=\int_{\rho(x)}^{R}\exp\left[-\int_0^s\gamma(u)\mathrm{d}u\right]\mathrm{d}s\int_0^s\exp\left[\int_0^t\gamma(u)\mathrm{d}u\right]f(t)\mathrm{d}t,\ x\in B(p,R).$$

Then $h>0$ in $B(p,R)$, $h|_{\partial B(p,R)}=0$, and

$$Lh(x)\le -f(\rho(x))\le -\delta h(x),\quad x\in B(p,R).$$

By (1.2) we prove the theorem. ∎

Suppose that the sectional curvatures of Ω are not larger than k. We have (see, e.g., [2, pp.69–96])

$$\Delta\rho\ge (d-1)K'(\rho)/K(\rho),\quad 0<r\le R,$$

where

$$K(r)=\begin{cases}\sin\sqrt{k}r, & \text{if } k>0,\\ r, & \text{if } k=0,\\ \sinh\sqrt{-k}r, & \text{if } k<0.\end{cases}$$

Then, by taking $\gamma(r)=\min\{n,(d-1)K'(r)/K(r)\}$ and $f=1$ in Theorem 2.1, and letting $n\to\infty$, we obtain

$$\lambda_1\ge\left\{\int_0^R K(r)^{1-d}\mathrm{d}r\int_0^r K(s)^{d-1}\mathrm{d}s\right\}^{-1},$$

which is exactly the first estimate of [9, Theorem 1.2].

Now, let $\sigma(V)$ be the top spectrum of $-L$ on M. We have $\lambda_1\downarrow\sigma(V)$ as $R\uparrow\infty$. Then the following is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that p is a pole, i.e., $i(p)=\infty$. If $\underline{\lim}_{\rho\to\infty}L\rho>0$, then $\sigma(V)>0$.*

Proof. If $\underline{\lim}_{\rho(x)\to\infty}L\rho(x)>0$, then there exist $r_0,c_0>0$ such that $\gamma(r)\ge c_0$ for $r\ge r_0$. Next, we know that $L\rho\to\infty$ as $\rho\to 0$ for $d>1$, and $L\rho$ is locally bounded for $d=1$. Hence $L\rho$ is bounded from below, i.e., $L\rho\ge -N_0$ for some $N_0\ge 0$. Choose nondecreasing function $\gamma\in C([0,\infty);[-N_0,c_0])$ such that $L\rho\ge\gamma(\rho)$ and $\gamma|_{[0,r_0]}\equiv -N_0, \gamma|_{[r_0+1,\infty)}\equiv c_0$. Taking $f(r)=\mathrm{e}^{-c_0r/2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_r^\infty\exp\left[-\int_0^s\gamma(u)\right]\mathrm{d}s\int_0^s\exp\left[\int_0^t\gamma(u)\right]f(t)\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le\int_r^\infty\exp\left[(r_0+1)(N_0+c_0)-c_0s\right]\mathrm{d}s\int_0^s\mathrm{e}^{c_0t/2}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le\frac{4}{c_0^2}\mathrm{e}^{(r_0+1)(N_0+c_0)}f(r),\quad r\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1, we obtain $\sigma(V) \geq \frac{c_0^2}{4}\mathrm{e}^{-(r_0+1)(N_0+c_0)} > 0$. ■

A very interesting problem is to seek for good geometric conditions on M such that $\sigma(0) > 0$. The well-known result of McKean [8] implies that $\sigma(0) > 0$ provided M is a CH-manifold with sectional curvatures uniformly negative. More recently, Kifer [6] proved that if M is simply connected with hyperbolic metric (see his paper for the definition) and without focal points, then $\sigma(0) > 0$. Here, by using Corollary 2.2, we provide some sufficient conditions on curvatures. Let

$$K_p(x) = -\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla\rho(x), \nabla\rho(x)) \quad \text{and}$$
$$k_p(r) = \inf_{\rho(x)=r}\big\{-\langle R(Y,\nabla\rho(x))Y, \nabla\rho(x)\rangle : Y \in T_xM, |Y| = 1, \langle\nabla\rho(x), Y\rangle = 0\big\}.$$

