

## A LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE OF REFLECTING DIFFUSIONS

Shey Shiung Sheu

**Abstract.** In this paper, we will prove that the solution of stochastic differential equation with a small diffusion coefficient in a nonsmooth domain normally reflected at boundary satisfies a large deviation principle and converges to a deterministic path in  $L^p$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and for each  $x \in \partial D$ , let

$$\mathcal{N}_{x,r} = \{n \in \mathbb{R}^d : |n| = 1, B(x - rn, r) \cap D = \emptyset\},$$
$$\mathcal{N}_x = \bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{N}_{x,r},$$

where

$$B(z, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - z| < r\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0.$$

Assume  $D$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (A) There exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_x = \mathcal{N}_{x,r_0} \neq \emptyset$ .
- (B) There exist constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \partial D$ , there is a unit vector  $\ell_x$  such that

---

Received October 27, 1996; revised March 27, 1997.

Communicated by Y.-J. Lee.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 60J60.

*Key words and phrases*: Skorohod SDE, large deviation principle, rate function, equilibrium point.

Research partially supported by National Science Council, R.O.C.

$$(\ell_x, \mathbf{n}) \geq \beta, \quad \forall \mathbf{n} \in \bigcup_{y \in B(x, \alpha)} \mathcal{N}_y,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

For  $T > 0$ , let  $C = C[0, T] = \{f : f \text{ is a continuous map from } [0, T] \text{ to } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ such that } f(0) \in \overline{D}\}$ ,  $\overline{C} = \overline{C}[0, T] = \{f : f \text{ is a continuous map from } [0, T] \text{ to } \overline{D}\}$ , let  $\|f\|_T = \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |f(s)|$ . Let  $BV = \{f : f \text{ is a continuous map from } [0, T] \text{ to } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with bounded variation, } f(0) = 0\}$ . For  $f \in BV$ , let  $|f|_t =$  total variation of  $f$  in the interval  $[0, t] \subset [0, T]$ . For  $\phi \in C, \psi \in \overline{C}, \eta \in BV, (\phi, \psi, \eta)$  are associated if

- (i)  $\psi(t) = \phi(t) + \eta(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$ , and
- (ii)  $\eta(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{n}_s d|\eta|_s, |\eta|_t = \int_0^t 1_{\partial D}(\psi(s)) d|\eta|_s,$

where  $1_{\partial D}$  is the indicator function,  $\mathbf{n}_s \in \mathcal{N}_{\psi(s)}$ .

Given a  $\phi \in C$ , Tanaka [8] showed that there is a unique pair  $(\psi, \eta), \psi \in \overline{C}, \eta \in BV$ , such that  $(\phi, \psi, \eta)$  are associated whenever  $D$  is convex (hence condition (A) is automatically satisfied for  $r_0 = \infty$ ). Lions and Sznitman [5] extended Tanaka's results to the case when  $D$  is  $C^2$ . Saisho [6] relaxed their conditions to (A) and (B).

The Skorohod equation has a stochastic counterpart. Let  $\sigma : \overline{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d, b : \overline{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space with filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the usual conditions. Suppose  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Brownian motion. Consider the problem of solving the following stochastic differential equation (called the Skorohod SDE): find a  $\overline{D}$ -valued continuous  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -semimartingale  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and a continuous bounded variation process  $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  such that

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \eta_t,$$

$$|\eta|_t = \int_0^t 1_{\partial D}(X_s) d|\eta|_s, \quad \eta_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{n}_s d|\eta|_s, \quad \mathbf{n}_s \in \mathcal{N}_{X_s}.$$

Saisho [6] showed that the Skorohod SDE has a pathwise unique solution under the following condition:

- (C) Both  $\sigma$  and  $b$  are bounded and uniformly Lipschitz; namely, there is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$|\sigma(x)| + |b(x)| \leq c,$$

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| + |b(x) - b(y)| \leq c|x - y|,$$

for every  $x, y \in \overline{D}$ .

In other words,  $(x_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds, X_t, \eta_t)$  are associated for almost all paths.

