

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF p -LAPLACIAN SYSTEMS WITH IMPULSIVE EFFECTS

Peng Chen* and X. H. Tang

Abstract. By using the least action principle and the saddle point theorem, some existence theorems are obtained for second-order p -Laplacian systems with or without impulsive effects under weak sublinear growth conditions, we improve some existing results in the literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the second-order p -Laplacian systems with impulsive effects

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2}\dot{u}(t)) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) - u(T) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(T) = 0, \\ \Delta \dot{u}^i(t_j) = \dot{u}^i(t_j^+) - \dot{u}^i(t_j^-) \\ \quad = I_{ij}(u^i(t_j)), i = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

where $p > 1, T > 0, t_0 = 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = T, u(t) = (u^1(t), u^2(t), \dots, u^N(t)), I_{ij} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} (i = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ are continuous and $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumption:

(A) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in t for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and continuously differentiable in x for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $b \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$|F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t), \quad |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Received November 24, 2010, accepted January 24, 2011.

Communicated by F. Hamel.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34C25, 58E50.

Key words and phrases: p -Laplacian systems, Impulsive, Critical point theory, Grow sublinearly.

This work is partially supported by the NNSF (No. 11171351); Outstanding Doctor degree thesis Implantation Foundation of Central South University (No. 2010ybfz073) and Major Project of Science Research Fund of Education Department in Hunan (No. 11A095).

*Corresponding author.

For the sake of convenience, in the sequel, we define $A = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $B = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

When $I_{ij} \equiv 0$, $p = 2$, (1.1) reduces to the second order Hamiltonian system, it has been proved that problem (1.1) has at least one solution by the least action principle and the minimax methods (see [2, 7-9, 11, 12, 15-18, 20-22, 25, 26]). Many solvability conditions are given, such as the coercive condition (see [2]), the periodicity condition (see [20]); the convexity condition (see [7]); the subadditive condition (see [15]); the bounded condition (see [8]).

When the nonlinearity $\nabla F(t, x)$ is bounded sublinearly, that is, there exist $f, g \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x|^\alpha + g(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Tang [17] also proved the existence of solutions for problem (1.1) when $I_{ij} \equiv 0$, $p = 2$ under the condition

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow +\infty,$$

or

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow -\infty,$$

which generalizes Mawhin-Willem's results under bounded condition (see [8]).

However, there exists F neither satisfies (1.3) nor (1.4).

Let

$$F(t, x) = \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) |x|^{7/4} + (0.6T - t)|x|^{3/2}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla F(t, x)| &\leq \frac{7}{4} \left| \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) \right| |x|^{3/4} + \frac{3}{2} |0.6T - t| |x|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{7}{4} \left(\left| \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) \right| + \varepsilon \right) |x|^{3/4} + \frac{T^3}{\varepsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in [0, T]$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. The above shows (1.2) holds with $\alpha = 3/4$ and

$$f(t) = \frac{7}{4} \left(\left| \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) \right| + \varepsilon \right), \quad g(t) = \frac{T^3}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

However, $F(t, x)$ neither satisfies (1.3) nor (1.4). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & |x|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \\ &= |x|^{-3/2} \int_0^T \left[\sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) |x|^{7/4} + (0.6T - t)|x|^{3/2} \right] dt = 0.1T^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above example shows that it is valuable to further improve (1.3) and (1.4).

Impulsive differential equations arising from the real world describe the dynamics of processes in which sudden, discontinuous jumps occur. For the background, theory and applications of impulsive differential equations, we refer the readers to the monographs and some recent contributions as [1, 3, 4, 13, 20].

Some classical tools such as fixed point theorems in cones [1, 5, 19], the method of lower and upper solutions [3, 23] have been widely used to study impulsive differential equations.

Recently, the Dirichlet and periodic boundary conditions problems with impulses in the derivative are studied by variational method. For some general and recent works on the theory of critical point theory and variational methods we refer the readers to [10, 14, 19, 27, 28]. It is a novel approach to apply variational methods to the impulsive boundary value problem (IBVP for short). For $I_{ij} \neq 0, i \in A, j \in B$, some special cases of are studied (1.1) when the gradient of the nonlinearity grow sublinearly by variational method, (see [28]).

Inspired by the above results [6, 15, 21, 22, 25, 26, 28], we devote to study the existence of solutions for problem (1.1) under condition (1.2). Our results generalize the previous work, which seems not to have been considered in the literature.

Throughout this paper, we let $q \in (1, +\infty)$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND THE VARIATIONAL SETTING

In this section, we recall some basic facts which will be used in the proofs of our main results. In order to apply the critical point theory, we construct a variational structure. With this variational structure, we can reduce the problem of finding solutions of (1.1) to that of seeking the critical points of a corresponding functional.

Let $W_T^{1,p}$ be the Sobolev space

$$\begin{aligned} W_T^{1,p} &= \{u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \mid u \text{ is absolutely continuous,} \\ &u(0) = u(T), \dot{u} \in L^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)\}, \end{aligned}$$

it is a reflexive Banach space with the norm defined by

$$\|u\| = \|u\|_{W_T^{1,p}} = \left(\int_0^T [|\dot{u}(t)|^p + |u(t)|^p] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $u \in W_T^{1,p}$.

Let us recall that

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|.$$

We have the following fact.