Corollary 2.3. *We have $\sigma(0) > 0$ provided one of the following holds:*

1) *M has no focal points and $\underline{\lim}_{\rho(x)\to\infty} K_p(x) > 0$.*
2) *There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $k_p(r) \geq 0$ for $r \geq r_0$ and $\frac{\pi^2}{4r_0^2} \geq -\inf_{r\geq 0} k_p(r)$, and $\underline{\lim}_{r\to\infty} k_p(r) > 0$.*

Proof. a) Suppose that 1) holds. For any $\xi \in T_pM$ with $|\xi| = 1$, let $X(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{e}^{t\xi}$, $t \geq 0$. Let $U(t)$ be the operator of the second fundamental form of $\partial B(p,t)$ at point $\mathrm{e}^{t\xi}$. We have (see [2, p. 72]) $\Delta\rho(\mathrm{e}^{t\xi}) = \mathrm{tr}U(t)$ and

$$U'(t) + U(t)^2 + \langle R(\cdot, X)\cdot, X\rangle(t) = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

Since M has no focal points, $(\mathrm{tr}U(t))^2 \geq \mathrm{tr}U(t)^2$ by the proof of [6, Lemma 2.11]. Let $\phi(t) = \Delta\rho(\mathrm{e}^{t\xi})$. We obtain

$$\phi'(t) \geq K_p(\mathrm{e}^{t\xi}) - \phi^2(t), \quad t > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

By 1), there exist $t_0, K_0 > 0$ such that $K_p(x) \geq K_0$ for $\rho(x) \geq t_0$. Once again, since there are no focal points, $c_0 := \inf_{|\xi|=1}\phi(t_0) > 0$ (see [6, (2.5)]). We conclude from (2.1) that $\phi(t) \geq c_1 := \min\{c_0, \sqrt{K_0}\}$ for $t \geq t_0$. Actually, if there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $\phi(t_1) < c_1$, there exists $t_2 \in (t_0, t_1]$ such that $\phi(t_2) = \min_{[t_0,t_1]}\phi$. By (2.1) we have $\phi'(t_2) > 0$. Then there exists $t_3 \in (t_0, t_2)$ such that $\phi(t_3) < \phi(t_2)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\Delta\rho(x) \geq c_1$ for $\rho(x) \geq t_0$, and hence by Corollary 2.2 we obtain $\sigma(0) > 0$.

b) Suppose that 2) holds. For $x \in M$, let $l : [0, \rho(x)] \to M$ be the regular geodesic from p to x with unit tangent vector field X. Choose parallel vector fields $e_i (2 \leq i \leq d)$ along l such that $\{X, e_2, \cdots, e_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Let J_i be the Jacobi field along l with $J_i(0) = 0, J_i(\rho(x)) = e_i$, $2 \leq i \leq d$. We have (see [3])

$$\Delta\rho(x) = \sum_{i=2}^{d}\int_0^{\rho(x)} (|\nabla_X J_i|^2 - \langle R(J_i, X)J_i, X\rangle). \tag{2.2}$$

Let $f_i(s) = |J_i(s)|,\ s \in [0, \rho(x)],\ 2 \le i \le d$. Since $\mathrm{cut}(p) = \emptyset, f_i > 0$ in $(0, \rho(x)]$. Note that $f_i' = f_i^{-1}\langle \nabla_T J_i, J_i\rangle$. We have $|\nabla_T J_i| \ge |f_i'|$. Hence

$$\Delta\rho(x) \ge \sum_{i=2}^{d} \int_0^{\rho(x)} [f_i'^2(s) + k_p(s) f_i^2(s)]\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.3}$$

Consider the mixed eigenvalue problem of d^2/dr^2 on $[0, r_0]$, with Dirichlet condition at 0 and Neumann condition at r_0. We see that the first eigenvalue is $\pi^2/4r_0^2$ with eigenfunction $\sin[\pi s/2r_0]$. Hence, for $\rho(x) \ge r_0$,

$$\int_0^{r_0} f_i'^2(s)\mathrm{d}s \ge \frac{\pi^2}{4r_0^2}\int_0^{r_0} f_i^2(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Noting that $\frac{\pi^2}{4r_0^2} \ge -\inf k_p$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{r_0} [f_i'^2(s) + k_p(s) f_i^2(s)]\mathrm{d}s \ge \int_0^{r_0} \Big(\frac{\pi^2}{4r_0^2} + \inf k_p\Big) f^2(s)\mathrm{d}s \ge 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Next, since $\underline{\lim}_{r\to\infty} k_p(r) > 0$, there exist $r_1 > r_0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that $k_p(r) \ge c_2$ for $r \ge r_1$. By (2.3) and (2.4), for $\rho(x) \ge r_1 + 1$, we have

$$\Delta\rho(x) \ge \sum_{i=2}^{d} \int_{r_1}^{\rho(x)} [f_i'^2(s) + c_2 f_i^2(s)]\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.5}$$

If $\int_{r_1}^{\rho(x)} f_i'^2(s)\mathrm{d}s \le 1/2$, then, for $s \in [\rho(x)-1, \rho(x)]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= 1 - \int_s^{\rho(x)} f_i'(s)\mathrm{d}s \ge 1 - \sqrt{\rho(x) - s}\Big(\int_s^{\rho(x)} f_i'^2(s)\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\ge 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta\rho(x) \ge (d-1)\min\Big\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)^2}{2}c_2\Big\}, \quad \rho(x) \ge r_1 + 1.$$

Hence we have $\sigma(0) > 0$. ∎

3. The Formula for the Lower Bound of λ_2

We first extend Barta's inequality (1.2) to the second eigenvalue λ_2 of L on Ω. For any $\phi \in C^2(\bar\Omega)$ with $\phi > 0$ in Ω, let $n_1(\phi)$ be the first Neumann eigenvalue of $L_\phi := L + 2\nabla\log\phi$ on Ω.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $\phi \in C^2(\bar\Omega)$ with $\phi > 0$ in Ω, we have*

$$\lambda_2 \ge \inf(-\phi^{-1}L\phi) + n_1(\phi). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\inf_\Omega \phi > 0$. If $\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathrm{e}^{V(x)}\mathrm{d}x$, then L_ϕ is symmetric on $L^2(\Omega, \phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu)$ with Neumann boundary condition. By the variational formula, we have

$$n_1(\phi) \le \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu}{\int_\Omega f^2\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu} \tag{3.2}$$

for any $f \in C^1(\bar\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega f\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu = 0$.

Next, let u_i denote the ith Dirichlet eigenfunction of L on Ω with $\mu(u_i^2) = 1 (i = 1, 2)$ such that $u_1 > 0$ in Ω and $\int_\Omega u_2\phi\mathrm{d}\mu \le 0$. Let $c \ge 0$ be such that $\int_\Omega(u_2 + cu_1)\phi\mathrm{d}x = 0$. Take $f = (u_2 + cu_1)/\phi$. We have $\int_\Omega f\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu = 0$ and

$$-fL_\phi f = (\lambda_2 + \phi^{-1}L\phi)f^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)cu_1f\phi^{-1}.$$

Let $\delta = \inf(-\phi^{-1}L\phi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_\Omega (fL_\phi f)\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu &\le \int_\Omega (\lambda_2 - \delta)f^2\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)c\int_\Omega (u_1u_2 + cu_1^2)\mathrm{d}\mu \\ &\le \int_\Omega (\lambda_2 - \delta)f^2\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

since $\int_\Omega u_1u_2\mathrm{d}\mu = 0$. Let N be the outward unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. We have $\phi^2\mathrm{e}^V fNf|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. By Green's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_\Omega (fL_\phi f)\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu &= \int_\Omega \{\langle\nabla f, \nabla(f\phi^2\mathrm{e}^V)\rangle - f\phi^2\mathrm{e}^V\langle\nabla V + 2\nabla\log\phi, \nabla f\rangle\}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2\phi^2\mathrm{d}\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By combining this with (3.2) and (3.3), we complete the proof. ■

Now, by simply taking $\gamma(r) = K(V + \log\phi)r$ in Theorem 1.1 for the lower bound of $n_1(\phi)$, and then combining with Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that Ω is convex. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 \ge &\inf(-\phi^{-1}L\phi) \\ &+ 4\inf_{r\in(0,D)} f(r)\left\{\int_0^r \mathrm{e}^{-K(V+\log\phi)s^2/8}\mathrm{d}s\int_s^D \mathrm{e}^{K(V+\log\phi)u^2/8}f(u)\mathrm{d}u\right\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ *with* $\phi > 0$ *in* Ω *and positive* $f \in C[0, D]$.

Remarks 1) By taking $\phi = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain $\lambda_2 \geq n_1(0)$, which is well-known by the domain monotonicity of eigenvalues (see [2, p.18]).

2) By taking $\phi = u_1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \geq n_1(u_1)$, which gives a general formula for the lower bound esetimate of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ by Corollary 3.2 when Ω is convex. Especially, when $V = 0$ and $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{S}^d$, we know that u_1 is log-concave (see [1] and [7]). Then $K(\log u_1) \leq 0$ for $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\leq 1 - d$ for $M = \mathbb{S}^d$. By taking $f(r) = \sin[\pi r/(2D)]$, we have (see [4])

$$4f(r)\left\{\int_0^r \mathrm{e}^{-Ks^2/8}\mathrm{d}s\int_s^D \mathrm{e}^{Ku^2/8}f(u)\mathrm{d}u\right\}^{-1} \geq \frac{\pi^2}{D^2} - \frac{\pi - 2}{\pi}K$$

for $K \leq 0$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.2,

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{D^2}, & \text{if } M = \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \frac{\pi^2}{D^2} + \frac{\pi-2}{\pi}(d-1), & \text{if } M = \mathbb{S}^d. \end{cases}$$

This recovers Yu-Zhong's estimate [11] ($M = \mathbb{R}^d$) and improves Lee-Wang's estimate [7] ($M = \mathbb{S}^d$). Refer to [10] for further research in this direction.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Prof. Mu-Fa Chen for useful conversations and a referee for his careful comments.

References

1. H. K. Brascamp and E. H. Lieb, On extension of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prekojia-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **22** (1976), 366-389.

2. I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.

3. J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, The splitting theorem for manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **6** (1971), 119-128.

4. M. F. Chen and F. Y. Wang, General formula for lower bound of the first eigenvalue on Riemannian manifolds, *Sci. China Ser. A* **40** (1997), 384-394.

5. M. F. Chen and F. Y. Wang, Estimation of spectral gap for elliptic operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 1209-1237.

6. Y. Kifer, Spectrum, harmonic functions, and hyperbolic metric spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **89** (1995), 377-428.

7. Y. I. Lee and A. N. Wang, Estimate of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ on spheres, *Chinese J. Math.* **15** (1987), 95-97.

8. H. P. McKean, An upper bound to the spectrum of Δ on a manifold of negative curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **4** (1970), 359-366.

9. F. Y. Wang, Estimates of the first Dirichlet eigenvalue by using diffusion processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **101** (1995), 363-369.

10. F. Y. Wang, Estimates of the gap between the first two Dirichlet eigenvalues, to appear in *J. London Math. Soc.*.

11. Q. H. Yu and J. Q. Zhong, Lower bounds of the gap between the first and second eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **294** (1986), 341-349.

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University
Beijing 100875, China.
E-mail: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn