

## GROWTH ORDERS OF MEANS OF DISCRETE SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

Ryotaro Sato

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Sen-Yen Shaw

**Abstract.** We study the growth orders of  $\gamma$ -th order Cesàro means  $C_n^\gamma(T)$  ( $\gamma \geq 0$ ) and Abel means  $A_r(T)$  of the discrete semigroup  $\{T^n : n \geq 0\}$  generated by a bounded linear operator  $T$  on a Banach space. Let  $T$  be of the form  $T = -(I+N)$ , where  $N$  is a nilpotent operator of order  $k+1$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $N^{k+1} = 0$  and  $N^k \neq 0$ . Then we prove that (a)  $\|C_n^\gamma(T)\| \sim n^{k-\gamma}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) if  $0 \leq \gamma \leq k+1$ , and  $\|C_n^\gamma(T)\| \sim n^{-1}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) if  $\gamma \geq k+1$ ; (b)  $\|A_r(T)\| \sim 1-r$  ( $r \uparrow 1$ ). Here  $a(n) \sim b(n)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) [resp.  $a(r) \sim b(r)$  ( $r \uparrow 1$ )] means that  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(n)/b(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(n)/b(n) < \infty$  [resp.  $0 < \liminf_{r \uparrow 1} a(r)/b(r) \leq \limsup_{r \uparrow 1} a(r)/b(r) < \infty$ ].

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE RESULT

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on a Banach space  $X$ . One of the important issues of the ergodic theory of  $T$  is concerned with convergence of various means of the discrete semigroup  $\{T^n : n \geq 0\}$  generated by  $T$ . For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, -2, \dots\}$ , we define the  $\gamma$ -th order Cesàro means  $C_n^\gamma(T)$  by

$$(1) \quad C_n^\gamma(T) := \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} T^l \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where  $\sigma_n^\gamma := \binom{\gamma+n}{n} = (\gamma+n)(\gamma+n-1)\dots(\gamma+1)/n!$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\sigma_0^\gamma := 1$ . The following two particular means are well-known:  $C_n^0(T) = T^n$  and  $C_n^1(T) = (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^n T^l$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Here it should be noted that to treat means of  $\{T^n : n \geq 0\}$  it would be natural to examine the case where the coefficients  $\sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1}$  of  $T^l$  ( $0 \leq l \leq n$ ) are all nonnegative. Therefore we will restrict ourselves to

---

Received February 9, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A35.

*Key words and phrases*: Cesàro mean, Abel mean, Growth order, Nilpotent operator.

considering  $C_n^\gamma(T)$  with  $\gamma \geq 0$ . (In fact, there is a pathological phenomenon when we consider  $C_n^\gamma(T)$  with  $-1 < \gamma < 0$  (see [2, Theorem 4.1])).

We define the *Abel means*  $A_r(T)$  by

$$(2) \quad A_r(T) := (1 - r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n T^n \quad (0 < r < 1),$$

whenever the spectral radius  $r(T) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{1/n}$  is less than or equal to 1. Since  $(1 - r)^{-\gamma} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sigma_n^{\gamma-1}$  holds for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|r| < 1$ , we have formally

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n T^n &= (1 - r)^\gamma \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sigma_n^{\gamma-1} \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n T^n \right) \\ &= (1 - r)^\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} T^l \\ &= (1 - r)^\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sigma_n^\gamma C_n^\gamma(T), \end{aligned}$$

so that if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^\gamma(T)\|^{1/n} \leq 1$ , then  $r(T) \leq 1$ . The following result is well-known (cf. [4, Chapter 3]): If  $0 < \gamma < \beta < \infty$ , then

$$(4) \quad \sup_{n \geq 0} \|T^n\| \geq \sup_{n \geq 0} \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| \geq \sup_{n \geq 0} \|C_n^\beta(T)\| \geq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|A_r(T)\|.$$

From now on, we consider  $T$  of the form  $T = -(I + N)$ , where  $N$  is a nilpotent operator of order  $k + 1$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $N^k \neq 0$  and  $N^{k+1} = 0$ . Then we have

$$(5) \quad T^n = (-1)^n (I + N)^n = (-1)^n \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{n}{l} N^l,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \binom{n}{l} \|N^l\| = \frac{n(n-1) \dots (n-l+1)}{l!} \|N^l\|.$$

Thus

$$(7) \quad \|C_n^0(T)\| = \|T^n\| \sim n^k \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

so that  $r(T) = 1$ . It was proved by Li, Sato and Shaw [2] that the operator  $T = -(I + N)$  satisfies  $\sup_{n \geq 0} \|C_n^\gamma\| = \infty$  if  $0 \leq \gamma < k$ , and  $\sup_{n \geq 0} \|C_n^k(T)\| < \infty$ .

The purpose of this paper is to refine on this result. That is, we prove the following

**Theorem.** *The above operator  $T = -(I + N)$  satisfies*

$$(8) \quad \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| \sim \begin{cases} n^{k-\gamma} & (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma \leq k + 1, \\ n^{-1} & (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{if } \gamma \geq k + 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \|A_r(T)\| \sim 1 - r \quad (r \uparrow 1).$$

The proof is an adaptation of the argument in [2, Propositions 4.4]; the details will be given in the next section. We would like to note that the continuous analog of the above Theorem has been obtained in [3] (see also Chen, Sato and Shaw [1]).

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

The proof is divided into several steps.

**Step I.** In view of (7) we first consider the case  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . We write

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} C_n^\gamma(T) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} (-1)^l (I + N)^l \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \binom{l}{s} N^s + \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \binom{l}{k} N^k \\ &=: I(n, \gamma) + II(n, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $M(N) := \max\{\|N^s\| : 0 \leq s \leq k\}$ , we have for all  $n \geq k$

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I(n, \gamma)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \binom{l}{s} M(N) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \cdot n(n-1) \dots (n-k+2) M(N) \\ &= n(n-1) \dots (n-k+2) M(N) \sim n^{k-1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$(12) \quad \|II(n, \gamma)\| = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \left| \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \binom{l}{k} \right| \|N^k\|.$$

Since  $0 < \sigma_n^{\gamma-1} \downarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , and  $0 \leq \binom{l}{k} \leq \binom{l+1}{k}$  for all  $l \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$0 \leq \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \binom{l}{k} \leq \sigma_{n-(l+1)}^{\gamma-1} \binom{l+1}{k} \quad (0 \leq l \leq n-1),$$

whence for all  $n \geq k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_0^{\gamma-1} \binom{n}{k} &\geq \left| \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \sigma_{n-l}^{\gamma-1} \binom{l}{k} \right| \\
 &\geq \sigma_0^{\gamma-1} \binom{n}{k} - \sigma_1^{\gamma-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \\
 (13) \quad &= \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1) - \gamma(n-1) \dots (n-k) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{k!} n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1) \left\{ 1 - \frac{\gamma}{n}(n-k) \right\} \\
 &> \frac{1}{k!} n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1) (1-\gamma) \sim n^k \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the known fact that  $\sigma_n^\gamma \sim n^\gamma / \Gamma(\gamma + 1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) (see e.g. [4, p. 77]), we obtain that

$$(14) \quad \|II(n, \gamma)\| \sim \frac{n^k \|N^k\|}{\sigma_n^\gamma} \sim n^{k-\gamma} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Combining this with (11) we see that

$$(15) \quad \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| \sim n^{k-\gamma} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

**Step II.** Next suppose  $1 \leq \gamma < k + 1$ . Then we use the fundamental equation

$$(16) \quad (T - I)C_n^\gamma(T) = \frac{\gamma}{n+1} \left[ C_{n+1}^{\gamma-1}(T) - I \right] \quad (\gamma \geq 1).$$

(This can be proved by an elementary calculation (cf. [4, Chapter 3]).) We already know from the above argument that if  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , then  $\|C_n^\beta(T)\| \sim n^{k-\beta}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), so that  $\|C_n^\beta(T) - I\| \sim n^{k-\beta}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Combining this with (16), we easily see that (15) holds for all  $1 \leq \gamma < 2$ . (Here we used the fact that  $(T - I)^{-1} = -(2I + N)^{-1}$  exists, which follows from  $\sigma(N) = \{0\}$ .) This process can be repeated until  $k \leq \gamma < k + 1$ , and hence (15) holds for all  $1 \leq \gamma < k + 1$ .

**Step III.** Suppose  $\gamma = k + 1$ . As in Step II it suffices to prove that  $\|C_n^k(T) - I\| \sim 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $\|C_n^k(T)\| \sim 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) by Step II, it follows that  $\|C_n^k - I\| = O(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Thus it suffices to prove that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^k(T) - I\| > 0$ . To do this, we write

$$(T - I)C_n^k(T) = \frac{k}{n+1} \left[ C_{n+1}^{k-1}(T) - I \right] =: \frac{k}{n+1} C_{n+1}^{k-1}(T) + D_n^1(T),$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D_n^1(T)\| = 0$ ; next

$$(T - I)^2 C_n^k(T) =: \frac{k(k-1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} C_{n+2}^{k-2}(T) + D_n^2(T),$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D_n^2(T)\| = 0$ ; and finally

$$(T - I)^k C_n^k(T) =: \frac{k!}{(n+1) \dots (n+k)} C_{n+k}^0(T) + D_n^k(T),$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D_n^k(T)\| = 0$ . By (5) we then write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k!}{(n+1) \dots (n+k)} C_{n+k}^0 &= \frac{k!}{(n+1) \dots (n+k)} (-1)^{n+k} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{n+k}{l} N^l \\ &=: (-1)^{n+k} N^k + E_n^k(T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|E_n^k(T)\| = 0$ . Consequently we have

$$(17) \quad C_n^k(T) = (T - I)^{-k} (-1)^{n+k} N^k + (T - I)^{-k} (E_n^k(T) + D_n^k(T)).$$

Now, take an  $x \in X$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $N^k x = 0$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^k(T)x\| = 0$  by (17), and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^k(T) - I\| \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^k(T)x - x\| = \| -x \| = 1,$$

which is the desired result.

**Step IV.** Suppose  $\gamma > k + 1$ . From Steps II and III we know that if  $k < \beta \leq k + 1$ , then  $\|C_n^\beta(T)\| \sim n^{k-\beta}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), so that  $\|C_n^\beta(T) - I\| \sim 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{k-\beta} = 0$ . Thus if  $k + 1 < \gamma \leq k + 2$ , then (16) implies

$$(18) \quad \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| \sim n^{-1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

This argument can be repeated by induction, and we see that (18) holds for all  $\gamma$  with  $k + j < \gamma \leq k + j + 1$ , where  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . This completes the proof of (8).

**Step V.** Using  $(1 - r)^{-1} A_r(T) = (I - rT)^{-1}$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ), we see that

$$(19) \quad \lim_{r \uparrow 1} (1 - r)^{-1} A_r(T) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} (I - rT)^{-1} = -(T - I)^{-1}.$$

Hence  $\|A_r(T)\| \sim 1 - r$  ( $r \uparrow 1$ ). This completes the proof. ■

**Remark.** From (16) and (8) we see that

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{\gamma} C_n^\gamma(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T - I)^{-1} (C_{n+1}^{\gamma-1}(T) - I) = -(T - I)^{-1}$$

if  $\gamma > k + 1$ . (It would be interesting to compare this with (19).) On the other hand,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{k+1} C_n^{k+1}(T)$  does not exist because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^k(T)$  does not exist by (17), and  $\frac{n+1}{k+1} \|C_n^{k+1}(T)\| \sim 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) by (8). If  $k < \gamma < k + 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{\gamma} \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| = \infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| = 0$ .  $\|C_n^k(T)\| \sim 1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^k(T)$  does not exist. If  $0 \leq \gamma < k$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^\gamma(T)\| = \infty$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J.-C. Chen, R. Sato and S.-Y. Shaw, Growth orders of Cesàro and Abel means of functions in Banach spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, to appear.
2. Y.-C. Li, R. Sato and S.-Y. Shaw, Boundedness and growth orders of means of discrete and continuous semigroups of operators, *Studia Math.*, **187** (2008), 1-35.
3. R. Sato, Growth orders of Cesàro and Abel means of uniformly continuous operator semi-groups and cosine functions, preprint.
4. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series. Vol. I*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

Ryotaro Sato  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Okayama University,  
 Okayama 700-8530,  
 Japan

Current address:  
 19-18, Higashi-hongo 2-chome,  
 Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-0002,  
 Japan  
 E-mail: satoryot@math.okayama-u.ac.jp