

## MEDIANS OF GRAPHS AND KINGS OF TOURNAMENTS\*

Hai-Yen Lee and Gerard J. Chang

**Abstract.** We first prove that for any graph  $G$  with a positive vertex weight function  $w$ , there exists a graph  $H$  with a positive weight function  $w'$  such that  $w(v) = w'(v)$  for all vertices  $v$  in  $G$  and whose  $w'$ -median is  $G$ . This is a generalization of a previous result for the case in which all weights are 1. The second result is that for any  $n$ -tournament  $T$  without transmitters, there exists an integer  $m \leq 2n - 1$  and an  $m$ -tournament  $T'$  whose kings are exactly the vertices of  $T$ . This improves upon a previous result for  $m \leq 2n$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a graph (digraph)  $G$ , the *distance*  $d_G(u, v)$  from a vertex  $u$  to another vertex  $v$  is the minimum number of edges in a  $u$ - $v$  path (dipath). The *eccentricity* of a vertex  $v$  is

$$e_G(v) = \max\{d_G(v, u) : u \in V(G)\}.$$

A *central vertex* is a vertex with a minimum eccentricity. The *center* of a graph (digraph)  $G$  is the subgraph (subdigraph)  $C(G)$  induced by the set of all central vertices. Hedetniemi [4] demonstrated that for an arbitrary (not necessarily connected) graph  $G$  there exists a connected graph whose center is  $G$ . Indeed, such a graph can be obtained from  $G$  by adding four new vertices  $a, b, c, d$  and new edges  $ab, dc, bx, cx$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ . Buckley, Miller, and Slater [4] characterized trees which are the centers of graphs with two more vertices than the original trees.

---

Received September 19, 1996.

Communicated by the Editors.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C12, 05C20.

*Key words and phrases*: eccentricity, center, median, tournament, king, transmitter.

\*Supported in part by the National Science Council under grant NSC84-2121-M009-023.

In a graph  $G$ , the *distance sum* of a vertex  $v$  is

$$D_G(v) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(v, u).$$

A *median vertex* is a vertex with a minimum median sum. The *median* of a graph  $G$  is the subgraph  $M(G)$  induced by the set of all median vertices. Slater [7] showed that for an arbitrary graph  $G$  there exists a connected graph whose median is  $G$ . Miller [5] simplified Slater's construction by producing for any graph  $G$  with  $p$  vertices a connected graph  $H$  with at most  $2p$  vertices whose median is  $G$ .

A *tournament* ( $n$ -*tournament*) is an oriented complete graph (of  $n$  vertices). A *king* in a tournament  $T$  is a vertex  $x$  whose eccentricity  $e_T(x) \leq 2$ . A tournament in which every vertex is a king is called an *all-king tournament*. A *transmitter* in a tournament  $T$  is a vertex  $x$  whose eccentricity  $e_T(x) \leq 1$ . Note that a tournament always has at least one king, e.g., the vertex with the largest outdegree. And a tournament may or may not have a transmitter. If a tournament has a transmitter, it has exactly one. Figure 1 shows two tournaments  $T_1$  in which  $a, c, d$  are kings, and  $T_2$  in which  $e$  is a transmitter. Reid [6] proved that for any  $n$ -tournament  $T$  without transmitters, there exists an integer  $m \leq 2n$  and an  $m$ -tournament whose kings are the vertices of  $T$ .

In this paper, we first consider the weighted version of Slater's result. More precisely, suppose  $G$  is a graph in which  $w$  is a positive real-valued function of  $V(G)$ . The *w-distance sum* of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  is

$$D_{G,w}(v) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(v, u)w(u).$$

A *w-median vertex* is a vertex with a minimum  $w$ -median sum. The *w-median* of a graph  $G$  is the subgraph  $M_w(G)$  induced by the set of all  $w$ -median

FIG. 1. Two tournaments  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

vertices. Note that the median of a graph is the  $w$ -median for which  $w(v) = 1$  for all vertices  $v$ . Our result along these lines is that for any graph  $G$  with a positive weight function  $w$ , there exists a graph  $H$  with positive weight function  $w'$  such that  $w(v) = w'(v)$  for all vertices  $v$  in  $G$  and  $M_{w'}(H) = G$ .

Our second result improves upon Reid's result for kings of tournaments. That is, for any  $n$ -tournament  $T$  without transmitters, there exists an integer  $m \leq 2n - 1$  and an  $m$ -tournament  $T'$  whose kings are exactly the vertices of  $T$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We first consider the weighted median problem.

**Theorem 1.** *For any graph  $G$  with a positive weight function  $w$ , there exists a graph  $H$  with a positive weight function  $w'$  such that  $w(v) = w'(v)$  for all vertices  $v$  in  $G$  and  $M_{w'}(H) = G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p\}$ ,  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ , and  $m = 5 \sum_{j=1}^p w(v_j)$ . Construct a graph  $H$  with a positive weight function  $w'$  as follows (see Figure 2 for an example of  $G$  and  $H$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} V(H) &= V(G) \cup X \cup Y \cup Z \text{ and} \\ E(H) &= \{(v_i, x_j) : 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq p, \text{ and } (v_i, v_j) \notin E(G)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(v_i, y_j) \text{ or } (y_i, y_j) : 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq p, \text{ and } i \neq j\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(v_i, z_j) : 1 \leq i \leq p \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 3\} \cup E(G); \\ w'(u) &= \begin{cases} w(v_i), & \text{if } u = v_i \text{ or } x_i, \\ 2w(v_i), & \text{if } u = y_i, \\ 2m, & \text{if } u = z_i. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that  $M_{w'}(H) = G$ . First, for each  $(v_i, v_j) \in E(G)$ , since  $(v_j, x_j), (v_i, y_j) \in E(H)$  and  $(v_i, x_j) \notin E(H)$ , we have  $d_H(v_i, v_j) = d_H(v_i, y_j) = 1$  and  $d_H(v_i, x_j) = 2$ . So,

$$d_H(v_i, v_j)w'(v_j) + d_H(v_i, x_j)w'(x_j) + d_H(v_i, y_j)w'(y_j) = 5w(v_j).$$

For each  $(v_i, v_j) \notin E(G)$  with  $i \neq j$ , since  $(v_i, x_j), (x_j, v_j), (v_i, y_j) \in E(H)$ , we have  $d_H(v_i, v_j) = 2$  and  $d_H(v_i, x_j) = d_H(v_i, y_j) = 1$ . So

$$d_H(v_i, v_j)w'(v_j) + d_H(v_i, x_j)w'(x_j) + d_H(v_i, y_j)w'(y_j) = 5w(v_j).$$

FIG. 2.  $G$  with  $w$  and  $H$  with  $w'$ .

Also, since  $(v_i, x_i), (v_i, y_{i+1}), (y_{i+1}, y_i) \in E(H)$  but  $(v_i, y_i) \notin E(H)$ , we have  $d_H(v_i, v_i) = 0, d_H(v_i, x_i) = 1$ , and  $d_H(v_i, y_i) = 2$ . So, for each  $v_i \in V(G)$ ,

$$d_H(v_i, v_i)w'(v_i) + d_H(v_i, x_i)w'(x_i) + d_H(v_i, y_i)w'(y_i) = 5w(v_j).$$

Finally, for each  $v_i \in V(G)$ ,

$$d_H(v_i, z_1)w'(z_1) + d_H(v_i, z_2)w'(z_2) + d_H(v_i, z_3)w'(z_3) = 6m.$$

Therefore, for each vertex  $v_i \in V(G)$ ,

$$D_{w'}(v_i) = \sum_{u \in V(H)} d_H(v_i, u)w'(u) = 6m + \sum_{j=1}^p 5w(v_j) = 7m.$$

On the other hand, for each vertex  $u \notin V(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{w'}(u) &\geq d_H(u, z_1)w'(z_1) + d_H(u, z_2)w'(z_2) + d_H(u, z_3)w'(z_3) \\ &\geq 2(2m) + 2(2m) = 8m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $M_{w'}(H) = G$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

