

## THE ABSTRACT GLIDING HUMP PROPERTIES AND APPLICATIONS

Junde Wu, Jianwen Luo and Chengri Cui

**Abstract.** In this paper, by using the section mappings, we introduce the abstract strong gliding hump property and the 0-gliding hump property in dual pair  $\langle E, F \rangle$ , and show that the gliding hump properties can substitute the  $AK$ -property of the dual spaces for the characterizations of the barrelledness of normed spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\langle E, F \rangle$  be a pair of (real or complex) vector spaces placed in duality by a bilinear mapping  $\langle, \rangle: E \times F \rightarrow K$ . For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\rho_n: E \rightarrow E: x \rightarrow x^{[n]}$  and  $\rho_n: F \rightarrow F: y \rightarrow y^{[n]}$  be linear mappings on  $E$  resp.  $F$ , continuous for  $\sigma(E, F)$  resp.  $\sigma(F, E)$ , and suppose the following axioms are satisfied:

(S1)  $\langle x, y^{[n]} \rangle = \langle x^{[n]}, y^{[n]} \rangle = \langle x^{[n]}, y \rangle$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and all  $x \in E, y \in F$ ;

(S2)  $(x^{[n]})^{[m]} = x^{[n \wedge m]}$  whenever  $x \in E, n, m \in \mathbf{N}: n \wedge m$  denotes  $\min(n, m)$ .

Then we shall refer to this construction as a system of sections on  $\langle E, F \rangle$  (see [1,  $P_{104}$ ]).

Let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$  and  $\tau$  be any admissible locally convex topology on  $E$ . If for every  $x \in E, \{x^{[n]}\}$  converges to  $x$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) with respect to  $\tau$ , then  $(E, \tau)$  is said to be an  $AK$ -space.

**Example 1.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $l^p = \{(t_j) : \sum_j |t_j|^p < \infty\}$ . Then  $\langle l^p, l^q \rangle$  is a dual pair, where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\rho_n: l^p \rightarrow l^p$  be

---

Received April 11, 2003; accepted May 21, 2004.

Communicated by Bor-Luh Lin.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46A03, 46A08.

*Key words and phrases*: Section mappings, Gliding hump property, Barrelledness.

The Research Project is Supported by Natural Science Fund of China (10471124) and (10361005).

$\rho_n(t_j) = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, 0, \dots)$ , then  $\{\rho_n\}$  is a system of sections on  $\langle l^p, l^q \rangle$ . Furthermore,  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is an AK-space. But,  $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not an AK-space.

We denote by  $E^{[n]}, F^{[n]}, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the spaces of vectors  $x^{[n]}, x \in E$ , and  $y^{[n]}, y \in F$ , respectively, and refer to  $x^{[n]}, y^{[n]}$  as the sections of  $x, y$  respectively.

Let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$ . We denote by  $E^{<\beta>}$  the  $\beta$ -dual space of  $E$  which consisting of all sequences  $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of vectors having  $y_n \in F^{[n]}$ ,  $y_n^{[m]} = y_m$  whenever  $m < n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, y_n \rangle$  exists for every  $x \in E$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $\lambda$  be a scalar-valued sequence space and  $c_{00}$  be the scalar valued sequence space which are 0 eventually, the  $\beta$ -dual space of  $\lambda$  to be defined by:  $\lambda^\beta = \{(u_j) : \sum_j u_j t_j \text{ is convergence for each } (t_j) \in \lambda\}$ . Then  $\langle \lambda, \lambda^\beta \rangle$  is a dual pair with respect to the bilinear pairing  $\langle \bar{t}, \bar{u} \rangle = \sum_j u_j t_j$ , where  $\bar{t} = (t_j) \in \lambda, \bar{u} = (u_j) \in \lambda^\beta$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\rho_n : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$  be  $\rho_n(t_j) = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, 0, \dots)$ . Then  $\{\rho_n\}$  is a system of sections on  $\langle \lambda, \lambda^\beta \rangle$ , and  $\lambda^{<\beta>}$  is just  $\lambda^\beta$ . That is, when the space  $E$  is a sequence space  $\lambda$ , the abstract  $\beta$ -dual space of  $E$  is just the usual  $\beta$ -dual space of  $\lambda$ .

**Lemma 1.** [1, Prop. 3]. *Let  $E$  be a barrelled locally convex space with dual  $F$  and let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$ . If  $E$  is a (weakly) AK-space, then  $E^{<\beta>} = F$ .*

**Lemma 2.** [1, Prop. 2]. *Let  $E$  be a metrizable locally convex space with dual  $F$  and let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$ . If  $F$  is an AK-space with respect to the strong topology  $\beta(F, E)$ , then  $E$  is also an AK-space in its metrizable topology.*

Let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$ . The section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  are said to have the uniform boundedness property if for every bounded subset  $B$  of  $(E, \sigma(E, F))$ ,  $\{\rho_n(x) : x \in B, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $(E, \sigma(E, F))$ .

If  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}, m > n$ , denote  $[n, m] = \{j : j \in \mathbf{N}, n \leq j \leq m\}$  and  $x^{[n, m]} = x^{[m]} - x^{[n]}$ . A sequence of intervals  $\{[n_k, m_k]\}$  is said to be increasing if  $k_1 < k_2$  we have  $m_{k_1} < n_{k_2}$ . Generalizing Noll [2] we say that a sequence  $\{z_k\}$  of non-zero vectors in  $E$  is a block sequence if there exists an increasing interval sequence  $\{[n_k, m_k]\}$  in  $\mathbf{N}$  and a sequence  $\{x_k\} \subseteq E$  such that

$$z_k = x_k^{[m_k]} - x_k^{[n_k]}, k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  are said to have the strong gliding hump property, if given any block sequence  $\{z_k\}$  in  $E$ , which is weakly bounded in  $E$ , there exists a sequence of  $\{k_i\}$  such that the series  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty z_{k_i}$  is  $\sigma(E, F)$ -convergent to an element  $z \in E$ .

Let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$  and  $\tau$  be an admissible locally convex topology on  $E$ . The section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  are said to have the 0-gliding hump property with respect to the topology  $\tau$ , if  $\{x_k\}$  converges to 0 with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\{[n_k, m_k]\}$  is an increasing sequence of intervals in  $\mathbf{N}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{k_i}\}$  of  $\{x_k\}$  and a subsequence  $\{[n_{k_i}, m_{k_i}]\}$  of  $\{[n_k, m_k]\}$  such that the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k_i}^{[n_{k_i}, m_{k_i}]}$  is  $\tau$ -converges to an element  $z \in E$ .

Many important classical sequence spaces have the strong gliding hump property or 0-gliding hump property (see [3, 4]). Now, we present two spaces, one has the strong gliding hump property and another has the 0-gliding hump property, but they are both not sequence spaces.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $\{\Omega_n\}$  be an increasing sequence in  $\Omega$  with union  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(\Omega_n) < \infty$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{U}$ . For  $p \geq 1$ , let  $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p) = (L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mu), \|\cdot\|_p)$  denote the space of all equivalence classes of  $p$ -integrable functions and  $(L^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty) = (L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mu), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  denote the space of all equivalence classes of essentially bounded functions.

**Example 3.** Let  $E = L^\infty = L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mu)$ . Define a system of sections on  $\langle E, E' \rangle$  by setting  $f^{[n]} = f\chi_n$ , where  $\chi$  denotes the characteristic function of  $\Omega_n$ . Then  $\{\rho_n\}$  has the uniform boundedness property and the strong gliding hump property, but  $(L^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not an  $AK$ -space.

**Example 4.** Let  $E = L^p = L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Define also a system of sections on  $\langle L^p, L^q \rangle$  by setting  $f^{[n]} = f\chi_n$ , where  $q$  satisfies that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . The space  $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  has the 0-gliding hump property and  $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is an  $AK$ -space.

