

## WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE FOR SOME OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

Alireza Medghalchi and Shahram Saeidi\*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we deal with a class of nonexpansive mappings with the property  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $D$  is the Hausdorff metric. We show that nonexpansive mappings with compact domains enjoy this property and give some examples of this kind of mappings with noncompact domains in  $l^\infty$ . Then we prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem, and a convergence theorem of Mann's type for this kind of mappings.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The first nonlinear ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings with bounded domains in a Hilbert space was established by Baillon [5]: Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself. If the set  $F(T)$  of fixed points of  $T$  is nonempty, then for each  $x \in C$ , the Cesaro means  $S_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x$  converge weakly to some  $y \in F(T)$ . Bruck [7] extended Baillon's theorem to a uniformly convex Banach space whose norm is Frechet differentiable. Before that, Edelstein [9] had obtained a nonlinear strong ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings with compact domains in a Banach space. Atsushiba and Takahashi [2] improved the Edelstein's theorem: Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space and let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping of  $C$  into itself. Then for each  $x \in C$ , the Cesaro means  $S_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{k+h} x$  converge strongly to some  $y \in F(T)$ , uniformly in  $h$ .

The first purpose of this paper is to prove a nonlinear ergodic theorem for a specific class of nonexpansive mappings from a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space into itself, which extends the Atsushiba and Takahashi's theorem. Our second goal is to prove a strong convergence theorem of Mann's type [11] for this specific class of mappings.

---

Received October 26, 2006, accepted June 18, 2007.

Communicated by Mau-Hsiang Shih.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases*: Fixed point, Nonexpansive mapping, Nonlinear ergodic theorem, Mapping of type  $(\gamma)$ , Strong convergence, Mann's type.

\*Corresponding author.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be nonexpansive if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for each  $x, y \in C$ . We denote by  $F_\varepsilon(T)$  the  $\varepsilon$ -approximate fixed points of  $T$ ; i.e.  $F_\varepsilon(T) = \{x \in C : \|x - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon\}$ . If  $C$  is bounded, then  $F_\varepsilon(T) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  (see [6]). A Banach space  $E$  is said to be *strictly convex* if  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$  for  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $x \neq y$ . Let  $E^*$  be the topological dual of  $E$ . The value of  $x^* \in E^*$  at  $x \in E$  will be denoted by  $x^*(x)$ . The open ball of radius  $r$  centered at 0 is denoted by  $B_r$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $E$ , we denote by  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  and  $\bar{A}$  the closed convex hull and the closure of  $A$ , respectively. The distance from  $x$  to  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{dist}(x, A)$ . We denote by  $\Gamma$  the set of all strictly increasing, continuous convex functions  $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be of type  $(\gamma)$ , if for every  $x, y \in C$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma(\|\lambda Tx + (1-\lambda)Ty - T(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)\|) \leq \|x - y\| - \|Tx - Ty\|$ . Obviously, if  $T$  is of type  $(\gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $T$  is nonexpansive and  $F(T)$  is a convex set. Moreover if  $C$  is also weakly compact, then  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  (see [10]). If  $C$  is compact and  $E$  is a strictly convex Banach space, then every nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is of type  $(\gamma)$  (see [2, 7]).

## 3. CONVERGENCE TO THE FIXED POINT SET

First, we prove a lemma which we need in the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a locally convex space and  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_n \supseteq \cdots$  be a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact subsets. Then  $\overline{\text{co}}(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\overline{\text{co}}A_i)$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously  $\overline{\text{co}}(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\overline{\text{co}}A_i)$ . Let  $a \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\overline{\text{co}}A_i)$  and  $a \notin \overline{\text{co}}(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \neq \emptyset$ , there exist  $\varphi \in E^*$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \varphi(a) < r - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad r + \varepsilon < \varphi(x)$$

for every  $x \in \overline{\text{co}}(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$ . Let  $H_r = \{x \in E; \varphi(x) \leq r\}$  and  $A_i^* := A_i \cap H_r$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By compactness of  $A_i$ 's we conclude that  $A_i^*$ 's are compact. We show that  $A_i^* \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i$ . To see this, let  $A_j^* = \emptyset$  for one  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $r < \varphi(b)$  for every  $b \in A_j$  and so  $r \leq \varphi(b)$  for every  $b \in \overline{\text{co}}A_j$ . But  $a \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\overline{\text{co}}A_i)$ , hence  $a \in \overline{\text{co}}(A_j)$  and we have  $r \leq \varphi(a)$ ; but this is a contradiction to (1). Therefore

