

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF HOLOMORPHIC GENERATORS ON THE CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF HILBERT BALLS

Simeon Reich and David Shoikhet

**Abstract.** We present a necessary and sufficient condition for a holomorphic mapping to be a generator of a flow on any finite Cartesian product of Hilbert balls. A related null point theorem is also established.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $X^*$  be its dual. For a point  $x \in X$  and a functional  $x^* \in X^*$  we use the pairing  $\langle x, x^* \rangle$  to denote  $x^*(x)$ . The duality mapping  $J : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is defined by

$$J(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \operatorname{Re}\langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$$

for each  $x \in X$ .

In particular, if  $X = H$  is a Hilbert space, then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in  $H$  and  $J : H \rightarrow H$  is the identity mapping. Let now  $D$  be the open unit ball in  $X$ , and let  $C(\bar{D}, X)$  denote the class of continuous mappings from  $\bar{D}$  into  $X$ . Suppose that  $f$  belongs to  $C(\bar{D}, X)$  and satisfies the following boundary condition:

$$(*) \quad \inf_{x^* \in J(x)} \operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq 0,$$

for each  $x \in \partial D$ .

Following [10] we call this condition a “*one-sided estimate*”. We recall that such estimates have been systematically used in many areas of analysis, e.g., boundary value problems ([9], [5], [16]), nonlinear integral equations [6], and monotone operator theory [4]. For an extension of condition (\*) to topological vector spaces, with applications, we refer the reader to a paper by Fan [7].

---

Received August 26, 1997; revised December 2, 1997.

Communicated by S.-Y. Shaw.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34G20, 46G20, 47H15, 47H20, 58C10.

*Key words and phrases*: Cauchy problem, flow, generator, Hilbert ball, holomorphic mapping, null point.

If  $D = B$  is the open unit ball in a Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $f : B \rightarrow H$  is a completely continuous vector field on  $\bar{B}$  (i.e.  $f \in C(\bar{B}, X)$  and  $I - f$  is compact), then by Krasnoselskii's theorem [9] condition (\*) implies the existence of a null point of  $f$  in  $\bar{B}$ . As a matter of fact, this also follows from the Leray-Schauder Theorem because the mapping  $I - f$  is compact.

A similar assertion was proved by Shinbrot [16] under the assumptions that  $f$  is weakly continuous and  $H$  is separable. This result was applied by him to a class of quasi-linear partial differential equations and to Navier-Stokes equations.

Suppose now that  $H$  is complex and that  $f : B \rightarrow H$  is a holomorphic mapping in  $B$ . As we proved in [2], the compactness condition in this case can be replaced by the condition of uniform continuity of  $f$  on  $\bar{B}$ . However, examples show (see [2]) that such an assertion is no longer true for every Banach space. Nevertheless, we will show in the sequel that Theorem 2 in [2] can be generalized to the case when  $X$  is the Cartesian product of complex Hilbert spaces with the maximum norm. The key to the solution of such a problem is the following observation related to another issue, namely evolution equations and a characterization of infinitesimal holomorphic generators.

First we note that if  $D$  is a ball in a Banach space  $X$  and  $f \in C(\bar{D}, X)$ , then condition (\*) is equivalent to the following "flow invariance condition":

$$(FIC) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\text{dist}(x - hf(x), \bar{D})}{h} = 0$$

(see [12]).

If we now suppose that  $f$  satisfies the condition:

For some  $\delta > 0$  there exists a continuous family  $F_t : [0, \delta) \rightarrow C(\bar{D}, X)$ ,  $F_t(\bar{D}) \subset \bar{D}$ ,  $t \in [0, \delta)$ , such that for each  $x \in D$ ,

$$f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x - F_t(x)}{t},$$

then it is clear that  $f$  satisfies (FIC) and hence the one-sided estimate (\*). This happens, in particular, if  $f$  is a strong generator of a one-parameter semigroup.

The converse assertion, generally speaking, is not clear. Usually, its validity can be ensured by additional conditions, such as accretivity (see, for example, [11]).

If  $X$  is complex and  $f$  is holomorphic in  $D$  and uniformly continuous on  $\bar{D}$ , then condition (\*) is equivalent to the assumption that  $f$  is an infinitesimal generator inside  $D$  (see [2]).

Thus the existence of an interior null point of  $f$  under the condition (\*) or (FIC) is equivalent in this case to the existence of a stationary point of the flow  $\{F_t\}$ ,  $t > 0$ , defined by the Cauchy problem:

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dF_t(x)}{dt} + f(F_t(x)) = 0, & t > 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F_t(x) = x \end{cases}$$

(see [13]).

The following question now arises: Are there interior characterizations of  $f$  to be a generator on the open unit ball  $D$  such that if  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $\bar{D}$  one can derive condition  $(*)$  (or (FIC))? For the one-dimensional case an implicit characterization of  $f$  to be an infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter semigroup of holomorphic self-mappings in  $D = \Delta$  (the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) was obtained by E. Berkson and H. Porta [3]. They proved the following assertion.

Let  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic mapping in  $\Delta$ . Then the Cauchy problem (CP) has a global solution on  $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$  if and only if  $f$  admits the representation:

$$(BPC) \quad f(x) = (y - x)(\bar{y}x - 1)g(x)$$

for some  $y \in \bar{\Delta}$  and for some holomorphic mapping  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re } g(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ .

This characterization was used in [3] to study semi-groups of composition operators on Hardy spaces of the unit disk. Recently M. Abate [1] established a different characterization of holomorphic generators on the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the Euclidean norm (i.e., a finite-dimensional Hilbert space) by using the differentiability (in this case) of the Kobayashi metric. In our setting his characterization of  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  to be a generator has the form

$$(AC) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2[\|g(x)\|^2 - |\langle g(x), x \rangle|^2] \text{Re} \langle g(x), x \rangle \\ & + (1 - \|x\|^2)^2 \text{Re} \langle f'(x)f(x), g(x) \rangle \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)f(x) + \langle f(x), x \rangle x$ .

In particular, if  $n = 1$ , (AC) becomes

$$(AC)' \quad \text{Re} f(x)\bar{x} \geq -\frac{1}{2} \text{Re} f'(x)(1 - |x|^2), \quad x \in \Delta.$$

It was also shown in [1] how to deduce (BPC) from (AC)' and conversely. However, a deficiency of these conditions is that it is not clear how to derive the condition

$$(*)' \quad \text{Re} \langle f(x), x \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial D$$

when  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $\bar{D}$ . The difficulty is, of course, the presence of the derivative in (AC) (or (AC)'), which generally speaking may be unbounded (consider, for example,  $f(x) = x - 1 + \sqrt{1-x}$ ). Observe that when  $n = 1$ , condition (\*)' can be written in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{x} \right) \leq 1 - \operatorname{Re}(\overline{F(0)}x), \quad x \in \partial\Delta,$$

where  $F(x) := x - f(x)$ ,  $x \in \bar{\Delta}$ . Since both the left and the right hand sides of the last inequality are harmonic functions, it continues to hold throughout  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Multiplying now by  $|x|^2$  and returning to  $f = I - F$ , we obtain

$$(**) \quad \operatorname{Re}(f(x)\bar{x}) \geq \operatorname{Re}(f(0)\bar{x})(1 - |x|^2), \quad x \in \bar{\Delta}.$$

As a matter of fact, as we will see below, this condition (with  $x \in \Delta$ ) characterizes holomorphic generators on  $\Delta$  even when  $f$  is not assumed to have a continuous extension to  $\bar{\Delta}$ .

In another direction, a careful study of the notion of monotonicity in the hyperbolic sense has led us [14] to conclude that a bounded holomorphic mapping  $f$  on the open unit ball  $B$  of a complex Hilbert space  $H$  is a generator if and only if

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle x, f(x) \rangle}{1 - \|x\|^2} + \frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle y, f(y) \rangle}{1 - \|y\|^2} \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{\langle f(x), y \rangle + \langle x, f(y) \rangle}{1 - \langle x, y \rangle}$$

for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $B$ .