The space  $E$  is said to have the bounded uniform convergence property if for every  $(z_k) \in E^{<\beta>}$  and every  $\sigma(E, F)$ -bounded subset  $B$  of  $E$ , the sequence  $\langle x, z_k \rangle$  converges uniformly with respect to  $x \in B$ .

It is clear that if  $E^{<\beta>} = F$  and  $\beta(F, E)$  is an  $AK$ -space, then  $E$  has the bounded uniform convergence property. Furthermore, we have

**Lemma 3.** *Let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$  and the section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  have the uniform boundedness property and the strong gliding hump property. Then  $E$  has the bounded uniform convergence property.*

*Proof.* If not, there is  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a bounded subset  $B$  of  $\sigma(E, F)$  and  $y = (y_k) \in E^{<\beta>}$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there is  $x_k \in B$  and  $n_k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $k < n_k$  satisfying that  $|\langle x_k, y^{[n_k]} \rangle - \langle x_k, y \rangle| \geq \varepsilon$ . Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_k, y^{[n]} \rangle = \langle x_k, y \rangle$ , so there is  $m_k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n_k < m_k$  such that

$$|\langle x_k, y^{[m_k]} \rangle - \langle x_k, y \rangle| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus we have

$$| \langle x_k, y^{[m_k]} \rangle - \langle x_k, y^{[n_k]} \rangle | \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Pick  $x_{k+1} \in B$  and  $n_{k+1} \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $m_k < n_{k+1}$  and  $|\langle x_{k+1}, y^{[n_{k+1}]} \rangle - \langle x_{k+1}, y \rangle| \geq \varepsilon$ . Similarly, we can obtain  $m_{k+1}$  such that  $n_{k+1} < m_{k+1}$  and

$$| \langle x_{k+1}, y^{[m_{k+1}]} \rangle - \langle x_{k+1}, y^{[n_{k+1}]} \rangle | \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Inductively, we obtain two sequences  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{m_k\}$  in  $\mathbf{N}$  such that  $n_k < m_k < n_{k+1} < m_{k+1}$  and

$$| \langle x_k, y^{[m_k]} \rangle - \langle x_k, y^{[n_k]} \rangle | \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

It follows from the axiom (S1) that

$$| \langle x_k^{[m_k]}, y \rangle - \langle x_k^{[n_k]}, y \rangle | \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Or equivalently,

$$(1) \quad | \langle x_k^{[m_k]} - x_k^{[n_k]}, y \rangle | \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Note that the section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  have the uniform boundedness property and the strong gliding hump property, so there are a subsequence  $\{x_{k_i}^{[m_{k_i}]} - x_{k_i}^{[n_{k_i}]}\}$  of  $\{x_k^{[m_k]} - x_k^{[n_k]}\}$  and  $x \in E$  such that  $\sum_i x_{k_i}^{[m_{k_i}]} - x_{k_i}^{[n_{k_i}]}$  converges to  $x$  with respect to  $\sigma(E, F)$ . Thus we have

$$\sum_i \langle x_{k_i}^{[m_{k_i}]} - x_{k_i}^{[n_{k_i}]}, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle.$$

So,  $\lim_i \langle x_{k_i}^{[m_{k_i}]} - x_{k_i}^{[n_{k_i}]}, y \rangle = 0$ . This contradicts (1) and Lemma 3 is proved.

As we knew, the study of the barrelledness of locally convex spaces is an important topic in locally convex spaces theory ([5-10]). Noll and Stadler in [1] introduced the above section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  and gave an abstract characterization of the barrelledness of the normed spaces by their  $\beta$ -dual spaces. Note that many such theorems asked that the dual spaces of the normed spaces must be  $AK$ -spaces, but, the normed space  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space, so it is also a barrelled space, but  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)' = (l^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not an  $AK$ -space, thus, the barrelledness of  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  cannot be obtained by these known theorems. Now, we substitute the  $AK$ -property of the dual spaces of the normed spaces with the gliding hump property, then the barrelledness of normed spaces can also be characterized by their  $\beta$ -dual spaces.

