

EXISTENCE THEOREM OF CONE SADDLE-POINTS APPLYING A NONLINEAR SCALARIZATION

Kenji Kimura and Tamaki Tanaka

Abstract. This paper is concerned with a nonlinear scalarization for vector-valued functions. We consider applying the scalarization to existence of cone saddle-points. Some properties of the scalarization about cone-continuity and cone-convexity are described and then as an application, an existence theorem for vector saddle-points is treated.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with applying a scalarization of vector-valued functions by nonconvex separation to existence theorems of cone saddle-points. The scalarization has been studied in [1,3]. In this paper, we compile some useful properties for the existence theorems. An application of those properties has been considered in Theorem 4 and [2].

The organization of this paper is given as follows. In Section 2 we consider some properties of an ordering cone in a normed space, and then we state some definitions concerned with continuity and convexity for vector-valued functions. In Section 3 we consider a scalarization with the ordering cone for vector-valued functions and study some properties of the scalarizing function. In Section 4 we show an existence theorem for a vector-valued saddle-point problem as an application by means of its scalarization.

Received December 6, 2004; Revised June 27, 2005; Accepted October 5, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 90C29; Secondary 52A41.

Key words and phrases: Vector-valued function, Scalarizing function, Cone semicontinuity, Cone convexity, Weak saddle point, Existence theorem.

This work is based on research 13640097 supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science of Japan.

Dedicated to Professor Hang-Chin Lai for his 70th birthday.

2. PRELIMINARY AND TERMINOLOGY

In the beginning, we give some notations used throughout this paper. We denote the topological interior, closure and boundary of a set S by $\text{int } S$, $\text{cl } S$ and $\text{bd } S$, respectively, and the complementary set of S by S^c . In addition, we denote the composite of two functions f and g by $g \circ f$.

Before dealing with the nonlinear scalarization for vector-valued functions, we consider some properties of ordering cones on normed spaces; a convex cone induces a partial ordering, and we call such one an ordering cone. Throughout of the paper, let Z be a normed space over the real scalar field R , and let C be a solid pointed convex cone in Z . Solidness means that the topological interior is nonempty, and then we have

$$\text{int } C + (-\text{int } C) = Z.$$

Moreover, for any $k \in \text{int } C$ and $z \in Z$ there exists $t \in R$ such that

$$(1) \quad z \in (t \cdot k - C).$$

We see this property by the following facts:

- (i) Every neighborhood U of the origin of Z is an absorbing set, i.e., for any $x \in Z$ there exists $t > 0$ such that $t \cdot x \in U$.
- (ii) C is a cone.

The property remains even if C in (1) replaced by $\text{int } C$ or $\text{cl } C$. Pointedness means that

$$C \cap (-C) = \{0_Z\},$$

where 0_Z stands for the origin point of Z . Indeed, by the pointedness and solidness, if C in (1) is replaced by $\text{bd } C$ or C^c , the property (1) is held; and especially the fact that for any $k \in \text{int } C$ and $z \in Z$ there exists $t \in R$ such that

$$(2) \quad z \in (t \cdot k - \text{bd } C),$$

has a meaning in Lemma 1.

Here, we note connections of Propositions, Lemmas, and Theorems in the paper briefly. Theorem 4 is led by Theorems 1, 2 and 3, and Corollary 1. Theorems 1 and 3 are led by Proposition 2 and Lemma 1. Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are led by Lemma 1. Lemma 1 is led by Proposition 3 and Lemma 3. Lemma 3 is led by Propositions 3 and 4. Propositions 2 and 3 are led by Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. ([9]). *Let Z be a normed space. If C is a solid convex cone in Z , then*

$$\text{cl } C + \text{int } C = \text{int } C.$$

Proposition 2. *Let Z be a normed space and C a solid convex cone in Z . If $z \notin (-\text{int } C)$ then*

$$(z + \text{cl } C) \cap (-\text{int } C) = \emptyset.$$

Moreover, If $z \notin (-\text{cl } C)$ then

$$(z + \text{cl } C) \cap (-\text{cl } C) = \emptyset.$$

Proof. This is clear from Proposition 1. ■

Proposition 3. *Let Z be a normed space, C a solid convex cone in Z with $C \neq Z$, and $k \in \text{int } C$. Then, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ the following three conditions are equivalent each other:*

- (i) $a < b$,
- (ii) $(a \cdot k - \text{cl } C) \subset (b \cdot k - \text{int } C)$,
- (iii) $(a \cdot k - \text{bd } C) \cap (b \cdot k - \text{int } C) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. This is clear from Proposition 1. ■

Remark 1. Proposition 3 implies that if $a \neq b$ then $(a \cdot k - \text{bd } C) \cap (b \cdot k - \text{bd } C) = \emptyset$.