Take $v \in W_T^{1,p}$ and multiply the two sides of the equality

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)) = \nabla F(t, u(t)),$$

by v and integrate from 0 to T :

$$\int_0^T ((|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t))', v(t)) dt = \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) dt.$$

The first term is now

$$\int_0^T ((|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t))', v(t)) dt = \sum_{j=0}^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} ((|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t))', v(t)) dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} ((|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t))', v(t)) dt \\ &= (|\dot{u}(t_{j+1}^-)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t_{j+1}^-), v(t_{j+1}^-)) - (|\dot{u}(t_j^+)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t_j^+), v(t_j^+)) \\ & \quad - \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(|\dot{u}^i(t_{j+1}^-)|^{p-2} \dot{u}^i(t_{j+1}^-) v^i(t_{j+1}^-) - |\dot{u}^i(t_j^+)|^{p-2} \dot{u}^i(t_j^+) v^i(t_j^+) \right) \\ & \quad - \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T ((|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t))', v(t)) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \dot{u}^i(t_j) v^i(t_j) + |\dot{u}(T)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(T) v(T) \\ & \quad - |\dot{u}(0)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(0) v(0) - \int_0^T (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) dt \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u^i(t_j)) v^i(t_j) - \int_0^T (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.1), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u^i(t_j))v^i(t_j) + \int_0^T (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2}\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t))dt + \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u(t), v(t)))dt = 0.$$

Considering the above, we introduce the following concept for the solution for problem (1.1).

Definition 2.1. We say that a function $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if the identity

$$\int_0^T (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2}\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u^i(t_j))v^i(t_j) = - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u(t), v(t)))dt$$

holds for any $v \in W_T^{1,p}$.

The corresponding functional φ on $W_T^{1,p}$ given by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, u(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{u^i(t_j)} I_{ij}(t) dt \\ &= \psi(u) + \phi(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, u(t))dt$$

and

$$\phi(u) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{u^i(t_j)} I_{ij}(t) dt.$$

It follows from assumption (A) that $\psi \in C^1(W_T^{1,p}, \mathbb{R})$. By the continuity of I_{ij} , $i \in A$, $j \in B$, one has that $\phi \in C^1(W_T^{1,p}, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, $\varphi \in C^1(W_T^{1,p}, \mathbb{R})$. For any $v \in W_T^{1,p}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \varphi'(u), v \rangle &= \int_0^T (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2}\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u^i(t_j))v^i(t_j) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u(t), v(t)))dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.1, the weak solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to the critical points of φ .

To prove our main results, we need the following useful lemma, see [29].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ and $\int_0^T u(t)dt = 0$. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_\infty \leq \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds\right)^{1/p},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^T |u(s)|^p ds \leq \frac{T^p \Theta(p, q)}{(q+1)^{p/q}} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds,$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \Theta(p, q) = \int_0^1 [s^{q+1} + (1-s)^{q+1}]^{p/q} ds.$$

Proof. Fix $t \in [0, T]$, for every $\tau \in [0, T]$, one has

$$(2.7) \quad u(t) = u(\tau) + \int_\tau^t \dot{u}(s) ds.$$

Set

$$(2.8) \quad \phi(s) = \begin{cases} s, & 0 \leq s \leq t, \\ T-s, & t \leq s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Integrating (2.7) over $[0, T]$ and using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \left| \int_0^T u(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^T \int_\tau^t \dot{u}(s) ds d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_\tau^t |\dot{u}(s)| ds d\tau + \int_t^T \int_t^\tau |\dot{u}(s)| ds d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t s |\dot{u}(s)| ds + \int_t^T (T-s) |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\ &= \int_0^T \phi(s) |\dot{u}(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^T [\phi(s)]^q ds\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q+1)^{1/q}} [t^{q+1} + (T-t)^{q+1}]^{1/q} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds\right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t^{q+1} + (T-t)^{q+1} \leq T^{q+1}$ for $t \in [0, T]$, it follows from (2.9) that (2.4) holds.

On the other hand, from (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^p \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt &\leq \frac{1}{(q+1)^{p/q}} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds \right) \int_0^T [t^{q+1} + (T-t)^{q+1}]^{p/q} dt \\ &\leq \frac{T^{1+p(q+1)/q}}{(q+1)^{p/q}} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds \right) \int_0^1 [s^{q+1} + (1-s)^{q+1}]^{p/q} ds \\ &= \frac{T^{2p}\Theta(p, q)}{(q+1)^{p/q}} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(s)|^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.5) holds. The proof is completed. ■

3. MAIN RESULTS AND THEIR PROOFS

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that (A) holds and F, I_{ij} satisfy the following conditions:*

(I1) *For any $i \in A, j \in B$,*

$$(3.1) \quad I_{ij}(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R};$$

(F1) *There exist $f, g \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\alpha \in [0, p-1)$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x|^\alpha + g(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(F2)

$$(3.3) \quad \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt > \frac{2^{q\alpha} T}{q(q+1)} \left(\int_0^T f(t) dt \right)^q.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution which minimizes the functional φ on $W_T^{1,p}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that (A) and (F1) hold, and the following conditions are satisfied:*

(I2) *There exist $a_{ij}, b_{ij} > 0$ and $\beta_{ij} \in (0, 1), \gamma \in [0, \alpha)$ such that*

$$(3.4) \quad |I_{ij}(t)| \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij}|t|^{\gamma\beta_{ij}}, \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}, i \in A, j \in B;$$

(I3) *For any $i \in A, j \in B$,*

$$(3.5) \quad I_{ij}(t)t \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R};$$

(F3)

$$(3.6) \quad \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt < -\frac{(p+2q)2^{q\alpha}T}{pq(q+1)} \left(\int_0^T f(t) dt \right)^q.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution in $W_T^{1,p}$.

Remark 1.1. When $I_{ij} \equiv 0$, problem (1.1) degenerates to the corresponding ones for second order ordinary differential system, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 still hold and we generalize the previous work [17].

Replaced by the condition

(F1') *There exist $f, g \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$(3.7) \quad |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x| + g(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Zhao and Wu [25, 26] proved the existence of solutions for problem (1.1) with $p = 2$ with no impulsive effects, that is, condition (F1) reduces to linearly bounded gradient condition, based on this case, we generalize the results.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that (A), (II) hold, and the following conditions are satisfied:*

(f)

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^T f(t) dt < \frac{2^{1-p}}{p} \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{-p/q};$$

(F4) *There exist $f, g \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$(3.9) \quad |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq f(t)|x|^{p-1} + g(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(F5)

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-p} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \\ & > \frac{2^p}{q} \left(\frac{T^{p/q}}{(q+1)^{p/q} - 2^{p-1}pT^{p/q} \int_0^T f(t) dt} \right)^{q/p} \left(\int_0^T f(t) dt \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution which minimizes the functional φ on $W_T^{1,p}$.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose that (A), (I3), (F4), and that

(I4) There exist $a_{ij}, b_{ij} > 0$ and $\beta_{ij} \in (0, 1), \gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad |I_{ij}(t)| \leq a_{ij} + b_{ij}|t|^{\gamma\beta_{ij}}, \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}, i \in A, j \in B;$$

(F6)

$$(3.12) \quad \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-p} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt < - \frac{2^p(p+1)T}{q(q+1)(p-1-2^{p-1}(\frac{T}{q+1})^{p/q} \int_0^T f(t) dt)} \left(\int_0^T f(t) dt \right)^q.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution in $W_T^{1,p}$.

Remark 1.2. When $I_{ij} \equiv 0, p = 2$, problem (1.1) degenerates to the corresponding ones for second order ordinary differential systems, Theorem 1.3 holds and generalize the previous work [25, 26].

For the sake of convenience, we denote

$$M_1 = \int_0^T f(t) dt, \quad M_2 = \int_0^T g(t) dt. \\ a = \max_{i \in A, j \in B} a_{ij}, \quad b = \max_{i \in A, j \in B} b_{ij}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (F2), we can choose an $a_1 > (\frac{T}{q+1})^{1/q}$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt > \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_1^q}{q} M_1^q.$$

It follows from (2.4), (2.5) and Young inequality that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T (F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, \bar{u} + s\tilde{u}(t)), \tilde{u}(t)) ds dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_0^1 f(t) |\bar{u} + s\tilde{u}(t)|^\alpha |\tilde{u}(t)| ds dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 g(t) |\tilde{u}(t)| ds dt \\ &\leq 2^\alpha \int_0^T f(t) (|\bar{u}|^\alpha + |\tilde{u}(t)|^\alpha) |\tilde{u}(t)| dt + \int_0^T g(t) |\tilde{u}| dt \\ &\leq 2^\alpha (|\bar{u}|^\alpha \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^{\alpha+1}) \int_0^T f(t) dt + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \int_0^T g(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^\alpha M_1 |\bar{u}|^\alpha \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty + 2^\alpha M_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} + M_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{1}{pa_1^p} \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_1^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}|^{q\alpha} + 2^\alpha M_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} + M_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_1^p (q+1)^{p/q}} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_1^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}|^{q\alpha} + 2^\alpha \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{(\alpha+1)/q} M_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have by (I1) and (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})] dt + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt + \phi(u) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_1^p (q+1)^{p/q}}\right) \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p \\
&\quad - 2^\alpha \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{(\alpha+1)/q} M_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&\quad + (|\bar{u}|^p)^{q\alpha/p} \left(|\bar{u}|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt - \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_1^q}{q} M_1^q\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In Sobolev space $W_T^{1,p}$, for $u \in W_T^{1,p}$, $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $(|\bar{u}|^p + \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p)^{1/p} \rightarrow \infty$, (F2) and (3.15) show that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [28], φ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $W_T^{1,p}$, by Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 in [8], φ has a minimum point on $W_T^{1,p}$, which is a critical point of φ , we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\{U_n\} \subset W_T^{1,p}$ is a (PS) sequence of φ . In a way similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad &\left| \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt \right| \\
&\leq 2^\alpha M_1 |\bar{u}_n|^\alpha \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty + 2^\alpha M_1 \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} + M_2 \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{1}{pa_2^p} \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} + 2^\alpha M_1 \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} + M_2 \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_2^p (q+1)^{p/q}} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} \\
&\quad + 2^\alpha \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{(\alpha+1)/q} M_1 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.16), we can choose $a_2 > \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q}$ such that

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + \delta_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} + M_3. \end{aligned}$$

for all u_n , where M_3 is a positive constant dependent of the arbitrary positive number δ_1 .

By (I2) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u_n^i(t)) \tilde{u}_n^i(t) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N (a_{ij} + b_{ij} |u_n^i(t)|^{\gamma\beta_{ij}}) |\tilde{u}_n^i(t)| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N (a_{ij} + b_{ij} |\bar{u}_n^i(t) + \tilde{u}_n^i(t)|^{\gamma\beta_{ij}}) |\tilde{u}_n^i(t)| \\ & \leq amN \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty + b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N 2^\alpha (|\bar{u}_n|^{\gamma\beta_{ij}} + \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty^{\gamma\beta_{ij}}) \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty \\ & \leq amN \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p} + \frac{2^\alpha b}{q} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_{ij} |\bar{u}_n|^{q\gamma} \\ & \quad + 2^\alpha b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{q - \beta_{ij}}{q} \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty^{\frac{q}{q-\beta_{ij}}} + 2^\alpha b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty^{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1} \\ & \leq amN \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p} + \frac{2^\alpha b}{q} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_{ij} |\bar{u}_n|^{q\gamma} \\ & \quad + 2^\alpha b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{q - \beta_{ij}}{q} \left[\left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q} \int_0^T |\dot{u}_n|^p dt \right]^{\frac{q}{p(q-\beta_{ij})}} \\ & \quad + 2^\alpha b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q} \int_0^T |\dot{u}_n|^p dt \right]^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which follows there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u_n^i(t)) \tilde{u}_n^i(t) \right| \leq \delta_2 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^\alpha b}{q} mN |\bar{u}_n|^{q\gamma} + M_4$$

for all u_n , where M_4 is a positive constant dependent of the arbitrary positive number δ_2 .

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_n\| &\geq \langle \varphi'(u_n), \tilde{u}_n \rangle \\ &= \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u_n^i(t)) \tilde{u}_n^i(t) \\ (3.18) \quad &\geq \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - \delta_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} \\ &\quad - \delta_2 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{2^\alpha b}{q} mN |\bar{u}_n|^{q\gamma} - M_3 - M_4. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.5), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \|\tilde{u}_n\| \leq \left[1 + \frac{T^p \Theta(p, q)}{(q+1)^{p/q}} \right]^{1/p} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p} \leq \delta_3 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + M_5,$$

It follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that there exists $M_6 > 0$ dependent of $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ such that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3 \right)} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} \\ &\quad + \frac{2^\alpha b m N}{q \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3 \right)} |\bar{u}_n|^{q\gamma} + M_6. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.17) that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T (F(t, u_n(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}_n)) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, \bar{u}_n + s\tilde{u}_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) ds dt \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + \delta_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} + M_3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.2), (3.20), (3.21) and (I3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T [F(t, u_n(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}_n)] dt + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_n) dt + \phi(u_n) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{p} + \delta_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_n) dt + M_3 \\
&\leq \left[\frac{(2 + p\delta_1) 2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{pq \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3 \right)} M_1^q + \frac{2^{q\alpha} a_2^q}{q} M_1^q \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\bar{u}_n|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_n) dt \right] |\bar{u}_n|^{q\alpha} + \frac{(2 + p\delta_1) 2^{q\alpha} b m N}{pq \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3 \right)} |\bar{u}_n|^{q\gamma} + M_7
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant M_7 dependent of $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$.

We claim that $\{|\bar{u}_n|\}$ is bounded. In fact, if $\{|\bar{u}_n|\}$ is unbounded, we may assume that, going to a subsequence if necessary, $|\bar{u}_n| \rightarrow +\infty, n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let

$$G(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = \frac{(2 + p\delta_1)}{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} - \delta_1 - \delta_2 - \delta_3 \right)}$$

when $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ are small enough, it is easy to see that $G(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ is monotone increasing for every variable. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+, 0^+)} G(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = \frac{2p}{p-1}.$$

It follows from (F3) that

$$\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

which contradicts the boundedness of $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$. Hence $\{|\bar{u}_n|\}$ is bounded, it follows from (3.19) and Lema 2.1 that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_T^{1,p}$, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$(3.22) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } W_T^{1,p},$$

by Proposition 1.2 in [8], we have

$$(3.23) \quad u_n \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N).$$

It follows from (2.3) and the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \psi'(u_n) - \psi'(u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle \\
&= \int_0^T |\dot{u}_n(t)|^{p-2} (\dot{u}_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_0(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T |\dot{u}_0(t)|^{p-2} (\dot{u}_0(t), \dot{u}_n(t) - \dot{u}_0(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&= \|u_n\|^p + \|u_0\|^p - \int_0^T |\dot{u}_n(t)|^{p-2} (\dot{u}_n(t), \dot{u}_0(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T |\dot{u}_0(t)|^{p-2} (\dot{u}_0(t), \dot{u}_n(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&\geq \|u_n\|^p + \|u_0\|^p - \int_0^T |\dot{u}_n(t)|^{p-1} |\dot{u}_0(t)| dt - \int_0^T |\dot{u}_0(t)|^{p-1} |\dot{u}_n(t)| dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&\geq \|u_n\|^p + \|u_0\|^p - \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}_0(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}_n(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/q} \\
(3.24) \quad &\quad - \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}_n(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}_0(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&\geq \|u_n\|^p + \|u_0\|^p - \left(\int_0^T [|\dot{u}_0(t)|^p + |u_0(t)|^p] dt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\quad \left(\int_0^T [|\dot{u}_n(t)|^p + |u_n(t)|^p] dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&\quad - \left(\int_0^T [|\dot{u}_n(t)|^p + |u_n(t)|^p] dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^T [|\dot{u}_0(t)|^p + |u_0(t)|^p] dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&= \|u_n\|^p + \|u_0\|^p - \|u_0\| \|u_n\|^{p-1} - \|u_n\| \|u_0\|^{p-1} \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
&= (\|u_n\|^{p-1} - \|u_0\|^{p-1}) (\|u_n\| - \|u_0\|) \\
&\quad - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.3) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle \\
 & \geq (\|u_n\|^{p-1} - \|u_0\|^{p-1}) (\|u_n\| - \|u_0\|) \\
 (3.25) \quad & - \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)) - \nabla F(t, u_0(t)), u_n(t) - u_0(t)) dt \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N (I_{ij}(u_n^i(t_j)) - I_{ij}(u_0^i(t_j)))(u_n^i(t_j) - u_0^i(t_j)).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.22)-(3.25), (A) and the continuity of I_{ij} , it follows that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$ in $W_T^{1,p}$. Thus, φ satisfies the P.S. condition.

In order to use the saddle point theorem ([12], Theorem 4.6), we only need to verify the following conditions:

$$(A_1) \quad \varphi(x) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

$$(A_2) \quad \varphi(u) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \tilde{W}_T^{1,p}, \text{ where } \tilde{W}_T^{1,p} = \{u \in W_T^{1,p} \mid \bar{u} = 0\}.$$

In fact, by (3.6), we get

$$(3.26) \quad \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From (I3) and (3.26), we have

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^T F(t, x) dt + \phi(x) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus (A₁) is easy to verify.

Next, for all $u \in \tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$, by (F1) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt \right| \\
 & = \left| \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, su(t)), u(t)) ds dt \right| \\
 (3.27) \quad & \leq \int_0^T f(t) |u(t)|^{\alpha+1} dt + \int_0^T g(t) |u(t)| dt \\
 & \leq M_1 \|u\|_{\infty}^{\alpha+1} + M_2 \|u\|_{\infty} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{(\alpha+1)/q} M_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} + \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It derives from (I2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\phi(u)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{u^i(t_j)} I_{ij}(t) dt \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{u^i(t_j)} (a_{ij} + b_{ij}|t|^{\gamma\beta_{ij}}) dt \\
 (3.28) \quad &\leq amN \|u\|_\infty + b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \|u\|_\infty^{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1} \\
 &\leq amN \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} + b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.2), (3.27) and (3.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\varphi(u) \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt + \phi(u) \\
 (3.29) \quad &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{(\alpha+1)/q} M_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \\
 &\quad - amN \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} - b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$. By Lemma 2.1, $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$ if and only if $\|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \rightarrow \infty$. So we obtain $\varphi(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$ from (3.29), i.e. (A₂) is verified. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By (f) and (F5), we can choose an $a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.30) \quad a_3 > \left(\frac{T^{p/q}}{(q+1)^{p/q} - 2^{p-1}pM_1T^{p/q}} \right)^{1/p} > 0,$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-p} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt > \frac{2^p a_3^q}{q} M_1^q.$$

It follows from (F4), Lemma 2.1 and Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^T (F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})) dt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, \bar{u} + s\tilde{u}(t)), \tilde{u}(t)) ds dt \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^T \int_0^1 f(t) |\bar{u} + s\tilde{u}(t)|^{p-1} |\tilde{u}(t)| ds dt + \int_0^T \int_0^1 g(t) |\tilde{u}(t)| ds dt \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \int_0^T f(t) (|\bar{u}|^{p-1} + |\tilde{u}(t)|^{p-1}) |\tilde{u}(t)| dt + \int_0^T g(t) |\tilde{u}(t)| dt \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} (|\bar{u}|^{p-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^p) \int_0^T f(t) dt + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \int_0^T g(t) dt \\
(3.32) \quad &= 2^{p-1} M_1 |\bar{u}|^{p-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty + 2^{p-1} M_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^p + M_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{1}{pa_3^p} \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^p + \frac{2^p a_3^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}|^p + 2^{p-1} M_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^p + M_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_3^p (q+1)^{p/q}} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^p a_3^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}|^p \\
&\quad + 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&= \left(\frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_3^p (q+1)^{p/q}} + 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} \right) \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p \\
&\quad + \frac{2^p a_3^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}|^p + \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have by (I1) and (3.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.33) \quad \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})] dt + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt + \phi(u) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_3^p (q+1)^{p/q}} - 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} \right) \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&\quad + |\bar{u}|^p \left(|\bar{u}|^{-p} \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt - \frac{2^p a_3^q}{q} M_1^q \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $(|\bar{u}|^p + \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p})^{1/p} \rightarrow \infty$, the above inequality and (3.31) and (3.33) imply that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, φ has a minimum point on $W_T^{1,p}$, which is a critical point of φ . The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that $\{U_n\} \subset W_T^{1,p}$ is a (PS) sequence of φ . In a way similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{T^{p/q}}{pa_4^p(q+1)^{p/q}} + 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p \\ & \quad + \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p + \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

we can choose $a_4 > \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p + \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} + \delta'_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p + M'_3. \end{aligned}$$

for all u_n , where M'_3 is a positive constant dependent of the arbitrary positive number δ'_1 .

