

**A NOTE ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF P-VALUE FOR THE
ONE-SIDED HYPOTHESIS TEST IN THE
NEGATIVE BINOMIAL MODEL**

Jine-Phone Chou

Abstract. Let X be a random variable with negative binomial density

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x+1)\Gamma(r)}\theta^x(1-\theta)^r,$$

where $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 0 < \theta < 1, r > 0$. For the hypothesis testing problem

$$H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$$

based on observing $X = x$, where θ_0 is specified, we consider it as an estimation problem within a decision-theoretic framework. We prove the admissibility of estimator $p(x) = P_{\theta_0}(X \geq x)$, the p -value, for estimating the accuracy of the test, $\mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta)$, under the squared error loss.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a random vector X with the parameter space Θ , Hwang, Casella, Robert, Wells, and Farrell (1992) from decision-theoretic approach did the hypothesis testing problem

$$H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_0^c$$

based on observing $X = x$, where Θ_0 is a specified subset of Θ in the following framework. We want to know the viability of the set specified by H_0 by estimating the parameter $\mathbf{1}_{\Theta_0}(\theta)$ (where $\mathbf{1}_A(\cdot)$ denotes the indicator of set A), and we consider the parameter $\mathbf{1}_{\Theta_0}(\theta)$ to measure the accuracy of the test. In

Received January 11, 1996.

Communicated by I.-S. Chang.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 62F03, 62A99, 62C07, 62C15.

Key words and phrases: Hypothesis testing, squared error loss, admissibility, prior, Bayes estimator, the accuracy of a test, p -value.

one word we do the hypothesis testing problem by estimating the parameter $\mathbf{1}_{\Theta_0}(\theta)$, the accuracy of the test, and the performance of an estimator, say $\delta(x)$, is evaluated by some loss function $L(\theta, \delta) = d(\mathbf{1}_{\Theta_0}(\theta) - \delta(x))$, where $d(t)$ is minimum at $t = 0$, nondecreasing for $t > 0$ and nonincreasing for $t < 0$. In this note we do a hypothesis testing problem in the same decision-theoretic framework.

The definition of the p -value of a hypothesis test follows Lehmann's (1986) through the note, and we consider the admissibility of the p -value for the problem of estimating the accuracy of the one-sided testing problem under the squared error loss,

$$(1.1) \quad H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$$

where θ_0 is a specified point. There are many criticisms raised at the p -value to be as a measure of evidence against the null hypotheses for the hypothesis testing problem (see, *e.g.*, Lindley (1957); Berger and Sellke (1987)). Specifically it is generally inadmissible for estimating the accuracy in a two-sided hypothesis testing problem (Hwang, *et al.*, (1992)). However for the one-sided hypothesis testing problem of some random variables with location parameter, symmetric density and monotone likelihood ratio, the p -value can be reconciled with the infimum of the Bayesian measure of evidence against the null hypotheses (Casella and Berger (1987)). Moreover for the problem (1.1) considered here, the p -value is admissible in many models. For example if the random variable X is from the model of normal $N(\theta, 1)$, or binomial $B(n, \theta)$, or Poisson $P(\theta)$, Hwang, *et al.*, (1992) had proved the p -value is admissible, and in this note for X from the negative binomial model, $NB(r, \theta)$, we prove the p -value, $p(x) = P_{\theta_0}(X \geq x)$, does also have the admissibility property for estimating the accuracy of the problem (1.1).

2. RESULT

Let X be a random variable with negative binomial density

$$(2.1) \quad f(x|\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x+1)\Gamma(r)} \theta^x (1-\theta)^r,$$

where $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 0 < \theta < 1, r > 0$. For the problem of estimating $\mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta)$, the accuracy of the one-sided hypothesis test (1.1), with loss function

$$(2.2) \quad L(\theta, \delta) = \left(\delta(x) - \mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta) \right)^2,$$

where $\delta(x)$ is an estimator of $\mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta)$, we are going to prove that estimator $p(x) = P_{\theta_0}(X \geq x)$, x the observed value, is a generalized Bayes estimator with finite Bayes risk, and thus admissible.

Theorem. Let X be a random variable with the density (2.1). For the problem of estimating the accuracy of the one-sided hypothesis test (1.1), $\mathbf{1}_{(0,\theta_0)}(\theta)$, with the loss (2.2), the p -value $p(x) = P_{\theta_0}(X \geq x)$ is an admissible estimator.

Proof. Choose an improper prior π on the parameter space $\Theta = (0, 1)$ with

$$(2.3) \quad d\pi = \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(\theta) d\theta,$$

where $d\theta$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . First note that for any estimator $\delta(X)$, the posterior Bayes risk at $x = 0$ is finite if and only if $\delta(x) = 1$ at $x = 0$. Since the estimator p -value $p(x) = 1$ at $x = 0$, then the p -value achieves the minimum posterior Bayes risk at $x = 0$. For $x \geq 1$, the posterior density is

$$(2.4) \quad g(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int_0^1 f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} = \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \theta^{x-1}(1-\theta)^{r-1},$$

and the Bayes estimator, say $B(x)$, is the conditional expectation of $\mathbf{1}_{(0,\theta_0)}(\theta)$, $E_{\theta|x} \mathbf{1}_{(0,\theta_0)}(\theta)$. By using the technique of changing variable in the integration and the binomial theorem, calculations give us

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) &= E_{\theta|x} \mathbf{1}_{(0,\theta_0)}(\theta) \\ &= \int_0^{\theta_0} \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \theta^{x-1}(1-\theta)^{r-1} d\theta \\ &= 1 - \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \int_{\theta_0}^1 (\theta)^{x-1}(1-\theta)^{r-1} d\theta \\ &= 1 - \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^{1-\theta_0} (1-\theta)^{x-1}(\theta)^{r-1} d\theta \\ &= 1 - \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^1 (1 - (1-\theta_0)\theta)^{x-1} (1-\theta_0)^{r-1} \theta^{r-1} (1-\theta_0) d\theta \\ &= 1 - (1-\theta_0)^r \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^1 ((1-\theta) + \theta_0\theta)^{x-1} (\theta)^{r-1} d\theta \\ &= 1 - (1-\theta_0)^r \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} \binom{x-1}{k} (1-\theta)^{x-1-k} \theta_0^k \theta^k (\theta)^{r-1} d\theta \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} (1-\theta_0)^r \theta_0^k \frac{\Gamma(x+r)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(r)} \binom{x-1}{k} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{x-1-k} \theta^{k+r-1} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x) &= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} (1 - \theta_0)^r \theta_0^k \frac{(k + r - 1)!}{k!(r - 1)!} \\
&= P_{\theta_0}(X \geq x) \\
&= p(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $p(x)$ is a generalized Bayes estimator and the theorem will be proved if the Bayes risk is finite. Consider the Bayes risk of $p(x)$, $r(\pi, p)$. With $g(\theta|x)$ being given in (2.4) and k_1 denoting some constant,

$$\begin{aligned}
r(\pi, p) &= \int \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta) - p(x))^2 f(x|\theta) d\pi \\
&= \int_{\theta_0}^1 \theta^{-1} (1 - \theta)^{r-1} d\theta + \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_0^1 (\mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta) - p(x))^2 g(\theta|x) d\theta \right\} \frac{1}{x} \\
&\leq k_1 + \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (p(x) - (p(x))^2) \frac{1}{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x) &= \sum_{n=x}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+r)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(r)} \theta_0^n (1 - \theta_0)^r \\
&= \theta_0^x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+x+r-1) \cdots (m+r)}{(m+x) \cdots (m+1)} \frac{\Gamma(m+r)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(r)} \theta_0^m (1 - \theta_0)^r \\
&\leq \theta_0^x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} k_2 f(m|\theta_0) = k_2 \theta_0^x
\end{aligned}$$

where k_2 is some constant and f is the density (2.1), hence $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} p(x) \frac{1}{x} < \infty$. This together with the fact $(p(x))^2 \leq p(x)$ imply the finiteness of $r(\pi, p)$.

Remark. For the hypothesis testing problem

$$H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$$

based on observing $X = x$, where X has the negative binomial density (2.1), the Bayesian measure of evidence given a prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$, is the probability that H_0 is true given $X = x$,

$$P_r(H_0|x) = P_r(\theta \leq \theta_0|x) = \frac{\int_0^{\theta_0} f(x|\theta) d\pi(\theta)}{\int_0^1 f(x|\theta) d\pi(\theta)},$$

where f is the density (2.1). Since $P_r(H_0|x) = E_{\theta|x} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \theta_0)}(\theta)$ and from the proof of theorem, we know that the p -value, $p(x) = P_{\theta_0}(X \geq x)$, a frequentist

measure of evidence against H_0 , is equal to the posterior probability of H_0 with the prior (2.3), a Bayesian measure of evidence against H_0 .

REFERENCES

1. J. O. Berger and T. Sellke, Testing a point null hypothesis: The irreconcilability of p -values and evidence (with discussion), *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **82** (1987), 112–139.
2. G. Casella and R. L. Berger, Reconciling Bayesian and Frequentist evidence in the one-sided testing problem (with discussion), *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **82** (1987), 106–139.
3. E. L. Lehmann, Testing Statistical Hypothesis, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York, 1986.
4. D. V. Lindley, A statistics paradox, *Biometrika* **44** (1957), 187–192.
5. J. T. Hwang, G. Casella, C. Robert, M. T. Wells, and R. H. Farrell, Estimation of accuracy in testing, *Ann. Statist.* **20** (1992), 490–509.

Institute of Statistical Science, Academia Sinica
Taipei 11529, Taiwan