

## ON GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS OF PRIME AND SEMIPRIME RINGS

Shuliang Huang

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $(F(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative. We also examine the case where  $R$  is a semiprime ring.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In all that follows, unless stated otherwise,  $R$  will be an associative ring,  $Z(R)$  the center of  $R$ ,  $Q$  its Martindale quotient ring. The center of  $Q$ , denoted by  $C$ , is called the extended centroid of  $R$ . For any  $x, y \in R$ , the symbol  $[x, y]$  and  $x \circ y$  stand for the commutator  $xy - yx$  and anti-commutator  $xy + yx$ , respectively. Recall that a ring  $R$  is prime if for any  $a, b \in R$ ,  $aRb = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and is semiprime if for any  $a \in R$ ,  $aRa = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$ . An additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . In particular  $d$  is an inner derivation induced by an element  $a \in R$ , if  $d(x) = [a, x]$  for all  $x \in R$ .

In [6], Bresar introduced the definition of generalized derivation: an additive mapping  $F : R \rightarrow R$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ , and  $d$  is called the associated derivation of  $F$ . Hence, the concept of generalized derivations covers both the concepts of a derivation and of a left multiplier (i.e., an additive mapping satisfying  $f(xy) = f(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in R$ ). Basic examples are derivations and generalized inner derivations (i.e., mappings of type  $x \rightarrow ax + xb$  for some  $a, b \in R$ ). We refer to call such mappings generalized inner derivations for the reason they present a generalization of the concept of inner derivations (i.e., mappings of the form  $x \rightarrow ax - xa$  for some  $a \in R$ ).

---

Received May 19, 2010, accepted January 20, 2011.

Communicated by Bernd Ulrich.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16N60, 16U80, 16W25.

*Key words and phrases*: Prime rings, Semiprime rings, Generalized derivations, GPIs.

This research is supported by the Natural Science Research Foundation of Anhui Provincial Education Department (No. KJ2010B144) of P. R. China.

In [13], Hvala studied generalized derivations in the context of algebras on certain norm spaces. The related object we need to mention is the right Utumi quotient ring  $U$  of ring  $R$  (sometimes, as in [5],  $U$  is called the maximal right ring of quotient). In [16], Lee extended the definition of a generalized derivation as follows: by a generalized derivation we mean an additive mapping  $F : I \rightarrow U$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in I$ , where  $I$  is a dense left ideal of  $R$  and  $d$  is a derivation from  $I$  into  $U$ . Moreover, Lee also proved that every generalized derivation can be uniquely extended to a generalized derivation of  $U$  and thus all generalized derivations of  $R$  will be implicitly assumed to be defined on the whole of  $U$ . Lee obtained the following: every generalized derivation  $F$  on a dense left ideal of  $R$  can be uniquely extended to  $U$  and assumes the form  $F(x) = ax + d(x)$  for some  $a \in U$  and a derivation  $d$  on  $U$ . This result will be used in the sequel to prove our theorems. More related results about derivations and generalized derivations can be found in [3, 4, 11] and [12].

In [1, Theorem 4.1], Ashraf and Rehman proved that if  $R$  is a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and  $d$  is a derivation of  $R$  such that  $d(x \circ y) = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative. In [2, Theorem 1], Argaç and Inceboz generalized the above result as following: Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a fixed positive integer, if  $R$  admits a derivation  $d$  with the property  $(d(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative. In [21, Theorem 2.3], Quadri et al., discussed the commutativity of prime rings with generalized derivations. More precisely, Quadri et al., proved that if  $R$  is a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and  $F$  a generalized derivation associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $F(x \circ y) = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

The present paper is then motivated by [2] and [21]. Explicitly we shall prove the following:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $(F(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Theorem B.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $(F(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

We are now in a position to prove our main results.

