

**SOME NEW RESULTS ABOUT A SYMMETRIC
 D -SEMICLASSICAL LINEAR FORM OF CLASS ONE**

Abdallah Ghressi and Lotfi Khériji

Abstract. We establish some properties concerning the linear form $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$ which is symmetric D -semiclassical of class 1. An integral representation is obtained. A connection with the D -classical Bessel one is discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION AND FIRST RESULTS

Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} and let \mathcal{P}' be its topological dual. We denote by $\langle u, f \rangle$ the effect of $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ on $f \in \mathcal{P}$. We denote by $(u)_n := \langle u, x^n \rangle$, $n \geq 0$ the moments of u . In particular, a linear form u is called symmetric if $\langle u, x^{2n+1} \rangle = 0$, $n \geq 0$.

For any linear form u , any polynomial g , let gu , be the linear form defined by duality

$$(1.1) \quad \langle gu, f \rangle := \langle u, gf \rangle, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{P}$ and $u \in \mathcal{P}'$, the product uf is the polynomial

$$(1.2) \quad (uf)(x) := \langle u, \frac{xf(x) - \zeta f(\zeta)}{x - \zeta} \rangle.$$

The derivative $u' = Du$ of the linear form u is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \langle u', f \rangle := -\langle u, f' \rangle, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We have [5]

$$(1.4) \quad (fu)' = f'u + fu'.$$

Received April 7, 2005, revised July 4, 2005.

Communicated by H. M. Srivastavs.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 42C05, 33C45.

Key words and phrases: Semiclassical orthogonal polynomials, Integral representation.

Similarly, with the definitions

$$(1.5) \quad \langle h_a u, f \rangle := \langle u, h_a f \rangle = \langle u, f(ax) \rangle, u \in \mathcal{P}', f \in \mathcal{P}, a \in \mathbb{C} - 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \langle \tau_b u, f \rangle := \langle u, \tau_{-b} f \rangle = \langle u, f(x+b) \rangle, u \in \mathcal{P}', f \in \mathcal{P}, b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The linear form u is called *regular* if we can associate with it a polynomial sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\deg P_n = n$, such that

$$(1.7) \quad \langle u, P_m P_n \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}, n, m \geq 0; r_n \neq 0, n \geq 0.$$

The polynomial sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is then said orthogonal with respect to u . Necessarily, $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an (OPS) whose any polynomial can be supposed monic (MOPS). Also, the (MOPS) $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ fulfils the recurrence relation

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, & P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), & \gamma_{n+1} \neq 0, n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

From the linear application $p \mapsto (\theta_c p)(x) = \frac{p(x)-p(c)}{x-c}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $(x-c)^{-1}u$ by

$$(1.9) \quad \langle (x-c)^{-1}u, p \rangle := \langle u, \theta_c p \rangle.$$

Finally, we introduce the operator $\sigma : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ defined by $(\sigma f)(x) := f(x^2)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}$. Consequently, we define σu by duality

$$(1.10) \quad \langle \sigma u, f \rangle = \langle u, \sigma f \rangle, f \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}'.$$

we have the two well known formulas[7]

$$(1.11) \quad f(x)\sigma u = \sigma(f(x^2)u),$$

$$(1.12) \quad \sigma u' = 2(\sigma(xu))'.$$

Let Φ monic and Ψ be two polynomials, $\deg \Phi = t$, $\deg \Psi = p \geq 1$. We suppose that the pair (Φ, Ψ) is *admissible*, i.e. when $p = t - 1$, writing $\Psi(x) = a_p x^p + \dots$, then $a_p \neq n + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. [5] A linear form u is called *D-semiclassical* when it is regular and satisfies the equation

$$(1.13) \quad (\Phi u)' + \Psi u = 0$$

where the pair (Φ, Ψ) is admissible. The corresponding orthogonal sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called *D-semiclassical*.

Remarks.

1. The *D-semiclassical* character is kept by shifting(see [6]). In fact, let $\{a^{-n}(h_a \circ \tau_{-b}P_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$, $a \neq 0$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$; when u satisfies (1.13), then $h_{a^{-1}} \circ \tau_{-b}u$ fulfils the equation

$$(1.14) \quad \left(a^{-t}\Phi(ax + b)(h_{a^{-1}} \circ \tau_{-b}u) \right)' + a^{1-t}\Psi(ax + b)(h_{a^{-1}} \circ \tau_{-b}u) = 0.$$

2. The *D-semiclassical* linear form u is said to be of class $s = \max(p - 1, t - 2) \geq 0$ if and only if

$$(1.15) \quad \prod_{c \in \mathcal{Z}_\Phi} \left\{ \left| \Psi(c) + \Phi'(c) \right| + \left| \langle u, \theta_c \Psi + \theta_c^2 \Phi \rangle \right| \right\} > 0,$$

where \mathcal{Z}_Φ is the set of zeros of Φ . The corresponding orthogonal sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ will be known as of class s [4].

3. When $s = 0$, the linear form u is usually called *D-classical* (Hermite, Laguerre, Bessel, and Jacobi) [6].

Let us recall some characterizations of *D-semiclassical* orthogonal sequences which are needed in the sequel. $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is *D-semiclassical* of class s , if and only if one of the following statements holds [4]

(1). $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the following structure relation

$$(1.16) \quad \Phi(x)P'_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (C_{n+1}(x) - C_0(x)) P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}D_{n+1}(x)P_n(x), n \geq 0,$$

where

$$(1.17) \quad C_{n+1}(x) = -C_n(x) + 2(x - \beta_n)D_n(x), n \geq 0,$$

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_{n+1}D_{n+1}(x) &= -\Phi(x) + \gamma_n D_{n-1}(x) + (x - \beta_n)^2 D_n(x) \\ &\quad - (x - \beta_n)C_n(x), n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{cases} C_0(z) = -\Psi(z) - \Phi'(z), \\ D_0(z) = -(u\theta_0\Phi)'(z) - (u\theta_0\Psi)(z). \end{cases}$$

Φ, Ψ are the same parameters introduced in (1.13); β_n, γ_n are the coefficients of the three term recurrence relation (1.8). Notice that $D_{-1}(x) := 0$, $\deg C_n \leq s + 1$ and

$\deg D_n \leq s, n \geq 0$.

(2). Each polynomial $P_{n+1}, n \geq 0$ satisfies a second order linear differential equation

$$(1.20) \quad J(x, n)P''_{n+1}(x) + K(x, n)P'_{n+1}(x) + L(x, n)P_{n+1}(x) = 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

with

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{cases} J(x, n) = \Phi(x)D_{n+1}(x), \\ K(x, n) = D_{n+1}(x)(\Phi'(x) + C_0(x)) - D'_{n+1}(x)\Phi(x), \\ L(x, n) = \frac{1}{2}(C_{n+1}(x) - C_0(x))D'_{n+1}(x) - \\ \quad - \frac{1}{2}(C'_{n+1} - C'_0)(x)D_{n+1}(x) - D_{n+1}(x)\Sigma_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.22) \quad \Sigma_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n D_k(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Φ, C_n, D_n are the same in the previous characterization. Notice that $\deg J(., n) \leq 2s + 2, \deg K(., n) \leq 2s + 1$ and $\deg L(., n) \leq 2s$.

In [1], the authors give the description of symmetric D -semiclassical linear forms of class 1. There are three canonical cases for Φ

$$\Phi(x) = x, \quad \Phi(x) = x(x^2 - 1), \quad \Phi(x) = x^3.$$

The first and the second canonical cases are well known. They are respectively the generalized Hermite $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ and The symmetric generalized Gegenbauer $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta)$ [1,3]. So, the aim of this paper is to give some new results concerning the third case. It's the linear form $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$, symmetric D -semiclassical of class 1 for $\nu \neq -n - 1, n \geq 0$. We have[1]

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_n = 0, \quad \gamma_{n+1} = \frac{1}{16} \frac{1 - 2\nu - (-1)^n(2n + 2\nu + 1)}{(n + \nu)(n + \nu + 1)}, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \left(x^3 \mathcal{B}[\nu]\right)' - \left\{2(\nu + 1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right\} \mathcal{B}[\nu] = 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the functional equation in (1.23), it is easy to see that the moments of $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$ are

$$(1.24) \quad (\mathcal{B}[\nu])_{2n} = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(\nu + 1)}{2^{2n} \Gamma(n + \nu + 1)}, \quad (\mathcal{B}[\nu])_{2n+1} = 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where Γ is the gamma function. In accordance of (1.17)-(1.19) and (1.22) and after some calculation we get

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{cases} C_n(x) = (2n + 2\nu - 1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^n, \\ D_n(x) = 2(n + \nu)x, \\ \Sigma_n(x) = (n + 1)(n + 2\nu)x, \end{cases} \quad , n \geq 0.$$

Therefore, with (1.20)-(1.21), the second order linear differential equation satisfied by P_{n+1} , $n \geq 0$ is

$$(1.26) \quad x^4 P''_{n+1}(x) + x \left\{ (2\nu + 1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right\} P'_{n+1}(x) - \left\{ (n + 1)(n + 2\nu + 1)x^2 + \frac{(1 + (-1)^n)}{4} \right\} P_{n+1}(x) = 0.$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the (MOPS) with respect to the linear form $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$. Then, every polynomial P_{n+1} , $n \geq 1$ have simple zeros.*

Proof. First, the (MOPS) $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is of class 1. Taking into account the structure relation (1.16), we can deduce the following: if c is a zero of order η of P_{n+1} , $n \geq 1$ with $\eta \geq 2$, then $\eta \leq 2$ and c is a zero of order $\eta - 1 = 1$ of D_{n+1} . [4] Second, the (MOPS) $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is symmetric then $P_n(-x) = (-1)^n P_n(x)$, $n \geq 0$ [3] and according to (1.8) with $\beta_n = 0$, $n \geq 0$ we get

$$(1.27) \quad P_{2n+1}(0) = 0, P_{2n}(0) = (-1)^n \prod_{k=0}^n \gamma_{2k-1} \neq 0, n \geq 0, \gamma_{-1} := 1.$$

To establish the desired result, it is sufficient to prove that $P'_{2n+1}(0) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$ since the above, the expression of the polynomial D_{n+1} in (1.25), and (1.27). Differentiating (1.16), then taking $x = 0$ and $n \rightarrow 2n$, and after an easy computation we obtain $P'_{2n+1}(0) = \frac{n+\nu}{2n+\nu} P_{2n}(0) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$ ■

In [1], an integral representation of the last case is not given. See also [2]. In the next section, we are going to give an integral representation for $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$. Moreover, the relationship with the *D*-classical Bessel linear form is obtained.

2. AN INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FOR $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$

Let u be a *D*-semiclassical linear form satisfying (1.13). We are looking for an integral representation of u and consider

$$(2.1) \quad \langle u, f \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U(x) f(x) dx, \quad f \in \mathcal{P},$$

where we suppose the function U to be absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} , and is decaying as fast as its derivative U' . From (1.13) we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ((\Phi U)' + \Psi U) f(x) dx - \Phi(x)U(x)f(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Hence, from the assumptions on U , the following conditions hold

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(x)U(x)f(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{P},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ((\Phi U)' + \Psi U) f(x) dx = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Condition (2.3) implies

$$(2.4) \quad (\Phi U)' + \Psi U = \omega g,$$

where $\omega \neq 0$ arbitrary and g is a locally integrable function with rapid decay representing the null-form (see[8])

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^n g(x) dx = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Conversely, if U is a solution of (2.4) verifying the hypothesis above and the condition

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U(x) dx \neq 0,$$

then (2.2)-(2.3) are fulfilled and (2.1) defines a linear form u which is a solution of (1.13).

Now, the linear form u is $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$, $\nu \neq -n - 1$, $n \geq 0$ with

$$\Phi(x) = x^3, \quad \Psi(x) = -2(\nu + 1)x^2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Equation (2.4) becomes

$$(2.4)' \quad (x^3 U)' - \left\{ 2(\nu + 1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right\} U = \omega g(x).$$

For instance, let $g(x) = -|x|s(x^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ [8] where s is the Stieltjes function [8,9]

$$(2.7) \quad s(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0, \\ e^{-x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sin x^{\frac{1}{4}}, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

A possible solution of (2.4)' is the even function

$$(2.8) \quad U(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, \\ \omega|x|^{2\nu-1}e^{-\frac{1}{4x^2}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} t^{-2\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4t^2}}s(t^2)dt, & x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

First, condition (2.2) is fulfilled, for we have

$$|x^3U(x)| \leq |\omega||x|^{2\Re\nu+2}e^{-\frac{1}{4x^2}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} t^{-2\Re\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4t^2}}e^{-t^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}), \quad |x| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further, when $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$|U(x)| \leq |\omega|x^{2\Re\nu-1} \int_x^{+\infty} t^{-2\Re\nu-1}e^{-t^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt = o(e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{2}}}),$$

and when $x \rightarrow +0$

$$|U(x)| \leq |\omega|x^{2\Re\nu-1}e^{-\frac{1}{4x^2}} \int_x^1 t^{-2\Re\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4t^2}} dt + o(1),$$

we apply l'Hospital's rule to the ratio

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \frac{\int_x^1 t^{-2\Re\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4t^2}} dt}{x^{-2\Re\nu+1}e^{\frac{1}{4x^2}}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \frac{x}{(2\Re\nu - 1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2}} = 0,$$

so $\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} U(x) = 0 = U(0)$.

Consequently, $U \in L_1$.

Condition (2.6) now becomes

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U(x)dx = 2\omega \int_0^{+\infty} \xi^{-2\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4\xi^2}}s(\xi^2) \left(\int_0^\xi x^{2\nu-1}e^{-\frac{1}{4x^2}} dx \right) d\xi = \omega S_\nu \neq 0$$

with

$$(2.10) \quad S_\nu = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-4\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4t^4}}\varphi_{\nu-\frac{3}{2}}(t^2)e^{-t} \sin t dt,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi_\nu(t) = \int_0^t x^{2\nu+2}e^{-\frac{1}{4x^2}} dx.$$

Let us establish some results about S_ν

Lemma 2.1. *We have for $\nu \geq -1$*

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{4}t^2\varphi_\nu(t) \leq \varphi_{\nu+1}(t) \leq t^2\varphi_\nu(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(2.13) \quad 2\frac{t^{2\nu+5}}{1+2(2\nu+5)t^2}e^{-\frac{1}{4t^2}} \leq \varphi_\nu(t) \leq 4\frac{t^{2\nu+5}}{2+(2\nu+5)t^2}e^{-\frac{1}{4t^2}}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to prove (2.12) from (2.11) and monotonicity. From (2.11), we have upon integration by parts

$$(2.14) \quad \varphi_\nu(t) = 2t^{2\nu+5}e^{-\frac{1}{4t^2}} - 2(2\nu+5)\varphi_{\nu+1}(t), \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Now, in accordance of (2.12) and (2.14) we obtain the desired result (2.13) ■

Proposition 2.2. *We have the following expression for $m \geq 1$, $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$(2.15) \quad S_\nu = (-1)^m 2^{2m+1} \prod_{k=1}^m (\nu+k) \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-4\nu-1} e^{\frac{1}{4t^4}} \varphi_{\nu-\frac{3}{2}+m}(t^2) e^{-t} \sin t dt.$$

Proof. From (2.14), and using the Stieltjes representation (2.5) of the null-form, we get

$$S_\nu = -2^3(\nu+1) \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-4\nu-1} e^{\frac{1}{4t^4}} \varphi_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(t^2) e^{-t} \sin t dt.$$

Suppose (2.15) for $m \geq 1$ fixed. From (2.14) where $\nu \rightarrow \nu+m$ and $t \rightarrow t^2$

$$\varphi_{\nu+m-\frac{3}{2}}(t^2) = 2t^{4(\nu+m+1)}e^{-\frac{1}{4t^4}} - 4(\nu+m+1)\varphi_{\nu+m-\frac{1}{2}}(t^2),$$

hence easily (2.15) for $m \rightarrow m+1$ ■

Corollary 2.3. *We have $S_{-n-1} = 0$, $n \geq 0$.*

This result is consistent with the fact that the linear form $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$ is not regular for these values of ν .

Proposition 2.4. *For $\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $S_\nu > 0$.*

Proof. First, we need the following lemma [8]. ■

Lemma 2.5. *Consider the following integral*

$$(2.16) \quad S = \int_0^{+\infty} F(t) \sin t dt$$

where we suppose $F(t) \geq 0$, continuous, increasing in $0 < t \leq \bar{t}$ and decreasing to zero for $t > \bar{t}$. Then,

$$(2.17) \quad 0 < \bar{t} \leq \pi, \int_0^\pi (F(t) - F(t + \pi)) \sin t dt \geq 0 \implies S > 0.$$

Now, denoting $F(t) = F_\nu(t) = f_\nu(t)e^{-t}$ with $f_\nu(t) = t^{-4\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{4t^4}}\varphi_{\nu-\frac{3}{2}}(t^2)$. We have from (2.13)

$$(2.13)' \quad \frac{2t^3}{1 + 4(\nu + 1)t^4} \leq f_\nu(t) \leq \frac{2t^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)t^4}, t \geq 0, \nu \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then,

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{2t^3}{1 + 4(\nu + 1)t^4} e^{-t} \leq F_\nu(t) \leq \frac{2t^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)t^4} e^{-t}, t \geq 0, \nu \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Consequently, $F_\nu(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $F_\nu(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F_\nu(t) = 0$ which implies that F_ν has a maximum for $t = \bar{t}$ defined by $f'_\nu(\bar{t}) = f_\nu(\bar{t})$. Hence,

$$(2.19) \quad f_\nu(\bar{t}) = \frac{2\bar{t}^3}{1 + (4\nu + 1)\bar{t}^4 + \bar{t}^5}$$

since

$$f'_\nu(t) = \frac{2}{t^2} - \left\{ \frac{4\nu + 1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^5} \right\} f_\nu(t), t > 0.$$

From the first inequality of (2.13)' and by virtue of (2.19) necessarily $\bar{t} \leq 3$. Therefore the implication (2.17) is true if the following is verified

$$(2.20) \quad \int_0^\pi \sin t \frac{(\pi + t)^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)(\pi + t)^4} e^{-t-\pi} dt \leq \int_0^\pi \sin t \frac{t^3}{1 + 4(\nu + 1)t^4} e^{-t} dt.$$

The function $t \mapsto \frac{t^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)t^4}$ is decreasing for $t \geq t_1 = (\frac{3}{\nu + 1})^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and from $\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}$ we have easily $t_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}$. We have successively

$$\int_0^\pi \sin t \frac{(\pi + t)^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)(\pi + t)^4} e^{-t-\pi} dt \leq e^{-\pi} \frac{\pi^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)\pi^4} \frac{e^{-\pi} + 1}{2}.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{t_1}^\pi \sin t \frac{t^3}{1 + 4(\nu + 1)t^4} e^{-t} dt = \int_{t_1}^\pi \sin t \frac{t^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)t^4} \frac{1 + (\nu + 1)t^4}{1 + 4(\nu + 1)t^4} e^{-t} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\pi^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)\pi^4} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \sin t e^{-t} dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} e^{-\pi} \frac{\pi^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)\pi^4} (1 + e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.20) is fulfilled if

$$(2.21) \quad e^{-\pi} \frac{\pi^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)\pi^4} \frac{(1 + e^{-\pi})}{2} \leq \int_0^{t_1} \sin t \frac{t^3}{1 + 4(\nu + 1)t^4} e^{-t} dt + \frac{1}{8} e^{-\pi} \frac{\pi^3}{1 + (\nu + 1)\pi^4} (1 + e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}).$$

