

## ON RADIAL DISTRIBUTION OF JULIA SETS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Sheng Wang

**Abstract.** In this paper, we mainly investigate the radial distribution of the Julia set of a transcendental meromorphic function with finitely many deficient values.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $f^n$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iterate of  $f$ , i.e.  $f^0 = 1, f^1 = f, f^2 = f(f), f^n = f(f^{n-1})$ . For  $n > 1$ ,  $f^n(z)$  is well defined in  $\mathbf{C}$  except for a possible countable set below:

$$\{z \in \mathbf{C} : f^k(z) = \infty, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Fatou set  $F(f)$  of  $f(z)$  is defined by

$$F(f) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \{f^n\} \text{ is defined and normal in a neighborhood of } z\}.$$

Julia set  $J(f)$  of  $f(z)$  is the complement of  $F(f)$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ .  $F(f)$  is open and  $J(f)$  is closed, non-empty.

For a  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\arg z = \theta$  is called the radial distribution of  $J(f)$ , if for any small  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\Omega(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon) \cap J(f)$  is unbounded, where

$$\Omega(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \arg z \in (\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon)\}.$$

$RD(f)$  denotes the set of all radial distributions of  $J(f)$ . Obviously,  $mesRD(f)$  is closed and measurable.  $mesRD(f)$  denotes the linear measure of  $RD(f)$ .

---

Received September 9, 2005, accepted March 7, 2006.

Communicated by Sze-Bi Hsu.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D05, 30D40, 58F23.

*Key words and phrases*: Julia set, Meromorphic function, Radial distribution.

Some standard notations of Nevanlinna theory are used in this paper.  $T(r, f)$ ,  $N(r, f)$  and  $N(r, \frac{1}{f})$  are defined in [2]. For  $a \in \mathbf{C}$ , if

$$\delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r, f)} > 0,$$

then  $a$  is called a Nevanlinna deficient value of  $f(z)$ ,  $\delta(a, f)$  is called the deficient number of  $f(z)$  at  $a$ .  $\delta(\infty, f)$  is the deficient number of  $f(z)$  at  $\infty$ , which is defined by

$$\delta(\infty, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)}.$$

The growth order  $\sigma(f)$  and lower order  $\mu(f)$  of  $f(z)$  are defined respectively by

$$\sigma(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\mu(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Let  $W \subset \overline{\mathbf{C}}$  be a hyperbolic domain, that is,  $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus W$  contains at least three points. There exists the hyperbolic metric  $\lambda_W(z)|dz|$  on  $W$  with Gaussian curvature  $-4$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a unit disc and  $h(z)$  be a holomorphic universal covering map of  $W$  from  $\Delta$ , then the hyperbolic density  $\lambda_W$  on  $W$  is expressed as:

$$\lambda_W(h(z))|h'(z)| = \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}, z \in \Delta,$$

where the hyperbolic density  $\lambda_\Delta$  on  $\Delta$  is defined by:

$$\lambda_\Delta(z) = \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

For an  $a \in \mathbf{C} \setminus W$ , define

$$C_W(a) = \inf\{\lambda_W(z)\delta_W(a) : \forall z \in W\},$$

where  $\delta_W(z)$  is a Euclidean distance between  $z$  and  $\partial W$ . For a finite number  $a \in J(f)$ , if there is a component  $U$  in  $F(f)$  such that  $C_U(a) > 0$ , then we call  $C_{F(f)}(a) > 0$ , where  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function in  $\mathbf{C}$ . For example,  $C_{\tan z}(0) > 0$ ,  $0 \in J(\tan z)$ .