By a fashion similar to the proofs of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.34) \quad & \|\tilde{u}_n\| \geq \langle \varphi'(u_n), \tilde{u}_n \rangle \\ & = \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N I_{ij}(u_n^i(t)) \tilde{u}_n^i(t) \\ & \geq \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} - \delta'_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p \\ & \quad - \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p - \delta'_2 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{2^{p-1}b}{p} mN |\bar{u}_n|^{p\gamma} - M'_4. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(3.35) \quad \|\tilde{u}_n\| \leq \left[1 + \frac{T^p \Theta(p, q)}{(q+1)^{p/q}} \right]^{1/p} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p} \leq \delta'_3 \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + M'_5,$$

It follows that there exists $M'_6 > 0$ dependent of δ'_1, δ'_2 and δ'_3 such that

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}_n\|^p \leq & \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} - \delta'_1 - \delta'_2 - \delta'_3 \right)} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p \\ & + \frac{2^{p-1} b m N}{p \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} - \delta'_1 - \delta'_2 - \delta'_3 \right)} |\bar{u}_n|^{p\gamma} + M'_7. \end{aligned}$$

In a way similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T (F(t, u_n(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}_n)) dt \right| \\ & = \left| \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, \bar{u}_n + s\tilde{u}_n(t)), \tilde{u}(t)) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} + \delta'_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p + M'_3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.2), (3.36) and (3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T [F(t, u_n(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}_n)] dt + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_n) dt + \phi(u_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{p} + 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} + \delta'_1 \right) \|\dot{u}_n\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\quad + \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q |\bar{u}_n|^p + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_n) dt + M'_3 \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\left(2 + p\delta'_1 + 2^{p-1} p M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} \right) 2^p a_4^q}{p q \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} - \delta'_1 - \delta'_2 - \delta'_3 \right)} M_1^q \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2^p a_4^q}{q} M_1^q + |\bar{u}_n|^{-p} \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt \right] |\bar{u}_n|^p \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{p-1} b m N}{p \left(\frac{p-1}{p} - 2^{p-1} M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1} \right)^{p/q} - \delta'_1 - \delta'_2 - \delta'_3 \right)} |\bar{u}_n|^{p\gamma} + M'_7 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant M'_7 dependent of δ'_1, δ'_2 and δ'_3 .

We claim that $\{|\bar{u}_n|\}$ is bounded. In fact, if $\{|\bar{u}_n|\}$ is unbounded, we may assume that, going to a subsequence if necessary, $|\bar{u}_n| \rightarrow +\infty, n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let

$$H(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \delta'_3) = \frac{2 + p\delta'_1 + 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q}}{\frac{p-1}{p} - 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q} - \delta'_1 - \delta'_2 - \delta'_3}$$

when $\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \delta'_3$ are small enough, it is easy to see that $H(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \delta'_3)$ is monotone increasing for every variable. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \delta'_3) \rightarrow (0^+, 0^+, 0^+)} H(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \delta'_3) = \frac{2p + 2^{p-1}pM_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q}}{p - 1 - 2^{p-1}M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q}}.$$

It follows from (3.12) that

$$\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

which contradicts the boundedness of $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$. Hence $\{|\bar{u}_n|\}$ is bounded, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$(3.38) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } W_T^{1,p},$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can easily verify that φ satisfies the P.S. condition, we only need to verify (A_1) and (A_2) . It is easy to verify (A_1) by (I3) and (F6). In what follows, we verify that (A_2) holds also. For all $u \in \tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$, by (1.12) and Sobolev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, su(t)), u(t)) ds dt \right| \\ (3.39) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T f(t) |u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T g(t) |u(t)| dt \\ & \leq \frac{M_1}{p} \|u\|_\infty^p + M_2 \|u\|_\infty \\ & \leq \frac{M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q}}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof in (3.28), It derives from (I2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(u)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{u^i(t_j)} I_{ij}(t) dt \right| \\ (3.40) \quad & \leq amN \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \|\dot{u}(t)\|_{L^p} \\ & \quad + b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}} \|\dot{u}(t)\|_{L^p}^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (f), (2.2), (3.39) and (3.40) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt + \phi(u) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{M_1 \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{p/q}}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} M_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \\
 &\quad - amN \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{1/q} \|\dot{u}(t)\|_{L^p} \\
 &\quad - b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{T}{q+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}} \|\dot{u}(t)\|_{L^p}^{\frac{\gamma\beta_{ij}+1}{q}} + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.41}$$

for all $u \in \tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$. $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$ if and only if $\|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \rightarrow \infty$. So we obtain $\varphi(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\tilde{W}_T^{1,p}$ from (3.41), i.e. (A₂) is verified. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some examples to illustrate our results.

Example 4.1. Let $T=2$, $N=3$, $t_1=1$, $p=\frac{3}{2}$, $q=3$, consider the second-order p -Laplacian systems with impulsive effects

$$\begin{cases}
 \frac{d}{dt} (|\dot{u}(t)|^{1/2}) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
 u(0) - u(2) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(2) = 0, \\
 \Delta \dot{u}^i(1) = \dot{u}^i(1^+) - \dot{u}^i(1^-) = (u^i(1))^{\frac{1}{3}}, i = 1, 2, 3,
 \end{cases}
 \tag{4.1}$$

let

$$F(t, x) = (0.5T - t)|x|^{10/7} + \left(\frac{2}{3}T^2 - t^2\right) |x|^{9/7} + (h(t), x),
 \tag{4.2}$$

$I_{ij}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{7}$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\nabla F(t, x)| &\leq \frac{10}{7} |0.5T - t| |x|^{3/7} + \frac{9}{7} \left| \frac{2}{3}T^2 - t^2 \right| |x|^{2/7} + |h(t)| \\
 &\leq \frac{10}{7} (|0.5T - t| + \varepsilon) |x|^{3/7} + \frac{T^6}{\varepsilon^2} + |h(t)|
 \end{aligned}$$

The above shows (3.2) holds with $\alpha = 3/7$ and

$$f(t) = \frac{10}{7} (|0.5T - t| + \varepsilon), \quad g(t) = \frac{T^6}{\varepsilon^2} + |h(t)|,
 \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2^{q\alpha}T}{q(q+1)} \left(\int_0^T f(t)dt \right)^q \\ &= \frac{2^{\frac{9}{7}}T}{3 \times 4} \int_0^T \left(\frac{10}{7} (|0.5T - t| + \varepsilon) dt \right)^3 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{10}{7} \right)^3 \frac{T^4}{3} \left(\frac{T^3}{64} + \frac{3T^2}{16}\varepsilon + \frac{3T^3}{4}\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $T^4 < 64 \times \left(\frac{7}{10}\right)^3 = 21.952$, we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficient small such that

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-3\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x)dt = \frac{T^3}{3} > \left(\frac{10}{7} \right)^3 \frac{T^4}{3} \left(\frac{T^3}{64} + \frac{3T^2}{16}\varepsilon + \frac{3T^3}{4}\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3 \right).$$

This shows that (3.3) holds. By Theorem 1.1, problem (4.1) has at least one solution.

Example 4.2. Let $T = 0.3$, $N = 5$, $t_1 = 0.2$, $p = \frac{3}{2}$, $q = 3$, consider the second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{1/2} \dot{u}(t) \right) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0) - u(0.3) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(0.3) = 0, \\ \Delta \dot{u}^i(0.2) = \dot{u}^i(0.2^+) - \dot{u}^i(0.2^-) = I_{i1}(u^i(0.2))^{\frac{1}{9}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \end{cases}$$

let

$$(4.5) \quad F(t, x) = (0.5T - t)|x|^{10/7} + \left(\frac{1}{4}T^2 - t^2\right) |x|^{9/7} + (h(t), x),$$

$I_{i1}(t) = -t^{\frac{1}{7}}$, $\alpha = 3/7$, $\beta_{i1} = 1/3$, $h \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$. It is easy to see that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla F(t, x)| &\leq \frac{10}{7} (|0.5T - t| + \varepsilon) |x|^{3/7} + \frac{T^6}{\varepsilon^2} + |h(t)|. \\ f(t) &= \frac{10}{7} (|0.5T - t| + \varepsilon) |x|^{3/7}, \quad g(t) = \frac{T^6}{\varepsilon^2} + |h(t)|. \\ |x|^{-3\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x)dt &= |x|^{-9/7} \int_0^T \left[(0.5T - t)|x|^{10/7} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{4}T^2 - t^2\right) |x|^{9/7} + (h(t), x) \right] dt \\ &= -T^3/12 + \left(\int_0^T h(t)dt, |x|^{-9/7}x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the computation in Example 4.1, it is easy to verify that all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 hold, by Theorem 1.2, problem (4.4) has at least one solution.

Example 4.3. Let $T = 0.6$, $N = 3$, $t_1 = 0.5$, $p = q = 2$, consider the second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{u}(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) - u(0.6) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(0.6) = 0, \\ \Delta \dot{u}^i(1) = \dot{u}^i(0.5^+) - \dot{u}^i(0.5^-) = (u^i(0.5))^{\frac{1}{3}}, i = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(4.8) \quad F(t, x) = (0.6T - t)|x|^2 - t|x|^{3/2} + (h(t), x),$$

where $h \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$, $I_{ij}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3}}$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla F(t, x)| &\leq 2|0.6T - t||x| + \frac{3t}{2}|x|^{1/2} + |h(t)| \\ &\leq 2(|0.6T - t| + \varepsilon)|x| + \frac{T^2}{2\varepsilon} + |h(t)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. The above shows (1.12) holds with

$$(4.9) \quad f(t) = 2(|0.6T - t| + \varepsilon), \quad g(t) = \frac{T^2}{2\varepsilon} + |h(t)|.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{-2} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt &= |x|^{-2} \int_0^T [(0.6T - t)|x|^2 - t|x|^{3/2} + (h(t), x)] dt \\ &= 0.1T^2 - 0.5T^2|x|^{-1/2} + \left(\int_0^T h(t) dt, |x|^{-2}x \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T f(t) dt &= 2 \int_0^T (|0.6T - t| + \varepsilon) dt = 0.52T^2 + 2\varepsilon T, \\ \left(\int_0^T f(t) dt \right)^2 &= (0.52T^2 + 2\varepsilon T)^2 = 0.2704T^4 + 2.08\varepsilon T^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 T^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{3T^2 \int_0^T f^2(t) dt}{2\pi^2 \left(12 - T \int_0^T f(t) dt \right)} = \frac{T^3(1.12T^2 + 6.24\varepsilon T + 12\varepsilon^2)}{2\pi^2[12 - T^2(0.52T + 2\varepsilon)]}.$$

If $T^3 < 0.7$, we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficient small such that

$$\int_0^T f(t)dt = 0.52T^2 + 2\varepsilon T < \frac{3}{4T}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^{-2} \int_0^T F(t, x)dt &= 0.1T^2 \\ &> \frac{2T(0.2704T^4 + 2.08\varepsilon T^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 T^2)}{3 - 4T(0.52T^2 + 2\varepsilon T)}. \end{aligned}$$

These show that (3.8)-(3.10) hold. By Theorem 1.3, problem (4.7) has at least one solution.

Example 4.4. Let $T = 0.5, N = 5, t_1 = 0.4$, consider the second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{u}(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) - u(0.5) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(0.5) = 0, \\ \Delta \dot{u}^i(0.4) = \dot{u}^i(0.4^+) - \dot{u}^i(0.4^-) \\ = I_{ij}(u^i(0.4)), i = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.11) \quad F(t, x) = (0.4T - t)|x|^2 + t|x|^{3/2} + (h(t), x),$$

where $h \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla F(t, x)| &\leq 2|0.4T - t||x| + \frac{3t}{2}|x|^{1/2} + |h(t)| \\ &\leq 2(|0.4T - t| + \varepsilon)|x| + \frac{T^2}{2\varepsilon} + |h(t)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. The above shows (1.12) holds with

$$(4.12) \quad f(t) = 2(|0.4T - t| + \varepsilon), \quad g(t) = \frac{T^2}{2\varepsilon} + |h(t)|.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{-2} \int_0^T F(t, x)dt &= |x|^{-2} \int_0^T [(0.4T - t)|x|^2 + t|x|^{3/2} + (h(t), x)] dt \\ &= -0.1T^2 + 0.5T^2|x|^{-1/2} + \left(\int_0^T h(t)dt, |x|^{-2}x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the computation in Example 4.3, it is easy to verify that all the conditions of Theorem 1.4 hold, by Theorem 1.4, problem (4.10) has at least one solution.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Multiple nonnegative solutions for second order impulsive differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **114** (2000), 51-59.
2. M. S. Berger and M. Schechter, On the solvability of semilinear gradient operator equations, *Adv. Math.*, **25** (1977), 97-132.
3. D. Franco and J. J. Nieto, Nonlinear boundary value problems for first order impulsive functional differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **88** (1998), 149-159.
4. V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1989.
5. E. K. Lee and Y. H. Lee, Multiple positive solutions of singular two point boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **158** (2004), 745-759.
6. W. Ding and D. Qian, Periodic solutions for sublinear systems via variational approach, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, **11** (2010), 2603-2609.
7. J. Mawhin, Semi-coercive monotone variational problems, *Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci.*, **73** (1987), 118-130.
8. J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
9. J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Critical points of convex perturbations of some indefinite quadratic forms and semilinear boundary value problems at resonance, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **3** (1986), 431-453.
10. J. J. Nieto and D. O. Regan, Variational approach to impulsive differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal. RWA*, **10** (2009), 680-690.
11. P. H. Rabinowitz, On subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33** (1980), 609-633.
12. P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations, in: *CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.*, Vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
13. A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk, *Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore, 1995.
14. J. T. Sun, H. B. Chen and L. Yang, The existence and multiplicity of solutions for an impulsive differential equation with two parameters via a variational method, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73** (2010), 440-449.
15. C. L. Tang, Periodic solutions of nonautonomous second order systems with γ -quasisubadditive potential, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **189** (1995), 671-675.
16. C. L. Tang, Periodic solutions of nonautonomous second order systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **202** (1996), 465-469.
17. C. L. Tang, Periodic solutions of nonautonomous second order systems with sublinear nonlinearity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998), 3263-3270.

18. C. L. Tang and X. P. Wu, Periodic solutions for second order systems with not uniformly coercive potential, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **259** (2001), 386-397.
19. Y. Tian and W. G. Ge, Applications of variational methods to boundary value problem for impulsive differential equations, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **51** (2008), 509-527.
20. M. Willem, Oscillations forces de systemes hamiltoniens, in: *Public. Smin. Analyse Non Liniaire*, Univ. Besancon, 1981.
21. X. Wu, Saddle point characterization and multiplicity of periodic solutions of non-autonomous second order systems, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **58** (2004), 899-907.
22. X. P. Wu and C. L. Tang, Periodic solutions of a class of nonautonomous second order systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **236** (1999), 227-235.
23. X. X. Yang and J. H. Shen, Nonlinear boundary value problems for first order impulsive functional differential equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **189** (2007), 1943-1952.
24. S. T. Zavalishchin and A. N. Sesekin, Dynamics impulse system, in: Theory and Applications, in: *Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 394, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
25. F. Zhao and X. Wu, Periodic solutions for a class of non-autonomous second order systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **296** (2004), 422-434.
26. F. Zhao and X. Wu, Existence and multiplicity of periodic solution for non-autonomous second-order systems with linear nonlinearity, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **60** (2005), 325-335.
27. J. W. Zhou and Y. K. Li, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for some Dirichlet problems with impulsive effects, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **71** (2009), 2856-2865.
28. J. W. Zhou and Y. K. Li, Existence of solutions for a class of second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects, *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, **72** (2010), 1594-1603.
29. X. Y. Zhang and X. H. Tang, Periodic solutions for an ordinary p -Laplacian system, *Taiwaneses Journal of Mathematics*, **15** (2011), 1369-1396.
30. X. H. Tang and Qiong Meng, Solutions of a second-order Hamiltonian system with periodic boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal. RWA*, **11** (2010), 3722-3733.

Peng Chen and X. H. Tang
School of Mathematical Sciences and Computing Technology
Central South University
Changsha, Hunan 410083
P. R. China
E-mail: pengchen729@sina.com
tangxh@mail.csu.edu.cn