

ALMOST CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES IN BANACH SPACES IN WEAK, STRONG, AND ABSOLUTE SENSES

Yuan-Chuan Li

Abstract. We introduce concepts of σ -lim sup and σ -lim inf for bounded sequences of real numbers and show a Cauchy criterion for sequences of vectors which converge in the sense of $a\sigma$ -limit (i.e., absolute almost convergence). Then a sufficient condition on a bounded sequence $\{\{x_n^{(m)}\}_{n=1}^\infty\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \ell^\infty(X)$ is given for the following equality to hold:

$$a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)}.$$

Finally, applying this result we show that $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\sin(n\theta))$ and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\cos(n\theta))$ exist whenever f is a weakly continuous function on $[-1, 1]$ with values in a reflexive Banach space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real or complex normed linear space. Let π_σ denote the set of all Banach limits on ℓ^∞ , the space of all bounded sequences in \mathbb{C} with the sup-norm. Recall that a Banach limit ϕ is a positive linear functional on ℓ^∞ , which satisfies

$$\phi(\{a_{n+k}\}) = \phi(\{a_n\}) \text{ for all } \{a_n\} \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and maps convergent sequences to their limits. It is known that π_σ is a weakly*-compact set.

In 1948, Lorentz [5] defined the σ -limit for a bounded sequence $\{a_n\} \in \ell^\infty$ as

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim a_n := a$$

if $\phi(\{a_n\}) = a$ for all $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$. Some related researches on σ -limit can be found in [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. In this paper, for convenience we shall sometimes write $\phi(a_n)$ or

Received April 6, 2005.

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46C05, 46B99.

Key words and phrases: Banach limit, σ -Limit, $a\sigma$ -Limit, Weak almost-convergence, Strong almost-convergence, Absolute almost-convergence.

Research supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan.

$\phi_n(a_n)$ instead of $\phi(\{a_n\})$.

In [4], we generalize the definition of σ -limit from ℓ^∞ to $\ell^\infty(X)$, the space of all bounded sequences in a general normed linear space X , equipped with the sup norm. A bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to have a σ -limit $x \in X$ (cf. [4]) if $\sigma\text{-lim}\langle x_n, x^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. It was shown [4, Theorem 3.2] that a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has a σ -limit $x \in X$ if and only if it is *weakly almost-convergent* to x , i.e., for every $x^* \in X^*$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \langle x_{k+m}, x^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle$$

uniformly on $m \geq 0$. In the same paper, we showed that if $\sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x$, then $x \in \overline{c\sigma}\{x_n; n \geq 0\}$. $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *strongly almost-convergent to x* (cf. [3]) if

$$s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n x_{k+m} = x \quad (\text{convergence in norm})$$

uniformly on $m \geq 0$. If $\sigma\text{-lim } \|x_n - x\| = 0$, we will say that $\{x_n\}$ is *absolutely almost convergent* or *$a\sigma$ -convergent* to x , and will use the notation $a\sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x$ (Note that in [3] we have used the notation $s\sigma\text{-lim}$. To distinguish absolute almost-convergence from strong almost-convergence, in this paper we adopt the notation $a\sigma\text{-lim}$ instead of $s\sigma\text{-lim}$). It is known [3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{strong convergence} &\Rightarrow \text{absolute almost-convergence} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{strong almost-convergence} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{weak almost-convergence.} \end{aligned}$$

These implications are strict. Related counter-examples can be found in [3] and [4]. It is known [2] that $\{x_n\}$ strongly converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is strongly almost-convergent to x and $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, strong almost-convergence implies $(C, 1)$ -convergence. But there is no relation between $(C, 1)$ -convergence and weak almost-convergence.

Let $X_\sigma := \{\{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X); \sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x \text{ for some } x \in X\}$, and $X_{a\sigma} := \{\{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X); a\sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x \text{ for some } x \in X\}$. These two spaces are closed linear subspaces of $\ell^\infty(X)$. In particular, the space $\mathbb{C}_{a\sigma}$ is a unital Banach subalgebra of ℓ^∞ and every Banach limit on $\mathbb{C}_{a\sigma}$ is a multiplicative linear functional on $\mathbb{C}_{a\sigma}$ [3, Corollary 2.9].

Now we define notions of \limsup and \liminf in the sense of σ -limit and Cauchy sequence in the sense of $a\sigma$ -limit.

Definition 1.

- (a) Let $\{a_n\}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. We define $\sigma\text{-lim sup } a_n := \sup_{\phi \in \pi_\sigma} \phi(\{a_n\})$ and $\sigma\text{-lim inf } a_n := \inf_{\phi \in \pi_\sigma} \phi(\{a_n\})$.

(b) A sequence $\{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X)$ is said to be a $a\sigma$ -Cauchy sequence if

$$\sigma\text{-}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| = 0,$$

which, by (a), is equivalent to

$$\psi_n(\phi_m(\|x_n - x_m\|)) = 0 \text{ for all } \phi, \psi \in \pi_\sigma.$$

It is clear that $a = \sigma\text{-}\lim a_n$ exists if and only if $\sigma\text{-}\lim \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sigma\text{-}\lim \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$. In particular, for $a_n \geq 0$, $\sigma\text{-}\lim a_n = 0$ if and only if $\sigma\text{-}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. Thus, for $\{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X)$, where X is a real Banach space, $x = \sigma\text{-}\lim x_n$ exists if and only if

$$\sigma\text{-}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, x^* \rangle = \sigma\text{-}\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, x^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle$$

for all $x^* \in X^*$; and $x = a\sigma\text{-}\lim x_n$ exists if and only if $\sigma\text{-}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$, i.e., $\phi(\{\|x_n - x\|\}) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$.

If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X , it is easy to see that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| = 0.$$

In Theorem 2.3 we prove an analogous Cauchy criterion in the sense of $a\sigma$ -limit. In Theorem 2.4, we give a sufficient condition on a sequence $\{\{x_n^{(m)}\}_{n=0}^\infty\}_{m=0}^\infty$ in $\ell^\infty(X)$ for the following equality to hold

$$a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)}.$$

In Section 3, we first give two examples showing the existence of $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin^m(n\theta)$, $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^m(n\theta)$, and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{in\theta}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Using these facts and applying Theorem 2.4, we show (Theorem 3.3) that for any weakly continuous function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$ both $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\sin(n\theta))$ and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\cos(n\theta))$ exists. It is also shown that if a function $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous on the closed disc Δ of \mathbb{C} and is analytic in the interior of Δ , then $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta})$ exists.

2. Main Result

Recall that the canonical mapping $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is defined by $\langle x^*, J_x \rangle := \langle x, x^* \rangle \equiv x^*(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two bounded sequences in X . Suppose there is a $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$ such that*

$$\psi_n(\phi_m(\|x_n - y_m\|)) = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \pi_\sigma.$$

Then $a\sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x$ for some $x \in X$ and $\langle x, x^ \rangle = \phi_m(\langle y_m, x^* \rangle)$.*

Proof. Define $h(x^*) := \phi_m(\langle y_m, x^* \rangle)$ for $x^* \in X^*$. It is clear that $h \in X^{**}$. Then we have for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x^* \in X^*$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x^*, J_{x_n} - h \rangle| &= |\langle x_n, x^* \rangle - \phi_m(\langle y_m, x^* \rangle)| \\ &\leq \phi_m(\|x_n - y_m, x^*\|) \\ &\leq \phi_m(\|x_n - y_m\|) \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|J_{x_n} - h\| \leq \phi_m(\|y_m - x_n\|)$. By the assumption, we have for every $\psi \in \pi_\sigma$

$$\psi_n(\|J_{x_n} - h\|) \leq \psi_n(\phi_m(\|y_m - x_n\|)) = 0.$$

Therefore we have $a\sigma\text{-lim } J_{x_n} = h$ and hence $\{J_{x_n}\}$ is strongly almost-convergent to h . This shows that $h \in J(X)$. Hence $h = J_x$ for some $x \in X$, which implies that

$$\phi_m(\langle y_m, x^* \rangle) = h(x^*) = \langle x^*, J_x \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle.$$

Since $\|J_{x_n} - h\| = \|J_{x_n} - J_x\| = \|x_n - x\|$ for all $n \geq 1$, we must have

$$\sigma\text{-lim } \|x_n - x\| = \sigma\text{-lim } \|J_{x_n} - J_x\| = 0.$$

This proves that $a\sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x$ and the proof is complete.

If we take $x_n = y_n$ for all n in Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following Cauchy criterion for the existence of the $a\sigma$ -limit.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in X . Suppose there is a $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$ such that*

$$\psi_n(\phi_m(\|x_n - x_m\|)) = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \pi_\sigma.$$

Then $a\sigma\text{-lim } x_n = x$ for some $x \in X$.

If the sequence $\{x_n\} \in X_{a\sigma}$ has the $a\sigma$ -limit x , then $\phi(\{\|x_n - x\|\}) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$, so that $\sigma\text{-lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma\text{-lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| \\ &\leq \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| + \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_m\| \\ &= \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| + \sigma\text{-lim sup}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_m\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, a $a\sigma$ -convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ must be a $a\sigma$ -Cauchy sequence. Combining this fact and Corollary 2.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *A sequence $\{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X)$ is $a\sigma$ -convergent if and only if it is a $a\sigma$ -Cauchy sequence in X .*

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose X is a Banach space. If $\{\mathbf{w}^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ ($\mathbf{w}^{(m)} := \{x_n^{(m)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \in X_\sigma$) is a sequence in X_σ such that*

$$a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{w}^{(m)} = \mathbf{w} \text{ for some } \mathbf{w} = \{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X).$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $y_m := \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)}$. Then $a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{w} \in X_\sigma$, and $a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, that is,

$$(2.1) \quad a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)}.$$

In particular, if $\{\mathbf{w}^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in X_σ converging to a bounded sequence $\mathbf{w} = \{x_n\} \in \ell^\infty(X)$ in sup-norm, then $\mathbf{w} \in X_\sigma$ and

$$(2.2) \quad s\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

Proof. Since $\|x_n^{(m)} - x_n\| \leq \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}\|_\infty$ for all $m, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{w}^{(m)} = \mathbf{w}$, we have $a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = x_n$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows from the closedness of X_σ (cf. [3, Theorem 2.6]) that $\mathbf{w} = a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{w}^{(m)} \in X_\sigma$.

Hence $x := \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ exists. By Theorem 2.3, $\{\mathbf{w}^{(m)}\}$ is a $a\sigma$ -Cauchy sequence. Therefore we have for all $x^* \in X^*$, $m, n, l = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\langle y_m - x, x^* \rangle = \langle y_m - x_n^{(m)}, x^* \rangle + \langle x_n^{(m)} - x_n^{(l)}, x^* \rangle + \langle x_n^{(l)} - x_n, x^* \rangle + \langle x_n - x, x^* \rangle.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \langle y_m - x, x^* \rangle \\ & \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle y_m - x_n^{(m)}, x^* \rangle + \|x_n^{(m)} - x_n^{(l)}\| \cdot \|x^*\| \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \langle x_n^{(l)} - x_n, x^* \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle x_n - x, x^* \rangle \\ & \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle y_m - x_n^{(m)}, x^* \rangle + \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}^{(l)}\|_\infty \cdot \|x^*\| \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \langle x_n^{(l)} - x_n, x^* \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle x_n - x, x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have for every $\phi, \psi \in \pi_\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\langle y_m - x, x^* \rangle \\
& \leq \psi_n(\operatorname{Re}\langle y_m - x_n^{(m)}, x^* \rangle) + \sigma\text{-}\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}^{(l)}\|_\infty \cdot \|x^*\| \\
& \quad + \psi_n(\operatorname{Re}\phi_l(\langle x_n^{(l)} - x_n, x^* \rangle)) + \psi_n(\operatorname{Re}\langle x_n - x, x^* \rangle) \\
(2.3) \quad & = \operatorname{Re}\psi_n(\langle y_m - x_n^{(m)}, x^* \rangle) + \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}\|_\infty \cdot \|x^*\| \\
& \quad + \operatorname{Re}\psi_n(\phi_l(\langle x_n^{(l)} - x_n, x^* \rangle)) + \operatorname{Re}\psi_n(\langle x_n - x, x^* \rangle) \\
& = 0 + \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}\|_\infty \cdot \|x^*\| + 0 + 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x^* \in X^*$ is arbitrary, it follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that (2.3) implies

$$(2.4) \quad \|y_m - x\| \leq \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}\|_\infty \text{ for all } m \geq 1.$$

By the assumption $a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{w}^{(m)} = \mathbf{w}$, we have that

$$\sigma\text{-}\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|y_m - x\| \leq \sigma\text{-}\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{w}^{(m)} - \mathbf{w}\|_\infty = 0.$$

Therefore $a\sigma\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m = x$. This proves (2.1). If the sequence $\{\mathbf{w}^{(m)}\}$ converges to $\mathbf{w} = \{x_n\}$ in sup-norm, then $s\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(m)} = x_n$ and (2.4) implies $s\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m = x$, i.e., (2.2) holds. This completes the proof.

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section, for a nonempty compact subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , we shall denote by $C(\Omega)$ the Banach space consisting of all continuous complex-valued functions and $C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega) := \{f \in C(\Omega) \mid f \text{ is real-valued}\}$ equipped with the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Example 1. (a) If $\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, then $e^{in\theta} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{in\theta} = 1$.

(b) If $\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, then $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$ and we have for every $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$

$$e^{i\theta}\phi_n(e^{in\theta}) = \phi_n(e^{i(n+1)\theta}) = \phi_n(e^{in\theta}).$$

This implies $\phi_n(e^{in\theta}) = 0$ for $\phi \in \pi_\sigma$ and hence $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{in\theta} = 0$.

Example 2. For every $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, both $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin^m(n\theta)$ and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^m(n\theta)$ exist.

It is obvious for the case $m = 0$. So, we may assume $m = 1, 2, \dots$. By Example 1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin^m(n\theta) \\ &= \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{e^{in\theta} - e^{-in\theta}}{2i} \right)^m \\ &= \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2i)^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m+j} e^{inj\theta} e^{-in(m-j)\theta} \\ &= \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2i)^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m+j} e^{in(2j-m)\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2i)^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m+j} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{in(2j-m)\theta} \end{aligned}$$

exists. Similarly,

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^m(n\theta) = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{in(2j-m)\theta}$$

exists.

Now, we consider the case that $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $k\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for every nonzero integer k . If m is a positive odd integer, then

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin^m(n\theta) = \frac{1}{(2i)^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m+j} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{in(2j-m)\theta} = 0;$$

if m is a nonnegative even integer and $m = 2k$, then

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin^m(n\theta) = \frac{1}{2^{2k}(-1)^k} \binom{2k}{k} (-1)^k = \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \binom{2k}{k}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^m(n\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is a positive odd integer} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \binom{2k}{k} & \text{if } m = 2k \text{ is a nonnegative even integer.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1. For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, both $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\sin(n\theta))$ and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\cos(n\theta))$ exist for all $f \in C[-1, 1]$. In particular, $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sin(n\theta)|$ and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\cos(n\theta)|$ exist.

Proof. Since the σ -limit is linear, we may assume that f is a real-valued function. Define $h(\theta) := \sin(\theta)$ or $\cos(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $E := \{f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[-1, 1] \mid \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(h(n\theta)) \text{ exists}\}$. By last two examples, E contains all polynomials and E

is a linear subspace of $C_{\mathbb{R}}[-1, 1]$. Since the set of all polynomials is dense in $C_{\mathbb{R}}[-1, 1]$ by the famous Weierstrass theorem, it suffices to show that E is closed. Let $\{f_m\}$ be a sequence in E convergent to some element $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[-1, 1]$. Then $\{f_m(h(n\theta))\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, is a sequence in \mathbb{R}_{σ} convergent to $\{f(h(n\theta))\}$ in sup-norm. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\{f(h(n\theta))\} \in \mathbb{R}_{\sigma}$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_m(h(n\theta)) = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(h(n\theta)) = \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(h(n\theta)).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Δ be the closed disc $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ and let $A(\Delta)$ be the algebra of all continuous functions $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that can be approximated uniformly by polynomials on Δ (cf. [10, p. 410]). Then $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta})$ exists for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, if, in addition, $k\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for every nonzero integer k , then $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta}) = f(0)$.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. If f is a polynomial, it follows from Example 1 that $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta})$ exists. Suppose f is continuous on Δ and is analytic in the interior of Δ . Then there is a sequence $\{f_m\}$ of polynomials such that $f_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly on Δ . Therefore for every $m \geq 1$ $\{f_m(e^{in\theta})\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}$ and $\{f_m(e^{in\theta})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\{f(e^{in\theta})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ uniformly as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since \mathbb{C}_{σ} is a Banach space, this implies $\{f(e^{in\theta})\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}$. Therefore $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta})$ exists. Now, we suppose $k\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for every nonzero integer k . By Example 1, we have we have $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_m(e^{in\theta}) = f_m(0)$ for all $m \geq 1$. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta}) &= \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(e^{in\theta}) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_m(e^{in\theta}) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(0) = f(0). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark. Indeed, $A(\Delta) \equiv \{f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous on } \Delta \text{ and is analytic in the interior of } \Delta\}$. For, if $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous on Δ and is analytic in the interior of Δ , and if $0 < r < 1$, then the function $f_r(z) := f(rz)$ is analytic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < \frac{1}{r}\}$. Therefore f_r can be approximated (uniformly on Δ) by a sequence of polynomials. Since $f_r \rightarrow f$ uniformly on Δ as $r \nearrow 1$, we must have that f can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials uniformly on Δ . In Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have $|\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(h(n\theta))| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$, the sup-norm of f (see [4, Theorem 3.2]). This fact is used in the proof of the next theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose X is a reflexive Banach space and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

- (i) If $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$ is weakly continuous, then both $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\sin(n\theta))$ and $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\cos(n\theta))$ exist.
- (ii) If $f : \Delta \rightarrow X$ is weakly continuous on Δ and f is analytic in the interior of Δ , then

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta}) \text{ exists.}$$

Furthermore, if, in addition, $k\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for every nonzero integer k , then $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{in\theta}) = f(0)$.

Proof. Fix a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose a function f is as mentioned in part (i) (resp. (ii)) and suppose $h(t) := \sin(t)$ or $\cos(t)$ (resp. $h(t) := e^{it}$), $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $x^* \in X^*$, we define

$$F(x^*) := \sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(h(n\theta)), x^* \rangle.$$

By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, F is well-defined. Since the σ -limit is linear, so is F . On the other hand, we have

$$|F(x^*)| = |\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(h(n\theta)), x^* \rangle| \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|x^*\|$$

for all $x^* \in X^*$. Since X is reflexive, this implies that $F = J_x$ for some $x \in X$. Therefore we have for every $x^* \in X^*$

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(h(n\theta)), x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, J_x \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle.$$

This proves that

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(h(n\theta)) = x.$$

Now, we suppose $k\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for every nonzero integer k . It follows from Theorem 3.2 that for every $x^* \in X^*$

$$\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(e^{in\theta}), x^* \rangle = \langle f(0), x^* \rangle.$$

Therefore $\sigma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(h(n\theta)) = f(0)$. This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Z. U. Ahmad and Mursaleen, An application of Banach limits, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **103(1)** (1988), 244-246.

2. M. K. Kuo, Characterization of w-almost convergent double sequences and their related properties, Ph.D. Dissertation, National Tsung Hua University, Taiwan, 2004.
3. S. Li, C. Li, and Y.-C. Li, On σ -limit and $a\sigma$ -limit in Banach spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **9(3)**, (2005), to appear.
4. Y.-C. Li and S.-Y. Shaw, Generalized limits and a mean ergodic theorem, *Studia Math.*, **121** (1996), 207-219.
5. G. G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequence, *Acta Math.*, **80** (1948), 167-190.
6. Mursaleen, On some new invariant matrix methods of summability, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)*, **34** (1983), 77-86.
7. R. A. Raimi, Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability, *Duke Math. J.*, **30** (1963), 81-94.
8. P. Schaefer, Infinite matrices and invariant means, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36** (1972), 104-110.
9. P. Schaefer, Mappings of positive integers and subspaces of m , *Port. Math.*, **38** (1979), 29-38.
10. A. E. Taylor and D. C. Lay, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed, New York Wiley, 1980.

Yuan-Chuan Li
Department of Applied Mathematics,
National Chung-Hsing University,
Taichung 40227, Taiwan.
E-mail: ycli@amath.nchu.edu.tw