Setting  $y = 0$  we obtain the condition

$$(**)') \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x \rangle \geq \operatorname{Re}\langle f(0), x \rangle(1 - \|x\|^2), \quad x \in B,$$

which reduces to (\*\*) in the one-dimensional case. Actually, it turns out that (\*\*)') is also sufficient for  $f$  to be a generator. However, once again a crucial point of the arguments in [14] is the smoothness of the hyperbolic metric on  $B$ .

In the present paper we present an entirely different, but simple enough, approach to derive an analogous condition to (\*\*)') as a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be a generator on any finite Cartesian product of Hilbert balls.

Let  $X = H^n$  be the Cartesian product of  $n$  copies of a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $D$  be the open unit ball in  $X$  with the maximum norm, i.e.,  $D = B^n$ , where  $B$  is the open unit ball in  $H$ . By  $\operatorname{Hol}(D, \bar{D})$  we denote the family of holomorphic mappings from  $D$  into a subset  $\bar{D}$  of  $X$ .

We will say that  $f \in \text{Hol}(D, X)$  is a generator of a flow on  $D$  if for some  $\delta > 0$  there is a continuous one-parameter semigroup  $F_t : [0, \delta) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(D, D)$  such that the strong limit

$$(1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x - F_t(x)}{t} = f(x)$$

exists for all  $x \in D$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f \in \text{Hol}(D, X)$ , where  $D = B^n$  and  $X = H^n$ .*

1. *If  $f$  is the generator of a flow on  $D$ , then it satisfies the following condition for all  $x \in D$  and  $x^* \in J(x)$  :*

$$(2) \quad \text{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq \text{Re}\langle f(0), x^* \rangle(1 - \|x\|^2).$$

2. *Conversely, if  $f$  is bounded on each subset strictly inside  $D$ , and for each  $x \in D$  there is  $x^* \in J(x)$  such that*

$$(2') \quad \text{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq \text{Re}\langle f(0), x^* \rangle(1 - \|x\|^2),$$

*then  $f$  is a generator of a flow on  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that for each  $b \in B$  we can define the Möbius transformation  $M_b : B \rightarrow B$  by

$$M_b(z) = (\sqrt{1 - \|b\|^2}Q_b + P_b)m_b(z),$$

where

$$m_b(z) = \frac{z + b}{1 + \langle z, b \rangle}, \quad P_b(z) = \frac{\langle z, b \rangle b}{\|b\|^2}, \quad \text{and } Q_b = I - P_b.$$

(See, for example, [15] and [8].)

Let  $f$  be the generator of a flow  $F_t = (F_t^1, F_t^2, \dots, F_t^n)$ . For each  $t \geq 0$  we now consider the holomorphic mapping  $G_t = (G_t^1, G_t^2, \dots, G_t^n) : D \rightarrow D$ ,  $D = B^n$ , defined by

$$G_t^k(x) := M_{-F_t^k(0)}(F_t^k(x)), \quad x \in D, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Note that since  $G_t(0) = 0$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \|G_t(x)\| \leq \|x\|, \quad x \in D,$$

by the Schwarz lemma.

To differentiate  $G_t$  at the origin we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (x^k - G_t^k(x)) \\
 (4) \quad &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x^k - \langle F_t^k(x), F_t^k(0) \rangle x^k + F_t^k(0) - \sqrt{1 - \|F_t^k(0)\|^2} F_t^k(x)}{t(1 - \langle F_t^k(x), F_t^k(0) \rangle)} \\
 & - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \|F_t^k(0)\|^2}) \langle F_t^k(x), F_t^k(0) \rangle F_t^k(0)}{t(1 - \langle F_t^k(x), F_t^k(0) \rangle) \|F_t^k(0)\|^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_t^k(0) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \|F_t^k(0)\|^2})}{\|F_t^k(0)\|^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , the second limit in (4) is zero, and

$$\frac{x^k - \sqrt{1 - \|F_t^k(0)\|^2} F_t^k(x)}{t} \rightarrow f^k(x),$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Hence

$$(5) \quad g^k(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (x^k - G_t^k(x)) = f^k(x) + \langle x^k, f^k(0) \rangle x^k - f^k(0).$$

By (3) we have, for any  $z \in J(x)$ ,

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle g(x), z \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in D,$$

where  $g = (g^1, g^2, \dots, g^n)$ .

We observe now that for each  $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) \in H^n$ ,  $z = (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n) \in J(x)$ , and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$z^k = \alpha_k x^k, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1.$$

Moreover, if  $\|x^k\| < \|x\| = \max\{\|x^j\| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ , then  $\alpha_k = 0$ . Therefore for each  $x \in D$  and  $z \in J(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle g(x), z \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle g^k(x), z^k \rangle \right) \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \langle f(x), z \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^k, f^k(0) \rangle \langle x^k, z^k \rangle \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f^k(0), z^k \rangle \right) \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \langle f(x), z \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \operatorname{Re} \langle f^k(0), x^k \rangle (\|x^k\|^2 - 1) \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \langle f(x), z \rangle + (\|x\|^2 - 1) \operatorname{Re} \langle f(0), z \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

This yields (2) and the first assertion of the theorem is proven.

Conversely, by Theorem 1.2 in [14] it is sufficient to prove that under the assumptions of assertion 2,  $f \in \text{Hol}(D, X)$  satisfies the following range condition:

For each  $r > 0$  and for each  $y \in D$ , the equation

$$(7) \quad x + rf(x) = y$$

has a unique solution  $x \in D$ .

Indeed, fix  $r > 0$  and  $y \in D$ , and consider the mapping  $G \in \text{Hol}(D, X)$  defined by the formula

$$(8) \quad G(x) = y - rf(x).$$

For each  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\|y\| < s < 1$ , and  $x \in D$  with  $\|x\| = s$ , there exists by (2)' a functional  $x^* \in J(x)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}\langle x - tG(x), x^* \rangle &= \|x\|^2 - t\text{Re}\langle y, x^* \rangle + tr\text{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \\ &\geq s^2 - ts\|y\| - trs\|f(0)\|(1 - s^2) \\ &= s^2 \left[ 1 - t \left( \frac{\|y\|}{s} + \frac{r\|f(0)\|(1 - s^2)}{s} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose now  $s$  close enough to 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - tG(x)\| \|x\| &\geq \text{Re}\langle x - tG(x), x^* \rangle \geq \|x\|^2(1 - tK), \\ \text{with } K &= \frac{\|y\|}{s} + \frac{r\|f(0)\|(1 - s^2)}{s} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows by Lemma 1 in [2] that  $G : D \rightarrow X$  has a unique fixed point  $x \in D$ .

This fixed point is the solution of the equation (7). This concludes the proof of the theorem. ■

Combining this theorem with our results in [13] and [14] we deduce the following results.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $D = B^n$ , and let  $f \in \text{Hol}(D, X)$  be bounded on each ball strictly inside  $D$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For each  $x \in D$  there exist  $x^* \in J(x)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\text{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq m(1 - \|x\|^2);$$

(ii) For each  $x \in D$  and all  $x^* \in J(x)$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq \operatorname{Re}\langle f(0), x^* \rangle(1 - \|x\|^2);$$

(iii) For some  $\delta > 0$  there exists a continuous family  $F_t : [0, \delta) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(D, D)$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}(x - F_t(x)) = f(x)$$

for each  $x \in D$ ;

(iv) The Cauchy problem (CP) has a unique solution on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for each  $x \in D$ ;

(v) For each  $r > 0$  the mapping  $J_r = (I + rf)^{-1}$  is well-defined on  $D$  and belongs to  $\operatorname{Hol}(D, D)$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $D$  and  $f$  be as above and assume that  $f$  has a uniformly continuous extension to  $\bar{D}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) For each  $x \in \partial D$  there exists  $x^* \in J(x)$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq 0;$$

(ii) For each  $x \in \partial D$

$$\inf_{x^* \in J(x)} \operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq 0;$$

(iii) For each  $x \in \partial D$ ,  $f$  satisfies the flow invariance condition (FIC):

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{dist}(x - hf(x), \bar{D}) = 0;$$

(iv) The mapping  $f$  generates a flow (one-parameter semigroup)  $\{F_t\}_{t>0} \subset \operatorname{Hol}(D, D)$ .

**Corollary 3.** If  $D = B^n$  and  $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, X)$  is a generator of a flow on  $D$ , then the linear operator  $A = f'(0)$  is accretive.

*Proof.* Let us represent  $f$  in the form

$$f(x) = f(0) + Ax + h(x),$$

where  $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|x\|} h(x) = 0$ . Then it follows by Theorem 1 that for  $x \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle f(0), x^* \rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x^* \rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle h(x), x^* \rangle \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}\langle f(0), x^* \rangle(1 - \|x\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x^* \in J(x)$ . This yields the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x^* \rangle \geq -\operatorname{Re}(\langle h(x), x^* \rangle + \|x\|^2 \langle f(0), x^* \rangle).$$

Let now  $y \in \partial D$  be arbitrary and set  $x = ty$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ . The last inequality implies

$$t^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle Ay, y^* \rangle \geq -\operatorname{Re}(\langle h(ty), ty^* \rangle + t^3 \langle f(0), y^* \rangle).$$

Hence for  $0 < t < 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Ay, y^* \rangle \geq -\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle \frac{1}{t}h(ty), y^* \right\rangle + t \langle f(0), y^* \rangle\right).$$

But the right hand side of this inequality converges to zero as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ .

It follows that for each  $y$  with  $\|y\| = 1$  and each  $y^* \in J(y)$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Ay, y^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

In other words,  $A$  is an accretive linear operator. ■

Returning now to the existence of null points, we consider for simplicity only the case  $n = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $D = B^2$ . Suppose that a bounded  $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(D, H^2)$  has a uniformly continuous extension to  $\bar{D}$ . If for each  $x \in \partial D$  there exists  $x^* \in J(x)$  such that*

$$(9) \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle f(x), x^* \rangle \geq 0,$$

*then  $f$  has a null point in  $\bar{D}$ .*

For the proof we need the following lemmata.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball in a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a domain in a complex reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Suppose that  $g : B \times \Omega \rightarrow H$  is a bounded holomorphic mapping such that for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  the mapping  $g(\cdot, \lambda)$  has a uniformly continuous extension to  $\bar{B}$  and satisfies the condition*

$$(10) \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle g(x, \lambda), x \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial B.$$

*Then*

- 1) *The equation*

$$(11) \quad g(x, \lambda) = 0$$

has a holomorphic solution  $x : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{B}$ ;

2) If for some  $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$  the equation

$$g(x, \lambda_0) = 0$$

has no solution on  $\partial B$ , then for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , equation (11) has a unique solution  $x = x(\lambda)$  in  $B$ .

This lemma can be obtained by combining Theorem 8.1 of [13] with Theorem 2 of [2]. For information on the hyperbolic metric, see, for example, [8, p. 98].

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the hyperbolic metric on the open unit ball  $B$  of a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  be two sequences in  $B$  such that  $\{z_n\}$  converges to  $e \in \partial B$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and for some sequence  $t_n \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow 1-$ , the following condition holds for all  $n \in N$  :

$$(12) \quad \rho\left(\frac{1}{t_n}z_n, w_n\right) \leq \rho(z_n, w_n).$$

Then  $\{w_n\}$  converges to  $e$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* It is not difficult to see that if there exists a subsequence of  $\{z_n, w_n\}$  which does not converge to 1, then condition (12) leads to a contradiction. Therefore  $\{z_n, w_n\} \rightarrow 1$ , and  $\{z_n - w_n\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$ , where each  $f_i : B^2 \rightarrow H$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a bounded holomorphic mapping on  $B^2$  which is uniformly continuous on  $\bar{B}^2$ . It follows from condition (9) that for each fixed  $x_2 \in B$  and for each fixed  $x_1 \in B$ , the mappings  $f_1(\cdot, x_2)$  and  $f_2(x_1, \cdot)$  satisfy the boundary conditions

$$(13) \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle f_1(x_1, x_2), x_1 \rangle \geq 0, \quad x_1 \in \partial B, \quad x_2 \in B,$$

and

$$(14) \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle f_2(x_1, x_2), x_2 \rangle \geq 0, \quad x_2 \in \partial B, \quad x_1 \in B.$$

Lemma 1 and condition (13) imply that for each  $x_2 \in B$ , the mapping  $f_1(\cdot, x_2)$  has a null point  $x_1 = \varphi(x_2)$  in  $\bar{B}$ . If for some  $x_2 \in B$ ,  $f_1(\cdot, x_2)$  has no null point in  $B$ , then it has no null point in  $B$  for all  $x_2 \in B$ , and therefore the function  $x_1 = \varphi(x_2)$  is a constant  $e_1 \in \partial B$  by the maximum principle. In

other words,  $f_1(e_1, x_2) \equiv 0$  for all  $x_2 \in B$ . But by (14) and continuity, the mapping  $f_2(e_1, \cdot) : \bar{B} \rightarrow H$  has a null point  $e_2 \in \bar{B}$  and therefore  $e = (e_1, e_2)$  is a null point of  $f = (f_1, f_2)$ .

Thus we can suppose that for at least one  $x_2 \in B$  and hence for all  $x_2 \in B$ , the mapping  $f_1(\cdot, x_2)$  has a null point  $x_1 = \varphi(x_2)$  in  $B$ . In addition we can assume that  $f_2(x_1, \cdot)$  has a null point  $x_2 = \psi(x_1) \in B$ , since otherwise the same considerations as above yield the result. Thus we arrive at the following system:

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} f_1(\varphi(x_2), x_2) = 0, & x_2 \in B, \\ f_2(x_1, \psi(x_1)) = 0, & x_1 \in B, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi(\cdot)$  and  $\psi(\cdot)$  are holomorphic self-mappings of  $B$ .

We now claim that the equations

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1 - J_1(x_1, x_2) &= f_1(J_1(x_1, x_2), x_2), \\ x_2 - J_2(x_1, x_2) &= f_2(x_1, J_2(x_1, x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

have unique holomorphic solutions  $J_i(\cdot, \cdot) : B^2 \rightarrow B$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . To see this, consider the mappings  $g_i : B \times B^2 \rightarrow H$  defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(y, x_1, x_2) &:= y + f_1(y, x_2) - x_1, \\ g_2(y, x_1, x_2) &:= y + f_2(x_1, y) - x_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $y \in B$ . Setting in Lemma 1,  $B^2 = \Omega$  and  $\lambda = (x_1, x_2) \in B^2$ , we see that the mappings  $g_i(\cdot, \lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , have uniformly continuous extensions to  $\bar{B}$ , and therefore we have by (13) and (14),

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle g_i(y, \lambda), y \rangle \geq 1 - \|x_i\|, \quad y \in \partial B, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Thus assertion (2) of Lemma 1 implies the existence and uniqueness of holomorphic solutions  $y = J_i(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , to the equations  $g_i(y, \lambda) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , which are equivalent to (16). In addition, the uniqueness of  $J_i : B^2 \rightarrow B$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and (15) imply that the mappings  $\varphi(\cdot)$  and  $\psi(\cdot)$  satisfy the following equations:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(x_2) &= J_1(\varphi(x_2), x_2), \\ \psi(x_1) &= J_2(x_1, \psi(x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the holomorphic mappings  $F_i : B \rightarrow B$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &:= J_1(\cdot, \psi(\cdot)), \\ F_2 &:= J_2(\varphi(\cdot), \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that one of them, say  $F_1$ , has a fixed point  $z \in B$ . That is,  $z = J_1(z, \psi(z))$  and  $\psi(z) = J_2(z, \psi(z))$  by (17). Hence it follows from (16) that the point  $(z, \psi(z)) \in B_2$  is a null point of  $f = (f_1, f_2)$ .

Finally, assume that neither  $F_1$  nor  $F_2$  has a fixed point in  $B$ . In this case, it is known (see [8]) that the approximating curves

$$z(t) = tF_1(z(t))$$

and

$$w(t) = tF_2(w(t))$$

converge as  $t \rightarrow 1^-$  to points  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, on  $\partial B$ . If  $\rho$  is the hyperbolic metric on  $B$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{1}{t}z(t), \varphi(w(t))\right) &= \rho(F_1(z(t)), \varphi(w(t))) \\ (18) \quad &= \rho(J_1(z(t), \psi(z(t))), \varphi(w(t))) \\ &= \rho(J_1(z(t), \psi(z(t))), J_1(\varphi(w(t)), w(t))) \\ &\leq \max\{\rho(z(t), \varphi(w(t))); \rho(\psi(z(t)), w(t))\} = m(t). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we also get

$$(19) \quad \rho\left(\frac{1}{t}w(t), \psi(z(t))\right) \leq m(t).$$

Suppose that there is a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow 1^-$  such that  $m(t_n) = \rho(z(t_n), \varphi(w(t_n)))$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $\varphi(w(t_n)) \rightarrow a$  strongly and hence  $f_1(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1(\varphi(w(t_n)), w(t_n)) = 0$  by (15). To show that  $f_2(a, b) = 0$  we use (16) and the following simple calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(a, b) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_2(\varphi(w(t_n)), \frac{1}{t_n}w(t_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_2(\varphi(w(t_n)), J_2(\varphi(w(t_n)), w(t_n))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [w(t_n) - J_2(\varphi(w(t_n)), w(t_n))] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_n - 1)J_2(\varphi(w(t_n)), w(t_n)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If, on the other hand, there is a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow 1^-$  such that  $m(t_n) = \rho(\psi(z(t_n)), w(t_n))$ , then we can use (18), and once again the same arguments as above show that  $f(a, b) = (f_1(a, b), f_2(a, b)) = (0, 0) \in H^2$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\blacksquare$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author was partially supported by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion and by the Technion VPR Fund - M. and M. L. Bank Mathematics Research Fund. Both authors thank the referee for many useful suggestions and corrections.

## REFERENCES

1. M. Abate, The infinitesimal generators of semigroups of holomorphic maps, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **161** (1992), 167-180.
2. L. Aizenberg, S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, One-sided estimates for the existence of null points of holomorphic mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **203** (1996), 35-54.
3. E. Berkson and H. Porta, Semigroups of analytic functions and composition operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **25** (1978), 101-115.
4. H. Brezis, Équations et inéquations non-linéaires dans les espaces vectoriels en dualité, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble) **18** (1968), 115-175.
5. F. E. Browder, Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69** (1963), 862-874.
6. F. E. Browder, Nonlinear functional analysis and nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein and Urysohn type, in: *Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 425-500.
7. K. Fan, A minimax inequality and applications, in: *Inequalities III*, Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp. 103-113.
8. K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Dekker, New York and Basel, 1984.
9. M. A. Krasnoselskii, On a boundary problem, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **20** (1956), 241-252.
10. M. Krasnoselskii and P. Zabreiko, *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
11. R. H. Martin, Jr., Differential equations on closed subsets of a Banach space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **179** (1973), 399-414.
12. S. Reich, Minimal displacement of points under weakly inward pseudo-lipschitzian mappings, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* **59** (1975), 40-44.
13. S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Generation theory for semigroups of holomorphic mappings in Banach spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **1** (1996), 1-44.

14. S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, Semigroups and generators on convex domains with the hyperbolic metric, Technion Preprint Series, No. MT-1023, 1997, *Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei*, accepted for publication.
15. W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* . Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
16. M. Shinbrot, A fixed point theorem and some applications, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **17** (1964), 255-271.

Simeon Reich

Department of Mathematics, The Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

32000 Haifa, Israel

E-mail: sreich@tx.technion.ac.il

David Shoikhet

Department of Applied Mathematics, International College of Technology

P.O. Box 78, 20101 Karmiel, Israel.