

MAJORIZED PROOF AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE DISCRETE STEFFENSEN'S INEQUALITY

Huan-Nan Shi and Shan-He Wu

Abstract. We enlarge two weak majorization relations of the vectors to strong majorization relations of the vectors. An improvement of the discrete Steffensen's inequalities is established by the related propositions in the theory of majorization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a nonincreasing finite sequence of nonnegative real numbers, and let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sequence of real numbers such that for every i , $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be such that $k_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq k_1$. Then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i.$$

The inequality (1) is called the discrete Steffensen's inequality. It was first given in [1] and then cited repeatedly in [2-6]. Recently, a new proof which is very simple and clear is given in [7]. The purpose of this note is to establish an improved Steffensen's inequality by means of the theory of majorization. The following definitions and lemmas will be used:

Definition. [8] Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be two real n -tuples. Then x is said to be majorized by y (in symbols $x \prec y$) if

$$(i) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

Received August 23, 2005, accepted December 28, 2005.

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 26D15.

Key words and phrases: Steffensen's inequality, Majorization, Weak majorization, Refinement.

The research was supported by the Scientific Research Common Program of Beijing Municipal Commission of Education of China under grant No. Km200611417009 and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province Education Department of China under grant No. JA05324.

$$(ii) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i,$$

where $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \cdots \geq x_{[n]}$ and $y_{[1]} \geq y_{[2]} \geq \cdots \geq y_{[n]}$ are components of x and y rearranged in descending order. And x is said to be weakly submajorized by y (written $x \prec_w y$) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

And x is said to be weakly supermajorized by y (written $x \prec^w y$) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{(i)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{(i)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq x_{(n)}$ and $y_{(1)} \leq y_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq y_{(n)}$ are components of x and y rearranged in increasing order.

Relatively, the majorization is also said to be the strong majorization.

Lemma 1. [9, pp. 122-123] *Let $x, y \in R^n$,*

(a) *if $x \prec_w y$, then*

$$(x, x_{n+1}) \prec (y, y_{n+1}),$$

$$\text{where } x_{n+1} = \min \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}, \quad y_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

(b) *if $x \prec^w y$, then*

$$(x_0, x) \prec (y_0, y),$$

$$\text{where } x_0 = \max \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}, \quad y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

Lemma 2. [8, p. 12] **Lemma 2.** *For $x, y \in R^n$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} y_{(i)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} y_{[i]}.$$

Lemma 3. [8, p. 15] *For $x, y \in R^n$, we have*

$$(a) \quad x \prec y \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} u_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_{[i]} u_{[i]}, \quad \forall u \in R^n,$$

$$(b) \quad x \prec y \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_{(i)} u_{[i]} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n y_{(i)} u_{[i]}, \quad \forall u \in R^n,$$

$$(c) \quad x \prec y \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} u_{(i)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n y_{[i]} u_{(i)}, \quad \forall u \in R^n.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS AND PROOFS

Theorem 1. Let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sequence of real numbers such that $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and let $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be such that $k_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq k_1$. Then

$$(2) \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \prec^w \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k_2}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_2} \right) = z,$$

$$(3) \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \prec_w \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k_1} \right) = v.$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove that $y \prec^w z$ by the definition of the weak supermajorization. When $1 \leq k \leq n - k_2$, clearly, $\sum_{i=1}^k y(i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k z(i) = 0$. When $n \geq k > n - k_2$, Using reduction to absurdity, we prove that $\sum_{i=1}^k y(i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k z(i)$, namely, if there exist k ($n \geq k > n - k_2$) such that $\sum_{i=1}^k y(i) < \sum_{i=1}^k z(i)$, then by $0 \leq y_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y(i) = \sum_{i=1}^k y(i) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n y(i) < k - (n - k_2) + (n - k) = k_2,$$

It contradicts with $k_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$.

Secondly, we prove that $y \prec_w v$ by the definition of the weak submajorization. Note that $0 \leq y_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, when $1 \leq k \leq k_1$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^k y[i] \leq k = \sum_{i=1}^k v[i]$. When $k_1 + 1 \leq k \leq n$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^k y[i] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y[i] \leq k_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k v[i]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Theorem 2. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a nonincreasing finite sequence of real numbers, and let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sequence of real numbers such that for every i , $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be such that $k_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq k_1$. Then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - k_2 \right) x_n \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i - \left(k_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) x_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \prec_w \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k_1} \right) = v,$$

using Lemma 1 (a), we obtain

$$(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \prec \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k_1}, v_{n+1} \right),$$

where $y_{n+1} = \min \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $v_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + y_{n+1} - k_1$. It is clear that $y_{n+1} = 0$, and then $v_{n+1} \leq 0$.

Choosing $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_n)$, from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 (a) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i + x_n y_{n+1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} y_{[i]} + x_n y_{n+1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + y_{n+1} - k_1 \right) x_n,$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i - \left(k_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) x_n.$$

Also, from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 (b) we have

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \prec^w \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k_2}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_2} \right) = z,$$

and

$$(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_0) \prec \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k_2}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_2}, z_0 \right),$$

where $y_0 = \max \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, $z_0 = \sum_{i=0}^n y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + y_0 - k_2$.

It is clear that $y_0 \geq 1$, and then $z_0 \geq 1$.

Choosing $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_n)$, from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 (b) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i + y_0 x_n \geq \sum_{i=1}^n y_{(i)} x_{[i]} + y_0 x_n \geq \sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + y_0 - k_2 \right) x_n,$$

thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \geq \sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - k_2 \right) x_n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

As consequence, a refinement of the discrete Steffensen's inequality follows from Theorem 2 directly:

Corollary . *Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a nonincreasing finite sequence of nonnegative real numbers, and let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sequence of real numbers such that for every i , $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be such that $k_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq k_1$. Then*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i &\leq \sum_{i=n-k_2+1}^n x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - k_2 \right) x_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i - \left(k_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) x_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i. \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to professors Zheng Liu, Tie-quan Xu and Wan-lan Wang for their many helpful and valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. J. C. Evard and H. Gauchman, Steffensen type inequalities over general measure spaces, *Analysis*, **17** (1997), 301-322.
2. H. Gauchman, On a further generalization of Steffensen's inequality, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **5** (2000) 505-513.
3. H. Gauchman, A Steffensen type inequality, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **1** (2000), Art. 3.
4. J. E. Pecarić, On the Bellman generalization of steffensen's inequality, II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **104** (1984), 432-434.
5. F. Qi, J. X. Cheng and G. wang, New Steffensen pairs, *Inequality Theory and Applications*, **1** (2002), 273-279.
6. F. Qi and B. N. Guo, On Steffensen pairs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **271** (2002), 534-541.
7. Z. Liu, Simple proof of the discrete steffensen's inequality, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **35**(4) (2004), 281-282.
8. B. Y. Wang, *Elements of Majorization Inequalities*, Beijing Normal University Press, Beijing, China (in Chinese), 1990.

9. A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin *Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its application*, New York, Academies Press, 1979.

Huan-Nan Shi
Department of Electronic information,
Teacher's College of Beijing Union University,
Beijing 100011,
P. R. China
E-mail: shihuannan@yahoo.com.cn & shihuannan@263.net

Shan-He Wu
Department of Mathematics,
Longyan College,
Longyan Fujian 364012,
P. R. China
E-mail: wushanhe@yahoo.com.cn & wushanhe@yahoo.com.cn