Proposition 4. *Let Z be a normed space and C a solid pointed convex cone in Z . Assume that $k \in \text{int } C$ and that $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

- (i) $z \notin t \cdot k - \text{cl } C$ if and only if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$z \notin (t + \varepsilon) \cdot k - \text{cl } C, \text{ and}$$

- (ii) $z \in t \cdot k - \text{int } C$ if and only if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$z \in (t - \varepsilon) \cdot k - \text{int } C.$$

Proof. The proof is clear from the properties of closed set and open set, respectively. ■

Next, we give some definitions about continuities and convexities concerned with respect to ordering cone C .

Definition 1. ([3, 8].) Let X be a topological space, Z a normed space with a partial ordering defined by a solid pointed convex cone C . A vector-valued function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is said to be C -continuous at $x \in X$ if it satisfies one of the following three equivalent conditions:

- (i) $f^{-1}(z + \text{int } C)$ is open.
- (ii) For any neighborhood $V \subset Z$ of $f(x)$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x such that $f(u) \in V + C$ for all $u \in U$.
- (iii) For any $k \in \text{int } C$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x such that $f(u) \in f(x) - k + \text{int } C$ for all $u \in U$.

Remark 2. Whenever $Z = R$ and $C = R_+$, C -continuity and $(-C)$ -continuity are the same as ordinary lower and upper semicontinuity, respectively. In [8, Definition 2.1 (pp.314-315)] corresponding to ordinary functionals, the above C -continuous is called C -lower semicontinuous, and $(-C)$ -continuous is called C -upper semicontinuous.

Definition 2. ([7].) Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space with a partial ordering defined by a solid pointed convex cone C . A vector-valued function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is said to be C -convex on K if

$$\lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) \in f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + C$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3. ([7].) Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space with a partial ordering defined by a solid pointed convex cone C . A vector-valued function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is said to be C -properly quasiconvex on K if either

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \in f(x_1) - C,$$

or

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \in f(x_2) - C,$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 4. ([7].) Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space with a partial ordering defined by a solid pointed convex cone C . A vector-valued function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is said to be C -naturally quasiconvex on K if

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \in \text{co} \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} - C,$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where $\text{co } S$ stands for the convex hull of the set S .

Definition 5. ([7].) Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space with a partial ordering defined by a solid pointed convex cone C . A function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is said to be C -quasiconvex on K if it satisfies one of the following two equivalent conditions:

(i) for each $x_1, x_2 \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \in z - C, \text{ for all } z \in C(f(x_1), f(x_2)),$$

where $C(f(x_1), f(x_2))$ is the set of upper bounds of $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$, i.e.,

$$(3) \quad C(f(x_1), f(x_2)) := \{z \in Z : z \in f(x_1) + C \text{ and } z \in f(x_2) + C\};$$

(ii) for each $z \in Z$,

$$A(z) := \{x \in K : f(x) \in z - C\}$$

is convex or empty.

In Definitions 2-5, if f is $(-C)$ -convex, $(-C)$ -properly quasiconvex, $(-C)$ -naturally quasiconvex, $(-C)$ -quasiconvex then we call f C -concave, C -properly quasiconcave, C -naturally quasiconcave, C -quasiconcave, respectively.

3. NONLINEAR SCALARIZATION BY NONCONVEX SEPERATION FOR VECTOR-VALUED MAPS

Let Z be a normed space, C a solid pointed convex cone in Z and k an interior point of C . We consider the scalarizing function h from Z to R as follows:

$$(4) \quad h(z; k) := \inf\{t \in R : z \in t \cdot k - C\}.$$

By the argument on (1) in Section 2, we see that for any $z \in Z$ and $k \in \text{int } C$ there exists uniquely a corresponding real number to $h(z; k)$, and we know that $h(z; k)$ is subadditive and positive homogeneous. For convenience, it may be written as h_k instead of $h(\cdot; k)$.

Next, we give some useful properties of the above scalarizing function.

Lemma 1. ([1, Theorem 2.1]) *Let Z be a normed space, C a solid pointed convex cone in Z , $k \in \text{int } C$, and $h(\cdot; k)$ the scalarizing function defined by (4). Then for any $z \in Z$ and $t \in R$ we have:*

- (i) $z \in t \cdot k - \text{int } C$ if and only if $h(z; k) < t$,
- (ii) $z \in t \cdot k - \text{bd } C$ if and only if $h(z; k) = t$, and
- (iii) $z \notin t \cdot k - \text{cl } C$ if and only if $h(z; k) > t$.

Corollary 1. *Let Z be a normed space with the partial ordering by solid pointed convex cone C , $k \in \text{int } C$, and $h(\cdot; k)$ the scalarizing function defined by (4). Then, we have:*

- (i) if $z_1 \in z_2 - C$ then $h(z_1; k) \leq h(z_2; k)$,

- (ii) if $z_1 \in z_2 - \text{int } C$ then $h(z_1; k) < h(z_2; k)$, and
 (iii) if $h(z_1; k) \leq h(z_2; k)$ then $z_2 \notin z_1 - \text{int } C$.

Proof. From Lemma 1, the proof follows immediately. ■

Theorem 1. *Let X be a topological space, Z a normed space with the partial ordering by a solid pointed convex cone C in Z and $k \in \text{int } C$. Let h_k be the scalarizing function on Z defined by (4) and f a vector-valued function from X to Z .*

- (i) *If f is C -continuous at $x \in X$, then $(h_k \circ f)$ is lower semicontinuous at $x \in X$.*
 (ii) *If f is $(-C)$ -continuous at $x \in X$, then $(h_k \circ f)$ is upper semicontinuous at $x \in X$.*

Remark 3. If cone C is closed, then Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 in [5] for single-valued cases are reduced to (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1, respectively.

Corollary 2. *Let X be a topological space, Z a normed space with the partial ordering by a solid pointed convex cone C in Z and $k \in \text{int } C$. Let h_k be the scalarizing function on Z defined by (4) and f a vector-valued function from X to Z . If f is C -continuous and $(-C)$ -continuous at $x \in X$, then $(h_k \circ f)$ is continuous at $x \in X$.*

Remark 4. In the case that C has a bounded closed convex base, the C -continuity and $(-C)$ -continuity of the vector-valued function f guarantee the continuity of f , nevertheless $h_k \circ f$ is continuous; see [3, Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.4 (pp. 22-23)].

Theorem 2. (see [3, Proposition 6.3 (p. 30)]). *Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space, a solid pointed convex cone C in Z and $k \in \text{int } C$. Let h_k be the scalarizing function on Z defined by (4) and f a vector-valued function from X to Z . Then, f is C -quasiconvex on K if and only if $(h_k \circ f)$ is quasiconvex on K .*

Corollary 3. *Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space and a solid pointed convex cone C in Z and $k \in \text{int } C$. Let h_k be the scalarizing function on Z defined by (4) and f a vector-valued function from X to Z . If f is C -naturally quasiconvex on K , then $(h_k \circ f)$ is quasiconvex on K . Moreover, if f is C -properly quasiconvex on K , then $(h_k \circ f)$ is quasiconvex on K .*

Proof. If f is C -properly quasiconvex on K then f is also C -naturally quasiconvex on K , and if f is C -naturally quasiconvex on K then f is also C -quasiconvex on K ; see [7, Theorem 2.1]. ■

Theorem 3. *Let K be a convex set in a real vector space X , Z a normed space, a solid pointed convex cone C in Z and $k \in \text{int } C$. Let h_k be the scalarizing function on Z defined by (4) and f a vector-valued function from X to Z . If f is $(-C)$ -properly quasiconvex on K , then $(h_k \circ f)$ is quasiconcave on K .*

Proof. Let $\text{Lev}_{\geq}((h_k \circ f); \alpha)$ be the upper level set of $(h_k \circ f)$ at a scalar α , i.e.,

$$\text{Lev}_{\geq}((h_k \circ f); \alpha) := \{x \in K : (h_k \circ f)(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Lev}_{\geq}((h_k \circ f); \alpha)$, by Lemma 1,

$$f(x_1), f(x_2) \notin (\alpha \cdot k - \text{int } C).$$

By Proposition 2, we have

$$(f(x_i) + C) \cap (\alpha \cdot k - \text{int } C) = \emptyset \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Thus, by the $(-C)$ -properly quasiconvexity of f , we have

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \notin (\alpha \cdot k - \text{int } C),$$

which implies that $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in \text{Lev}_{\geq}((h_k \circ f); \alpha)$, by Lemma 1. ■

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we consider the vector-valued saddle-point problem, and we show an existence theorem of weak C -saddle-points as an application of the scalarization.