But, $1 + e^{-\pi} < \frac{1}{4}(1 + e^{\frac{\pi}{2}})$, therefore the inequality (2.21) is satisfied and the proposition is proved ■

Finally, for $f \in \mathcal{P}, \nu \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$(2.22) \quad \langle \mathcal{B}[\nu], f \rangle = S_{\nu}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{2\nu+1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{4t^2} - \frac{1}{4x^2}\right) s(t^2) dt f(x) dx.$$

Let now $\mathcal{B}(\alpha), \alpha \neq -\frac{n}{2}, n \geq 0$ be the Bessel D -classical linear form. We have[4]

$$(2.23) \quad \left(x^2 \mathcal{B}(\alpha)\right)' - 2(\alpha x + 1)\mathcal{B}(\alpha) = 0.$$

In the following proposition we are going to establish the connection between $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$ and $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.6. *We have*

$$(2.24) \quad \sigma \mathcal{B}[\nu] = h_{\frac{1}{8}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu + 1}{2}\right), \quad \nu \neq -n - 1, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proof. From (1.23) we have

$$(2.25) \quad \left(x^3 \mathcal{B}[\nu]\right)' - \left\{2(\nu + 1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right\} \mathcal{B}[\nu] = 0.$$

Applying the operator σ to the both sides of (2.25) and in accordance of (1.11)-(1.12) we get

$$(2.25)' \quad \left(x^2 \sigma \mathcal{B}[\nu]\right)' - \left\{(\nu + 1)x + \frac{1}{4}\right\} \sigma \mathcal{B}[\nu] = 0.$$

Moreover, the linear form $\mathcal{B}[\nu]$ is symmetric and regular then $\sigma \mathcal{B}[\nu]$ is regular[3,7]. So, on the one hand, taking into account (2.25)' the linear form $\sigma \mathcal{B}[\nu]$ is D -classical.

On the other hand, from (2.23) with $\alpha = \frac{\nu+1}{2}$, $\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$(2.23)' \quad \left(x^2 \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)\right)' - 2\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}x + 1\right) \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

Formula (1.14) with the choice $a = 8, b = 0$ yields to

$$(2.23)'' \quad \left(x^2 h_{\frac{1}{8}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)\right)' - \left((\nu+1)x + \frac{1}{4}\right) h_{\frac{1}{8}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

Consequently, we obtain (2.24) ■

REFERENCES

1. J. Alaya and P. Maroni, Symmetric Laguerre-Hahn forms of class $s = 1$, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, **4** (1996), 301-320.
2. S. Belmehdi, On semi-classical linear functionals of class $s = 1$. Classification and integral representations, *Indag. Math. (New Ser.)*, **3** (1992), 253-275.
3. T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
4. P. Maroni, Sur la suite de polynômes orthogonaux associée à la forme $u = \delta_c + \lambda(x-c)^{-1}$, *L. Period. Math. Hungar.*, **21** (1990), 223-248.
5. P. Maroni, Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Application aux polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques, in: *Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications*, Eds. C. Brezinski et al., IMACS, Ann. Comput. Appl. Math., 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991, pp. 95-130.
6. P. Maroni, Variations around classical orthogonal polynomials. Connected problems. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **48** (1993), 133-155.
7. P. Maroni, Sur la décomposition quadratique d'une suite de polynôme orthogonaux I, *Riv. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **6** (1990), 19-53.
8. P. Maroni, An integral representation for the Bessel form, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **57** (1995), 251-260.
9. T. J. Stieltjes, Recherches sur les fractions continues. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (Sér. 6)*, **8** (1894), 1-122; **9** (1895), A1-A47.

Abdallah Ghressi
 Faculté des Sciences de Gabès,
 Route de Mednine 6029-Gabès,
 Tunisia.
 E-mail: abdallah.ghrissi@fsg.rnu.tn

Lotfi Khériji
Institut Supérieur des Sciences Appliquées et de Technologie de Gabès
Rue Omar Ibn El,
Khattab 6072-Gabès, Tunisia.
E-mail: lotfi.kheriji@issatgb.rnu.tn