$A_1^* \supseteq A_2^* \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_i^* \supseteq \dots$  is a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact subsets of  $E$ . Hence,  $(\bigcap_i A_i) \cap H_r = \bigcap_i (A_i \cap H_r) = \bigcap_i A_i^* \neq \emptyset$ . But, for  $x \in (\bigcap_i A_i) \cap H_r$  we have  $\varphi(x) \leq r$ . This contradicts (1), and hence the assertion follows. ■

It should be noted that in general the convex hull of a compact set is not even closed (see [1, p. 173]). In a normed vector space, it is possible to apply Lemma 3.1 with the norm and weak topologies.

The following definition is well known:

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a complete metric space and  $\Omega$  denotes the family of all nonempty, bounded closed subsets of  $M$ . For  $X, Y \in \Omega$ , set  $d(X, Y) = \sup\{\text{dist}(y, X) : y \in Y\}$ ,  $d(Y, X) = \sup\{\text{dist}(x, Y) : x \in X\}$  and let  $D(X, Y) = \max\{d(X, Y), d(Y, X)\}$ . Then  $D$  provides a metric for  $\Omega$  called the *Hausdorff metric*.

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . It is easy to verify that  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  iff  $\text{dist}(x_n, F(T)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all sequences  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n \in \overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), \forall n$ . So, if  $C$  is compact, it is easy to see that  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), \bigcap_i \overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{i}}(T)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; and applying Lemma 3.1, we have  $\bigcap_i \overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{i}}(T) = \overline{\text{co}}F(T)$ . Therefore, we have shown

$$D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in case that  $F(T)$  is convex.

In this stage, we give some examples satisfying the convergence property above, however  $C$  is not compact.

**Example 3.3.**

- (i) Let  $C = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [0, 1] \subset l^\infty$ . Then  $C$  is not compact!. Now, let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping defined by  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (f(x_1), 0, 0, \dots)$ , where  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an arbitrary nonexpansive mapping. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is strictly convex and  $[0, 1]$  is compact,  $f$  is of type  $(\gamma)$  and  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(f), F(f)) \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand,  $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T) = F_{\frac{1}{n}}(f) \times (\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}} [0, \frac{1}{n}])$ . So  $\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T) = \overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(f) \times (\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}} [0, \frac{1}{n}])$  and  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , since  $F(T) = \{(x_1, 0, 0, \dots) : x_1 \in F(f)\}$ . Also it is easy to see that  $F(T)$  is compact and  $T$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ .
- (ii) Let  $C = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [0, \frac{1}{2}] \subset l^\infty$  and  $T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (\frac{x_1^2}{2}, \frac{x_2^2}{2}, \dots)$ . One notes that both  $C$  and  $T(C)$  are not compact!. Obviously  $T$  is a nonexpansive

mapping on  $C$  and  $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [0, 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{n}}]$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore,  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F(T) = \{0\}$ . The mapping  $T$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the identity mapping: Let  $x, y \in C$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Then  $\|\lambda Tx + (1 - \lambda)Ty - T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)\| = \sup_i (\frac{1}{2}|\lambda x_i^2 + (1 - \lambda)y_i^2 - (\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i)^2|) = \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2} \sup_i (x_i - y_i)^2$ . So,  $\|Tx - Ty\| + \|\lambda Tx + (1 - \lambda)Ty - T(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)\| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_i |x_i^2 - y_i^2| + \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2} \sup_i (x_i - y_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_i |x_i - y_i| + \frac{1}{2} \sup_i |x_i - y_i| = \|x - y\|$ , since  $0 \leq x_i, y_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $T$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the identity mapping. By an elementary computation we can show  $T^n$  is also of type  $(\gamma)$ , for which  $\gamma$  is the identity mapping.

(iii) Let  $f : [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  be a nonexpansive mapping of type  $(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the identity mapping, and  $C$  be as in (ii). Define  $T : C \rightarrow C$  by  $T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (f(x_1), \frac{x_2^2}{2}, \frac{x_3^2}{2}, \dots)$ . As in (ii), it is easy to show that for each  $n$ ,  $T^n$  is a nonexpansive mapping of type  $(\gamma)$  such that  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F(T) = \{(x_1, 0, 0, \dots) : x_1 \in F(f)\}$ .

(iv) Let  $C$  be as in (i) and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping defined by  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, \frac{x_2^2}{2}, \frac{x_3^2}{2}, \dots)$ . Then we have  $F(T) = \{(x_1, 0, 0, \dots) : x_1 \in [0, 1]\}$  is a compact convex set. Also, we have  $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T) = [0, 1] \times (\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}} [0, 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{n}}])$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . Hence, it is easy to note  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In the above examples  $\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T)$ 's are not compact; however,  $F(T)$ 's are compact and we have  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We can apply some results of this paper to examples like above.

#### 4. CLUSTER POINT OF MEANS

The following lemmas are essential to our purpose.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed, convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{\text{co}}F_{\delta}(T) \subset F_{\varepsilon}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{\text{co}}F_{\delta}(T) \subset F(T) + B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ . On the other hand, we have  $F(T) + B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \subset F_{\varepsilon}(T)$ . Hence the assertion follows. ■

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $C, E$  and  $T$  be as in Lemma 4.1. If  $C$  is bounded and  $T$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ , then for each  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $N > 0$ , such that for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  satisfying  $\|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\| \leq \delta$  for all  $n$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \in F_\eta(T)$$

for all  $n \geq N$ .

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as Theorem 1.3 of [8]. First, choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  using Lemma 4.1, so that  $\overline{c\bar{o}F}_\varepsilon(T) \subset F_{\frac{\eta}{3}}(T)$  and  $\varepsilon d < \frac{\eta}{6}$  where  $d = \text{diam}C$ . We choose a natural number  $p$  such that  $d < p\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Next, put  $q(t) = \gamma^{-1}(2t) + t$  and  $q_n(t) = \gamma^{-1}(\frac{d}{n} + 2t) + t$  and choose  $0 < \delta < \frac{\eta}{3}$  so small that  $q^{p-1}(\delta) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Finally, choose  $N$  so large that  $\frac{p}{N} < \varepsilon$  and  $q_n^{p-1}(\delta) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Put  $w_i = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x_{j+i}$ . Paralleling the proof of Lemma 1.5 of [7], we find  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|w_{j+1} - Tw_j\| \leq q_n^{p-1}(\delta)$  provided  $\|x_{i+1} - Tx_i\| \leq \delta$  for all  $i$ . Obviously  $\|w_{i+1} - w_i\| \leq \frac{d}{p}$  for all  $i$ . So by using the triangle inequality we have,

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|w_i - Tw_i\| \leq \varepsilon^2$$

for every  $n \geq N$ . Put  $A(n) = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \|w_i - Tw_i\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  and  $B(n) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} - A(n)$ . Then  $\frac{|A(n)|}{n} \leq \varepsilon$  by (2). Also we have,

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i + \frac{1}{np} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (p-i)[x_{i-1} - x_{n+i-1}]$$

and  $p\frac{d}{n} \leq p\frac{d}{N} < d\varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Therefore,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{np} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (p-i)[x_i - x_{n+i-1}] \right\| \leq \frac{1}{np} p^2 d < d\varepsilon < \frac{\eta}{6}$$

and so,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \in [\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i] + B_{\frac{\eta}{6}}$ . Fix  $f \in F_\varepsilon(T)$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i = \left[ \frac{1}{n} |A(n)| f + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in B(n)} w_i \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in A(n)} (w_i - f) \right]$$

and  $\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in A(n)} (w_i - f) \right\| \leq \frac{|A(n)|}{n} d < \varepsilon d < \frac{\eta}{6}$ . So,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \in \text{co}F_\varepsilon(T) + B_{\frac{\eta}{6}} + B_{\frac{\eta}{6}} \subset F_{\frac{\eta}{3}}(T) + B_{\frac{\eta}{3}} \subset F_\eta(T)$$

for every  $n \geq N$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Lemma 4.3.** *In Lemma 4.2 put  $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(I + T + \dots + T^{n-1})$ . Then  $\lim_n \|S_n(y) - TS_n(y)\| = 0$  uniformly in  $y \in C$ . Moreover, if  $F(T)$  is compact (weakly compact), then for every sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $C$ ,  $\{S_n(y_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  has a cluster point (weak cluster point) in  $F(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $x_n = T^n y$  for each  $n$  and  $y \in C$ , and apply Lemma 4.2 to conclude the first assertion. For the second assertion, let  $F(T)$  be compact (weakly compact) and  $\{y_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $C$ . We note  $\text{dist}(S_n(y_n), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by using the first part of this lemma. Then for each  $k \geq 1$  there exist  $n_k > k$  and  $f_k \in F(T)$  with  $\|S_{n_k}(y_{n_k}) - f_k\| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ . Since  $F(T)$  is compact (weakly compact), without loss of generality we can assume that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  ( $f_k \rightharpoonup f$ ) for some  $f$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It is enough to conclude the result. ■

### 5. ERGODIC THEOREMS

By studying the proofs of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 3.1 in [2], we obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$ ,  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $T^n$  is of type  $(\gamma)$  for all  $n$ . Let  $x \in C$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\{i_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $z \in F(T)$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+i_n} x - z \right\| \text{ exists.}$$

*Moreover if  $\{i'_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $i'_n \geq i_n$  for each  $n \geq N$ , then for every  $z \in F(T)$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+i_n} x - z \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+i'_n} x - z \right\|.$$

Recall that  $E$  is said to satisfy Opial's condition, if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E$ , the condition that the sequence  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|$$

for all  $y \in E$  with  $y \neq x$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $T^n$  is of type  $(\gamma)$  for all  $n$  and  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x \in C$ . Then,*

- (i) *If  $F(T)$  is compact, then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i+h}x$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$  uniformly in  $h \geq 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $F(T)$  is weakly compact and  $E$  satisfies Opial's condition, then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n T^{k+i_n}x$  converges weakly to some  $y \in F(T)$ , for a sequence  $\{i_n\}$  like the sequence in Lemma 5.1.*

*Proof.* Let  $z$  be an arbitrary element of  $F(T)$ . Set  $D = \{y \in C : \|y - z\| \leq \|x - z\|\}$ . We note that  $x \in D$ ,  $T(D) \subset D$  and  $D$  is a bounded closed convex subset of  $C$ . So we can assume that  $C$  is bounded. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a sequence  $\{i_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $f \in F(T)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+i_n}x - f\|$  exists. Now put  $\{\Phi_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+i_n}x\}$ . We first prove (i). If  $F(T)$  is compact, then  $\{\Phi_n\}$  has a cluster point  $y_0$  in  $F(T)$  by Lemma 4.3.

Consequently, we have  $\Phi_n \rightarrow y_0$ ; and from the last part of Lemma 5.1,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+h+i_n}x$  converges strongly to  $y_0$  uniformly in  $h \geq 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{j+h+i_n}x - y_0\| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq m$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \text{ Then, it follows from the equality (3) that } \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i+h}x - y_0\| \\ &= \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{i+j+h}x - y_0\| + \|\frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-i)(T^{i+h-1}x - T^{i+h+n-1}x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{j+h+i}x - y_0\| + \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-i)\|T^{i+h-1}x - T^{i+h+n-1}x\| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{i_m-1} \|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{j+h+i}x - y_0\| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i_m-1} \|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{j+h+i+i_m}x - y_0\| \\ &+ \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-i)\|T^{i+h-1}x - T^{i+h+n-1}x\| \leq \frac{i_m M}{n} + \frac{(n-i_m)\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{mM}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n > i_m$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where

$$M = \sup\{\|T^i x - y_0\| : j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i+h}x$  converges strongly to  $y_0$  uniformly in  $h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and so the proof of (i) is completed. To prove (ii) we assume  $F(T)$  is weakly compact and  $E$  satisfies Opial's condition. Then  $\{\Phi_n\}$  has a weak cluster point  $f$  in  $F(T)$ , by Lemma 4.3. We show  $\Phi_n \rightharpoonup f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\Phi_{n_k} \rightharpoonup f_1$ ,  $\Phi_{m_k} \rightharpoonup f_2$  and  $f_1 \neq f_2$ . Since  $f_1, f_2 \in F(T)$ , we put  $r_1 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_n - f_1\|$

and  $r_2 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_n - f_2\|$ . By Opial's condition, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{n_k} - f_1\| < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{n_k} - f_2\| = r_2 \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{m_k} - f_2\| < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_{m_k} - f_1\| = r_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. It means that  $f_1 = f_2$ . This leads to the desired conclusion. ■

The following example shows that the condition  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$  in Theorem 5.2 can not be omitted.

**Example 5.3.** Let  $C$  and  $E$  be as in Example 3.3 (i). Define  $T : C \rightarrow C$  by  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3, \dots)$ , where  $0 \leq \lambda_i < 1$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 1$ . Then  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping such that  $T^n$  is of type  $(\gamma)$  for all  $n$  and  $F(T) = \{0\}$  which is compact. Also,  $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} ([0, \frac{1}{n(1-\lambda_i)}] \cap [0, 1])$ . So  $\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T) \not\xrightarrow{d} F(T)$ . Now, by considering  $x = (1, 1, \dots)$  in  $C$  we have  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i x\| = \sup_k (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_k^i) = 1$ , since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = 1$ . So  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i x$  does not converge to a member of  $F(T)$ . ■

### 6. A STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREM OF MANN'S TYPE

In this section, using the iterative method of Mann's Type [11], we study how to find a fixed point of a nonexpansive mapping as in Theorem 5.2. Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and let  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping on  $C$  with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Consider the following iteration scheme:

$$(4) \quad x_1 = x \in C \text{ and } x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) S_n(x_n)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(I + T + T^2 + \dots + T^{n-1})$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$ . For any  $\omega \in F(T)$  we can prove

$$(5) \quad \|x_{n+1} - \omega\| \leq \|x_n - \omega\|$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \omega\|$  exists (see [3]).

The following lemma is essential.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping of type  $(\gamma)$  such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ . Suppose that  $x_1 = x \in C$  and let  $\{x_n\}$  be as in (4). Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0.$$



*Proof.* As in Theorem 5.2 we can assume that  $C$  is bounded. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $M_0 = \sup\{\|z\| : z \in C\}$ . Then, by Lemma 4.1, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{co}F_\delta(T) \subset F_\varepsilon(T)$ . From Lemma 4.3, there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|S_n(y) - TS_n(y)\| < \delta$  for every  $n \geq M$  and  $y \in C$ . Thus

$$(6) \quad S_n(x_n) \in F_\delta(T)$$

for every  $n \geq M$ . We have for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(7) \quad x_{M+k} = \left( \prod_{i=M}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i \right) x_M + \left( 1 - \prod_{i=M}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i \right) y_k$$

where

$$y_k = \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{i=M}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i} \left( \sum_{j=M}^{M+k-2} \left( \prod_{i=j+1}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i \right) (1 - \alpha_j) S_j(x_j) \right) + (1 - \alpha_{M+k-1}) S_{M+k-1}(x_{M+k-1})$$

(see [3, 4]). Now, from

$$\sum_{j=M}^{M+k-2} \left( \prod_{i=j+1}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i \right) (1 - \alpha_j) + (1 - \alpha_{M+k-1}) = 1 - \prod_{i=M}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i,$$

it follows that  $y_k \in co\{S_n(x_n) : n \geq M\}$  and hence  $y_k \in coF_\delta(T) \subset F_\varepsilon(T)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (6). From the Abel-Dini theorem and  $\sum_{i=M}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_i) = \infty$ , there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\prod_{i=M}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_0}$  for all  $k \geq p$ . From (7) we obtain

$$\|x_{M+k} - y_k\| = \prod_{i=M}^{M+k-1} \alpha_i \|x_M - y_k\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_0} 2M_0 = \varepsilon$$

for each  $k \geq p$ . Hence  $\|Tx_{M+k} - x_{M+k}\| \leq \|Tx_{M+k} - Ty_k\| + \|Ty_k - y_k\| + \|y_k - x_{M+k}\| \leq 2\|x_{M+k} - y_k\| + \|Ty_k - y_k\| \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon$  for every  $k \geq p$ . So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$ . ■

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping of type  $(\gamma)$  such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $D(\overline{co}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ . Suppose that  $x_1 = x \in C$  and  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) S_n(x_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$ . From the assumption  $D(\overline{\text{co}}F_{\frac{1}{n}}(T), F(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hereafter, we will prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N$  such that when  $n \geq N$   $d(x_n, F(T)) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . Specifically,  $d(x_N, F(T)) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . Thus there exists a point  $y_0$  in  $F(T)$  such that  $\|x_n - y_0\| \leq \|x_N - y_0\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for each  $n \geq N$ , using (5) and the definition of  $d(x_N, F(T))$ . It follows that for each  $n \geq N$  and  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|x_n - x_{n+m}\| \leq \|x_n - y_0\| + \|x_{n+m} - y_0\| < \epsilon$ . This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Because the space is complete, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent to a point that is a fixed point of  $T$ . ■

**Remark 6.3.** It is not assumed in Theorem 6.2 that  $C$  be bounded nor  $F(T)$  be compact.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. D. Aliprantis, K. C. Border, *Infinite dimensional analysis*, Springer-Verlage, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1999.
2. S. Atsushiba and W. Takahashi, A nonlinear strong ergodic theorem for nonexpansive mappings with compact domains, *Math. Japonica.*, **52** (2000), 183-195.
3. S. Atsushiba and W. Takahashi, *A weak convergence theorem for nonexpansive semi-groups by the mann iteration process in Banach spaces*, Proceeding of the International Conference on Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis, (W. Takahashi and T. Tanaka, Eds.), World Scientific Publishers, pp. 102-109, 1999.
4. S. Atsushiba and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems for one-parameter nonexpansive semi-groups with compact domains, in: Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim and S. M. Kang (Eds.), *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol. 3, Nova Science Publisher, New York, 2002, pp. 15-31.
5. J. B. Baillon, Un theoreme de type ergodique pour les contractions non lineaires dans un espace de Hilbert, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, **280** (1975), 1511-1514.
6. F. E. Browder, Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **18**, part 2, 1976.
7. R. E. Bruck, A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **32** (1979), 107-116.
8. R. E. Bruck, On the convex approximation property and the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **38** (1981), 304-314.
9. M. Edelstein, On non-expansive mappings of Banach spaces, *Proc. Camb. Phill. Soc.*, **60** (1964), 439-447.
10. K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

11. W. R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4** (1953), 506-510.

Alireza Medghalchi  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Engineering,  
Teacher Training University,  
Tehran 15614,  
Iran  
E-mail: medghalchi@saba.tmu.ac.ir

Shahram Saeidi  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Kurdistan,  
Sanandaj, Kurdistan,  
Iran  
E-mail: sh.saeidi@uok.ac.ir  
shahram\_saeidi@yahoo.com