Let X and Y be nonempty subsets in two normed spaces, respectively, and Z a normed space with a partial ordering by induced a solid pointed convex cone in Z . Suppose that F is a vector-valued function from $X \times Y$ to Z , then the vector-valued saddle-point problem is to find a pair $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} F(x, y) - F(u, y) \notin \text{int } C & \text{for all } u \in X, \\ F(x, v) - F(x, y) \notin \text{int } C & \text{for all } v \in Y. \end{cases}$$

A point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is said to be a weak C -saddle-point of function F on $X \times Y$, if it is a solution of the problem.

Theorem 4. *Let X and Y be nonempty compact convex sets in two normed spaces, respectively, and Z a normed space with a partial ordering induced by a solid pointed convex cone C in Z . If a vector-valued function $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ satisfies that*

- (i) $x \mapsto F(x, y)$ is C -continuous and C -quasiconvex on X for every $y \in Y$,
(ii) $y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is $(-C)$ -continuous and $(-C)$ -properly quasiconvex on Y for every $x \in X$,

then F has at least one weak C -saddle point.

Proof. Since C is solid we can take $k \in \text{int } C$, and so we can define the scalarizing function h_k in (4). We see that, by Theorems 1 and 2, the map $x \mapsto (h_k \circ F)(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous and quasiconvex on X , and we see that, by Theorems 1 and 3, the map $y \mapsto (h_k \circ F)(x, y)$ is upper semicontinuous and quasiconcave on Y . By Sion's minimax theorem [6], $(h_k \circ F)$ has an ordinary saddle point and by Corollary 1, F has at least one weak C -saddle-point. ■

Theorem 5. *Let X be a compact convex set of a normed space, and Z a normed space with a partial ordering defined by a solid pointed convex cone C . If $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is C -quasiconvex on X , then $\text{argmin } h \circ f(x)$ is a convex set in X , and*

$$(\text{argmin } h \circ f(x)) \subset \{x \in X : f(u) - f(x) \notin -\text{int } C \text{ for all } u \in X\},$$

where $\text{argmin } h \circ f(x) := \{x \in X : h \circ f(x) = \min_{u \in X} h \circ f(u)\}$.

Remark 5. Theorem 5 is a useful result. We can consider something like a convex envelope for vector-valued functions by using Theorem 5 with Theorems 1, 2, and 3. Its detail and application have been studied in [2].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to Professor Lai-Jiu Lin of National Changhua University of Education for his valuable comments and encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. C. Gerth and P. Weidner. Nonconvex separation theorems and some applications in vector optimization, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **67** (1990), 297-320.
2. K. Kimura and T. Tanaka, Existence result for vector-valued saddle-point problem by using convex envelope, *Nonlinear analysis and convex analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2004, pp. 187-193.
3. D. T. Luc, Lecture notes in economics and mathematical systems, *Theory of vector optimization, Vol 319*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
4. D. T. Luc and C. Vargas, A saddlepoint theorem for set-valued maps, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **18** (1992), 1-7.

5. S. Nishizawa, T. Tanaka and P. G. Georgiev, On inherited properties of set-valued maps, *Nonlinear analysis and convex analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2003, pp. 341-350.
6. M. Sion, On general minimax theorems, *Pacific. J. Math.*, **8** (1958), 295-320.
7. T. Tanaka, Cone-quasiconvexity of vector-valued functions, *Sci. Rep. Hirosaki Univ.*, **42** (1995), 157-163.
8. T. Tanaka, Generalized semicontinuity and existence theorems for cone saddle points, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **36** (1997), 313-322.
9. T. Tanaka and D. Kuroiwa, The convexity of A and B assures $\text{int } A + B = \text{int } (A + B)$, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **6** (1993), 83-86.

Kenji Kimura

Department of Applied Mathematics,
National Sun Yat-Sen University,
Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan.
E-mail: kimura@math.nsysu.edu.tw

Tamaki Tanaka

Graduate School of Science and Technology,
Niigata University,
8050, Ikarashi 2-no-cho,
Niigata 950-2181, Japan
E-mail: tamaki@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp