

## ON THE SECOND EQUATION OF OBATA

Fazilet Erkekoğlu

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove some results related to a certain vector field satisfying the second equation of Obata [8] on vector fields.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove some results related to a non-zero vector field  $Z$  on an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfying  $(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and for  $\lambda(> 0) \in \mathbb{R}$ . In fact, the idea underlying this paper is to characterize (or represent) Riemannian manifolds analytically by a differential equation on certain class of Riemannian manifolds determined by mild geometric/topological assumptions.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Here, we briefly state the main concepts and definitions used throughout this paper.

Let  $Z$  be a vector field on  $(M, g)$ , a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection and

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

the curvature tensor, where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . We write also  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  if this is convenient. The Ricci curvature (tensor) is the trace of  $R : \text{trace}(X \rightarrow R(X, Y)Z)$  and denoted by  $\text{Ric}(Y, Z)$ . If  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame for  $TM$ , then

$$\text{Ric}(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(X_i, Y)Z, X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(Y, X_i)X_i, Z).$$

---

Received July 8, 2005, accepted October 20, 2009.

Communicated by Shu-Cheng Chang.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C99, 58J99.

*Key words and phrases*: Second covariant differential, Divergence, Laplacian, Conformal vector field, Affine conformal vector field, k-Nullity vector field, Projective vector field, Mbius equation.

Thus  $Ric$  is a symmetric bilinear form. It could also be defined as a symmetric (1,1) tensor

$$Ric(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n R(Z, X_i)X_i.$$

The scalar curvature is defined by  $Sc = tr Ric$ . Let  $Z$  be a vector field on this  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . The second covariant differential  $\nabla^2 Z$  of  $Z$  is defined by

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} Z,$$

where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . We define the Laplacian  $\Delta Z$  of  $Z$  on  $(M, g)$  to be the trace of  $\nabla^2 Z$  with respect to  $g$ , that is,

$$\Delta Z = trace \nabla^2 Z = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla^2 Z)(X_i, X_i),$$

where  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame for  $TM$ .

Also, the affinity tensor  $L_Z \nabla$  of  $Z$  is defined by

$$(L_Z \nabla)(X, Y) = L_Z \nabla_X Y - \nabla_{L_Z X} Y - \nabla_X L_Z Y,$$

where  $L_Z$  is the Lie derivative with respect to  $Z$  and  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . (See, for example page 109 of [9]). We define the tension field  $\square Z$  of  $Z$  on  $(M, g)$  to be the trace of  $L_Z \nabla$  with respect to  $g$  that is,

$$\square Z = trace L_Z \nabla = \sum_{i=1}^n (L_Z \nabla)(X_i, X_i),$$

where  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame for  $TM$ .

By a straightforward computation, it can be shown by using the torsion-free property of  $\nabla$  that

$$(L_Z \nabla)(X, Y) = (\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + R(Z, X)Y,$$

(see page 110 of [9]) and hence

$$\square Z = \Delta Z + Ric(Z),$$

where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . (Also see page 40 of [11]).

The divergence of a vector field  $Z$ ,  $div Z$ , on  $(M, g)$  is defined as

$$div Z = tr(\nabla Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_{X_i} Z, X_i)$$

if  $\{X_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $TM$ .

3. THE SECOND EQUATION OF OBATA

The elementary results of this chapter could also be collected from [2]. First, we state a differential equation, which is a slight generalization of an equation given by Obata [8], characterizing Euclidian spheres. It is shown in [10] that, a necessary and a sufficient condition for a connected, simply connected, complete  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  to be isometric with the Euclidian sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  is the existence of a nonconstant function  $f$  on  $M$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 \nabla f)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(\nabla f, X)Y + g(Y, \nabla f)X + g(X, Y)\nabla f] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . In fact, we can replace  $\nabla f$  with a nonzero vector field in the above equation.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $Z$  is a vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then

$$\Delta Z = -(n + 3)\lambda Z.$$

*Proof.* If we take the trace of the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

with respect to  $g$  on  $(M, g)$  we obtain another differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Z &= tr(\nabla^2 Z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla^2 Z)(X_i, X_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-\lambda[2g(Z, X_i)X_i + g(X_i, Z)X_i + g(X_i, X_i)Z]) \\ &= -\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n [3g(Z, X_i)X_i + g(X_i, X_i)Z] \\ &= -\lambda(3Z + nZ) \\ &= -(n + 3)\lambda Z, \end{aligned}$$

here  $\{X_i\}$  is an orthonormal frame of  $TM$ , in fact an eigenvalue equation. ■

**Remark 3.2.** Note that, on a connected, compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  the Laplacian  $\Delta$  is negative semi-definite on spaces of vector fields. Thus, if  $(M, g)$  is compact, eigenvalues of  $\Delta$  are non-positive on vector fields. The case  $Z$  is an eigen vector field corresponding to the 0 eigen value occurs if and only if  $Z$  is a parallel vector field on  $(M, g)$  (see Theorem 3.2 in [4]).

In conclusion, we can say that on a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , the eigenspace corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  consist of parallel vector fields on  $(M, g)$ . Also note here that, since  $Ric(Z, Z) = 0$  for a parallel vector field  $Z$ , the eigenspace corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  does not exist if  $Ric(x, x) \neq 0$  for all  $x(\neq 0) \in TpM$  for some  $p \in M$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Note also that, on a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  the Laplacian is an elliptic operator. Thus, by the spectral theorem, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $\Delta$  are of the form

$$-\infty \leftarrow \dots < \lambda_i < \dots < \lambda_1 < \lambda_0 = 0.$$

Thus, if  $Ric(x, x) \neq 0$  for all  $x(\neq 0) \in TpM$  for some  $p \in M$ , then the largest eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  on the vector space of vector fields on  $(M, g)$  is negative.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $Z$  is a vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then

$$(i) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \lambda[g(Z, Y)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , and hence

$$Ric(Z) = \lambda(n - 1)Z,$$

$$(ii) \quad \nabla \operatorname{div} Z = -2\lambda(n + 1)Z,$$

and hence

$$\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} Z = -2\lambda(n + 1)\nabla Z,$$

where  $\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} Z$  is the Hessian tensor of  $\operatorname{div} Z$ .

*Proof.*

(i) Let  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \nabla_{X,Y}^2 Z - \nabla_{Y,X}^2 Z \\ &= -\lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] - (-\lambda)[2g(Z, Y)X \\ &\quad + g(X, Z)Y + g(Y, X)Z] \\ &= \lambda[2g(Z, Y)X - 2g(Z, X)Y + g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X] \\ &= \lambda[g(Z, Y)X - g(Z, X)Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\text{Ric}(Z), X) &= g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n R(Z, X_i)X_i, X\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(Z, X_i)X_i, X) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n R(Z, X_i, X_i, X) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n R(X_i, X, Z, X_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(X_i, X)Z, X_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n g(\lambda[g(Z, X)X_i - g(Z, X_i)X], X_i) \\
&= \lambda g(Z, X) \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, X_i) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z, X_i)g(X, X_i) \\
&= \lambda n g(Z, X) - \lambda g(Z, X) \\
&= \lambda(n-1)g(Z, X),
\end{aligned}$$

here  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is an orthonormal frame for  $TM$  near  $p \in M$ .

- (ii) Let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be an adapted orthonormal frame near  $p \in M$ , that is,  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is an orthonormal frame in  $TM$  with  $(\nabla X_i)_p = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then at  $p \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\nabla \text{div} Z, X) &= X(\text{div} Z) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n Xg(\nabla_{X_i} Z, X_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(\nabla_X \nabla_{X_i} Z, X_i) + g(\nabla_{X_i} Z, \nabla_X X_i)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [g((\nabla^2 Z)(X, X_i), X_i) - g(\nabla_{\nabla_X X_i} Z, X_i)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n g(-\lambda\{2g(Z, X)X_i + g(X_i, Z)X_i + g(X, X_i)Z\}, X_i) \\
&= -2\lambda g(Z, X) \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, X_i) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z, X_i)g(X, X_i) \\
&\quad - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n g(X, X_i)g(Z, X_i) \\
&= -2n\lambda g(Z, X) - 2\lambda g(Z, X) \\
&= -2(n+1)\lambda g(Z, X) \\
&= g(-2(n+1)\lambda Z, X).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that  $\nabla \operatorname{div} Z = -2(n+1)\lambda Z$  and hence  $\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} Z = -2(n+1)\lambda \nabla Z$ . ■

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . A vector field  $Z$  on  $M$  satisfying

$$R(X, Y)Z = \lambda[g(Z, Y)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , is called a  $\lambda$ -nullity vector field on  $(M, g)$ .

That is,  $Z$  is a nullity vector field with respect to the curvature-like tensor field

$$F(X, Y)W = R(X, Y)W - \lambda[g(W, Y)X - g(X, W)Y],$$

on  $(M, g)$ . (See Sections 2 and 4 of [10]).

In particular, if there exist a nonzero  $\lambda(\neq 0)$ -nullity vector field  $Z$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  then  $(M, g)$  is irreducible. (see [1], [5], [10] and the references therein for details).

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $Z$  is a vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  then,  $Z$  is a  $\lambda$ -nullity vector field by Lemma 3.4. That is,  $Z$  is a nullity vector field with respect to the curvature-like tensor field  $F(X, Y)W = R(X, Y)W - \lambda[g(W, Y)X - g(X, W)Y]$  on  $(M, g)$ . If, in addition,  $Z$  is nonzero and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $(M, g)$  is irreducible.

**Definition 3.7.** A vector field  $Z$  on  $(M, g)$  is projective if it satisfies

$$(L_Z \nabla)(X, Y) = \pi(X)Y - \pi(Y)X,$$

for any vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$ ,  $\pi$  being a certain 1-form.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $Z$  is a vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then  $Z$  is a projective vector field.

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (L_Z \nabla)(X, Y) &= (\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + R(Z, X)Y \\ &= -\lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] + \lambda[g(Y, X)Z \\ &\quad - g(Z, Y)X] \\ &= -2\lambda g(Z, X)Y - 2\lambda g(Z, Y)X. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

In fact, if  $(M, g)$  is compact, then this can be obtained differently (see Corollary 3.15 below).

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $Z$  is a vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then

$$\Delta(\operatorname{div} Z) = -2(n + 1)\lambda \operatorname{div} Z.$$

*Proof.* If we take the trace of the equation

$$\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} Z = -2(n + 1)\lambda \nabla Z$$

by Lemma 3.11, we obtain another differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\operatorname{div} Z) &= \operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} Z) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(-2(n + 1)\lambda \nabla Z) \\ &= -2(n + 1)\lambda \operatorname{tr}(\nabla Z) \\ &= -2(n + 1)\lambda \operatorname{div} Z, \end{aligned}$$

in fact an eigenvalue equation. \blacksquare

**Remark 3.10.** Considering the differential equations

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda g(Z, X)Y = 0,$$

and

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  on the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidian sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ , intuitively, the first differential equation corresponds to the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian (that is,  $\Delta \operatorname{div} Z = -n\lambda \operatorname{div} Z$ ) and the latter differential equation corresponds to the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian (that is,  $\Delta \operatorname{div} Z = -2(n+1)\lambda \operatorname{div} Z$ ) on the Euclidian sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ . Also, a vector field satisfying the first equation is necessarily a conformal vector field (see Remark 3.5 in [6]). A vector field satisfying the latter differential equation is necessarily a projective vector field by Corollary 3.8 (see also Corollary 3.16).

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If  $Z$  is a non-zero vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  then,  $\nabla \operatorname{div} Z$  also satisfies the same equation.

*Proof.* Since  $Z$  is non-zero, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $\operatorname{div} Z$  is non-constant and  $\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} Z = -2(n+1)\lambda \nabla Z$ . Hence,  $\nabla Z$  is self-adjoint and can be written as  $\nabla Z = \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id} + \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the traceless self-adjoint part of  $\nabla Z$ . Let  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \sigma)(X, Y) &= (\nabla(\nabla Z - \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id}))(X, Y) \\ &= (\nabla(\nabla Z)) - \nabla(\frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id})(X, Y) \\ &= \nabla^2 Z(X, Y) - \nabla_X(\frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id})(Y) \\ &= \nabla^2 Z(X, Y) - \nabla_X \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id}(Y) + \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id}(\nabla_X Y) \\ &= \nabla^2 Z(X, Y) - \nabla_X \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} Y + \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \nabla_X Y \\ &= \nabla^2 Z(X, Y) - X(\frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n})Y - \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \nabla_X Y + \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \nabla_X Y \\ &= \nabla^2 Z(X, Y) - \frac{1}{n} X(\operatorname{div} Z)Y \\ &= \nabla^2 Z(X, Y) - \frac{1}{n} g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2\lambda g(Z, X)Y - \lambda g(Y, Z)X - \lambda g(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n}g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y \\
&= -2\lambda \frac{1}{-2(n+1)\lambda} g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y - \lambda \frac{1}{-2(n+1)\lambda} g(Y, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)X \\
&\quad - \lambda \frac{1}{-2(n+1)\lambda} g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z - \frac{1}{n}g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y \\
&= \frac{1}{n+1}g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(Y, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)X \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z - \frac{1}{n}g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n}\right)g(X, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)Y + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(Y, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)X \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\
&= \frac{-1}{n(n+1)}g(X, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)Y + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(Y, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)X \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nabla^2 \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)(X, Y) \\
&= -2(n+1)\lambda(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) \\
&= -2(n+1)\lambda \nabla \left(\frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id} + \sigma\right)(X, Y) \\
&= -2(n+1)\lambda \left[\nabla \frac{\operatorname{div} Z}{n} \operatorname{id} + \nabla \sigma\right](X, Y) \\
&= -2(n+1)\lambda \left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y + \nabla \sigma(X, Y)\right] \\
&= -2\frac{n+1}{n}\lambda g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y - 2(n+1)\lambda \left[\frac{-1}{n(n+1)}g(X, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)Y \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, Y)X + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z\right] \\
&= -2\left(\frac{n+1}{n} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\lambda g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X)Y - \lambda g(X, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)Y \\
&\quad - \lambda g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\
&= -\lambda[2g(X, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)Y + g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z]. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If  $Z$  is a non-zero vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then

$$\Delta \nabla \operatorname{div} Z = -(n+3)\lambda \nabla \operatorname{div} Z.$$

*Proof.* If we take the trace of the equation

$$(\nabla^2 \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)(X, Y) = -\lambda[2g(X, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)Y + g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z],$$

with respect to  $g$  on  $(M, g)$  we obtain another differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \nabla \operatorname{div} Z &= \operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 \nabla \operatorname{div} Z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla^2 Z)(X_i, X_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\lambda[2g(X_i, \nabla \operatorname{div} Z)X_i + g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X_i)X_i + g(X_i, X_i)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z] \\ &= -\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n [3g(\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, X_i)X_i + g(X_i, X_i)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z] \\ &= -\lambda(3\nabla \operatorname{div} Z + n\nabla \operatorname{div} Z) \\ &= -\lambda(n+3)\nabla \operatorname{div} Z. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If  $Z$  is a non-zero vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then

$$\square Z = -4\lambda Z.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 that,

$$\begin{aligned} \square Z &= \Delta Z + \operatorname{Ric}(Z) \\ &= -(n+3)\lambda Z + (n-1)\lambda Z \\ &= -4\lambda Z. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.14.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Recall that the tension operator  $\square$  on  $\Gamma(TM)$  is also a linear, self-adjoint, elliptic operator with respect to the inner product  $\langle, \rangle$  on the vector space  $\Gamma(TM)$  of vector fields on  $M$  defined by  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \int_M g(X, Y)$ .

**Corollary 3.15.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If  $Z$  is a non-zero vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then it also satisfies the equation

$$\square Z - \frac{2}{n+1} \nabla \operatorname{div} Z = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.13,

$$\begin{aligned} \square Z - \frac{2}{n+1} \nabla \operatorname{div} Z &= -4\lambda Z - \frac{2}{n+1}(-2)\lambda(n+1)Z \\ &= -4\lambda Z + 4\lambda Z \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 3.16.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. If  $Z$  is a non-zero vector field on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then  $Z$  is a projective vector field.

*Proof.* This can easily be obtained from Corollary 3.15 (see page 45 of [11]). ■

**Lemma 3.17.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an Einstein  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature  $\tau$ . If  $Z$  is a non-zero vector field satisfying the equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0, \lambda > 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then

$$\lambda = \frac{\tau}{n(n-1)}.$$

*Proof.* If  $(M, g)$  is an Einstein  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature  $\tau$  and  $Z$  be a vector field on  $(M, g)$  then

$$\operatorname{div} \Delta Z = \frac{\tau}{n} \operatorname{div} Z + \Delta \operatorname{div} Z,$$

by Lemma 3.8 of [4]. On the other hand,  $\Delta Z = -(n+3)\lambda Z$  by Lemma 3.1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \Delta Z &= \operatorname{div} [-(n+3)\lambda Z] \\ &= -(n+3)\lambda \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= \frac{\tau}{n} \operatorname{div} Z + \Delta \operatorname{div} Z, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \operatorname{div} Z &= -(n+3)\lambda \operatorname{div} Z - \frac{\tau}{n} \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= -[(n+3)\lambda + \frac{\tau}{n}] \operatorname{div} Z. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with