Our main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space with dual  $F$  and a system of section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$ ,  $E$  be a GAK-space with respect to the norm topology. If  $E$  has the bounded uniform convergence property or the section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  have the 0-gliding hump property with respect to the norm topology, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is barrelled,
- (2) Every  $(E^{[n]}, \|\cdot\|), n \in \mathbf{N}$ , is barrelled and  $E^{\langle \beta \rangle} = F$ .

*Proof.* (2) follows from (1) and Lemma 1 immediately.

If (2) is satisfied but  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is not a barrelled space, then there is a pointwise bounded sequence  $\{y_i\} \subseteq F$  such that  $\sup\{\|y_i\| : i \in \mathbf{N}\} = \infty$ . i.e.,

$$\sup\{|\langle x, y_i \rangle| : x \in B(E), i \in \mathbf{N}\} = \infty.$$

Here  $B(E)$  is the unit ball of  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ .

Note that for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $(E^{[n]}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a barrelled space and  $\{y_i\} \subseteq F$  is pointwise bounded, so for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\sup_i \{\|y_i^{[n]}\| : i \in \mathbf{N}\} < \infty.$$

**Case 1.**  $E$  has the bounded uniform convergence property:

Let us define  $\{i_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{j_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  as following:

Suppose that  $i_1 = 1, i_2, \dots, i_n$  and  $j_1 = 1, j_2, \dots, j_n$  have been defined. Pick  $i_{n+1} > i_n$  and  $x_{i_{n+1}} \in B(E)$  such that

$$\|y_{i_{n+1}}\| \geq |\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} \rangle| > \|y_{i_{n+1}}\| - 1 > n2^n (1 + \sup_{q \leq j_n} \{\|y_i^{[q]}\| : i \in \mathbf{N}\}).$$

Note that for every  $x \in B(E), y \in F$ ,  $\{\langle x, y^{[n]} \rangle\}$  converges to  $\langle x, y \rangle$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in B(E)$ , so there is  $j_{n+1} > j_n + 1$  such that

$$\sup\{|\langle x, y_{i_{n+1}} - y_{i_{n+1}}^{[j_{n+1}-1]} \rangle| : x \in B(E)\} < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus, two strictly increasing sequences  $i_1, i_2, \dots$  and  $j_1, j_2, \dots$  have been well defined. If  $j_n \leq k < j_{n+1}, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$z_k = \frac{y_{i_{n+1}}^{[k-1, k]}}{|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} \rangle|},$$

and

$$\omega_j = \sum_{k=1}^j z_k,$$

where  $y_{i_2}^{[0]} = 0$ . At first, we show that  $(\omega_j) \notin E^{<\beta>} = F$ , i.e., there is not a  $\omega \in F$ , such that  $\omega^{[j]} = \omega_j, j \in \mathbf{N}$ . If not, we can find a  $\omega \in F$  satisfying the condition, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, \omega^{[j_n, j_{n+1}-1]} \rangle| &= \frac{|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}}^{[j_n, j_{n+1}-1]} \rangle|}{|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} \rangle|} \\ &\geq \frac{(|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} \rangle| - |\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}}^{[j_n]} \rangle| - |\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} - y_{i_{n+1}}^{[j_{n+1}-1]} \rangle|)}{|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} \rangle|} \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{n2^n} - \frac{1}{n^2 2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

So whenever  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, \omega^{[j_n, j_{n+1}-1]} \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that for every  $y \in F$ ,  $\{\langle x, y^{[n]} \rangle\}$  converges to  $\langle x, y \rangle$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in B(E)$ , so  $|\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, \omega^{[j_n, j_{n+1}-1]} \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $(\omega_j) \notin E^{<\beta>} = F$ .

On the other hand, if  $x \in B(E), j_1 \leq p_0 < q_0$ , pick  $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $j_l \leq p_0 < j_{l+1}, j_k \leq q_0 < j_{k+1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, \omega_{q_0} - \omega_{p_0-1} \rangle| &= \sum_{j=p_0}^{q_0} \langle x, z_j \rangle \leq \sum_{m=l}^k \left| \sum_{j=j_m}^{j_{m+1}-1} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{j=j_l}^{p_0} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right| + \left| \sum_{j=q_0}^{j_{k+1}-1} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=j_m}^{j_{m+1}-1} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right| &= \frac{|\langle x, y_{i_{m+1}}^{[j_m, j_{m+1}-1]} \rangle|}{|\langle x_{i_{m+1}}, y_{i_{m+1}} \rangle|} \\ &\leq \frac{(|\langle x, y_{i_{m+1}} \rangle| + |\langle x, y_{i_{m+1}}^{[j_m]} \rangle| + |\langle x, y_{i_{m+1}} - y_{i_{m+1}}^{[j_{m+1}-1]} \rangle|)}{|\langle x_{i_{m+1}}, y_{i_{m+1}} \rangle|} \\ &\leq \frac{(\sup\{|\langle x, y_n \rangle| : n \in \mathbf{N}\} + |\langle x, y_{i_{m+1}}^{[j_m]} \rangle| + \frac{1}{m})}{|\langle x_{i_{m+1}}, y_{i_{m+1}} \rangle|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2m} (\sup\{|\langle x, y_n \rangle| : n \in \mathbf{N}\} + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{m=l}^k \left| \sum_{j=j_m}^{j_{m+1}-1} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{l-1}} (\sup\{|\langle x, y_n \rangle| : n \in \mathbf{N}\} + 2).$$

Since  $\|y_{i_{n+1}}\| \geq |\langle x_{i_{n+1}}, y_{i_{n+1}} \rangle| > \|y_{i_{n+1}}\| - 1 > n2^n$ , so

$$(3) \quad \lim_n \frac{\|y_{i_n}\|}{|\langle x_{i_n}, y_{i_n} \rangle|} = 1.$$

Note that  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is an  $AK$ -space, we have

$$(4) \quad \lim_{u,v} \sup_{y \in F, \|y\| \leq 1} |\langle x, y^{[u,v]} \rangle| = \lim_{u,v} \sup_{y \in F, \|y\| \leq 1} |\langle x^{[u,v]}, y \rangle| = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=j_l}^{p_0} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right| &= \frac{|\langle x, y_{i_{l+1}}^{[p_0]} - y_{i_{l+1}}^{[j_l]} \rangle|}{|\langle x_{i_{l+1}}, y_{i_{l+1}} \rangle|} \\ &= \frac{\|y_{i_{l+1}}\|}{|\langle x_{i_{l+1}}, y_{i_{l+1}} \rangle|} \times \frac{|\langle x^{[j_l, p_0]}, y_{i_{l+1}} \rangle|}{\|y_{i_{l+1}}\|}. \\ \left| \sum_{j=q_0}^{j_{k+1}-1} \langle x, z_j \rangle \right| &= \frac{\|y_{i_{k+1}}\|}{|\langle x_{i_{k+1}}, y_{i_{k+1}} \rangle|} \times \frac{|\langle x^{[q_0, j_{k+1}-1]}, y_{i_{k+1}} \rangle|}{\|y_{i_{k+1}}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2), (3) and (4) that whenever  $p_0, q_0 \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$|\langle x, \omega_{q_0} - \omega_{p_0-1} \rangle| \rightarrow 0.$$

So  $\{\langle x, \omega_k \rangle\}$  is convergent. Thus, we have proved that  $(\omega_k) \in E^{<\beta>}$ . This is a contradiction. The case 1 is proved.