## 2. THE CASE: $R$ A PRIME RING

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $(F(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a prime ring and  $F$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$ , by Lee [16],  $F(x) = ax + d(x)$  for some  $a \in U$  and a derivation  $d$  on  $U$ . By the given hypothesis we have now  $x \circ y = (a(x \circ y) + d(x \circ y))^n = (a(x \circ y) + d(x)y + xd(y) + d(y)x + yd(x))^n$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . By our hypothesis  $d \neq 0$ . By Kharchenko [15], we divide the proof into two cases:

**Case 1.** Let  $d$  be an outer derivation of  $U$ , then  $I$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $(a(x \circ y) + sy + xt + tx + ys)^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y, s, t \in I$ . In particular, for  $y = 0$ ,  $I$  satisfies the blended component  $(xt + tx)^n = 0$  for all  $x, t \in I$ . If  $CharR \neq 2$ , then  $(2x^2)^n = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . This is a contradiction by Xu [22]. If  $CharR = 2$ , then  $(xt + tx)^n = 0 = [x, t]^n$  and by Herstein [14], we have  $I \subseteq Z(R)$ , and so  $R$  is commutative by Mayne [19].

**Case 2.** Let now  $d$  be the inner derivation induced by an element  $q \in Q$ , that is  $d(x) = [q, x]$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . It follows that  $(a(x \circ y) + [q, x]y + x[q, y] + [q, y]x + y[q, x])^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . By a theorem due to Chuang [8],  $I$  and  $Q$  satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities (GPIs), we have  $(a(x \circ y) + [q, x]y + x[q, y] + [q, y]x + y[q, x])^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in Q$ . In case center  $C$  of  $Q$  is infinite, we have  $(a(x \circ y) + [q, x]y + x[q, y] + [q, y]x + y[q, x])^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in Q \otimes_C \overline{C}$ , where  $\overline{C}$  is the algebraic closure of  $C$ . Since both  $Q$  and  $Q \otimes_C \overline{C}$  are prime and centrally closed [10], we may replace  $R$  by  $Q$  or  $Q \otimes_C \overline{C}$  according as  $C$  is finite or infinite. Thus we may assume that  $R$  is centrally closed over  $C$  (i.e.  $RC = R$ ) which is either finite or algebraically closed and  $(a(x \circ y) + [q, x]y + x[q, y] + [q, y]x + y[q, x])^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . By Martindale [20],  $RC$  (and so  $R$ ) is a primitive ring which is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space  $V$  over a division ring  $D$ .

Assume that  $dimV_D \geq 3$ .

First of all, we want to show that  $v$  and  $qv$  are linearly  $D$ -dependent for all  $v \in V$ . Since if  $qv = 0$  then  $\{v, qv\}$  is  $D$ -dependent, suppose that  $qv \neq 0$ . If  $v$  and  $qv$  are  $D$ -independent, since  $dimV_D \geq 3$ , then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $v, qv, w$  are also linearly independent. By the density of  $R$ , there exists  $x, y \in R$  such that:  $xv = 0, xqv = w, xw = v; yv = 0, yqv = 0, yw = v$ . These imply that  $(-1)^n v = (a(x \circ y) + [q, x]y + x[q, y] + [q, y]x + y[q, x])^n v = (x \circ y)v = xyv + yxv = 0$ , a contradiction. So we conclude that  $v$  and  $qv$  are linearly  $D$ -dependent for all  $v \in V$ .

Our next goal is to show that there exists  $b \in D$  such that  $qv = vb$  for all  $v \in V$ . Note that the arguments in [7] are still valid in the present situation. For the sake of completeness and clearness we prefer to present it. In fact, choose  $v, w \in V$  linearly independent. Since  $dimV_D \geq 3$ , then there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $\{u, v, w\}$  is linearly independent. Then  $b_u, b_v, b_w \in D$  such that  $qu = ub_u, qv = vb_v, qw = wb_w$ , that is  $q(u + v + w) = ub_u + vb_v + wb_w$ . Moreover  $q(u + v + w) = (u + v + w)b_{u+v+w}$  for a suitable  $b_{u+v+w} \in D$ . Then  $0 =$

$u(b_{u+v+w} - b_u) + v(b_{u+v+w} - b_v) + w(b_{u+v+w} - b_w)$  and because  $u, v, w$  are linearly independent,  $b_u = b_v = b_w = b_{u+v+w}$ , that is  $b$  does not depend on the choice of  $v$ . Hence now we have  $qv = vb$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Now for  $r \in R, v \in V$ , we have  $(rq)v = r(qv) = r(vb) = (rv)b = q(rv)$ , that is  $[q, R]V = 0$ . Since  $V$  is a left faithful irreducible  $R$ -module, hence  $[q, R] = 0$ , i.e.  $q \in Z(R)$  and so  $d = 0$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $\dim V_D$  must be  $\leq 2$ . In this case  $R$  is a simple GPI-ring with 1, and so it is a central simple algebra finite dimensional over its center. By Lanski [18], it follows that there exists a suitable field  $F$  such that  $R \subseteq M_k(F)$ , the ring of all  $k \times k$  matrices over  $F$ , and moreover  $M_k(F)$  satisfies the same GPI as  $R$ .

Assume  $k \geq 3$ , by the same argument as in the above, we can get a contradiction. If  $k = 1$ , then it is clear that  $R$  is commutative. Thus we may assume that  $R \subseteq M_2(F)$ , where  $M_2(F)$  satisfies  $(a(x \circ y) + [q, x]y + x[q, y] + [q, y]x + y[q, x])^n = x \circ y$ . Denote  $e_{ij}$  the usual matrix unit with 1 in  $(i, j)$ -entry and zero elsewhere. Let  $x \circ y = e_{21} \circ e_{11} = e_{21}$ . In this case we have  $(ae_{21} + qe_{21} - e_{21}q)^n = e_{21}$ . Right multiplying by  $e_{21}$ , we get  $(-1)^n(e_{21}q)^n e_{21} = (ae_{21} + qe_{21} - e_{21}q)^n e_{21} = e_{21}e_{21} = 0$ . Set  $q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ . By calculation we find that  $(-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q_{12}^n & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ , which implies that  $q_{12} = 0$ . Similarly we can see that  $q_{21} = 0$ . Therefore  $q$  is diagonal in  $M_2(F)$ . Let  $f \in \text{Aut}(M_2(F))$ . Since  $(f(a)[f(x), f(y)] + [[f(q), f(x)], f(y)] + [f(x), [f(q), f(y)]])^n = [f(x), f(y)]$  so  $f(q)$  must be a diagonal matrix in  $M_2(F)$ . In particular, let  $f(x) = (1 - e_{ij})x(1 + e_{ij})$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $f(q) = q + (q_{ii} - q_{jj})e_{ij}$ , that is  $q_{ii} = q_{jj}$  for  $i \neq j$ . This implies that  $q$  is central in  $M_2(F)$ , which leads to  $d = 0$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following example shows that the primeness condition in the above theorem can not be omitted.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $S$  be any ring and  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in S \right\}$ . Let  $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in S \right\}$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$  and we define a map  $F : R \rightarrow R$  by  $F(x) = 2e_{11}x - xe_{11}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $F$  is a generalized derivation associated with a nonzero derivation  $d(x) = [e_{11}, x]$ . It is straightforward to check that  $F$  satisfies the property:  $(F(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . However,  $R$  is not commutative.

### 3. THE CASE: $R$ A SEMIPRIME RING

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $(F(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is semiprime and  $F$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$ , by Lee

[16],  $F(x) = ax + d(x)$  for some  $a \in U$  and a derivation  $d$  on  $U$ . We are given that  $(a(x \circ y) + d(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . By Lee [16,],  $R$  and  $U$  satisfy the same differential identities, then  $(a(x \circ y) + d(x \circ y))^n = x \circ y$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Let  $B$  be the complete Boolean algebra of idempotents in  $C$  and  $M$  be any maximal ideal of  $B$ . Since  $U$  is a  $B$ -algebra orthogonal complete [15] and  $MU$  is a prime ideal of  $U$ , which is  $d$ -invariant. Denote  $\bar{U} = U/MU$  and  $\bar{d}$  the derivation induced by  $d$  on  $\bar{U}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{d}(\bar{u}) = \overline{d(u)}$  for all  $u \in U$ . For all  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{U}$ ,  $(\bar{a}(\bar{x} \circ \bar{y}) + \bar{d}(\bar{x} \circ \bar{y}))^n = \bar{x} \circ \bar{y}$ . It is obvious that  $\bar{U}$  is prime. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, we have  $\bar{U}$  is commutative, i.e.,  $[\bar{U}, \bar{U}] = \bar{0}$ . This implies that, for any maximal ideal  $M$  of  $B$ ,  $[U, U] \subseteq MU$ . Consequently,  $[U, U] \subseteq \bigcap MU$ , where  $MU$  runs over all prime ideals of  $U$ . Therefore  $[U, U] = 0$  since  $\bigcap MU = 0$ . In particular,  $R$  is commutative.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor N. Argac for her valuable advice. Thanks are also due to the referee for useful suggestions.

This research was supported by the Natural Science Research Foundation of Anhui Provincial Education Department(No. KJ2012B125) and also by the Anhui Province College Excellent Young Talents Fund Project (No. 2012SQRL155) of China.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Ashraf and N. Rehman, On commutativity of rings with derivations, *Results Math.*, **42(1-2)** (2002), 3-8.
2. N. Argaç and H. G. Inceboz, Derivations of prime and semiprime rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **46(5)** (2009), 997-1005.
3. E. Albaş and N. Argaç, Generalized derivations of prime rings, *Algebra Collq.*, **11(3)** (2004), 399-410.
4. N. Argaç, L. Carini and V. D. Filippis, An engel condition with generalized derivations on Lie ideals, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **12** (2008), 419-433.
5. K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale and V. Mikhalev, *Rings with generalized identities*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 196, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.
6. M. Bresar, On the distance of the composition of two derivations to be the generalized derivations, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **33** (1991), 89-93.
7. L. Carini and V. D. Filippis, Commuatators with power central values on a Lie ideal, *Pacific J. Math.*, **193(2)** (2000), 269-278.
8. C. L. Chuang, GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **103(3)** (1988), 723-728.

9. C. L. Chuang, Hypercentral derivations, *J. Algebra*, **161** (1994), 37-71.
10. J. S. Erickson, W. S. Martindale III and J. M. Osborn, Prime nonassociative algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **60(1)** (1975), 49-63.
11. V. D. Filippis, An engel condition with generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials, *Israel J. Math.*, **162** (2007), 93-108.
12. V. D. Filippis, Cocentralizing and vanishing derivations on multilinear polynomials in prime rings, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, **50(4)** (2009), 637-641.
13. B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in prime rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **26(4)** (1998), 1147-1166.
14. I. N. Herstein, Center-like elements in prime rings, *J. Algebra*, **60** (1979), 567-574.
15. V. K. Kharchenko, Differential identities of prime rings, *Algebra and Logic*, **17** (1978), 155-168.
16. T. K. Lee, Generalized derivations of left faithful rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **27(8)** (1998), 4057-4073.
17. T. K. Lee, Semiprime rings with differential identities, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **20(1)** (1992), 27-38
18. C. Lanski, An engel condition with derivation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118(3)** (1993), 731-734.
19. J. H. Mayne, Centralizing mappings of prime rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **27(1)** (1984), 122-126.
20. W. S. Martindale III, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, *J. Algebra*, **12** (1969), 176-584.
21. M. A. Quadri, M. S. Khan and N. Rehman, Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime rings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **34(98)** (2003), 1393-1396.
22. X. W. Xu, *The power values properties of generalized derivations*, Doctor Thesis of Jilin University, Changchun, 2006.

Shuliang Huang  
Department of Mathematics  
Chuzhou University  
Chuzhou, Anhui 239012  
P. R. China  
E-mail shulianghuang@163.com