$$\Delta \operatorname{div} Z = -2(n+1)\lambda \operatorname{div} Z,$$

by Corollary 3.9 yields

$$\begin{aligned} -[(n+3)\lambda + \frac{\tau}{n}] &= -2(n+1)\lambda \Rightarrow \frac{\tau}{n} = [2(n+1) - (n+3)]\lambda \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\tau}{n(n-1)}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.18.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected, simply connected, complete,  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Then, a necessary and a sufficient condition for  $(M, g)$  to be isometric with the Euclidian sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , is the existence of a nonzero vector field  $Z$  on  $M$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0, \lambda > 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem A of [10] together with Lemma 3.13 for  $f = \operatorname{div} Z$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 3.19.** Note that, the differential equation  $(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0, \lambda > 0$ , can also be considered as an analytic characterization (or representative) of Euclidian spheres in the class of connected, simply connected, complete Riemannian manifolds by Theorem 3.18.

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an,  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If there exist a nonzero vector field  $Z$  on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0, \lambda > 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and if  $(M, g)$  contains the whole trajectory of  $Z$  with its limit points, then  $(M, g)$  is of constant curvature at each point of the trajectory.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem B of [10] together with Lemma 3.13 for  $f = \operatorname{div} Z$ . ■

**Remark 3.21.** The assumption  $\lambda > 0$  implies that  $\tau > 0$  in Lemma 3.17 and hence below.

**Theorem 3.22.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete,  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Einstein space of (positive) constant scalar curvature  $\tau$ . If there exist a nonzero vector field  $Z$  on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0, \lambda > 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then  $(M, g)$  is of constant curvature  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [7] together with Corollary 3.8 or Corollary 3.16 and Lemma 3.17 (see Theorem 9.1 in [10] also). ■

**Theorem 3.23.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete,  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold of (positive) constant scalar curvature  $\tau$ . If there exist a nonzero vector field  $Z$  on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + \lambda[2g(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)X + g(X, Y)Z] = 0, \lambda > 0,$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then  $(M, g)$  is of constant curvature  $\lambda = \frac{\tau}{n(n-1)}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 9.2 of [10] together with Corollary 3.8 or Corollary 3.16 and Lemma 3.17. ■

**Remark 3.24.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact  $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Recall that the tension operator  $\square$  is also a linear, self-adjoint, elliptic operator with respect to the inner product on  $\Gamma(TM)$  defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \int_M g(X, Y),$$

where  $X, Y$  are vector fields on  $(M, g)$ . Hence furthermore, if  $(M, g)$  is Einstein with  $\tau > 0$  then eigenvalues of  $\square$  bounded from above by  $\tau(\frac{n-2}{n(n-1)})$  by Theorem 3.9 of [4]. That is, if  $Z$  is a nonzero vector field satisfying the eigenvalue equation  $\square Z = \mu Z$ , then  $\mu \leq \tau(\frac{n-2}{n(n-1)})$ .

Also see [3] for a survey on characterizing specific Riemannian manifolds by differential equations.

## REFERENCES

1. Y. H. Clifton and R. Maltz, The  $k$ -Nullity Space of Curvature Operator, *Michigan Math. J.*, **17** (1970), 85-89.
2. F. Erkekoglu, On Special Cases of Local Möbius Equations, *Publ. Math. Debrecen, Tomus*, **67** (2005), Fasc. 1-2, 155-167.
3. F. Erkekoglu, E. Garcia-Rio, D. N. Kupeli and B. Ünal, Characterizing Specific Riemannian Manifolds By Differential Equations, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **76(2)** (2003) 195-219.
4. F. Erkekoglu, D. N. Kupeli and B. Ünal, Some Results Related to the Laplacian on Vector Fields, *Publ. Math. Debrecen, Tomus*, **69** (2006), Fasc. 1-2, 137-154.
5. D. Ferus, Totally Geodesic Foliations, *Math. Ann.*, **188** (1970), 313-316.
6. E. Garcia-Rio, D. N. Kupeli and B. Ünal, On a Differential Equation Characterizing Euclidean Sphere, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **194** (2003) 287-299.
7. T. Nagano, The Projective Transformation with a Parallel Ricci Tensor, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **11** (1959), 131-138.
8. M. Obata, *Riemannian Manifolds Admitting a Solution of a Certain System of Equations*, Proc. United States-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto, (1965), 101-114.
9. W. A. Poor, *Differential Geometric Structures*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
10. S. Tanno, Some Differential Equations on Riemannian Manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **30(3)** (1978), 509-531.
11. K. Yano, *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.

Fazilet Erkekoglu  
Department of Mathematics  
Hacettepe University  
Beytepe, 06532 Ankara  
Turkey  
E-mail: fazilet@hacettepe.edu.tr