**Case 2.**  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  has the 0-gliding hump property:

Since  $\sup\{|\langle x, y_i \rangle| : x \in B(E), i \in \mathbf{N}\} = \infty$ , so there are  $r_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $x_1 \in B(E)$  such that  $|\langle x_1, y_{r_1} \rangle| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ . Note that  $E^{<\beta>} = F$ , so there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$|\langle x_1, y_{r_1}^{[n_1]} \rangle| \geq 1.$$

Similarly, for  $2 + \sup\{|\langle x, y_i^{[n_1]} \rangle| : i \in \mathbf{N}, x \in B(E)\} + 1$ , there are  $r_2 > r_1, x_2 \in B(E)$  such that

$$|\langle x_2, y_{r_2} \rangle| \geq 2 + \sup\{|\langle x, y_i^{[n_1]} \rangle| : i \in \mathbf{N}, x \in B(E)\} + 1.$$

So, there is a  $n_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ , satisfying

$$| \langle x_2, y_{r_2}^{[n_1+1, n_2]} \rangle | \geq 2.$$

Continuing this construction we can obtain  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ ,  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ , and  $\{x_k\} \subseteq B(E)$  such that

$$| \langle x_k, y_{r_k}^{[n_{k-1}+1, n_k]} \rangle | \geq k, k \in \mathbf{N}, k \geq 2.$$

That is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} | \langle x_k, \frac{y_{r_k}^{[n_{k-1}+1, n_k]}}{\sqrt{k}} \rangle | \geq 1, k \in \mathbf{N}, k \geq 2.$$

Equivalently,

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} | \langle \frac{x_k^{[n_{k-1}+1, n_k]}}{\sqrt{k}}, y_{r_k} \rangle | \geq 1, k \in \mathbf{N}, k \geq 2.$$

Consider the infinite matrix  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \langle \frac{x_i^{[n_{i-1}+1, n_i]}}{\sqrt{i}}, y_{r_k} \rangle)$ . It is clear that for every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \langle \frac{x_i^{[n_{i-1}+1, n_i]}}{\sqrt{i}}, y_{r_k} \rangle = 0.$$

For every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , it follows from  $\{\frac{x_i}{\sqrt{i}}\}$  converging to 0 and  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  having the 0-gliding hump property that every subsequence  $\{[n_{i_{p_m}-1}+1, n_{i_{p_m}}]\}$  of  $\{[n_{i-1}+1, n_i]\}$  has a subsequence  $\{[n_{i_{p_m}-1}+1, n_{i_{p_m}}]\}$  of  $\{[n_{i_{p-1}}+1, n_{i_p}]\}$  and  $x_0 \in E$  such that in the norm topology,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i_{p_m}}^{[n_{i_{p_m}-1}+1, n_{i_{p_m}}]}}{\sqrt{i_{p_m}}} = x_0.$$

So we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \langle \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i_{p_m}}^{[n_{i_{p_m}-1}+1, n_{i_{p_m}}]}}{\sqrt{i_{p_m}}}, y_{r_k} \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \langle x_0, y_{r_k} \rangle = 0.$$

By the Antosik-Mikusinski basic matrix theorem ([11]) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \langle \frac{x_k^{[n_{k-1}+1, n_k]}}{\sqrt{k}}, y_{r_k} \rangle = 0.$$

This contradicts (5) and so case 2 is also true. The theorem is proved.

It follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 that:



**Corollary 1.** *Let  $E$  be a normed space with dual  $F$  and let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$  and  $E$  be an AK-space with respect to the norm topology. If the section mappings  $\{\rho_n\}$  have the uniform boundedness property and the strong gliding hump property, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $E$  is barrelled,
- (2) Every  $E^{[n]}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , is barrelled and  $E^{\langle \beta \rangle} = F$ .

*Note that if  $E^{\langle \beta \rangle} = F$  and  $\beta(F, E)$  is an AK-space, then  $E$  has the bounded uniform convergence property. In addition, it follows from Lemma 2 that if  $E'$  is an AK-space, then  $E$  is also an AK-space. Thus, we can obtain the main result of [1], that is*

**Corollary 2.** [1]. *Let  $E$  be a normed space with dual  $F$  and let a system of sections be fixed on  $\langle E, F \rangle$ ,  $E' = F$  be an AK-space with respect to the dual norm topology. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $E$  is barrelled,
- (2) Every  $E^{[n]}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , is barrelled and  $E^{\langle \beta \rangle} = F$ .

*Finally, we use Theorem 1 to prove the barrelledness of the dense subspace  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) of  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ .*

Following the terminology of references [1], [6] and [7], a Banach space  $E$  with a system of sections has the Wilansky property, provided a dense subspace  $D$  of  $E$  is barrelled if and only if the  $\beta$ -duals of  $D$  and  $E$  coincide. The practical use of this property is the following:

Suppose we want to show that two spaces  $E$  and  $F$  coincide, (where  $F$  is a dense subspace of  $E$ , say). If the Banach space  $E$  has the Wilansky property, and if  $F$  is itself a Banach space with a finer topology, then it suffices to show that the  $\beta$ -duals of  $E$  and  $F$  coincide. Because then  $F$  will be barrelled as a subspace of  $E$ , and the identity  $I : F \rightarrow E$  will be continuous for these two topologies by the closed graph theorem, so the two topologies will coincide, and since  $F$  is dense, this will imply  $E = F$ .

In a concrete situation, the use of this might be that we want to show that two properties  $E$  and  $F$  are equivalent. While it may be hard to show this directly, it could be much easier to show that the  $\beta$ -duals of  $E$  and  $F$  coincide. So we hope that  $E$  has the Wilansky property.

But, note that the Banach space  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  does not have the Wilansky property in the sense above, so it is not possible to identify barrelledness of the subspace  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) by means of their  $\beta$ -dual space. In addition, note that the dual space  $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  of  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) is not an AK-space, so the barrelledness of  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) can not also be obtained by the Corollary 2. On the other hand, it is very easily to prove that  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) has the

0-gliding hump property, so it follows from Theorem 1 that  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) is a barrelled subspace of  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ . That is

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  and  $l^p = \{(t_j) : \sum_j |t_j|^p < \infty\}$ . Then  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a proper dense barrelled subspace of  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ .*

Corollary 3 showed that our Theorem 1 extended substantially the main result in [1].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to express their sincerely thanks to the referee for his important comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Noll and W. Stadler. Abstract Sliding Hump Techniques and Characterization of Barrelled Spaces. *Studia Math.*, **94** (1989), 103-120.
2. D. Noll. Sequential Completeness and Spaces with the Gliding Hump Property. *Manuscripta Math.*, **66** (1990), 237-252
3. C. Swartz. The Gliding Hump Property in Vector Sequence Spaces. *Monatsh. Math.*, **116** (1993), 147-158
4. Wu Junde, Li Ronglu, C. Swartz. Continuity and Boundedness for Operator-Valued Matrix Mappings. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **2(4)** (1998), 447-455.
5. J. Swetits. A Characterization Of a Class of Barrelled Sequence Spaces. *Glasgow Math. J.*, **19** (1978), 27-31.
6. J. Mendoza. A Barrelledness Criterion for  $C_0(E)$ . *Arch. Math.*, **40** (1983), 156-158.
7. C. Stuart. Normed Barrelled Spaces. Algebraic Analysis and Related Topics, *Banach Center Publications.*, **53** (2000), 205-210.
8. W. Stadler. Zu einer Frage von Wilansky. *Arch. Math.*, **48** (1987), 149-152.
9. G. Bennett. Sequence Spaces with Small  $\beta$ -duals. *Math. Z.*, **194** (1987), 321-329.
10. G. Bennett. Some Inclusion Theorems for Sequence Spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, **46** (1973), 17-30.
11. P. Antosik, C. Swartz, *Matrix Methods in Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1985, p. 1113.

Junde Wu  
Department of Mathematics,  
Zhejiang University,  
Yuquan Campus,  
Hangzhou 310027, China  
E-mail: wjd@math.zju.edu.cn

Jianwen Luo  
School of Management,  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University,  
Shanghai 200052, China

Chengri Cui  
Department of Mathematics,  
Yanbian University,  
Yanji, China