## 2. RADIAL DISTRIBUTION OF JULIA SETS

Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental entire function in  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $\sigma(f) < \infty$ , Baker [1]

proved that  $J(f)$  cannot lie in finitely many lines beginning from the original point. But for an arbitrarily small  $d > 0$ , Baker[1] constructed an entire function  $f(z)$ , dependent on  $d$ , of infinite order satisfying

$$J(f) \subset \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |\arg z| < d, \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}.$$

So  $\operatorname{mes}RD(f) < d$ . We conclude  $\mu(f) = \infty$  by the following Theorem A, see [3]:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental entire function in  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $\mu(f) < \infty$ . Then  $\operatorname{mes}RD(f) = 2\pi$  if  $\mu(f) < \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $\operatorname{mes}RD(f) \geq \frac{\pi}{\mu(f)}$  if  $\mu(f) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .*

For the proof of Theorem A, the Principle of Prágmén-Lindelöf was applied. But for the case of a meromorphic function with poles, the Principle of Prágmén-Lindelöf cannot be applied. The following theorem was proved in [7] by applying methods of Nevanlinna theory.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $\mu(f) < \infty$  and  $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$ . If  $\mu(f) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{mes}RD(f) = 2\pi$ ; if  $\mu(f) > 0$  and  $J(f)$  has an unbounded component, then*

$$\operatorname{mes}RD(f) \geq \min\left\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu(f)} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\right\}.$$

Now, we have a significant and interesting result in the following, which extends Theorem B to be a more general case. In this paper,  $p$  is a positive integer throughout.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function with lower order  $\mu(f) \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $f(z)$  has  $p$  mutually distinct deficient values  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  and the corresponding deficient numbers  $\delta(a_1, f), \dots, \delta(a_p, f)$ . If there exists  $a \in J(f)$  such that  $C_{F(f)}(a) > 0$ , then*

$$\operatorname{mes}RD(f) \geq \min\left\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^p \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a_j, f)}{2}}\right\}.$$

If  $C_{F(f)}(a) = 0$  for any  $a \in J(f)$ , does the conclusion of Theorem 1 still hold? This question seems be interesting, see [7] for a special case.

Next, considering the radial distribution of the common Julia sets of a transcendental meromorphic function and its derivatives, we have another interesting result as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite lower order  $\mu > 0$  and  $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$ . If  $J(f)$  has an unbounded component and for  $k > 0$ ,*

$J(f^{(k)})$  has an unbounded component, then

$$mes(RD(f) \cap RD(f^{(k)})) \geq \min\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\}.$$

If  $f(z)$  is an entire function with finite lower order  $\mu(f) > 0$ , from [4], Theorem 2 and furthermore the following question holds.

Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite lower order  $\mu > 0$  and  $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$ . Do we always have the following, for some integer  $k > 0$ ,

$$mes(RD(f) \cap RD(f^{(k)})) \geq \min\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\}?$$

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

Before the proof of the theorems, we need to quote two lemmas from [6] as follows:

**Lemma A.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function with lower order  $\mu < \infty$  and order  $\sigma > 0$ . Then for any  $\rho \in [\mu, \sigma]$ , there is a positive series  $\{r_k\}$ ,  $\frac{r_k}{k} \rightarrow \infty$ , such that*

$$T(t, f) < (1 + o(1))\left(\frac{t}{r_k}\right)^\rho T(r_k, f), \quad \forall t \in \left[\frac{r_k}{k}, kr_k\right]$$

and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r_k, f)}{\log r_n} \geq \rho.$$

**Lemma B.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic function with lower order  $\mu < \infty$  and order  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\rho \in [\mu, \sigma]$ . If  $a$  is a deficient value of  $f(z)$ ,  $\delta(a, f)$  is the deficient number, then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} mesE(r_n, \epsilon, a, \rho) \geq \min\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\rho} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a, f)}{2}}\},$$

where

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : \log \frac{1}{|f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_j|} > r_n^{\mu - \epsilon}\}, a_j \neq \infty$$

or

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : \log |f(r_n e^{i\theta})| > r_n^{\mu - \epsilon}\}, a_j = \infty,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , for  $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \mu)$ .

Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function with finite order  $\mu > 0$  and  $f(z)$  has  $p$  mutually distinct deficient values  $a_j$  and the corresponding deficient numbers  $\delta(a_j, f)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . By Lemma A, there exists an unbounded positive series  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r_n, f)}{\log r_n} \geq \mu.$$

and for  $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \mu)$ , set

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : \log \frac{1}{|f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_j|} > r_n^{\mu - \epsilon}\}, a_j \neq \infty$$

or

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : \log |f(r_n e^{i\theta})| > r_n^{\mu - \epsilon}\}, a_j = \infty,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . By Lemma B, there exists  $N_j$  for all  $n > N_j$ , we have

$$mes E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) > \min\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a_j, f)}{2}}\} - \frac{\epsilon}{p},$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . So, for all  $n > \max\{N_1, \dots, N_p\}$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^p mes E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) > \min\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a_j, f)}{2}}\} - \epsilon.$$

Therefore, we obtain

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in  $\mathbf{C}$  with finite lower order  $\mu > 0$ . If  $f(z)$  has  $p$  mutually distinct deficient values  $a_j$  and the corresponding deficient numbers  $\delta(a_j, f)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist an unbounded positive number series satisfying (1) and integer  $N > 0$ , for all  $n > N$ , we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^p mes E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) > \min\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a_j, f)}{2}}\} - \epsilon.$$

For  $r > 0$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ , we define

$$\Omega(r; \theta_1, \theta_2) := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \arg z \in (\theta_1, \theta_2), |z| > r\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** ([7, Lemma 2.2]). *Let  $f(z)$  be analytic in  $\Omega(r; \theta_1, \theta_2)$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $U$  a hyperbolic domain and*

$$f : \Omega(r; \theta_1, \theta_2) \rightarrow U.$$

If there exists a point  $a \in \partial U \setminus \{\infty\}$  such that  $C_U(a) > 0$ , then there exists a constant  $d > 0$  such that for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\theta_2 - \theta_1 - 2\epsilon > 0$ , it has

$$|f(z)| = O(|z|^d), z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \Omega(r; \theta_1 + \epsilon, \theta_2 - \epsilon).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Assume that by contradiction,

$$\text{mes}RD(f) < l = \min\left\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^p \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a_j, f)}{2}}\right\}.$$

Since  $RD(f)$  is closed,  $RD(f)^c = [0, 2\pi] \setminus RD(f)$  is an union set of at most countable open intervals  $I$ . From  $I$ , we chosen  $m \geq 1$  intervals  $I_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that

$$\text{mes}(RD(f)^c \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m I_j) < \frac{t}{2},$$

where

$$t = l - \text{mes}RD(f) - q, 0 < q < l - \text{mes}RD(f).$$

By the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and Lemma 1, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an unbounded positive number series  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and integer  $N \geq 1$ , if  $n > N$ , then

$$\text{mes} \cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) > l - q > 0.$$

And then for  $n > N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mes}((\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu)) \cap RD(f)^c) \\ &= \text{mes}(\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) \setminus ((\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu)) \cap RD(f))) \\ &= \text{mes}(\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu)) - \text{mes}((\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu)) \cap RD(f)) \\ &> l - q - \text{mes}RD(f) = t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mes}((\cup_{j=1}^m I_j) \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu))) \\ & \geq \text{mes}(RD(f)^c \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu))) \\ & \quad - \text{mes}((RD(f)^c) \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m I_j) \\ & > t - \frac{t}{2} = \frac{t}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a  $j_0$ ,  $1 \leq j_0 \leq m$  such that  $I_{j_0} \subset RD(f)^c$ , and for infinitely many  $n$  it has

$$\text{mes}[(\cup_{j=1}^m I_j) \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu))] \leq m \cdot \text{mes}(I_{j_0} \cap \cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu)).$$

So, for infinitely many  $n$ , it has

$$(2) \quad \text{mes}(I_{j_0} \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu))) > \frac{t}{2m}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume (2) is valid for all  $n$ . Set

$$I_{j_0} = (\theta_1, \theta_2), 0 < \theta_2 - \theta_1 < 2\pi.$$

Take a positive number  $s > 1$  such that  $\theta_2 - \theta_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{s} > 0$  and

$$\text{mes}[(\theta_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{s}, \theta_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{s}) \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu))] > \frac{t}{3m}.$$

Hence, according to the fact (see [6] or the Notes following the end of the proof.),

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_k, \mu) = \emptyset, j \neq k, j, k = 1, \dots, p,$$

there is some  $a_j$ , say  $a_1$ , for infinitely many  $n$  it has

$$\text{mes}[(\theta_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{s}, \theta_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{s}) \cap (\cup_{j=1}^p E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu))] \leq p \cdot \text{mes}((\theta_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{s}, \theta_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{s}) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_1, \mu)).$$

So that, for infinitely many  $n$ , it gets

$$(3) \quad \text{mes}((\theta_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{s}, \theta_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{s}) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_1, \mu)) > \frac{t}{3pm} > 0.$$

Obviously, we may assume (3) is valid for all  $n$ . Set

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{z - a_1}.$$

Write

$$\alpha = \theta_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{s}, \beta = \theta_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{s}.$$

There exists a sufficiently large  $R > 0$ ,

$$\phi \circ f : \Omega(R; \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \phi(F(f))$$

is an analytic map. Note that

$$C_{\phi(F(f))}(\phi(a)) = C_{F(f)}(a) > 0,$$

By Lemma 2, for an arbitrarily small  $\zeta > 0$ , we have

$$\beta - \alpha - 2\zeta > 0$$

and

$$\log^+ |\phi(f(z))| = O(\log(|z|)), z \in \Omega(R; \alpha + \zeta, \beta - \zeta), |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

So

$$(4) \quad \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f(z) - a_1} \right| = O(\log(|z|)), z \in \Omega(R; \alpha + \zeta, \beta - \zeta), |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the another hand, noting that  $\zeta$  may be chosen as small as we like, from (3), for all  $n$ , it follows

$$mes[(\alpha + \zeta, \beta - \zeta) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_1, f)] > 0.$$

And then, there is an unbounded series

$$\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \theta_n \in (\alpha + \zeta, \beta - \zeta) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_1, f),$$

such that for all sufficiently large  $n$ , it has

$$(5) \quad \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f(r_n e^{i\theta_n}) - a_1} \right| > r_n^{\mu(f) - \epsilon}.$$

Since the unbounded series  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n=N}^{\infty}$  satisfying (4) for some  $N \geq 1$ , namely

$$(6) \quad \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f(r_n e^{i\theta_n}) - a_1} \right| = O(\log(r_n)), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it derives a contradiction from (5) and (6). The proof is complete.

**Notes.** Let's prove

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_k, \mu) = \emptyset, j \neq k, j, k = 1, \dots, p,$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ .

If assume that

$$E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_k, \mu) \neq \emptyset, j \neq k$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ , without loss of generality, assume  $a_j \neq \infty, a_k \neq \infty$ , and there is a  $\theta$  such that

$$\theta \in E(r_n, \epsilon, a_j, \mu) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, a_k, \mu),$$

then by the definition of  $E(r_n, \epsilon, a, \mu)$ , we may have

$$|f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_j| < e^{-r_n^{\mu - \epsilon}},$$

and

$$|f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_k| < e^{-r_n^{\mu-\epsilon}}.$$

But from the following

$$\begin{aligned} |f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_k| &= |(f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_j) + (a_j) - a_k| \\ &\geq |a_j - a_k| - |f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_j| \\ &> |a_j - a_k| - e^{-r_n^{\mu-\epsilon}} \\ &> \frac{1}{2}|a_j - a_k|, \end{aligned}$$

we have the following contradiction:

$$\log \frac{1}{|a_j - a_k|} > \log \frac{2}{|f(r_n e^{i\theta}) - a_k|} > r_n^{\mu-\epsilon}.$$

The contradiction shows that the fact cited is right.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By contradiction, assume that

$$(7) \quad \text{mes}(RD(f) \cap RD(f^{(k)})) < \nu = \min\left\{2\pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\right\}.$$

There must exist an open interval

$$I = (\alpha, \beta) \subset RD(f^{(k)})^c, 0 < \beta - \alpha < \nu,$$

such that  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  and

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes}(I \cap RD(f) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)) > 0.$$

In fact, we have

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes}(E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu) \setminus RD(f)) = 0.$$

Otherwise, suppose there is a subseries  $\{n_k\}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes}(E(r_{n_k}, \epsilon, \infty, \mu) \setminus RD(f)) > 0,$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exist  $\theta_0 \in RD(f)^c$  and  $\eta > 0$  satisfying

$$(10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes}((\theta_0 - \eta, \theta_0 + \eta) \cap (E(r_{n_k}, \epsilon, \infty, \mu) \setminus RD(f))) > 0.$$

As  $\arg z = \theta_0$  is not a radial distribution of  $J(f)$ , there is  $R > 0$ ,  $f(z)$  is analytic in

$$\Omega(R; \theta_0 - \eta, \theta_0 + \eta)$$

and

$$f(\Omega(R; \theta_0 - \eta, \theta_0 + \eta)) \subset F(f).$$

Note that  $J(f)$  has an unbounded component, by Lemma 2, for any  $\zeta > 0$ ,  $\zeta < \eta$ ,

$$(11) \quad \log |f(z)| = O(\log |z|), z \in \Omega(R; \theta_0 - \eta + \zeta, \theta_0 + \eta - \zeta), |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\zeta$  may be chosen sufficiently small, from (10)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes}((\theta_0 - \eta + \zeta, \theta_0 + \eta - \zeta) \cap E(r_{n_k}, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)) > 0,$$

we can find an infinite series  $\{r_{n_k} e^{i\theta_{n_k}}\}$  such that for all sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$(12) \quad \log |f(r_{n_k} e^{i\theta_{n_k}})| > r_{n_k}^{\mu - \epsilon},$$

where

$$\theta_{n_k} \in ((\theta_0 - \eta + \zeta, \theta_0 + \eta - \zeta) \cap E(r_{n_k}, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)).$$

But, from (11), it has

$$(13) \quad \log |f(r_{n_k} e^{i\theta_{n_k}})| = O(\log r_{n_k}), k \rightarrow \infty.$$

When  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , (12) contradicts to (13). This contradiction implies (9) is valid.

Because

$$\text{mes}RD(f) \geq \nu,$$

and for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\text{mes}E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu) > \nu - \epsilon,$$

it follows

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes}RD(f) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu) \geq \nu.$$

By (7), there exists an open interval

$$I_j \subset RD(f^{(k)})^c (j = 1, 2, \dots, m; m \geq 1)$$

such that for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\text{mes}((\cup_{j=1}^m I_j) \cap RD(f) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)) > \frac{1}{2}(\nu - \text{mes}(RD(f) \cap RD(f^{(k)}))) > 0.$$

For infinitely many  $n$ , there are some  $I_{j_0}$  satisfying

$$mes(I_{j_0} \cap RD(f) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)) > \frac{1}{2m}(\nu - mes(RD(f) \cap RD(f^{(k)}))) > 0.$$

We may assume the above is true for all  $n$ . For this case, we prove that (8) is valid.

From (8), we know there are  $\theta$  and  $\tilde{\eta} > 0$  such that

$$(\theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta}) \subset I$$

and

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} mes((\theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta}) \cap RD(f) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)) > 0.$$

There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $f^{(k)}(z)$  is analytic in

$$\Omega(R; \theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta})$$

and

$$f^{(k)}(\Omega(R; \theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta})) \subset F(f^{(k)}).$$

Noting that  $J(f^{(k)})$  has an unbounded component, By Lemma 2,

$$(15) \quad \log |f^{(k)}(z)| = O(\log |z|), z \in \Omega(R; \theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta}), |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (14), we can select an unbounded series  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$ , for all sufficiently large  $n$ , it has

$$(16) \quad \log |f(r_n e^{i\theta_n})| > r_n^{\mu - \epsilon},$$

where

$$\theta_n \in ((\theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta}) \cap RD(f) \cap E(r_n, \epsilon, \infty, \mu)).$$

Fix  $r_N e^{i\theta_N} \in \{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$ , and take a  $r_n e^{i\theta_n} \in \{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$ ,  $n > N$ . Take a simple Jordan arc  $\gamma$  in

$$\Omega(R; \theta - 2\tilde{\eta}, \theta + 2\tilde{\eta}),$$

which connecting  $r_N e^{i\theta_N}$  to  $r_n e^{i\theta_n}$  along  $\{|z| = r_N\}$ , and connecting  $r_N e^{i\theta_N}$  to  $r_n e^{i\theta_n}$  along  $\arg z = \theta_n$ . For any  $z \in \gamma$ ,  $\gamma_z$  denotes a part of  $\gamma$ , which connecting  $r_N e^{i\theta_N}$  to  $z$ . Let  $L(\gamma)$  be the length of  $\gamma$ . Obviously,

$$L(\gamma) = O(r_n), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For some  $M > 0$ , from (15), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k-1)}(z)| &\leq \int_{\gamma_z} |f^{(k)}(z)| |dz| + c_k \\ &\leq O(|z|^M L(\gamma)) + c_k \\ &\leq O(r_n^{M+1}), n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f^{(k-2)}(z)| &\leq \int_{\gamma_z} |f^{(k-1)}(z)||dz| + c_{k-1} \\
 &\leq O(r_n^{M+1}L(\gamma)) + c_{k-1} \\
 &\leq O(r_n^{M+2}), n \rightarrow \infty; \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 |f'(z)| &\leq \int_{\gamma_z} |f''(z)||dz| + c_2 \\
 &\leq O(r_n^{M+k-2}L(\gamma)) + c_2 \\
 &\leq O(r_n^{M+k-1}), n \rightarrow \infty; \\
 |f(z)| &\leq \int_{\gamma_z} |f'(z)||dz| + c_1 \\
 &\leq O(r_n^{M+k-1}L(\gamma)) + c_1 \\
 &\leq O(r_n^{M+k}), n \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  are constants, which are independent of  $n$ . Therefore,

$$(17) \quad \log |f(r_n e^{i\theta_n})| \leq O(\log r_n), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , (16) contradicts to (17).

All in all, (7) is false. The proof is complete.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Professor Zheng Jianhua for his constant help!  
The author also would like to thank the referee's help!

#### REFERENCES

1. I. N. Baker, Sets of non-normality in iteration theory, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **40** (1965), 499-502.
2. W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1964.
3. J. Qiao, Stable domains in the iteration of entire functions, *Acta Math. Sinica (in Chinese)*, **37** (1994), 702-708.
4. J. Qiao, On limiting functions of Julia sets, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **26** (2001), 391-399.

5. S. Wang, *On some properties of Fatou and Julia sets of meromorphic functions (in Chinese)*, PhD Disertation of Tsinghua University, Beijing, October 2002.
6. L. Yang, Borel directions of meromorphic functions in an angular domain (in Chinese), *Special Issue of Mathematics, China Sci.*, (1979), 149-162.
7. J. H. Zheng, S. Wang & Z. G. Huang, Some properties of Fatou and Julia sets of transcendental meromorphic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **66** (2002), 1-8.

Wang Sheng  
College of Economics and Management,  
South China Agricultural University,  
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510642,  
P. R. China  
E-mail: wangsheng00@tsinghua.org.cn