Now, we give an improvement upon Reid's result for kings of tournaments.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $T$  is an  $n$ -tournament without transmitters, then there exists an integer  $m \leq 2n - 1$  and an  $m$ -tournament  $T'$  whose kings are exactly the vertices of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Recursively define tournaments  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  as follows. Let  $T_1 = T$ . If  $T_i$  is non-empty, let  $V_i$  denote the set of kings of  $T_i$  and  $T_{i+1}$  denote the subtournament  $T_i - V_i$ . Let  $j$  be the largest index such that  $T_j \neq \emptyset$ .  $T_j$  is then an all-king tournament. We may assume that  $j > 1$ , otherwise let  $T' = T$ . Also,  $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_j$  is a partition. Suppose  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  and construct a tournament  $T'$  as follows (see Figure 3):

$$V(T') = V \cup U \text{ and}$$

$$E(T') = E(T) \cup \{(u_s, u_t) : (v_s, v_t) \in E(T)\} \cup \{(u_s, v_s) : 1 \leq s \leq k\} \\ \cup \{(v_s, u_t) : 1 \leq s \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq k, \text{ and } s \neq t\}.$$

**Claim 1.** For any vertex  $v_s \in V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1}$ , there exists a vertex  $v_t \in V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1}$  such that  $(v_t, v_s) \in E(T)$ .

Suppose there exists a vertex  $v_s \in V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1}$  such that  $(v_t, v_s) \notin E(T)$ , i.e.  $(v_s, v_t) \in E(T)$  for any vertex  $v_t \in V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1}$ . Since  $T$  has no transmitters, there exists a vertex  $v \in V_j$  such that  $(v_s, v) \notin E(T)$ , i.e.,  $(v, v_s) \in E(T)$ . Since  $(v_s, v_t) \in E(T)$  for each vertex  $v_t \in V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1}$  and  $T_j$  is an all-king tournament,  $v$  is a king of  $T$ , i.e.  $v \in V_1$ , which contradicts  $v \in V_j$  and  $j > 1$ . This proves the claim.

FIG. 3. An  $m$ -tournament  $T'$ , with  $m \leq 2n - 1$ , whose kings are  $V(T)$ .

**Claim 2.** For any vertex  $v_s \in V$ ,  $v_s$  is a king of  $T'$ .

For the case in which  $v_s \in V_j$ , since  $(v_s, u_t), (u_t, v_t) \in E(T')$  for each vertex  $u_t \in U$  and  $T_j$  is an all-king tournament,  $v_s$  is a king of  $T'$ . For the case in which  $v_s \in V_i$  and  $i < j$ , since  $v_s$  is a king of  $T_i$ ,  $(v_s, v_t) \in E(T)$  for some  $v_t \in V(T)$ . By definition, we have

$$d_{T'}(v_s, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w \in U \text{ and } w \neq u_s, \\ 2, & \text{if } w = u_s, \\ 1, & \text{if } w \in V \text{ and } d_T(v_s, w) = 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } w \in V \text{ and } d_T(v_s, w) = 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } w = v_r, \in V \text{ and } d_T(v_s, w) > 2. \end{cases} \quad ((u_s, v_t), (v_t, u_s) \in E(T'))$$

$$\left( (u_s, u_r), (u_r, v_r) \in E(T') \right)$$

Therefore,  $v_s$  is a king of  $T'$ . This proves the claim.

**Claim 3.** For any vertex  $u_s \in U$ ,  $u_s$  is not a king of  $T'$ .

By Claim 1, there exists a vertex  $v_t \in V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{j-1}$  such that  $(v_t, v_s) \in E(T)$ . By the construction of  $T'$ , we have  $(u_t, u_s) \in E(T')$ . Since  $s \neq t$ ,  $d_{T'}(u_s, v_t) \neq 1$ . Suppose  $d_{T'}(u_s, v_t) = 2$ , then there exists a vertex  $w$  such that  $(u_s, w), (w, v_t) \in E(T')$ . By the construction of  $T'$ ,  $w = v_s$  or  $w = u_t$ . Then either  $(v_s, v_t) \in E(T')$  or  $(u_s, u_t) \in E(T')$ , which contradicts  $(v_t, v_s), (u_t, u_s) \in E(T')$ . So  $d_{T'}(u_s, v_t) > 2$ , i.e.  $u_s$  is not a king of  $T'$ . This proves the claim.