2. LARGE DEVIATIONS

Now, replace  $\sigma$  by  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma$  and let  $(X_t^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  be a solution of the corresponding Skorohod SDE. We will show  $X_t^\varepsilon$  satisfies a large deviation principle. First, for an associated triple  $(\phi, \psi, \eta)$ , let  $\psi = F(\phi)$ . It is known that  $F$  is continuous (see Lions and Sznitman [5] and Saisho [6]). In addition to the conditions (A), (B), (C), we will need the following condition:

- (D) The matrix  $a(x) = \sigma(x)\sigma'(x)$  (the “’” means transpose) is uniformly elliptic; namely, there is a  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$a(x) \geq \lambda|x|^2, \quad \forall x \in \bar{D}.$$

Given  $\sigma, b$  satisfying conditions (C) and (D), let

$$S(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\phi \in F^{-1}(\psi)} \int_0^T (\dot{\phi}(s) - b(\psi(s)))' a^{-1}(\psi(s)) (\dot{\phi}(s) - b(\psi(s))) ds$$

for  $\psi \in \bar{C}[0, T]$ , with the understanding that  $S(\psi) = \infty$  if  $F^{-1}(\psi)$  is empty or  $\dot{\phi}(s)$  is not absolutely continuous ( $\phi$  is the derivative of  $\phi$ ). The following lemma collects some simple facts about  $S(\psi)$ ; see Stroock [6] or Varadhan [8].

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have*

1.  $S(\psi)$  is lower semi-continuous in  $\psi$ ,
2.  $\{\psi \in \bar{C}[0, T] : S(\psi) \leq h\}$  is compact for each  $h \geq 0$ ,
3. If  $S(\psi) < \infty$ , then there is a  $\phi \in C[0, T]$  such that  $F(\phi) = \psi$  and

$$S(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\dot{\phi}(s) - b(\psi(s)))' a^{-1}(\psi(s)) (\dot{\phi}(s) - b(\psi(s))) ds.$$

**Theorem 2.2.**  $X^\varepsilon = (X_t^\varepsilon)$  satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function  $S(\psi)$ . That is, for every Borel set  $A \subseteq \bar{C}[0, T]$ , we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \log P(X^\varepsilon \in A) \leq - \inf_{\psi \in A} S(\psi)$$

and

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \log P(X^\varepsilon \in A) \geq - \inf_{\psi \in A^\circ} S(\psi),$$

where  $\bar{A}$  is the closure of  $A$ ,  $A^\circ$  the interior of  $A$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from the so-called contraction principle and a large deviation principle for diffusions (see Stroock [7] or Varadhan [9], p. 5).

Similar results were obtained by Anderson and Orey [2], Doss and Priouret [3] when  $D$  is smooth, and by Dupuis [4] when  $D$  is convex.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $(\phi, \psi, \eta)$  are associated and if  $\phi$  is Hölder continuous:  $|\phi(t) - \phi(s)| \leq K|t - s|^\gamma, 0 < \gamma \leq 1, K > 0$ , then*

$$|\eta|_t \leq K e^{k_1 \|\phi\|_t + k_2},$$

where  $k_1, k_2$  are positive constants,  $k_1$  depends only on  $r_0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ;  $k_2$  depends only on  $r_0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $t$ ;  $r_0$  comes from condition (A);  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  come from condition (B).

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.2 in Saisho [5], we have

$$|\eta|_t \leq k \sup_{0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq t} |\phi(s_1) - \phi(s_2)|,$$

where  $k$  is a positive constant depending only on  $r_0, \alpha, \beta, t, \|\phi\|_t$ , and the modulus of uniform continuity of  $\phi$  on  $[0, t]$ . In fact, by looking carefully at Saisho's proof and using the Hölder continuity of  $\phi$ , one sees  $k$  can be written as

$$k = K e^{k_1 \|\phi\|_t + k_2},$$

where  $k_1 = k_1(r_0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) > 0, k_2 = k_2(r_0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t) > 0$ . Hence the result follows.

$\psi \in \bar{C}$  is called an *equilibrium point* of  $S$  if  $S(\psi) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X^\varepsilon = (X_t^\varepsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  be a solution of Skorohod SDE and  $\psi$  an equilibrium point. Then for each  $p, 0 < p < \infty$ , each  $t > 0$ , we have*

$$E \|X^\varepsilon - \psi\|_t^p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X^\varepsilon = (X_t^\varepsilon), Y = (Y_t^\varepsilon)$ , where

$$X_t^\varepsilon = Y_t^\varepsilon + \eta_t, \quad Y_t^\varepsilon = x_0 + \int_0^t \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds.$$

By Theorem 2.2,  $X^\varepsilon$  converges exponentially fast to  $\psi$  in probability as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and hence it is enough to show  $\|X^\varepsilon\|_t^p$  is uniformly integrable in  $\varepsilon$ . We know for any positive number  $k > 0$ , by condition (C),

$$\sup_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1} E \left( e^{k \|Y^\varepsilon\|_t} \right) < \infty.$$

By the well-known Borel inequality (see, e.g., Adler [1] p. 43), we know if

$$Z = \sup_{0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq t} \frac{|B_{s_2} - B_{s_1}|}{|s_2 - s_1|^{1/3}},$$

then

$$E(Z^p) < \infty \text{ for each } p, \quad 0 < p < \infty.$$

Since  $\sigma$  and  $b$  are bounded, for almost all  $\omega$  we have

$$|Y_{s_2}^\varepsilon(\omega) - Y_{s_1}^\varepsilon(\omega)| \leq K(\omega)|s_2 - s_1|^{1/3}, \quad 0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq t,$$

with

$$E(K^p) < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \infty.$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, we get for  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\sup_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1} E(|\eta|_t^p) < \infty$ . Obviously,

$\sup_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1} E\|Y^\varepsilon\|_t^p < \infty$ . Hence,  $\sup_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1} E\|X^\varepsilon\|_t^p < \infty, \forall p > 0$ , which implies  $\|X^\varepsilon\|_t^{p'}$  is uniformly integrable  $\forall p', 0 < p' < p$ . But this is enough since  $p$  is any positive number.

**Remark 2.5.**  $\psi$  is an equilibrium point if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= x_0 + \int_0^t b(\psi(s))ds + \eta(t), \\ \eta(t) &= \int_0^t \mathbf{n}_s d|\eta|_s, \quad \mathbf{n}_s \in \mathcal{N}_{\psi(s)}, \\ |\eta|_t &= \int_0^t 1_{\partial D}(\psi(x))d|\eta|_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\psi$  is a solution of the following problem: a particle starts initially at  $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ . It moves according to the velocity field  $b(x), x \in \overline{D}$ . Whenever it reaches the boundary, it bounces back normally. Such  $\psi$  exists uniquely because it is a special case corresponding to  $\sigma = 0$  in the Skorohod SDE.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Adler, An Introduction to Continuity, Extrema, and Related Topics for General Gaussian Processes, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, No. 12, Hayward, 1990.
2. R. Anderson and S. Orey, Small random perturbations of dynamical systems with reflecting boundary, *Nagoya Math. J.* **60** (1976), 189-216.
3. H. Doss and P. Priouret, Petites Perturbations de Systems Dynamiques avec Reflection, Sem. Probabilites XV11, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 986, Springer, New York, 1983.

4. P. Dupuis, Large deviations analysis of reflected diffusions and constrained stochastic approximation algorithms in convex sets, *Stochastics* **21** (1987), 63-96.
5. P. L. Lions and A. S. Sznitman, Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary conditions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37** (1984), 511-537.
6. Y. Saisho, Stochastic differential equations for multidimensional domain with reflecting boundary, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **74** (1987), 455-477.
7. D. Stroock, An Introduction to the Theory of Large Deviations, Springer, New York, 1984.
8. H. Tanaka, Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary conditions in convex regions, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **9** (1979), 163-177.
9. S. R. S. Varadhan, Large Deviations and Applications, *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series*, No. 46, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1984.

Department of Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University  
Hsinchu, Taiwan