TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 1189-1196, August 2009 This paper is available online at http://www.tjm.nsysu.edu.tw/

# ON A NEW CLASS OF SEQUENCES RELATED TO THE $\ell_p$ SPACE DEFINED BY ORLICZ FUNCTION

Yilmaz Altun and Tunay Bilgin

Abstract. In this paper we introduce new sequence space  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$  defined by combining an Orlicz function and an infinite matrix. We study its different properties and obtain some inclusion relations involving the space  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper w,  $\ell_{\infty}$  and  $\ell_p$ , denote the spaces all, bounded and p absolutely summable sequences, respectively. Also  $\wp_s$  denotes the set of all subsets of N, those do not contain more than s elements. Further( $\phi_s$ ) will denote a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that  $n\phi_n \leq (n+1)\phi_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in N$ . The class of all the sequences ( $\phi_s$ ) satisfying this property is denoted by  $\Phi$ .

The space  $m(\phi)$  introduced and studied by Sargent [13] is defined as follows :

$$m(\phi) = \{ x = (x_i) \in s : \|x\|_{m(\phi)} = \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} |x_i| < \infty \}.$$

Sargent [13] studied some of its properties and obtained its relationship with the space  $\ell_p$ .

Later on it was investigated from sequence space point of view by Rath [10], Rath and Tripathy [11], Tripathy and Sen [14], Tripathy and Mahanta [15], and others.

Lindentrauss and Tzafirir [7] used the idea of Orlicz function to defined the following sequence spaces.

$$\ell_M = \{ x = (x_i) \in s : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M(\frac{|x_i|}{\rho}) < \infty, \rho > 0 \}$$

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

Key words and phrases: Sequence space, Orlicz function, Infinite matrix.

Received March 31, 2007, accepted November 29, 2007.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

which is called an Orlicz sequence spaces. The space  $\ell_M$  is a Banach space with the norm,

$$||x|| = \inf \rho > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_i|}{\rho}\right) \le 1\}.$$

The space  $\ell_M$  is closely related to the space  $\ell_p$  which is an Orlicz sequence space with,  $M(x) = x^p, 1 \le p < \infty$ .

An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, nondecreasing and convex with M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0 for x > 0 and  $M(x) \to \infty$ as  $x \to \infty$ . It is well known that if M is a convex function and M(0) = 0; then  $M(\lambda x) \le \lambda M(x)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 1$ .

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of u, if there exists a constant L > 0 such that  $M(2u) \leq LM(u), u \geq 0$  (see, Krasnoselskii and Rutitsky [6]).

In the later stage different Orlicz sequence spaces were introduced and studied by Bhardwaj and Singh [1], Bilgin [2],Güngör et al [5], Tripathy and Mahanta [15], Esi [3], Esi and Et [4], Parashar and Choudhary [9] and many others.

The following inequality will be used troughout the paper;

(1) 
$$|a_i + b_i|^{p_i} \le \max(1, 2^{H-1})(|a_i|^{p_i} + |b_i|^{p_i})$$

where  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are complex numbers ,and  $H = \sup p_i < \infty$ 

Recently Tripathy and Mahanta [15] defined and studied the following sequence space. Let M be an Orlicz function, then

$$m(M,\Delta,\phi) = \{x = (x_i) \in s : \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

Let  $A = (a_{ik})$  be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. We write

$$Ax = (A_i(x))$$
 if  $A_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} x_k$ 

converges for each i.

In this paper we introduce the following sequence space: Let  $A=(a_{ik})$  be an infinite matrix of complex numbers, M be an Orlicz function and a  $p = (p_i)$  be bounded sequence of positive real numbers such that  $0 < h = infp_i \leq p_i \leq \sup p_i = H < \infty$ , then

$$m(M, A, \phi, p) = x = (x_i) \in s : \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \}.$$

where, for convenince, we put

$$\begin{split} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \text{ instead of } \left[M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_i}.\\ \text{If } p_i = 1 \text{, for all } i \in N \text{ and } a_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & k = i\\ -1, & k = i+1\\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases} \text{ the space } m(M, A, \phi, p) \end{split}$$

reduce to the above sequence space  $m(M, \Delta, \phi)$ . When A = I unit matrix, then write the space  $m(M, \phi, p)$  in place of the space  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove some results involving the sequence space  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let M be an Orlicz function, then the space  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$  is a linear space over the complex field C.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x, y \in m(M, A, \phi, p)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in C$ . Then there exist some positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho_1}\right)^{p_i} < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho_2}\right)^{p_i} < \infty.$$

Let  $\rho_3 = max(2 |\alpha| \rho_1, 2 |\beta| \rho_2)$ . Since M is non-decreasing and convex, from inequality (1), we therefore have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M \left( \frac{|A_i(\alpha x + \beta y)|}{\rho_3} \right)^{p_i} \\ &= \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M \left( \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha a_{ik} x_k + \beta a_{ik} y_k) \right|}{\rho_3} \right)^{p_i} \\ &= \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M \left( \frac{|\alpha A_i(x) + \beta A_i(y)|}{\rho_3} \right)^{p_i} \\ &\le \max(1, 2^{H-1}) [\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M \left( \frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho_1} \right)^{p_i} \\ &+ \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M \left( \frac{|A_i(y)|}{\rho_2} \right)^{p_i} ] < \infty. \end{split}$$

This implies that  $\alpha x + \beta y \in m(M, A, \phi, p)$ . This proves that  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$  is linear.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $Ax \to \infty$ , as  $x \to \infty$ , then the space  $m(M, A, \phi, p)$  is a linear topological space paranormed by

$$g(x) = \left\{ \rho^{p_r/H} : [\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}]^{1/H} \le 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

*Proof.* Clearly g(x) = g(-x). Since M(0) = 0, we get Ax = 0 for x = 0, therefore g(x) = 0. Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ ,  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho_1}\right)^{p_i} \le 1 \text{ and } v \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(y)|}{\rho_2}\right)^{p_i} \le 1$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x+y)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \le \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\right)^h \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho_1}\right)^{p_i} + \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\right)^h \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(y)|}{\rho_2}\right)^{p_i}$$

Hence we get  $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y).$  Next, for  $\lambda \in C$  , without loss of generality, let  $\lambda \neq 0$  , then

$$g(\lambda x) = \left\{ \rho^{p_r/H} : [\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(\lambda x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}]^{1/H} \le 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ |\lambda| \, \rho_1^{p_r/H} : [\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho_1}\right)^{p_i}]^{1/H} \le 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

where  $\rho = |\lambda| \rho_1$ . Hence we get  $g(\lambda x) \leq max(1, |\lambda|)g(x)$ 

So, the continuity of the scalar multiplication follows from the above inequality. This completes the proof.

Now we give relation between  $m(M, A, \phi^1, p)$  and  $m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$  with respect to an Orlicz function.

**Theorem 2.3.**  $m(M, A, \phi^1, p) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$  if and only if

$$\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2}<\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in m(M, A, \phi^1, p)$  and  $T = \sup_{s \ge 1} \frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2} < \infty$ . Then we can write

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s^2} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \le \sup_{s \ge 1} \frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s^1} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$
$$= T \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s^1} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$

Therefore  $x \in m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$ . Conversely, let  $m(M, A, \phi^1, p) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$ and  $x \in m(M, A, \phi^1, p)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s^1} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} < \infty$$

Suppose that  $\sup_{s\geq 1} \frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2} = \infty$ . Then there exists a sequence of natural numbers  $(s_j)$  such that  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\phi_{s_j}^1}{\phi_{s_j}^2} = \infty$ . Hence we can write

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s^2} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \ge \sup_{j \ge 1} \frac{\phi_{s_j}^1}{\phi_s^2} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_{s_j}^1} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} = \infty$$

Therefore  $x \notin m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$  which is a contradiction to the fact that  $m(M, A, \phi^1, p) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$ . Hence  $\sup_{s \ge 1} \frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2} < \infty$ . The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.3.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let M be an Orlicz function  $m(M, A, \phi^1, p) = m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$ if and only if  $\sup_{s \ge 1} \frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2} < \infty$  and  $\sup_{s \ge 1} \frac{\phi_s^2}{\phi_s^1} < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let M and  $M_1$  be an Orlicz functions which satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ condition. Then  $m(M, A, \phi, p) \subseteq m(MoM_1, A, \phi, p)$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x \in m(M_1, A, \phi, p)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $M(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $0 \le t \le \delta$ . Write

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M\left(M_1\left[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right]\right)^{p_i} = \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{1} M\left(M_1\left[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right]\right)^{p_i} + \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{2} M\left(M_1\left[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right]\right)^{p_i},$$

where the summation  $\sum_{1}$  is over  $M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}] \leq \delta$  and the summation  $\sum_{2}$  is over  $M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}] > \delta$ . Since M is continuous, we have

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{1} M\left(M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}]\right)^{p_i}$$
  
$$\leq \max\{1, M(1)^H\} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{1} M_1\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$
  
$$\leq \max\{1, M(1)^H\} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M_1\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$

For  $M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}] > \delta$ , we use the fact that

$$M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}] < M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}]\delta^{-1} \le 1 + M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}]\delta^{-1}.$$

Since M satisfies the  $\Delta_2\text{-condition}$  , then there exists L>1 such that

$$M(M_{1}[\frac{|A_{i}(x)|}{\rho}]) < M(1 + M_{1}[\frac{|A_{i}(x)|}{\rho}]\delta^{-1})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}M(2) + \frac{1}{2}M(2M_{1}[\frac{|A_{i}(x)|}{\rho}]\delta^{-1})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}LM(2)M_{1}[\frac{|A_{i}(x)|}{\rho}]\delta^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}LM(2)M_{1}[\frac{|A_{i}(x)|}{\rho}]\delta^{-1}$$

$$= LM(2)\delta^{-1}M_{1}[\frac{|A_{i}(x)|}{\rho}].$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_2 M \left( M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}] \right)^{p_i} \\ \le \max\{1, (LM(2)\delta^{-1})^H\} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_2 M_1\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \\ \le \max\{1, (LM(2)\delta^{-1})^H\} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M_1\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M(M_1[\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}])^{p_i}$$
  
$$\leq \max\{1, M(1)^H\} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M_1\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$
  
$$+ \max\{1, (LM(2)\delta^{-1})^H\} \sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in \wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} M_1\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$

It follows that  $x \in m(MoM_1, A, \phi, p)$ .

The proof of the following result is a routine verification in view of the Theorem 2.5

**Proposition 2.6.** For an Orlicz function M which satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ - condition, we have  $m(A, \phi, p) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi, p)$ .

The proof of the following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.6.

**Proposition 2.7.** For an Orlicz function M which satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  - condition, we have  $m(A, \phi^1, p) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi^2, p)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s \ge 1} \frac{\phi_s^1}{\phi_s^2} < \infty$ .

 $(p_i)$  and  $(q_i)$  are any bounded sequences of strictly positive real numbers. We are able to prove  $m(M, A, \phi, q) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi, p)$  only under additional conditions.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $0 < p_i \le q_i$  for all  $i \in N$  and let  $(q_i/p_i)$  be bounded. Then  $m(M, A, \phi, q) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi, p)$ .

*Proof.* If we take  $t_i = M\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$  for all  $i \in N$ , then using the same technique of Theorem 2 of Özturk and Bilgin [8], it is easy to prove of the theorem.

### **Proposition 2.9.**

(i) If  $0 < infp_i \le 1$  for all  $i \in N$ , then  $m(M, A, \phi) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi, p)$ (ii)  $1 \le p_i \le supp_i = H < \infty$ , then  $m(M, A, \phi, p) \subseteq m(M, A, \phi)$ 

Proof.

s

(i) Follows from Theorem 2.8 for  $q_i = 1$  for all  $i \in N$ .

(ii) Follows from Theorem 2.8 for  $p_i = 1$  for all  $i \in N$  and  $q_i = p_i$  for all  $i \in N$ .

Let X be a sequence space. Then X is called Solid (or normal) if  $(a_i x_i) \in X$ whenever  $(x_i) \in X$  for all sequences  $(a_i)$  of scalars with  $|a_i| \leq 1$  for all  $i \in N$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** The sequence space  $m(M, \phi, p)$  is solid.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)$  be a sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_i| \leq 1$ , for all  $i \in N$ . We get

$$\sup_{k\geq 1, \sigma\in\wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i\in\sigma} M\left(\frac{|\alpha_i x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \le \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in\wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i\in\sigma} M\left(\frac{\sup|\alpha_i||x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$
$$\le \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in\wp_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i\in\sigma} M\left(\frac{|x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$

Then the result follows from the above inequality.

#### REFERENCES

- V. K. Bhardwaj, N. Singh, Some sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Demonstratio. Math., 33(3) (2000), 571-582.
- 2. T. Bilgin, Some new difference sequences spaces defined by an Orlicz function. *Filomat*, **17** (2003), 1-8.
- A. Esi, On a class of new type difference sequences related to the space ℓ<sub>p</sub>, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), 13(2) (2004), 167-172.
- 4. A. Esi and M. Et, Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **31(8)** (2000), 967-972.
- 5. M. Gungor, M. Et and Y. Altin, Strongly  $(V_{\sigma}; \lambda; q)$ -summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **157** (2004), 561-571.
- 6. M. A. Krasnoselskii and Y. B. Rutitsky, *Convex function and Orlicz spaces*, Groningen, Netherland, 1961.
- J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, 1 (1971), 379-390.
- 8. E. Oztur and T. Bilgin, Strongly summable sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Indian J. PureAppl. Math.*, **25(6)** (1994), 621-625.
- 9. S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **25** (1994), 419-428.
- D. Rath, Spaces of *r*-convex sequences and matrix transformations, *Indian J. Math.*, 41(2) (1999), 265-280.
- 11. D. Rath and B. C. Tripathy, Characterization of certain matrix operators, J. Orissa Math. Soc., 8 (1989), 121-134.
- 12. W. H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.*, **25** (1973), 973-978.
- 13. W. L. C. Sargent, Some sequence spaces related to spaces  $\ell_p$ , J. London Math. Soc., **35** (1960), 161-171.
- 14. B. C. Tripathy and M. Sen, On a new class of sequences related to the  $\ell_p$  space, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **33(2)** (2002), 167-171.
- 15. B. C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, On a class of sequences related to the space defined by Orlicz functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, **29(4)** (2003), 379-391.

Yilmaz Altun and Tunay Bilgin Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Yuzuncu Yil University, 65080-Van Turkey E-mail: altun@yyu.edu.tr tbilgin@yyu.edu.tr