By Claims 2 and 3, the kings of  $T'$  are exactly the vertices of  $T$  and  $T'$  is an  $m$ -tournament with  $m \leq 2n - 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

For an arbitrary  $n$ -tournament without transmitters, it is desirable to determine the minimum  $m$  for which there exists an  $m$ -tournament  $T'$  whose kings are exactly the vertices of  $T$ .

We close this paper with a short discussion of a digraph analogous to Hedetniemi's result on centers. Suppose  $G$  is an arbitrary (not necessarily strongly connected) digraph. Let  $H$  be the digraph obtained from  $G$  by adding three new vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  and edges  $u_2u_1, u_1u_3, xu_2, xu_3, u_3x$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ ; see Figure 4.  $H$  is clearly strongly connected. Also,  $e_H(x) = 2$  for all  $x \in V(G)$  and  $e_H(u_1) = e_H(u_2) = e_H(u_3) = 3$ . So  $G$  is the center of a strongly connected graph  $H$ .

For a digraph  $G$ , let  $g(G)$  be the minimum number of new vertices that must be added to  $G$  to make  $G$  the center of the resulting digraph that is strongly connected. By the above argument,  $g(G) \leq 3$  for all digraphs  $G$ . Note that  $g(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is strongly connected and self-centered. Figure 5 shows a digraph  $G_1$  for which  $g(G_1) = 1$ . Note that  $e_{G_1}(b) = 1 < 2 = e_{G_1}(a) =$

FIG. 4. Strongly connected digraph  $H$  with  $C(H) = G$  and  $|V(H)| = |V(G)| + 3$ .

FIG. 5.  $g(G_1) = 1$  and  $g(G_2) = 2$ .

$e_{G_1}(c)$  and  $e_{H_1}(a) = e_{H_1}(b) = e_{H_1}(c) = 2 < 3 = e_{H_1}(x)$ . Figure 5 also shows a digraph  $G_2$  for which  $g(G_2) = 2$ . Note that  $e_{G_2}(a) = 1 < \infty = e_{G_2}(b)$  and  $e_{H_2}(a) = e_{H_2}(b) = 2 < 3 = e_{H_2}(x) = e_{H_2}(y)$ . It is desirable to determine  $g(G)$  for an arbitrary digraph  $G$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. H.-J. Bandelt and J. P. Barthélemy, Medians in median graphs, *Disc. Appl. Math.* **8** (1984), 131–142.
2. H.-J. Bandelt and H. M. Mulder, Regular pseudo-median graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **12** (1988), 533–549.
3. H.-J. Bandelt and M. van de Vel, A fixed cube theorem for median graphs, *Disc. Math.* **67** (1987), 129–137.

4. F. Buckley, Z. Miller, and P. J. Slater, On graphs containing a given graph as center, *J. Graph Theory* **5** (1981), 427–434.
5. Z. Miller, Medians and distance sequences in graphs, *Ars Combin.* **15** (1983), 169–177.
6. K. B. Reid, Every vertex a king, *Disc. Math.* **38** (1982), 93–98.
7. P. J. Slater, Medians of arbitrary graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **4** (1980), 389–392.
8. M. Truszczyński, Centers and centroids of unicyclic graphs, *Math. Slovaca* **35** (1985), 223–228.
9. H. Wittenberg, Local medians in chordal graphs, *Disc. Appl. Math.* **28** (1990), 287–296.
10. S. V. Yushmanov, The median of the Ptolemy graph, *Issled.-Operatsii-i-ASU [Kievskii-Gosudarstvennyi-Universitet.-Issledovanie-Operatsii-i-ASU]* (1988), No. 32 67–70, 118.
11. B. Zelinka, Medians and peripherians of trees, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **4** (1968), 87–95.

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University  
Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan